

Coulomb problem for vector bosons versus Standard Model

M.Yu.Kuchiev^{1*} and V.V.Flambaum^{1,2†}

¹*School of Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia*

²*Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439-4843, USA*

(Dated: July 29, 2018)

The Coulomb problem for vector bosons W^\pm propagating in an attractive Coulomb field incorporates a known difficulty, i.e. the total charge of the boson localized on the Coulomb center turns out infinite. This fact contradicts the renormalizability of the Standard model, which presumes that at small distances all physical quantities are well defined. The paradox is shown to be resolved by the QED vacuum polarization, which brings in a strong effective repulsion and eradicates the infinite charge of the boson on the Coulomb center. The effect makes the Coulomb problem for vector bosons well defined and consistent with the Standard Model.

PACS numbers: 12.15.Ji, 12.15.Lk, 12.20.Ds

Consider a massive charged vector boson W^\pm propagating in an attractive Coulomb field created by a point-like, heavy particle. (A small primordial charged black hole gives an example of such particle since its Coulomb interaction with a vector boson is much stronger than their gravitational attraction.) It has been “always” known that this problem incorporates a difficulty. Shortly after Proca formulated his theory for vector particles [1] it became clear that it produces inadequate results for the Coulomb problem [2, 3, 4]. This prompted Corben and Schwinger [5] to modify the Proca theory by tuning one coefficient in the Lagrangian and equations of motion and forcing the g -factor of the vector boson to take a favorable value $g = 2$. It was recognized later [6] that the formalism of Ref.[5] has a close connection with the non-Abelian gauge theory, which makes it relevant for the present day studies. To emphasize this important point we outline below a derivation of the Corben-Schwinger equation directly from the Standard Model. The necessity for vector particles to have an anomalous magnetic ratio $g = 2$ has been thoroughly discussed in literature, see e.g. Ref.[7, 8].

Ref.[5] found that the discrete energy spectrum of the Coulomb problem for vector bosons is simple and realistic; Ref.[9] re-derived this important result. However, Ref.[5] also discovered a fundamental flaw in the problem. For quantum states with the total angular momentum zero, $j = 0$, the charge density of the boson is singular at the origin, which makes the total charge divergent there and therefore implies that the Coulomb problem is poorly defined. This is unsatisfactory because the effect manifests itself for any, however small value of the Coulomb charge Z ; moreover, it takes place at small distances, where the Standard Model, being a renormalizable theory Ref.[10], should not encounter problems of this kind. Thus, there exists a clear contradiction. The Coulomb

problem derived from the Standard Model produces results, which challenge the Model itself.

This known difficulty has motivated several lines of research. Early efforts are summarized in Ref.[11]. More recent Refs. [12, 13, 14] suggested a new, refined modification of the formalism for vector bosons. Ref.[15] claimed that this new formalism complied with results of Corben and Schwinger. Some authors considered other equations governing vector bosons [16, 17, 18], which differ substantially from the Corben-Schwinger one, producing more acceptable results for the Coulomb problem. However, these approaches could not be based on a renormalizable theory.

Overall, in spite of the progress made over the years, the contradiction related to the inconsistency of the Coulomb problem for vector bosons and renormalizability of the Standard Model still exists. We find a clear way to resolve it, i.e. to formulate the Coulomb problem for vector particles properly, within the framework of the Standard Model. Our main observation is that the polarization of the QED vacuum has a profound impact on the problem forcing the density of charge of a vector boson to decrease exponentially at the origin, thus making the Coulomb problem stable and well defined.

At first glance this result looks surprising because the vacuum polarization makes the attractive field stronger at the origin and, presumably, increasing the charge density at the origin. Moreover, the vacuum polarization for spinor and scalar particles in the Coulomb field is known to produce only small, perturbative effects. In contrast, for the vector particle we find a *strong reduction* in the charge density. To grasp a physical mechanism involved it is necessary to notice that the equation of motion for vector particles contains a particular term, which has no counterparts for scalars and spinors (see the last term in Eq.(5)). It is this additional term that is responsible for a strong effective repulsion, which makes the Coulomb problem stable.

