

Affine Lie Algebras and S -Duality of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills Theory for ADE Gauge Groups on $K3$

Toru Sasaki

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan
x03001e@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We attempt to determine the partition function of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory for ADE gauge groups on $K3$ and investigate the relation with affine Lie algebras. In particular we describe eta functions, which compose $SU(N)$ partition function, by level N A_{N-1} theta functions. Moreover we find D, E theta functions, which satisfy the Montonen-Olive duality for D, E partition functions.

1 Introduction

This article is one of a series of our trials to determine $\mathcal{N} = 4$ *ADE* partition function on *K3* [9, 22, 23]. In this article we attempt to reveal the relation between $\mathcal{N} = 4$ *ADE* partition function on *K3* and affine Lie algebra. We provide the identity between eta function $1/\eta(\tau/N)$ and level N A_{N-1} theta functions over $\eta(\tau)^N$, $\theta_{A_{N-1}}^\beta(\tau)/\eta(\tau)^N$, which is a kind of blow-up formula[27, 12, 8]. We consider that $SU(N)$ partition function on *K3* is described by this $SU(N)$ blow-up formula and attempt to generalize this to *D, E* case.

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric theory is one of good laboratories of studying SUSY duality. In particular on 4-Kähler manifold, due to topological twisting we obtain twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory, which is a kind of topological field theory (so called Vafa-Witten theory)[25, 13]. Of course, twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory is not $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric theory on original 4-manifold itself, but is providing interesting topics associated with SUSY duality [2, 3, 6, 13, 14, 17, 28]. Typical properties of Vafa-Witten theory are summarized in the following Vafa-Witten conjectures (more detail explanation is done in the next section)[25, 14, 28].

(I) The partition function of twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory is the generating function of the Euler number of the instanton moduli space.

(II) This partition function satisfies Montonen-Olive duality (*S*-duality of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY)[18].

(III) Because of A-H-S dimension formula[1], the instanton moduli space of small instanton number must vanish and hence so the corresponding q terms of the partition function. This condition is called gap condition.

Each conjecture is challenging problem. However we ask how we can determine the partition function of twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory if the partition function satisfies above conjectures.

As for twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N)$ theory on some Kähler 4-manifolds, partition functions satisfying above conjectures were already derived [25, 17]. However there has been no trail to determine *ADE* partition function, although there was a conjecture for *ADE* gauge groups supporting the Montonen-Olive duality conjecture [26]. Here we attempt to determine $\mathcal{N} = 4$ partition function for *ADE* gauge group \mathcal{G}_r on *K3* so that it satisfies the Vafa-Witten conjecture. We had taken two approaches to this aim. The first approach is the straightforward generalization of the $SU(N)$ case. We found the identity $1/\eta(\tau/N) = \theta_{A_{N-1}}(\tau)/\eta(\tau)^N$ [8]. Here $1/\eta(\tau/N)$ is the building block of $SU(N)$ partition function on *K3* and $\theta_{A_{N-1}}(\tau)$ is a kind of A_{N-1} theta function. In [9] we generalized $\theta_{A_{N-1}}(\tau)/\eta(\tau)^N$ to $\theta_{\mathcal{G}_r}(\tau)/\eta(\tau)^{r+1}$ and constructed the \mathcal{G}_r partition function on *K3* so that it satisfies the Montonen-Olive duality. The second approach is to describe partition function by a kind of Hecke operator. In [22] we invented the deformed Hecke operator, which satisfies the Montonen-Olive duality automatically. We only had to determine the function so that the operated function satisfies the gap condition. These two approaches have both advantages and disadvantages, and do not provide complete partition function for all *ADE* gauge groups satisfying all Vafa-Witten conjectures. The first approach covers all *ADE* gauge groups, but its partition function does not satisfy the gap condition in general. The second approach can provide the partition function satisfying all Vafa-Witten conjectures, but cannot cover all *ADE* gauge groups.

In this article we take the first approach and search for the method of generalization

to D, E case. The starting point is the identity:

$$\frac{1}{\eta(\frac{\tau}{N})} = \frac{\theta_{A_{N-1}}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^N}, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\theta_{A_{N-1}}(\tau) = \sum_{\beta \in \frac{M^*}{NM}} n_\beta \theta_{A_{N-1}}^\beta(\tau), \exists n_\beta \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\theta_{A_{N-1}}^\beta(\tau)$ is a level N A_{N-1} theta function with weight vector $\beta \in \frac{M^*}{NM}$. M, M^* are root lattice and dual lattice respectively [10, 30]. This equality had already appeared in [8], where this formula is interpreted as $\mathcal{O}(-N)$ blow-up formula. Here $\mathcal{O}(-N)$ stands for $\mathcal{O}(-N)$ curve whose intersection number is $-N$. In the first half of this article, we verify this identity for small rank. This identity means that the partition function is described by blow-up formula using level N A_{N-1} theta functions. In the second half of this article, we introduce the analogue for D, E case, by using level $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|$ \mathcal{G}_r theta functions. Here $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ is center of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|$ is the number of elements of it. Taking account of the modular property of the \mathcal{G}_r partition function, which is the same as that of $SU(|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|)$ partition function, we search for the \mathcal{G}_r theta function by using level $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|$ \mathcal{G}_r theta functions whose modular property is the same as that of $\eta(\tau)^{r+1}/\eta(\tau/|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|)$. Once we determine the D, E theta function, it is straightforward to obtain the D, E partition functions on $K3$ satisfying Montonen-Olive duality.

