Representations of the S_N-Extended Heisenberg Algebra and Relations Between Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equations and Quantum Calogero Model

Lars Brink

Institute for Theoretical Physics, S-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden

Mikhail A. Vasiliev[†]

I.E. Tamm Theoretical Department, P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, 117924, Leninsky Prospect 53, Moscow, Russia

Abstract

We discuss lowest-weight representations of the S_N -Extended Heisenberg Algebras underlying the N-body quantum-mechanical Calogero model. Our construction leads to flat derivatives interpolating between Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov and Dunkl derivatives. It is argued that based on these results one can establish new links between solutions of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and wave functions of the Calogero model.

[†] Supported in part by the Russian Fund of Fundamental Research, grant N67123016.

Recently, it was shown [1] that the N-body quantum-mechanical Calogero model with a harmonic potential can be interpreted as a free model of oscillators a_i, a_j^+ (i, j = 1, ..., N) obeying the following commutation relations

$$[a_i^{(+)}, a_j^{(+)}] = 0, \quad [a_i, a_j^+] = \delta_{ij} (1 + \nu \sum_l K_{il}) - \nu K_{ij}, \qquad (1)$$

where ν is a constant related to the Calogero coupling constant, while K_{ij} are operators obeying the exchange algebra

$$K_{ij}K_{jl} = K_{jl}K_{il} = K_{il}K_{ij} \tag{2}$$

for all $i \neq j$, $i \neq l$, $j \neq l$ (no summation over repeated indices),

$$(K_{ij})^2 = I, \qquad K_{ij} = K_{ji},$$
 (3)

and the following relations are true

$$K_{ij}a_j^{(+)} = a_i^{(+)}K_{ij}.$$
(4)

The algebra (1)-(4), which can be called the S_N -extended Heisenberg algebra will be denoted as SH_N . It admits the following realization [2, 1]

$$a_i^{\mp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (z_i \pm D_i) \tag{5}$$

with

$$D_{i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} + \nu \sum_{j \neq i} (z_{i} - z_{j})^{-1} (1 - K_{ij}), \qquad (6)$$

which enables one to solve the Calogero model by observing [1] that for the subsector of identical particles the Calogero Hamiltonian can be cast into the form

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \{a_i, a_i^+\}$$
(7)

and

$$[H, a_i^+] = a_i^+, \qquad [H, a_i] = -a_i .$$
(8)

The remarkable property of the derivatives D_i is that they are mutually commuting

$$[D_i, D_j] = 0 \tag{9}$$

as was first shown in the mathematical literature (see [3] and references therein) and later rediscovered in [2, 1] in relation with the Calogero problem. In fact, D_i obey the same algebra with z_i as $a_i^{(+)}$ do

$$[D_i, z_j] = \delta_{ij} (1 + \nu \sum_l K_{il}) - \nu K_{ij}$$
(10)

$$K_{ij}D_j = D_i K_{ij} , \qquad K_{ij}z_j = z_i K_{ij} , \qquad (11)$$

because the right hand sides of the formulae (1) and (10) are symmetric.

The Dunkl derivatives (6) are also very important for the Calogero model without oscillator interaction (i.e. that with continuous spectrum) since they give rise to the commuting conserved currents of the model [2].

The relations (5), (6) give a particular representation of the algebra (1)-(4). The question we address in this letter is whether there exist other representations of the same algebra, inequivalent to the representation (5), (6). We show that such representations do actually exist and can be useful in the context of the interplay between the Calogero problem and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations [4].

The basic element of our construction is a straightforward generalization of the standard construction of the Fock space for the ordinary Heisenberg algebra. Namely, let us consider lowest weight-type representations admitting a vacuum subspace $|0\rangle$ obeying the conditions

$$a_i|0\rangle = 0\,,\tag{12}$$

which form $m \times m$ irreducible representations of the zero-grade subalgebra, the group algebra of S_N spanned by all combinations of products of K_{ij} . This means that $|0\rangle$ is the *m*-column such that

$$K_{ij}|0\rangle = T_{ij}|0\rangle, \qquad (13)$$

where T_{ij} is the $m \times m$ matrix representation of the elementary $i \leftrightarrow j$ permutation operators of S_N . Consistency of eq.(13) implies that the following relations have to be true:

$$(T_{ij})^2 = I, \qquad T_{ij} = T_{ji}$$
 (14)

$$T_{ij}T_{jl} = T_{jl}T_{il} = T_{il}T_{ij} \tag{15}$$

$$K_{ij}T_{jl} = T_{il}K_{ij}, \qquad T_{ij}K_{ij} = K_{ij}T_{ij}$$

$$\tag{16}$$

for all $i \neq j$, $i \neq l$, $j \neq l$ (no summation over repeated indices). The whole representation is then given by the Fock-type module

$$(a_1^+)^{n_1}\dots(a_N^+)^{n_N}|0\rangle$$
. (17)