Consider boson fields in the Lagrangian of the Stan-

*Email:kuchiev@phys.unsw.edu.au

†Email:flambaum@phys.unsw.edu.au

Standard Model, see e.g. Ref.[19] ($\hbar = c = 1$),

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu \mathbf{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \mathbf{A}_\mu + g \mathbf{A}_\mu \times \mathbf{A}_\nu)^2, \quad (1)$$

$$-\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu B_\nu - \partial_\nu B_\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \Phi^\dagger D^\mu \Phi.$$

Here \mathbf{A}_μ and B_μ are the $SU(2)$ and $U(1)$ gauge potentials respectively (abridged notation is used here). The covariant derivative $D_\mu \Phi$ takes into account the fact that the Higgs field Φ has a hypercharge, which describes its interaction with the $U(1)$ field, and is transformed as a doublet under the $SU(2)$ gauge transformations, $\Phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$. Taking the unitary gauge, one can present Φ via one real component $\Phi = (0, \phi)$, $\phi = \phi^+$. Assuming that the scalar field develops the vacuum expectation value $\phi = \phi_0$, one derives from Eq.(1) that there appears an electromagnetic field $A_\mu = -\sin\theta A_\mu^3 + \cos\theta B_\mu$ (θ is the Weinberg angle) and a pair of charged massive vector bosons $W_\mu \equiv W_\mu^- = (A_\mu^1 - iA_\mu^2)/\sqrt{2}$, and $W_\mu^+ \equiv (W_\mu^-)^\dagger$.

Expanding the Lagrangian in the vicinity of $\phi = \phi_0$ and retaining only bilinear in W_μ, W_μ^+ terms for massive vector bosons, one derives from Eq.(1) an effective Lagrangian which describes propagation of W -bosons in an external electromagnetic field

$$\mathcal{L}^W = -\frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\mu W_\nu - \nabla_\nu W_\mu)^\dagger (\nabla^\mu W^\nu - \nabla^\nu W^\mu) + ie F^{\mu\nu} W_\mu^+ W_\nu + m^2 W_\mu^+ W^\mu. \quad (2)$$

Here m is the mass of W . The external field is accounted for in Eq.(2) by the derivative $\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu$, and by the term with the field $F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu$, which was first introduced into the Lagrangian for vector bosons in [5]. From Eq.(2) one derives the classical Lagrange equation of motion for vector bosons

$$(\nabla^2 + m^2) W^\mu + 2ie F^{\mu\nu} W_\nu - \nabla^\mu \nabla^\nu W_\nu = 0. \quad (3)$$

Here the identity $[\nabla_\mu, \nabla_\nu] = ieF_{\mu\nu}$ was used. Taking a covariant derivative in Eq.(3) one finds

$$m^2 \nabla_\mu W^\mu + ie j_\mu W^\mu = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $j^\mu = \partial_\nu F^{\nu\mu}$ is the external current. Substituting $\nabla_\mu W^\mu$ from Eq.(4) back into Eq.(3) one can rewrite the latter in a more transparent form

$$(\nabla^2 + m^2) W^\mu + 2ie F^{\mu\nu} W_\nu + \frac{ie}{m^2} \nabla^\mu (j_\nu W^\nu) = 0. \quad (5)$$

We will use below the current of vector bosons j_μ^W , which is obtained by taking a variation of the Lagrangian Eq.(2) with respect to A_μ

$$j_\mu^W = -ie \left(W_\nu^+ \nabla_\mu W^\nu + 2(\nabla_\nu W_\mu^+) W^\nu - c.c. \right) - \frac{e^2}{m^2} (W_\mu^+ W_\nu + W_\nu^+ W_\mu) j^\nu. \quad (6)$$

Here $c.c.$ refers to two complex conjugated terms and Eq.(4) was employed to present the current in this form.