The organization of this article is the following: In Sec.2 we review the Vafa-Witten theory and the Vafa-Witten conjecture for the twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory. In Sec.3 we review our previous works for determining $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition function on $K3$. In Sec.4 we provide the identity between $1/\eta(\tau/N)$ and level N A_{N-1} theta functions over $\eta(\tau)^N$. We also verify this identity for small rank. In Sec.5 we introduce \mathcal{G}_r theta function by using level $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|$ \mathcal{G}_r theta functions, whose modular property is the same as $\eta(\tau)^{r+1}/\eta(\tau/|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|)$. In Sec.6. we conclude and discuss the remaining problems.

2 Review of Vafa-Witten Theory

Vafa and Witten have first intended to test S -duality conjecture of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory, by determining the exact partition functions for the twisted theory [25]. Although $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory on 4-manifold is exactly finite and conformally invariant, it is still hard to determine the exact $\mathcal{N} = 4$ partition function itself. However the twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory is topological field theory [13], and its partition function can be determined exactly. In this context, Vafa and Witten investigated the mathematical results of the $SU(N)$ partition functions on simple Kähler 4-manifolds such as $K3, \mathbf{CP}^2, C\hat{\mathbf{P}}^2$ (blow-uped \mathbf{CP}^2) and ALE [25]. On the other hand, S -duality conjecture of (twisted) $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory is believed to be the one considered by Montonen and Olive [18]. This S -duality accompanies the exchange of gauge groups $\mathcal{G} \leftrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{G}}$. $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ is the dual of \mathcal{G} . If \mathcal{G} is ADE gauge group, $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ is given by $\hat{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$. Here $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ is the center of \mathcal{G} and $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|$ is the number of elements of $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$. To classify the theory for $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$, we introduce 't Hooft fluxes $v \in H^2(X, \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}})$. We point out that $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ with $v = 0$ is regarded as \mathcal{G} itself [9].

\mathcal{G}	$\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$	$ \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}} $
A_{N-1}	\mathbf{Z}_N	N
D_{2N}	$\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$	4
D_{2N+1}	\mathbf{Z}_4	4
$E_r, r = 6, 7, 8$	\mathbf{Z}_{9-r}	$9 - r$

Vafa-Witten Conjecture

In this part we provide the celebrated Vafa-Witten conjecture concerned with partition function of twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory on 4-manifold X [25, 14, 28]. Vafa and Witten pointed out that the partition function of twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory can have remarkably simple form, if X is a Kähler 4-manifold and vanishing theorem holds [25]. In this situation, its partition function is given by certain linear combination of the summation of the Euler number of the moduli space of the ASD equations. More precisely, for twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ theory on X with 't Hooft flux $v \in H^2(X, \Gamma_{\mathcal{G}})$, the partition function of this theory is given by

$$Z_v^X(\tau) := q^{-\frac{(r+1)\chi(X)}{24}} \sum_k \chi(\mathcal{M}(v, k)) q^k \quad (q := \exp(2\pi i \tau)), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathcal{M}(v, k)$ is the moduli space of ASD connections associated to $\mathcal{G}/\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}$ -principal bundle with 't Hooft flux v and fractional instanton number $k \in \frac{1}{2|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|}\mathbf{Z}$. In (2.1), τ is the gauge coupling constant including theta angle, $\chi(X)$ is Euler number of X and r is the rank of \mathcal{G} . The factor $q^{-\frac{(r+1)\chi(X)}{24}}$ is required by modular property like Dedekind's η function. On $K3$, $\chi(K3) = 24$ and the partition function has the leading term $q^{-(r+1)}$.

With this result, Vafa and Witten conjectured the behavior of the partition functions under the action of $SL(2, \mathbf{Z})$ on τ . They started with 't Hooft's work [24], where it was shown that the path integral with \mathbf{Z}_N -valued electric flux and that with magnetic flux are related by Fourier transform action. Vafa and Witten combined the conjecture of strong/weak duality with this 't Hooft's result. Their conjecture is summarized by the following formula:

$$Z_v^X\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = |\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|^{-\frac{b_2(X)}{2}} \left(\frac{\tau}{i}\right)^{-\frac{\chi(X)}{2}} \sum_u \zeta_{|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|}^{u \cdot v} Z_u^X(\tau), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\zeta_{|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|} = \exp(2\pi i/|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|)$ [25].

Hereafter we will concentrate on $X = K3$ case. We discuss the gap condition on $K3$. In $v = 0$ case $\mathcal{M}(0, k) =: \mathcal{M}_k^{\mathcal{G}}$ is the moduli space of irreducible ASD connections associated with \mathcal{G} -principal bundle with instanton number k . Its dimension $\dim \mathcal{M}_k^{\mathcal{G}}$ is given by Atiyah-Hitchin-Singer dimension formula [1]:

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_k^{\mathcal{G}} = 4h(\mathcal{G})(k - r) - 4r, \quad (2.3)$$

where $h(\mathcal{G})$ is the dual Coxeter number, $\dim \mathcal{G}$ is the dimension of \mathcal{G} and k is the instanton number. $\dim \mathcal{M}_k^{\mathcal{G}} \geq 0$ stands for $k \geq r + 1$. That is, the moduli space of irreducible ASD connections with ADE gauge group \mathcal{G} on $K3$ exist only for $k \geq r + 1$ except for the

$k = 0$ case. Thus the \mathcal{G} partition function cannot have $q^{k-(r+1)}$ terms for $1 \leq k \leq r$. This condition is called gap condition [25, 16, 17, 3, 9].