Sometimes it is more convenient to apply such a procedure to the derivatives D_i and coordinates z_i themselves instead of the creation and annihilation operators requiring

$$D_i|0\rangle = 0 \tag{18}$$

in place of (12). Algebraically, there is no difference since the operators z_i and D_j obey the same algebra (9),(10) as a_i and a_j^+ do. In practice this directly leads to the formula (6) provided that one identifies $|0\rangle$ with the constant 1, and the module $(z_1)^{n_1} \dots (z_N)^{n_N} |0\rangle$ with the basis monomials of the of the linear space of polynomial functions. Proceeding along the same lines for the general vacuum conditions (13), (18) one arrives at the following generalization of (6)

$$D_{i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} + \nu \sum_{j \neq i} (z_{i} - z_{j})^{-1} (T_{ij} - K_{ij}).$$
(19)

Using (2), (3), the second condition in (11) and (14)-(16), it is not difficult to check that the operators D_i along with z_i and K_{ij} do indeed form the algebra (9)-(11)⁻¹ and obey the vacuum condition (18). In particular, the D_i 's are still commuting.

¹To avoid misunderstandings let us stress once more that T_{ij} are $m \times m$ constant matrices $(T_{ij})^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ $(\alpha, \beta = 1...m)$ commuting with the coordinates z_i and acting on the vacuum basis vectors $|0\rangle_{\alpha}$.

The formula (19) which gives a new class of representations of the algebra SH_N constitutes the central result of this paper.

The following comments are now in order:

1. One can define the operators

$$k_{ij} = T_{ij}K_{ij} = K_{ij}T_{ij} \tag{20}$$

such that $[k_{ij}, T_{nm}] = 0$ as a consequence of (15), (16). Then, the operator (19) takes the form

$$D_{i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} + \nu \sum_{i \neq j} (z_{i} - z_{j})^{-1} T_{ij} (1 - k_{ij}).$$
(21)

From (11), (19) and the fact that T_{ij} commutes with z_i it follows that k_{ij} acts as the operator interchanging the coordinates $z_i \leftrightarrow z_j$ proportional to the unit matrix in the *m*-dimensional vector space, $k_{ij}|0\rangle = |0\rangle$. This result is quite natural since it is the combination $(z_i - z_j)^{-1}(1 - k_{ij})$ which leaves the space of polynomials P(z) (i.e. the Fock space) invariant since $(z_i - z_j)^{-1}(P(\ldots z_i \ldots z_j \ldots) - P(\ldots z_j \ldots z_i \ldots))$ is once again some polynomial. On the other hand, the operator k_{ij} can hardly be used itself in the practical calculations because it does not rotate properly the derivatives (21) $(k_{ij}D_j \neq D_ik_{ij})$ and therefore has no invariant meaning without referring to the specific representation.

2. So far we required the representation of the basic algebra to be of the lowest weight type, i.e. to obey (18) for some vacuum subspace $|0\rangle$. It is this requirement that leads to the factor of $(1 - k_{ij})$ on the right-hand-side of (21). If not insisting on this property, one can easily generalize formula (19) to the following one

$$D_{i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}} + \sum_{j \neq i} (z_{i} - z_{j})^{-1} (\mu T_{ij} - \nu K_{ij}).$$
(22)

It is easy to check that these operators give some representation of the same basic algebra (9)-(11) for arbitrary constants μ and ν provided that the relations (14)-(16) are true. For $\mu \neq \nu$ however application of the D_i to constant functions leads to proliferating singularities.

For the case $\nu = 0$ formula (22) can be interpreted as the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov operators in the case of unitary groups. In these operators the exchange operators are $T_{ij} = \sigma_i^a \sigma_{ja} + c$ where σ_i^a is the generator for the unitary group acting on a conformal field $\Phi(z_i)$, and c is some constant. It is well known that T_{ij} defined in this way satisfies (14) and (15) for an appropriate constant c, which, in turn, can be removed by a simple similarity transformation. Hence formula (22) interpolates between the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov operators ($\nu = 0$) and those underlying the Calogero problem $\mu = \nu$ [1] or $\mu = 0$ [2]. One can expect that these operators are indeed important for the analysis of both of these problems establishing links between Calogero-type integrable systems and Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations (and, therefore, conformal models).

To illustrate this issue, let us consider the Hamiltonian of the form (7) constructed from the derivatives (19) using (5). The explicit form of H is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [-(D_i)^2 + (z_i)^2] = -\frac{1}{2} [\Delta + \nu \sum_{i \neq j} [(z_i - z_j)^{-1} (\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}) T_{ij} - (z_i - z_j)^{-2} (T_{ij} - K_{ij})] - \sum_i z_i^2].$$
(23)

Eigenfunctions of the Hamiltonian (23) are given by the Fock vectors (17) constructed through the derivatives (19). The vacuum wave function obeying (12) has the standard form $|0\rangle = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i} z_{i}^{2})$, so that it is a purely algebraic procedure to derive explicit expressions for the eigenfunctions (17) as functions of z.