Consider now the case of a static electric field described by the electric potential $A_0 = A_0(\mathbf{r})$ and charge density $\rho = \rho(\mathbf{r}) = -\Delta A_0$. For a stationary state of the W -boson one can presume that $\nabla_0 W_\mu = -i(\varepsilon - U)W_\mu$, where ε is the energy of the state, and $U = U(\mathbf{r}) = eA_0$ is the potential energy of the W -boson in the electric field. Eq.(4) in this case gives

$$(\varepsilon - U - \Upsilon) w = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{W}. \quad (7)$$

The four-vector $W^\mu = (W_0, \mathbf{W})$ is presented here via the three-vector \mathbf{W} and a convenient parameter $w = iW_0$. In order to simplify notation we introduce also an important quantity $\Upsilon = \Upsilon(\mathbf{r})$,

$$\Upsilon = e\rho/m^2 = -\Delta U/m^2. \quad (8)$$

Eq.(5) can be conveniently presented in this notation

$$((\varepsilon - U)^2 - m^2) \mathbf{W} = -\Delta \mathbf{W} - 2\nabla U w - \nabla(\Upsilon w). \quad (9)$$

For a spherically symmetrical case $U = U(r)$, $\Upsilon = \Upsilon(r)$ the total angular momentum j conserves. We restrict our discussion below to the most important case of longitudinal states that have $j = 0$, where the field \mathbf{W} can be presented with the help of a radial function $v = v(r)$

$$\mathbf{W} = v \mathbf{n}, \quad \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{r}/r. \quad (10)$$

Eq.(7) allows one to express the function w via v

$$w = (\varepsilon - U - \Upsilon)^{-1} (v' + 2v/r). \quad (11)$$

Substituting \mathbf{W} and w from Eqs.(10),(11) into Eq.(9) one finds an equation on v

$$v'' + G v' + H v = 0, \quad (12)$$

where the coefficients $G = G(r)$ and $H = H(r)$ are

$$G = \frac{2}{r} + \frac{U'}{\varepsilon - U} + \frac{U' + \Upsilon'}{\varepsilon - U - \Upsilon}, \quad (13)$$

$$H = -\frac{2}{r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \left(\frac{U'}{\varepsilon - U} + \frac{U' + \Upsilon'}{\varepsilon - U - \Upsilon} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon - U - \Upsilon}{\varepsilon - U} ((\varepsilon - U)^2 - m^2). \quad (14)$$

It is convenient to scale the radial function $v \rightarrow \varphi = \varphi(r)$

$$v = \varphi [(\varepsilon - U(r))(\varepsilon - U(r) - \Upsilon(r))]^{1/2}/r. \quad (15)$$

Then one writes the equation of motion for W -bosons Eq.(12) in an appealing form

$$-\varphi'' + \mathcal{U} \varphi = 0. \quad (16)$$

$$\mathcal{U} = -H + G^2/4 + G'/2, \quad (17)$$

G, H are defined in Eqs.(13),(14). Eq.(16) can be looked at as a Schrödinger-type equation, in which $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(r)$ plays the role of an effective potential energy.

We will need below an expression for the charge density $\rho^W = j_0^W$ of a vector boson in the $j = 0$ state. From Eqs.(6),(10) one derives

$$\rho^W = 2e[(\varepsilon - U)(v^2 + w^2) + 2vw' - \Upsilon w^2]. \quad (18)$$

Let us study properties of solutions of Eq.(16). Consider first the simplest example, the pure Coulomb potential

$$U(r) = -Z\alpha/r, \quad \Upsilon(r) = 0, \quad r > 0. \quad (19)$$

Then Eq.(17) shows that for small distances $r \ll Z\alpha/m$ the effective potential satisfies

$$\mathcal{U}(r) \simeq -U^2(r) = -(Z\alpha)^2/r^2. \quad (20)$$

Consequently, a solution of Eq.(15) regular at $r = 0$ exhibits the behavior

$$\varphi(r) \propto r^{\gamma+1/2}, \quad r \rightarrow 0. \quad (21)$$

Here $\gamma = (1/4 - (Z\alpha)^2)^{1/2}$. At first sight Eq.(21) looks harmless, but in fact it leads to a fundamental problem with the charge density. Eqs.(11),(15),(21) give $v \propto Z\alpha r^{\gamma-3/2}$, $w \propto (\gamma + 1/2)r^{\gamma-3/2}$. From Eq.(18) one estimates the charge density, $\rho^W \propto r^{2\gamma-4}$, finding it so singular at the origin that the total charge $\int \rho^W d^3r$ is divergent there. This important result was discovered by Corben and Schwinger [5]. One has to conclude that the pure Coulomb problem for vector bosons in $j = 0$ state is poorly defined.