Finally we provide $SU(N)$ partition function on $K3$ in prime N case satisfying all Vafa-Witten conjectures [25],

$$Z_{SU(N)}(\tau) = \frac{1}{N^3} \frac{1}{\eta(N\tau)^{24}} + \frac{1}{N^2} \left(\frac{1}{\eta(\frac{\tau}{N})^{24}} + \frac{1}{\eta(\frac{\tau+1}{N})^{24}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\eta(\frac{\tau+N-1}{N})^{24}} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

3 Our Previous Works

$K3$ has many special properties. One is its orbifold construction. $K3$ can be constructed by the following processes. First we divide 4-torus T^4 by \mathbf{Z}_2 and obtain quotient space $T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2 =: S_0$. Next we blow up its sixteen singularities by $\mathcal{O}(-2)$ curve and obtain smooth Kähler surface $K3$. $K3$ has the following data [4]:

$$\chi(K3) = 24, K_{K3} = \mathcal{O}, \text{Intersection form of } K3 \text{ is } (-E_8)^{\oplus 2} \oplus H^{\oplus 3}. \quad (3.1)$$

Here K_{K3} is canonical bundle of $K3$ and \mathcal{O} stands for trivial.

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We reproduced the above geometrical processes in the partition function level for $SU(N)$ [7, 8], where it is shown that the $SU(N)$ partition function on $K3$ has a sum of the product of two factors. The first one is the contribution from S_0 and is described by Hecke transformation of order N of $1/\eta^8(\tau)$. The second one is the contribution obtained from blowing up sixteen singularities and is given by the blow-up formula [27, 12, 25]. These two factors are summed up so that the resulting partition function satisfies Montonen-Olive duality. Our partition function is consistent to the fact that $SU(N)$ partition function on $K3$ is the Hecke transformation of order N of $1/\eta^{24}(\tau)$ [25, 17, 29, 14, 19, 20]. Key point of this verification is that eta function $\eta(\tau/N)$ can be interpreted as blow-up formula which is typically $\theta(\tau)/\eta^m(\tau)$ [27, 12]. Fortunately there are beautiful identities [8]:

$$\frac{1}{\eta(\frac{\tau}{N})} = \frac{\theta_{A_{N-1}}(\tau)}{\eta^N(\tau)}, \quad (3.2)$$

which are already verified by celebrated denominator identity [10, 15].

In the next work, we tried to determine the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition function on $K3$ [9]. Using the generalization of (3.2) to the ADE case, we define the ADE blow-up formula:

$$\frac{\theta_{\mathcal{G}_r}(\tau)}{\eta^{r+1}(\tau)} = \text{eta product}, \quad (3.3)$$

where we note that these blow-up formula also have the form of eta product and this fact is also verified by denominator identity [10, 15]. To construct $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition function on $K3$, which satisfies the duality conjecture, we introduce 24-th power of (3.3) and call it primary function. First we generate a set of functions, by modular transformation of the primary function (adding $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 1/m$ transformation in some cases). Next we

request Montonen-Olive duality to appropriate liner combination of these functions. Since it is difficult to produce the partition functions directly from these functions, we use the following observation. By taking a subset of the functions, appropriate liner combination of functions of this subset has the same modular property that a piece of $SU(N)$ partition function has [9]. Here \mathcal{G}_r and $SU(N)$ have the same Montonen-Olive duality. We call a piece of $SU(N)$ partition functions as $G_j(\tau)$ and appropriate liner combination of functions of this subset as $\tilde{G}_j(\tau)$. Then modular property of $G_j(\tau)$ and $\tilde{G}_j(\tau)$ is completely the same. For $\tilde{G}_j(\tau)$, we use the same coefficient as that of $G_j(\tau)$ in $Z_t(\tau)$ or $Z_{SU(N)/\mathbf{Z}_N}(\tau)$. Finally we obtain the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition function satisfying Montonen-Olive duality.

Here we explain why it is reliable to adopt ADE blow-up formula to construct $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition on $K3$. There is a stringy picture:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{IIA on } K3 \times T^2 \times ALE_{ADE} & \leftrightarrow & \text{Hetero on } T^4 \times T^2 \times ALE_{ADE} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{N} = 4 \text{ } ADE \text{ on } K3 & \leftrightarrow & (\mathcal{N} = 4 \text{ } U(1) \text{ on } ALE_{ADE})^{\otimes 24}
\end{array}$$

First line is IIA/Hetero duality [5]. On the IIA side, by compactifying $T^2 \times ALE_{ADE}$, we obtain $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE on $K3$. On the Hetero side, by compactifying $T^4 \times T^2$, we obtain $(\mathcal{N} = 4 \text{ } U(1) \text{ on } ALE_{ADE})^{\otimes 24}$ [9]. The origin of $\otimes 24$ is explained by the compactification of $K3 \times T^2$ in IIA side. Thus each side of the second line is equivalent and their partition functions in both side are the same. On the other hand, the partition function of $\mathcal{N} = 4 \text{ } U(1)$ on ALE_{ADE} was already obtained by Nakajima [21] and his results are very similar to ours in (3.3). Thus we adopt 24-th power of (3.3) as a piece of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE partition function on $K3$.

