

Confinement of spin-0 and spin-1/2 particles
in a mixed vector-scalar coupling
with unequal shapes for the potentials

Luis B. Castro¹ and Antonio S. de Castro²

UNESP - Campus de Guaratinguetá
Departamento de Física e Química
12516-410 Guaratinguetá SP - Brasil

¹E-mail address: benito@feg.unesp.br (L.B. Castro)

²E-mail address: castro@pesquisador.cnpq.br (A.S. de Castro)

Abstract

The Klein-Gordon and the Dirac equations with vector and scalar potentials are investigated under a more general condition, $V_v = V_s + \text{const.}$ These isospectral problems are solved in a case of squared trigonometric potential functions and bound states for either particles or antiparticles are found. The eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are discussed in some detail. It is revealed that a spin-0 particle is better localized than a spin-1/2 particle when they have the same mass and are subject to the same potentials.

There has been a continuous interest for solving the Klein-Gordon (KG) and the Dirac equations in the four-dimensional space-time as well as in lower dimensions for a variety of potentials. It is well known from the quarkonium phenomenology that the best fit for meson spectroscopy is found for a convenient mixture of vector and scalar potentials put by hand in the equations (see, e.g., [1]). The same can be said about the treatment of the nuclear phenomena describing the influence of the nuclear medium on the nucleons [2]. The mixed vector-scalar potential has also been analyzed in 1+1 dimensions. In this mixed two-dimensional context, all the works has been devoted to the investigation of the solutions of the relativistic equations by assuming that the vector and scalar potential functions are proportional [3]. In the present work the problem of relativistic particles is considered with a mixing of vector and scalar Lorentz structures with unequal potential functions. The mixing for this enlarged class of problems is chosen in such a way that the difference between the vector and the scalar potential functions is a constant. Except for a possible isolated solution for the Dirac equation, the KG equation and the Dirac equation for the upper component of the Dirac spinor are both mapped into a Schrödinger-like equation. Squared trigonometric potential functions are chosen in such a way that these relativistic problems are mapped into a Sturm-Liouville problem with the exactly solvable effective symmetric Pöschl-Teller potential [4]-[5]. Then, the whole relativistic spectrum is found, if the particle is massless or not. The process of solving the KG and the Dirac equations for the eigenenergies has been transmuted into the simpler and more efficient process of solving an irrational algebraic equation. Apart from the intrinsic interest as new solutions of fundamental equations in physics, the bound-state solutions of these systems are important in condensed matter mainly because of their potential applications ranging from ferroelectric domain walls in solids and magnetic chains [6].

In the presence of vector and scalar potentials the 1+1 dimensional time-independent KG equation for a particle of rest mass m reads

$$-\hbar^2 c^2 \phi'' + (mc^2 + V_s)^2 \phi = (E - V_v)^2 \phi \quad (1)$$

where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to x , E is the energy of the particle, c is the velocity of light and \hbar is the Planck constant. The subscripts for the terms of potential denote their properties under a Lorentz transformation: v for the time component of the 2-vector potential and s for the scalar term. In the presence of time-independent vector and scalar potentials the 1+1 dimensional time-independent Dirac equation for a fermion of rest mass m reads

$$[\alpha p + \beta (mc^2 + V_s) + V_v] \psi = E \psi \quad (2)$$

where p is the momentum operator. α and β are Hermitian square matrices satisfying the relations $\alpha^2 = \beta^2 = 1$, $\{\alpha, \beta\} = 0$. From the last two relations it follows that both α and β are traceless and have eigenvalues equal to ± 1 , so that one can conclude that α and β are even-dimensional matrices. One can choose the 2×2 Pauli matrices satisfying the same algebra as α and β , resulting in a 2-component spinor ψ . We use $\alpha = \sigma_1$ and $\beta = \sigma_3$. Provided that the spinor is written in terms of the upper and the lower components, ψ_+ and ψ_- respectively, the Dirac equation decomposes into:

$$i\hbar c \psi'_\pm = [V_v - E \mp (mc^2 + V_s)] \psi_\mp \quad (3)$$

In the nonrelativistic approximation (potential energies small compared to mc^2 and $E \simeq mc^2$) Eq. (1) becomes the Schrödinger equation with binding energy equal to $E - mc^2$ and a potential

given by $V_v + V_s$, so that ϕ obeys the Schrödinger equation without distinguishing the contributions of vector and scalar potentials. In this approximation Eq. (3) becomes $\psi_- = p/(2mc)\psi_+$, and because of this ψ_+ obeys the same equations as ϕ while ψ_- is of order $v/c \ll 1$ relative to ψ_+ . It is remarkable that the KG and the Dirac equations with a scalar potential, or a vector potential contaminated with some scalar coupling, is not invariant under the simultaneous changes $V \rightarrow V + \text{const.}$ and $E \rightarrow E + \text{const.}$, this is so because only the vector potential couples to the charge, whereas the scalar potential couples to the mass of the particle. Therefore, if there is any scalar coupling the energy itself has physical significance and not just the energy difference.