Consider the conventional QED vacuum polarization. The potential energy in this case can be written as

$$U(r) = -(1 + S(r))Z\alpha/r, \quad (22)$$

where $S(r)$ accounts for the polarization. It suffices to consider the effect in the lowest-order approximation, when the polarization is described by the Uehling potential. Its small-distance expansion, see e.g. [20], reads

$$S(r) \simeq -\alpha\beta \ln(mZr), \quad r \rightarrow 0. \quad (23)$$

The logarithm here is closely related to the logarithm responsible for the scaling of the QED coupling constant $\alpha^{-1}(\mu) = \alpha^{-1}(\mu_0) - \beta \ln(\mu/\mu_0)$, see e.g. [20]. The factor β , which governs the scaling of the coupling constant and the potential in Eq.(23) equals the lowest coefficient of the Gell-Mann - Low function (normalized here in such a way that for one generation of leptons $\beta = \beta_e = 2/3\pi$). Both the Standard Model and experimental data indicate that $\alpha(\mu)$ rises with the mass parameter μ , i.e. $\beta > 0$, see Ref.[21] and references therein; the rise presumably continues up to the Grand Unification limit [22].

Substituting Eqs.(22),(23) into Eq.(8) one derives

$$\Upsilon(r) \simeq Z\alpha^2\beta/(m^2r^3), \quad r \rightarrow 0, \quad (24)$$

where the lowest term of the α -expansion is retained. It is vital that $\Upsilon(r)$ is positive and large, $\Upsilon(r) \gg |U(r)|$.

This fact makes the effective potential in Eq.(17) also large and positive when $r \rightarrow 0$

$$\mathcal{U}(r) \simeq -H(r) \simeq -U(r)\Upsilon(r) \simeq Z^2\alpha^3\beta/(m^2r^4). \quad (25)$$

Thus the vacuum polarization results in an intense repulsion, in contrast with a mild attraction, which shows $\mathcal{U}(r)$ in Eq.(20) for a pure Coulomb case. When the estimate Eq.(25) is applicable, Eq.(16) allows an analytical solution

$$\varphi(r) \propto r \exp\left(-\frac{Z\alpha(\alpha\beta)^{1/2}}{mr}\right). \quad (26)$$

It shows that $\varphi(r)$ exponentially decreases at small distances. According to Eqs.(11),(15) the functions $v(r), w(r)$, also decrease exponentially here; correspondingly, the charge density of the W -boson Eq.(18) decreases exponentially at the origin as well. Thus, an account of the QED vacuum polarization eradicates the difficulty related to the infinite charge of a vector boson located on the Coulomb center.

For small Z the energy shift of discrete energy levels due to the vacuum polarization is found to be small (details to be reported elsewhere), which makes the Sommerfeld formula derived for the spectrum of vector bosons in [5] applicable.

The calculations presented raise two vital qualitative questions. First, why the vacuum polarization pushes the system in the right direction, reducing the charge density of the W -boson near the Coulomb center. A simple answer is related to the sign of the charge density produced by the polarization. Take, for example a positive Coulomb center, $Z > 0$. Then the vacuum polarization produces negative charge density, $\rho < 0$. As a result, the potential energy of the W^- boson acquires a positive term $\Upsilon = e\rho/m^2 > 0$ (the charge of W^- is negative, $e < 0$). A deeper answer is related to the renormalizability of the Standard Model, which implies that by renormalizing relevant physical quantities one is *bound* to obtain sensible physical results. The relevant quantity in question is the charge density of a vector boson. Taking into account the vacuum polarization, we effectively renormalize the coupling constant, which leads to an acceptable physical result.