Unfortunately this approach has serious problem. In fact, these partition functions for D, E do not satisfy the gap condition. To resolve this problem, we took another approach. In [22] we invent the deformed Hecke operator, which is the deformed version of Hecke operator with the dependence of the 't Hooft flux. This operator satisfies the Montonen-Olive duality automatically. Thus we only had to find the function to operate so that D, E partition function satisfies the gap condition. At last, we could determine the D, E partition functions, which satisfy the Montonen-Olive duality and the gap condition at the same time. However we could not cover the all ADE gauge groups. In [23] we considered the self-dualized D, E partition function, which consists of D, E part and $U(1)$ part. We also determined the self-dualized D, E partition function so that this function satisfy the gap condition, but is unsuccessful in covering the all D, E gauge groups in the same way as in [22].

To cover the all D, E gauge groups and construct general formulas of all gauge groups, we will return to the approach of ADE blow-up formula. Of course we will have a slight difference from the case in [9]. In the next section we will propose another approach of ADE blow-up formula.

4 A_{N-1} Blow-up Formula

In [8] we found the A_{N-1} blow-up formula

$$\frac{1}{\eta(\frac{\tau}{N})} = \frac{\theta_{A_{N-1}}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^N}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\theta_{A_{N-1}}(\tau) = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}^{N-1}} q^{\frac{1}{2}t(m + \frac{\rho}{N})_{A_{N-1}}(m + \frac{\rho}{N})}, \quad (4.2)$$

where A_{N-1} is Cartan Matrix and ρ is the half sum of positive roots [10, 15, 9, 30]. In [9] we obtained the analogue for D, E case by generalizing (4.1) and produced D, E partition function on $K3$ by using this so that the partition function satisfies Montonen-Olive duality. Although theta function of (4.2) itself has unfamiliar expression, it might be written by familiar theta functions as follows:

Conjecture 1 For odd prime N ,

$$\frac{1}{\eta(\frac{\tau}{N})} = \frac{\theta_{A_{N-1}}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^N}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\theta_{A_{N-1}}(\tau) = \sum_{\beta \in \frac{M^*}{NM}} n_\beta \theta_{A_{N-1}}^\beta(\tau), \exists n_\beta \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\theta_{A_{N-1}}^\beta(\tau)$ is a level N A_{N-1} theta function with weight vector $\beta \in \frac{M^*}{NM}$. M, M^* are root lattice and dual lattice respectively [10, 30].

Let us verify this conjecture for small rank. Because we only need the function in τ , we set $t = 0, z = 1$ for theta functions in [10, 30].

4.1 Proof of Conjecture 1 for Small Rank

To verify the conjecture 1, we introduce a set of theta functions $\{\Theta_{A_{N-1}}^{d,b}(\tau)\}$:

$$\frac{1}{\eta(\frac{a\tau+b}{d})} =: \frac{\Theta_{A_{N-1}}^{d,b}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^N}, (a, b, d \in \mathbf{Z}, ad = N, b < d). \quad (4.5)$$

By using $\Theta_{A_{N-1}}^{d,b}(\tau)$, we conjecture again

Conjecture 2 For odd prime N ,

$$\Theta_{A_{N-1}}^{d,b}(\tau) = \sum_{\beta \in \frac{M^*}{NM}} n_\beta^{d,b} \zeta_{24}^{k_1} \zeta_{N^2}^{k_2} \theta_{A_{N-1}}^\beta(\tau), \exists n_\beta^{d,b} \in \mathbf{Z}, \exists k_i \in \mathbf{Z}, \quad (4.6)$$

where $\zeta_n = \exp(2\pi i/n)$.

To prove the identity between two modular forms, one only needs to check the following two properties: q -expansion and modular property [30]. For $N = 3, 5, 7$ the first step has already done in [9]. We will verify the second step mainly. For this purpose we cite the modular property of theta functions [10, 30].

Theorem 1 (Kac [10]) For level l and rank r \mathcal{G}_r ,

$$\theta_{\mathcal{G}_r}^\beta\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \left|\frac{M^*}{lM}\right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (-i\tau)^{\frac{r}{2}} \sum_{\gamma \in \frac{M^*}{lM}} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i\beta \cdot \gamma}{l}\right) \theta_{\mathcal{G}_r}^\gamma(\tau), \quad (4.7)$$

where $\left|\frac{M^*}{lM}\right|$ is the number of elements of $\frac{M^*}{lM}$.