It is well known that a confining potential in the nonrelativistic approach is not confining in the relativistic approach when it is considered as a Lorentz vector. It is surprising that relativistic confining potentials may result in nonconfinement in the nonrelativistic approach, simply because there is pair creation and the single-particle picture no long holds. This last phenomenon is a consequence of the fact that vector and scalar potentials couple differently in the KG and in the Dirac equations whereas there is no such distinction among them in the Schrödinger equation. This observation permit us to conclude that even a “repulsive” potential can be a confining potential. The case $V_v = -V_s$ presents bounded solutions in the relativistic approach, although it reduces to the free-particle problem in the nonrelativistic limit. The attractive vector potential for a particle is, of course, repulsive for its corresponding antiparticle, and vice versa. However, the attractive (repulsive) scalar potential for particles is also attractive (repulsive) for antiparticles. For $V_v = V_s$ and an attractive vector potential for particles, the scalar potential is counterbalanced by the vector potential for antiparticles as long as the scalar potential is attractive and the vector potential is repulsive. As a consequence there is no bounded solution for antiparticles. For $V_v = 0$ and a pure scalar attractive potential, one finds energy levels for particles and antiparticles arranged symmetrically about $E = 0$. For $V_v = -V_s$ and a repulsive vector potential for particles, the scalar and the vector potentials are attractive for antiparticles but their effects are counterbalanced for particles. Thus, recurring to this simple standpoint one can anticipate in the mind that there is no bound-state solution for particles in this last case of mixing. Regarding the structure of the wavefunctions under the simultaneous changes $V_v \rightarrow -V_v$ and $E \rightarrow -E$, from the charge-conjugation operation one can see that if ψ is a solution with energy E for the potential V_v then $\sigma_1\psi^*$ is also a solution with energy $-E$ for the potential $-V_v$. Thus, one has $(\psi_{\pm})_c = \psi_{\mp}^*$ and that means that the upper and lower components of the Dirac spinor have their roles changed. As for the KG wavefunction, its nodal structure is trivially preserved in such a way that particle and antiparticle can be distinguished only by the eigenenergies.

Supposing that the vector and scalar potentials are constrained by the relation $V_v - V_s = V_0$, where V_0 is a constant, and defining

$$\varepsilon = E - V_0, \quad E_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\varepsilon^2 - m^2c^4}{2mc^2}, \quad V_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\varepsilon + mc^2}{mc^2} V_s \quad (4)$$

the KG equation can be written as

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \phi'' + V_{\text{eff}} \phi = E_{\text{eff}} \phi \quad (5)$$

On the other hand, for $\varepsilon \neq -mc^2$ the same Sturm-Liouville equation for ϕ is obeyed by ψ_+ whereas $\psi_- = -i\hbar c\psi'_+ / (\varepsilon + mc^2)$. Otherwise, for $\varepsilon = -mc^2$, it might be possible the existence

of an isolated solution given by

$$\psi_+ = \text{const.}, \quad \psi_- = \frac{2\psi_+}{i\hbar c} \int^x dx (V_s + mc^2) \quad (6)$$

Of course, this solution does not exist if the domain is infinity because ψ_+ would not be square integrable. Note that apart from the possible isolated solution, ψ_+ satisfies the KG equation. An equally interesting result in the case of vanishing mass is that the spectrum just changes sign when V_0 does. As for the eigenfunctions, ϕ and ψ_+ are invariant under the change of the sign of V_0 whereas ψ_- changes sign.

Let us consider the specific case of the two-parameter potential functions $V_v = V_0 \sec^2 \alpha x$ and $V_s = V_0 \tan^2 \alpha x$. In this case the isolated solution of the Dirac equation for ψ_- is not normalizable and the effective potential of the Sturm-Liouville problem for both ϕ and ψ_+ can be expressed as

$$V_{\text{eff}} = U_0 \tan^2 \alpha x, \quad U_0 = \frac{\varepsilon + mc^2}{mc^2} V_0 \quad (7)$$