Now consider some matrix valued function g(z) which is a solution of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} g^\beta_\alpha(z) = \nu g^\gamma_\alpha(z) \sum_{j \neq i} (z_i - z_j)^{-1} (T_{ij})^\beta_\gamma.$$
(24)

It is straightforward to check that

$$g^{-1}\Delta g = \Delta + 2\nu \sum_{i \neq j} (z_i - z_j)^{-1} T_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} - \nu \sum_{i \neq j} (z_i - z_j)^{-2} T_{ij} + \nu^2 \sum_{i \neq j} (z_i - z_j)^{-2}$$
(25)

and therefore

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} [g^{-1} \Delta g + \nu \sum_{i \neq j} (z_i - z_j)^{-2} K_{ij} - \nu^2 \sum_{i \neq j} (z_i - z_j)^{-2} - \sum_i z_i^2].$$
(26)

Then one observes that since the Hamiltonian (23) is S_N invariant

Ì

$$[K_{ij}, H] = 0 \tag{27}$$

it can be restricted to one of the subspaces L_{\pm}

$$K_{ij}L_{\pm} = \pm L_{\pm} \tag{28}$$

the restrictions of which we denote as H^{\pm} ,

$$H^{\pm} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\Delta + \nu \sum_{i \neq j} \left[(z_i - z_j)^{-1} (\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j}) T_{ij} - (z_i - z_j)^{-2} (T_{ij} \mp 1) \right] - \sum_i z_i^2 \right].$$
(29)

From (26) it finally follows that

$$gH^{\pm}g^{-1} = H_{Cal}^{\pm} \tag{30}$$

where

$$H_{Cal}^{\pm} = -\frac{1}{2} [\Delta - (\nu^2 \mp \nu) \sum_{i \neq j} (z_i - z_j)^{-2} - \sum_i z_i^2] I .$$
(31)

and I is the unit matrix in the representation T of S_N .

We see that solutions of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation (24) interpolate between the eigenfunctions of H (23) spanned by those linear combinations of the vectors (17) which belong to the subspaces L_{\pm} (28) and solutions of the standard Calogero problem described by the Hamiltonian (31). It is worth mentioning that such a construction can lead to eigenfunctions of the Calogero Hamiltonian which are not necessarily normalizable and as such can give rise to some singular solutions of the generalized harmonic equation by Calogero

$$[\Delta + \nu \sum_{i \neq j} (z_i - z_j)^{-1} (\frac{\partial}{\partial z^i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j})]f(z) = 0$$
(32)

beyond the class of symmetric polynomials which can be constructed through the Focktype construction (17) applied to the Calogero Hamiltonian (31) itself [1].

The above construction can be generalized by tensoring by some other representation P of S_N ,

$$H \to H_P = H \otimes I_P \tag{33}$$

where I_P is the unit operator in the representation space V_P of P where the elementary permutations P_{ij} act, and replacing the condition (28) by

$$K_{ij}L_P = P_{ij}L_P \tag{34}$$

where L_P is a subspace of $F \otimes V_P$ where F denotes the Fock space of the wavefunctions of the original Hamiltonian. It is important that the matrices P_{ij} commute with the H_P (33) thus ensuring consistency of its reduction to the subspace (34). The formulae analogous to (30), (31) then read

$$gH^Pg^{-1} = H^P_{Cal} \tag{35}$$

with

$$H_{Cal}^{P} = -\frac{1}{2}I \otimes \left[\Delta - (\nu^{2} - \nu P_{ij})(z_{i} - z_{j})^{-2} - \sum_{i} z_{i}^{2}\right]$$
(36)

The Hamiltonian (36) corresponds to the matrix versions of the Calogero model considered e.g. in [5].

In conclusion let us emphasize that the fact that Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation is related to the Calogero model is rather well known (see e.g. [6] and references therein). However, the relationship established there seems to be rather different from the one discussed in this paper. An interesting question that remains to be investigated is whether such a construction can be used in the opposite direction, i.e. to generate the solutions of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation as operators g intertwining between the wave functions of the different versions of the Calogero Hamiltonians. The fact that our scheme applies to the case of the Calogero model with harmonic interaction makes it even more attractive since for this case there exists the explicit formulae for the wave functions in terms of the Fock vectors (17).

We believe that the representations of the S_N extended Heisenberg algebra considered in this paper may have a wider area of applicability beyond the specific examples considered above.

References

- [1] L. Brink, T.H. Hansson, and M.A. Vasiliev, *Phys. Lett.*, **B286** (1992)109.
- [2] A.P. Polychronakos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **69** (1992)703.
- [3] C.F. Dunkl, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **311** (1989)167.
- [4] V.G. Knizhnik and A.B. Zamolodchikov Nucl. Phys., **B247** (1984)83.
- [5] O.V. Dodlov, S.E. Konstein, and M.A. Vasiliev, Matrix Versions of the Calogero Model, Preprint FIAN/TD/18–93
- [6] G. Felder and A.P. Veselov, Shift Operators for the Quantum Calogero-Sutherland Problems via Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov Equation, preprint (1993)