In the case of $l = N$, $\mathcal{G}_r = A_{N-1}$, $\left|\frac{M^*}{lM}\right| = N^N$. To treat this formula more easily, we decompose N^N weight vectors $\{\beta \in \frac{M^*}{NM}\}$ into each Weyl orbit as follows:

$$\{\beta\} = \{w(\lambda_0)\} \oplus \{w(\lambda_1)\} \oplus \cdots \oplus \{w(\lambda_m)\}, \quad (4.8)$$

where w is Weyl transformations [10, 30]. It is well-known that there are independent orbits less than N^N weight vectors for level N A_{N-1} theta functions. For each orbit $\{w(\lambda_j)\}$ one can investigate the modular property and verify the second step. Here we show the $N = 3, 5$ case explicitly. Before moving to explicit verification, we introduce the following notation for $\theta_{A_{N-1}}^\beta(\tau)$:

$$\theta_{A_{N-1}}^\beta(\tau) := \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}^{N-1}} q^{\frac{N}{2}t(m + \frac{1}{N}A_{N-1}^{-1}\beta)A_{N-1}(m + \frac{1}{N}A_{N-1}^{-1}\beta)}, \quad t\beta = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{N-1}), b_i \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (4.9)$$

A_2

For level 3 A_2 theta functions, there are 6 Weyl orbits(see appendix). By comparing q -expansion of these orbits with that of $\Theta_{A_2}^{d,b}(\tau)$, we can introduce

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{A_2}^{1,0}(\tau) := \theta_{A_2}^{\lambda_0} - \theta_{A_2}^{\lambda_1^3}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{A_2}^{3,b}(\tau) := \zeta_{24}^{-b} \zeta_9^b (\theta_{A_2}^{\lambda_1^1} + \zeta_3^b \theta_{A_2}^{\lambda_1^2} + \zeta_3^{2b} \theta_{A_2}^{\lambda_1^4}), \quad b = 0, 1, 2, \quad (4.11)$$

where $\zeta_n = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{n})$. We introduce $\tilde{\Theta}_{A_2}^{d,b}(\tau)$ whose q -expansion is the same as that of $\Theta_{A_2}^{d,b}(\tau)$. On the other hands we can also investigate modular property of these theta functions by using (4.6). We obtain

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{A_2}^{1,0}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = (-i\tau)\sqrt{3}\tilde{\Theta}_{A_2}^{3,0}(\tau), \quad (4.12)$$

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{A_2}^{3,1}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = (-i\tau)\zeta_{24}\tilde{\Theta}_{A_2}^{3,2}(\tau). \quad (4.13)$$

This modular property is the same as that of $\Theta_{A_2}^{d,b}(\tau)$. Thus we conclude the equivalence between $\Theta_{A_2}^{d,b}(\tau)$ and $\tilde{\Theta}_{A_2}^{d,b}(\tau)$ completely.

A_4

For level 5 A_4 theta functions, there are 66 Weyl orbits. By comparing q -expansion of these orbits with that of $\Theta_{A_4}^{d,b}(\tau)$, we can introduce

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{A_4}^{1,0}(\tau) := \theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_0} + 2\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_1^5} + 2\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_1^{10}} - 5\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_9}, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Theta}_{A_4}^{5,b}(\tau) := & \zeta_{24}^{-b}(5\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_9} \\ & + \zeta_5^b(\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_6^1} + 2\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_{10}^1} + 2\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_{11}^2}) \\ & + \zeta_5^{2b}(\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_8^2} + 2\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_7^2} + 2\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_{12}^2}) \\ & + \zeta_5^{3b}(\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_8^1} + 2\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_7^1} + 2\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_{12}^1}) \\ & + \zeta_5^{4b}(\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_6^2} + 2\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_{10}^2} + 2\theta_{A_4}^{\lambda_{11}^1})), b = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

We introduce $\tilde{\Theta}_{A_4}^{d,b}(\tau)$ whose q -expansion is the same as that of $\Theta_{A_4}^{d,b}(\tau)$. On the other hands we can also investigate modular property of these theta functions by using (4.6). We obtain

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{A_4}^{1,0}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = (-i\tau)^2 \sqrt{5} \tilde{\Theta}_{A_4}^{5,0}(\tau), \quad (4.16)$$

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{A_4}^{5,1}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = (-i\tau)^2 \zeta_8 \tilde{\Theta}_{A_4}^{5,4}(\tau), \quad (4.17)$$

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{A_4}^{5,b}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = (-i\tau)^2 \tilde{\Theta}_{A_4}^{5,b}(\tau), b = 2, 3. \quad (4.18)$$

This modular property is the same as that of $\Theta_{A_4}^{d,b}(\tau)$. Thus we conclude the equivalence between $\Theta_{A_4}^{d,b}(\tau)$ and $\tilde{\Theta}_{A_4}^{d,b}(\tau)$ completely.

4.2 Even N case

Unfortunately the conjecture 1(2) cannot be valid in the even N case because level N A_{N-1} theta functions do not have the corresponding q -expansion. Thus we generalize the conjecture 2 to

Conjecture 3

$$(\Theta_{A_{N-1}}^{d,b}(\tau))^m = \sum_{\{\beta\} \in (\frac{M^*}{NM})^m} n_{\{\beta\}}^{d,b} \zeta_{24}^{k_1} \zeta_{2N^2}^{k_2} \theta_{A_{N-1}}^{\beta_1}(\tau) \theta_{A_{N-1}}^{\beta_2}(\tau) \cdots \theta_{A_{N-1}}^{\beta_m}(\tau), \exists n_{\{\beta\}}^{d,b} \in \mathbf{Z}, \exists k_i \in \mathbf{Z}, \exists m \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (4.19)$$

We have done the verification of conjecture 3 of q -expansion level in $N = 2, 4, 6$. We will show that of modular property in $N = 2, 4$ in the same way as odd prime N case.