Notice that V_{eff} is invariant under the change $\alpha \rightarrow -\alpha$ so that the results can depend only on $|\alpha|$. Furthermore, the effective potential is an even function under $x \rightarrow -x$ in such way that ϕ and ψ_+ can be taken to be even or odd. When $\varepsilon < -mc^2$ for $V_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon > -mc^2$ for $V_0 < 0$ one has $U_0 < 0$. In this case the effective potential consists of periodical wells and barriers. On the other hand, when $\varepsilon > -mc^2$ for $V_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon < -mc^2$ for $V_0 < 0$ one has $U_0 > 0$ and the effective potential is identified as the exactly solvable symmetric Pöschl-Teller potential [4]-[5]. In this last circumstance, due to the infinities at $|x| = \pi/(2|\alpha|)$, attention can be restricted to $|x| < \pi/(2|\alpha|)$. In fact, the effective potential is a well potential limited by infinite barriers at $x = \pm\pi/(2|\alpha|)$ so that the capacity of the effective potential to hold bound-state solutions with $\varepsilon > mc^2$ for $V_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon < -mc^2$ for $V_0 < 0$ is infinite (with a spectral gap in the interval $|\varepsilon| < mc^2$ for $V_0 > 0$).

For the bound-state solutions, one can see that the normalizable eigenfunctions are subject to the boundary conditions $\phi = \psi_+ = 0$ as $|x| = \pi/(2|\alpha|)$ (where the potential becomes infinitely steep) in such a manner that the solution of our relativistic problem can be developed by taking advantage from the knowledge of the exact solution for the symmetric Pöschl-Teller potential. The corresponding effective eigenenergy is given by [4]-[5]

$$\frac{\varepsilon^2 - m^2 c^4}{2mc^2} = \frac{\hbar^2 \alpha^2}{2m} (n^2 + 2n\lambda + \lambda), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (8)$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{8mU_0}{\hbar^2 \alpha^2}} \right) \quad (9)$$

Now, (8)-(9) lead to the quantization condition

$$2\sqrt{\varepsilon^2 - m^2 c^4 + 2(\varepsilon + mc^2)V_0} - \sqrt{\hbar^2 c^2 \alpha^2 + 8V_0(\varepsilon + mc^2)} = \hbar c |\alpha| (2n + 1) \quad (10)$$

The solutions of (10) determinate the eigenvalues of the relativistic problem. This equation can be solved easily with a symbolic algebra program by searching eigenenergies in the range $\varepsilon > mc^2$ for $V_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon < -mc^2$ for $V_0 < 0$, as foreseen by the preceding qualitative arguments. Of course, for $V_0 > 0$ one obtains $\varepsilon \approx mc^2$ for the lowest quantum numbers when $V_0 \ll mc^2$. On the other hand, for $V_0 < 0$ one finds $\varepsilon \approx -mc^2$ for the lowest quantum

numbers when $|V_0| \ll mc^2$. It happens that there is at most one solution of (10) for a given quantum number. Figures 1 and 2 show the behaviour of the energies as a function of V_0 and α , respectively. It is noticeable from both of these figures, for $V_0 > 0$, that for a given set of potential parameters one finds that the lowest quantum numbers correspond to the lowest eigenenergies, as it should be for particle energy levels. For $V_0 < 0$ the spectrum presents a similar behavior but the the highest energy levels are labelled by the lowest quantum numbers and are to be identified with antiparticle levels. If we had plotted the spectra for a massless particle, we would encounter, up to the sign of ε , identical spectra for both signs of V_0 . At any circumstance, the spectrum contains either particle-energy levels or antiparticle-energy levels.

The KG eigenfunction as well as the upper component of the Dirac spinor can be given by [5]

$$\phi = \psi_+ = N 2^{-\lambda} \sqrt{2|\alpha|(n+\lambda)} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+2\lambda)} \frac{\Gamma(2\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda+\frac{1}{2})} (1-z^2)^{\lambda/2} C_n^{(\lambda)}(z) \quad (11)$$

where $z = \sin \alpha x$ and $C_n^{(\lambda)}(z)$ is the Gegenbauer (ultraspherical) polynomial of degree n . Since $C_n^{(\lambda)}(-z) = (-)^n C_n^{(\lambda)}(z)$ and $C_n^{(\lambda)}(z)$ has n distinct zeros (see, e.g. [7]), it becomes clear that ψ_+ and ψ_- have definite and opposite parities. The constant N is the unit in the KG problem and it chosen such that $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx (|\psi_+|^2 + |\psi_-|^2) = 1$ in the Dirac problem. Fig. 3 illustrates the behaviour of the upper and lower components of the Dirac spinor $|\psi_+|^2$ and $|\psi_-|^2$, and the position probability densities $|\psi|^2 = |\psi_+|^2 + |\psi_-|^2$ and $|\phi|^2$ for $n = 0$. The relative normalization constant was calculated numerically. Comparison of $|\psi_+|^2$ and $|\psi_-|^2$ shows that ψ_- is suppressed relative to ψ_+ . This result is expected since we have here an particle eigenstate. Surprisingly, the same behaviour shows its face for the antiparticle eigenstates (for $V_0 < 0$). In addition, comparison of $|\phi|^2$ and $|\psi|^2$ shows that a KG particle tends to be better localized than a Dirac particle.