Second, why the weak vacuum polarization produces a large variation of the charge density of the vector boson. The point is that the charge density related to the vacuum polarization results in an effective potential barrier $\mathcal{U} = \eta/r^4$ for vector particles, which cannot be penetrated for arbitrary small η . The answer can be given in more general terms. In the pure Coulomb problem the charge density of a vector boson is large, ultimately infinite on the Coulomb center. This density can be thought of as a quantity, which measures the reaction of the boson to the variation of an external electric potential, which therefore is very strong. This explains the strong impact of the weak vacuum polarization, see more details in [9].

Previous attempts to formulate the Coulomb problem for vector bosons within the framework of the Standard

Model have been facing a difficulty related to an infinite charge of the boson located near an attractive Coulomb center. This work finds that the polarization of the QED vacuum resolves the problem. Usually the QED radiative corrections produce only small perturbations. It is interesting that in the case discussed the radiative correction

plays a major, defining role.

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council. One of us (V.V.F.) is thankful for the support to the Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics, Contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38.

-
- [1] A. Proca, Compt.Rend. **202**, 1490 (1936).
 [2] H. F. W. Massey and H. C. Corben, Proc.Camb.Phi.Soc. **35**, 463 (1939).
 [3] J. R. Oppenheimer, H. Snyder and R. Serber, Phys.Rev. **57**, 75 (1940).
 [4] I. E. Tamm, Phys. Rev. **58**, 952 (1940); Doklady USSR Acad of Sci **8-9**, 551 (1940).
 [5] H. C. Corben and J. Schwinger, Phys.Rev. **58**, 953 (1940).
 [6] J. Schwinger, Rev. Mod. Phys. **36**, 609 (1964).
 [7] H. Cheng and T. T. Wu, Phys.Rev.D **5**, 3247 (1972).
 [8] K. Huang, *Quarks, Leptons and Gauge Fields*, 2nd edition, World Scientific, 1992.
 [9] M. Yu. Kuchiev and V. V. Flambaum. To be posted at hep-th soon (2005).
 [10] G. 't Hooft and M.J.G. Veltman, Nucl.Phys. **B44**, 189 (1972).
 [11] B. Vijayalakshmi, M. Seetharaman, and P.M. Mathews, J.Phys.A **12**, 665 (1979).
 [12] A. A. Pomeransky and I. B. Khriplovich, JETP **86**, 839 (1998).
 [13] A. A. Pomeransky and R. A. Sen'kov, Phys. Lett. B **468**, 251 (1999).
 [14] A. A. Pomeransky, R. A. Sen'kov and I.B. Khriplovich, Phys.Usp. **43** 1055 (2000); Usp.Fiz.Nauk **43** 1129 (2000).
 [15] A. J. Silenko, Analysis of wave equations for spin 1 particles interacting with an electromagnetic field, hep-th/0404074.
 [16] W. I. Fushchych, A. G. Nikitin, and W. M. Susloparow. Nuovo Cimento **87**, 415 (1985).
 [17] W. I. Fushchych and A. G. Nikitin. *Symmetries of Equations of Quantum Mechanics*, N.Y. Allerton Press, 1994.
 [18] A. G. Sergheyev, Ukr. J. Phys. **42** 1171 (1997).
 [19] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of feilds, Volume II, Modern applications*, Cambridge, University Press, 2001.
 [20] V. B. Berestetsky, E. M. Lifshits, and L. P. Pitaevsky, *Quantum electrodynamics*, PergamonPress, 1982.
 [21] S. Eidelman et al., Phys. Lett. B 592, 1 (2004)
 [22] Numerically β can be estimated from two reference points $\alpha(m_\tau)$ and $\alpha(M_Z)$ provided in Ref.[21]; this procedure gives $\beta \approx 1.41(1)$, though for $\mu \gg m_Z$ there may exist corrections due to heavy particles. The normalization on the mass m_Z of the Z-boson in Eq.(23) means that we presume that $\alpha = \alpha(m_Z) \approx 1/128$.