A_1

For level 2 A_1 theta functions, there are 3 Weyl orbits:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t\lambda_0 &= 0, \\ {}^t\lambda_1^k &= k, k = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

By comparing q -expansion of these orbits with that of $(\Theta_{A_1}^{d,b}(\tau))^2$, we can introduce

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_1}^{1,0}(\tau))^2 := \theta_{A_1}^{\lambda_1}(\theta_{A_1}^{\lambda_0} + \theta_{A_1}^{\lambda_1^2}), \quad (4.21)$$

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_1}^{2,b}(\tau))^2 := \frac{1}{2}\zeta_6^{-b}\zeta_4^b\theta_{A_1}^{\lambda_1}(\theta_{A_1}^{\lambda_0} + (-1)^b\theta_{A_1}^{\lambda_1^2}), b = 0, 1. \quad (4.22)$$

We define $(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_1}^{d,b}(\tau))^2$ whose q -expansion is the same as that of $(\Theta_{A_1}^{d,b}(\tau))^2$. Prefactor $\frac{1}{2}$ of (4.22) is a generalization of conjecture 3. On the other hands we can also investigate modular property of these theta functions by using (4.6). We obtain

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_1}^{1,0}(-\frac{1}{\tau}))^2 = (-i\tau)2(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_1}^{2,0}(\tau))^2, \quad (4.23)$$

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_1}^{2,1}(-\frac{1}{\tau}))^2 = (-i\tau)(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_1}^{2,1}(\tau))^2. \quad (4.24)$$

This modular property is the same as that of $(\Theta_{A_1}^{d,b}(\tau))^2$. Thus we conclude the equivalence between $(\Theta_{A_1}^{d,b}(\tau))^2$ and $(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_1}^{d,b}(\tau))^2$ completely.

A_3

For level 4 A_3 theta functions, there are 22 Weyl orbits. By comparing q -expansion of these orbits with that of $(\Theta_{A_3}^{d,b}(\tau))^m$, we find that $m = 3$ is the least case of conjecture 3. We introduce

$$\begin{aligned} &(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{1,0}(\tau))^3 \\ &:= (\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0})^3 + 8(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4^2})^3 - (\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^8})^3 + (\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0})^2\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^8} + 24\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0}(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4^2})^2 - \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0}(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^8})^2 \\ &\quad - 24\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4^2}(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^2})^2 - 8\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0}(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^4})^2 - 12\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0}(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^2})^2 + 12\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^8}(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^2})^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{2,0}(\tau))^3 \\ &:= (\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0})^2\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^2} - 12(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0})^2\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^6} - 12(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4^2})^2\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^2} - 6\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7}(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^3})^2 - 10(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^1})^2\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^3} + 2(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^3})^2\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^1} \\ &\quad + 20\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^1}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^3} + 36\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4^2}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} + 13\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^3}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4^1} - \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4^2}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^6} + 12\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^1}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} - 37\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^8}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4^1} \\ &\quad + \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^8}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^1}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} + \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^8}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^3}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} + (\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7})^3, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{2,1}(\tau))^3 \\ &:= \zeta_{16}^{-1}(-8\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^1}(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7})^2 + 64(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0})^2\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^2} - 16\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7}(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^3})^2 - 14(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^1})^2\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^3} - 82(\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^3})^2\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^1} + 80\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^8}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^3}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4^1} \\ &\quad + 24\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^1}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^3} - 63\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^1}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4^1} + 8\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4^2}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} - \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^3}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4^1} + 16\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^8}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^1}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4^1} + \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^8}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^1}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} \\ &\quad - 16\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^8}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} - \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1^8}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2^3}\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} + (\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7})^3), \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{4,b}(\tau))^3 \\
& := (-1)^b \zeta_{32}^{15b} \left((-10\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} + \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} + 34\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} + 2\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} + 10\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} + \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \right. \\
& + 58\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} - 47\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} + 3\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} + 3\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} + 24\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} - 32\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \\
& + 43\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} + 13\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} + 4\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} - 44\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} + 9\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0} + 56\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} \left. \right) \\
& + \zeta_4^b (62\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} - 172\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0} + \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} - 319\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} + 252\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} + 79\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \\
& + 71\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} + 6\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} + 103\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} + \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} + 204\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} + 261\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \\
& + 66\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} - 143\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} + 3\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} + 103\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} - 122\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} - 212\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \\
& - 62\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} + 126\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} + 134\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} - 314\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \left. \right) \\
& + \zeta_4^{2b} (-62\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} + \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} - 15\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} + 3\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} + 3\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} + 2\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \\
& - 11\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} + 41\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} + 106\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} + 68\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} - 24\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} - 23\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} \\
& + 4\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} + 12\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} - 8\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} + \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} + \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} + 8\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \\
& - 23\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} - 10\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} + 54\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \left. \right) \\
& + \zeta_4^{3b} (-14\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} - 16\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} + 58\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} + 44\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} - 5\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} + 3\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_8} \\
& - 63\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} + 62\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} + 19\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} + 19\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} + 2\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0} - 26\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \\
& + 14\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} + 4\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} + 9\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0} - 24\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0} + 2\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0} + \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \\
& + \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_0} + 44\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_7} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_4} - 6\theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_6} \theta_{A_3}^{\lambda_3} \left. \right), b = 0, 1, 2, 3. \tag{4.28}
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce $(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{d,b}(\tau))^3$ whose q -expansion is the same as that of $(\Theta_{A_3}^{d,b}(\tau))^3$. Note that the expression of (4.24)...(4.27) has an ambiguity because of the identities between theta functions. On the other hands we can also investigate modular property of these theta functions by using (4.6). We obtain