In summary, the methodology for finding solutions of the KG and the Dirac equations for the enlarged class of mixed vector-scalar potentials satisfying the constraint $V_v = V_s + V_0$ have been put forward. With the two-parameter potential functions $V_v = V_0 \sec^2 \alpha x$ and $V_s = V_0 \tan^2 \alpha x$, the KG equation and the Dirac equation for ψ_+ have been mapped into a Schrödinger-like equation with the symmetric Pöschl-Teller potential. The spectrum of these relativistic problems consists of infinitely many discrete eigenenergies related to either particle or antiparticle levels in such a way the Klein's paradox is absent from the scenario. As has been commented above, changing the sign of V_v allows us to migrate from the particle sector to the antiparticle sector and vice versa just by changing the sign of the eigenenergies as far as the spectra is concerned. These changes imply that $|\phi|$ maintains its nodal structure whereas $|\psi_+|$ and $|\psi_-|$ exchange theirs in such a way that the nodal structure of the position probability density is preserved. Although the KG and the Dirac equations exhibit the very same spectrum their eigenfunctions make all the difference. In fact, we have shown that a KG particle tends to be better localized than a Dirac particle.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by means of funds provided by CAPES, CNPq and FAPESP.

References

- [1] W. Lucha et al., Phys. Rep. 200 (1991) 127 and references therein.
- [2] B.D. Serot, J.D. Walecka, in: Advances in Nuclear Physics, Vol. 16, edited by J.W. Negele and E. Vogt, Plenum, New York, 1986; J.N. Ginocchio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 436; J.N. Ginocchio, A. Leviatan, Phys. Lett. B 425 (1998) 1; J.N. Ginocchio, Phys. Rep. 315 (1999) 231; P. Alberto et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 5015; P. Alberto et al., Phys. Rev. C 65 (2002) 034307; T.-S. Chen et al., Chin. Phys. Lett. 20 (2003) 358; G. Mao, Phys. Rev. C 67 (2003) 044318; R. Lisboa et al., Phys. Rev. C 69 (2004) 024319.
- [3] G. Gumbs, D. Kiang, Am. J. Phys. 54 (1986) 462; F. Domínguez-Adame, Am. J. Phys. 58 (1990) 886; A.S. de Castro, Phys. Lett. A 305 (2002) 100; Y. Nogami et al., Am. J. Phys. 71 (2003) 950; A.S. de Castro, Phys. Lett. A 338 (2005) 81; A.S. de Castro, Phys. Lett. A 346 (2005) 71; A.S. de Castro, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 316 (2005) 414; A.S. de Castro, hep-th/0507025, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, in press; A.S. de Castro, hep-th/0511010, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, in press; A.S. de Castro et al., Phys. Rev. C 73 (2006) 054309.
- [4] G. Pöschl, E. Teller, Z. Phys. 83 (1933) 143; I.I. Gol'dman, V.D. Krivchenkov, Problems in Quantum Mechanics, Pergamon, London, 1961.
- [5] M.M. Nieto, L.M. Simmons Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. 41 (1978) 207; M.M. Nieto, L.M. Simmons Jr., Phys. Rev. D 20 (1979) 1332; M.M. Nieto, Phys. Rev. A 20 (1979) 700.
- [6] See, e.g., O.M. Braun, Y.S. Kivshar, The Frenkel-Kontorova Model: Concepts, Methods, and Applications, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [7] M. Abramowitz, I.A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover, Toronto, 1965.
- [8] W. Greiner, Relativistic Quantum Mechanics: Wave Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990; P. Strange, Relativistic Quantum Mechanics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. '




Figure 1: Dirac eigenvalues, in the sense of ε , for the four lowest quantum numbers as a function of V_0 ($m = \hbar = c = \alpha = 1$).




Figure 2: Dirac eigenvalues, in the sense of ε , for the four lowest quantum numbers as a function of α ($m = \hbar = c = 1$ and $V_0 = 1$).




Figure 3: $|\psi_+|^2$ (heavy dashed line), $|\psi_-|^2$ (light dashed line), $|\psi|^2 = |\psi_+|^2 + |\psi_-|^2$ (thick line) and $|\phi|^2$ (thin line) for $n = 0$ ($m = \hbar = c = 1$, $V_0 = 3$ and $\alpha = 5$).