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{1,0}(-\frac{1}{\tau}))^3 = (-i\tau)^{\frac{9}{2}} 2^3 (\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{4,0}(\tau))^3, \tag{4.29}$$

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{2,0}(-\frac{1}{\tau}))^3 = (-i\tau)^{\frac{9}{2}} (\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{2,0}(\tau))^3, \tag{4.30}$$

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{2,1}(-\frac{1}{\tau}))^3 = (-i\tau)^{\frac{9}{2}} 2\sqrt{2} (\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{4,2}(\tau))^3, \tag{4.31}$$

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{4,1}(-\frac{1}{\tau}))^3 = (-i\tau)^{\frac{9}{2}} \zeta_4 (\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{4,3}(\tau))^3. \tag{4.32}$$

This modular property is the same as that of $(\Theta_{A_3}^{d,b}(\tau))^3$. Thus we conclude the equivalence between $(\Theta_{A_3}^{d,b}(\tau))^3$ and $(\tilde{\Theta}_{A_3}^{d,b}(\tau))^3$ completely. Similarly we have checked $m = 4$ case in q -expansion level. Moreover we assume that $m = 5$ case is also valid in consideration of leading q -terms.

In this section we described the theta function $\theta_{A_{N-1}}(\tau)$, which comes from the denominator identity [15, 10, 8], by level N A_{N-1} theta functions. $\theta_{A_{N-1}}(\tau)$ itself has no

direct connection with the A_{N-1} character. However we consider that level N A_{N-1} theta functions can have connection with the A_{N-1} character. Here in A_1 case we will describe the theta function $\theta_{A_1}(\tau)$ written by level 2 A_1 theta functions in terms of the A_1 character as follows. First we provide the A_1 character $\chi_{\lambda_1^1}(\tau)$ described by level 2 A_1 theta functions and eta functions:

$$\chi_{\lambda_1^1}(\tau) = \frac{\eta(2\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^2} \theta_{A_1}^{\lambda_1^1}(\tau) \quad (4.33)$$

$$= \frac{\theta_{A_1}^{\lambda_1^1}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^2} \eta(2\tau), \quad (4.34)$$

where $\chi_{\lambda_1^1}(\tau)$ is the Weyl-Kac character formula associated to weight vector λ_1^1 [10, 11, 30, 31]. We remark that the factor $\frac{\eta(2\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^2} = c_{\lambda_1^1}^{\lambda_1^1}(\tau)$ in (4.33) is called the string function through λ_1^1 . In general the character can be written by string functions and theta functions [10, 30]. From (4.34) and the modular transformation of it, we obtain

$$\frac{\theta_{A_1}^{\lambda_1^1}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^2} = \frac{\chi_{\lambda_1^1}(\tau)}{\eta(2\tau)}, \quad (4.35)$$

$$\frac{\theta_{A_1}^{\lambda_0}(\tau) + \theta_{A_1}^{\lambda_1^2}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}(\chi_{\lambda_0}(\tau) + \chi_{\lambda_1^2}(\tau))}{\eta(\frac{\tau+1}{2})}. \quad (4.36)$$

Finally by combining (4.22) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\eta(\frac{\tau}{2})^2} = \frac{\theta_{A_1}(\tau)^2}{\eta(\tau)^4} = \frac{\chi_{\lambda_1^1}(\tau)(\chi_{\lambda_0}(\tau) + \chi_{\lambda_1^2}(\tau))}{\sqrt{2}\eta(2\tau)\eta(\frac{\tau+1}{2})}. \quad (4.37)$$

5 D, E Case

In the previous section we considered A_{N-1} blow-up formula described by level N A_{N-1} theta functions. We generalize this formula to that for ADE case described by level $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|$ \mathcal{G}_r theta functions. Level $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|$ comes from Montonen-Olive duality associated with $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills for \mathcal{G}_r . More precisely we introduce an analogue of A_{N-1} blow-up formula in the previous section by combining

$$\frac{\theta_{\mathcal{G}_r}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^{r+1}}. \quad (5.1)$$

Since the partition function of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills for \mathcal{G}_r has the same modular property as $SU(|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|)$, we introduce the following formula for ADE case

$$\frac{\tilde{\Theta}_{\mathcal{G}_r}^{d,b}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^{r+1}}, \quad (5.2)$$

where $\tilde{\Theta}_{\mathcal{G}_r}^{d,b}(\tau)$ has the same modular property as

$$\frac{\eta(\tau)^{r+1}}{\eta(\frac{a\tau+b}{d})}, \quad (ad = |\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|, b < d, a, b, d \in \mathbf{Z}). \quad (5.3)$$

5.1 D_{2n}

D_{2n} has center $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$. However the tensor structure of the center is not suitable for modular property of D_{2n} partition function. As in [9], we consider the reduction $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$. Thus we consider theta function of modular property of $\frac{\eta(\tau)^{n+1}}{\eta(\frac{\tau}{2})}$ by using level 2 D_{2n} theta functions.

D_2

It is well-known that $D_2 = A_1 \oplus A_1$. On the other hand this algebra has already been considered in Sec.4.2 as a part of A_1 .

D_4

For level 2 D_4 theta functions, there are 4 Weyl orbits. After some calculations, we find

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{D_4}^{1,0}(\tau) := \theta_{D_4}^{\lambda_0} - \theta_{D_4}^{\lambda_1^2}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{D_4}^{2,b}(\tau) := 2\zeta_{24}^{-5b} \zeta_4^b (\theta_{D_4}^{\lambda_1^1} + (-1)^b 2\theta_{D_4}^{\lambda_3}), b = 0, 1. \quad (5.5)$$

Modular property of these theta functions are given by

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{D_4}^{1,0}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = (-i\tau)^2 2\tilde{\Theta}_{D_4}^{2,0}(\tau), \quad (5.6)$$

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{D_4}^{2,1}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = (-i\tau)^2 \tilde{\Theta}_{D_4}^{2,1}(\tau). \quad (5.7)$$

This modular property is the same as that in (4.23) and (4.24) except for $-i\tau$ factors.

5.2 D_{2n+1}

D_{2n+1} has center \mathbf{Z}_4 . We consider theta function of modular property of $\frac{\eta(\tau)^{n+1}}{\eta(\frac{\tau}{4})}$ by using level 4 D_{2n+1} theta functions.

D_3

It is well-known that $D_3 = A_3$. Thus we have already considered D_3 case in Sec.4.2.

D_5

For level 4 D_5 theta functions, there are 39 Weyl orbits. After some trials, we find that there is identity between $\frac{\eta(\tau)^6}{\eta(\frac{\tau}{4})}$ and level 4 D_5 theta functions like A_3 case. We define $\Theta_{D_5}^{d,b}(\tau)$ as

$$\frac{1}{\eta\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{d}\right)} =: \frac{\Theta_{D_5}^{d,b}(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)^6}, (ad = 4, b < d, a, b, d \in \mathbf{Z}). \quad (5.8)$$

By comparing q-expansion of $(\Theta_{D_5}^{d,b}(\tau))^3$ with level 4 D_5 theta functions, we can introduce

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\Theta}_{D_5}^{1,0}(\tau))^3 \\ & := 8\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_0} \theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_2} \theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_4} - 64\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{13}} \theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{26}} + 128\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_5} \theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_0} \theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_6} - 64\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}^2} \theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_1^3} \theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_9^1} + 80\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_1^2} \theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_5^1} \theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{27}} - 96\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_1^2} \theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^2} \theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_1^1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +1729(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} - 215(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} - 1265(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} + 3(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}} + 3\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3})^2 \\
& +4\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}})^2 + 10\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}})^2 + 23\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3})^2 + 33\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}})^2 + (\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}} \\
& -1042(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3} + 100(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}} - 43(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3} + 9(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{19}} + 62(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{19}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}} \\
& +\zeta_4^{2b}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}))^2 - 41\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}} + 33\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3} - 50\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} - 48\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} \\
& -51\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} + 30\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3} + 3\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}} + 23\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} - 107\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{19}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} \\
& +3\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}} - 6\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} + 19\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3} + 1329\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}} + 26\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{19}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}} \\
& -82\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{19}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} + 119\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} - 1742\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} - 297\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} + 1149\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}} \\
& -904\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3} + 22\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3} + 9\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3})^2 + 1729(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} - 215(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} \\
& -1265(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} + 3(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}} + 3\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3})^2 + 33\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}})^2 + (\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}} \\
& +140(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} + 60\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3})^2 + 45\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3})^2 - 47\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3})^2 + 3\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3})^2 - 19(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} \\
& +\zeta_4^{3b}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3}))^2 - 28\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{19}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3} - 18\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{19}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} - 17\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} + 61\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} \\
& -7\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} + 13\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}} + 160\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} - 75\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}} + 3\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} \\
& +9\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} + 4\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} + 9\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}} + 17\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} - 3\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} \\
& +1329\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}} + 26\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{19}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}} - 82\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{19}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} + 119\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} - 1742\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} \\
& -297\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} + 1149\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}} - 904\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3} + 22\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{18}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}}\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3} - 7\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3})^2 \\
& -56\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}})^2 + 1729(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3} - 215(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{20}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} - 1265(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{23}} + 3(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}} \\
& +3\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3})^2 + 33\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{22}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}})^2 + (\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{14}^3})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{15}} + 3\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3})^2 + 47\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{19}}(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3})^2 \\
& +4(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{17}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3} - 120(\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{21}})^2\theta_{D_5}^{\lambda_{16}^3}), b = 0, 1, 2, 3, \tag{5.12}
\end{aligned}$$

where q-expansion of $(\tilde{\Theta}_{D_5}^{d,b}(\tau))^3$ is the same as that of $(\Theta_{D_5}^{d,b}(\tau))^3$. In the same way as A_3 , the expression (4.25)...(4.28) has an ambiguity because of the identities between theta functions. On the other hands we can also investigate modular property of these theta functions by using (4.6). We obtain

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{D_5}^{1,0}(-\frac{1}{\tau}))^3 = (-i\tau)^{\frac{15}{2}} 2^3 (\tilde{\Theta}_{D_5}^{4,0}(\tau))^3, \tag{5.13}$$

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{D_5}^{2,0}(-\frac{1}{\tau}))^3 = (-i\tau)^{\frac{15}{2}} (\tilde{\Theta}_{D_5}^{2,0}(\tau))^3, \tag{5.14}$$

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{D_5}^{2,1}(-\frac{1}{\tau}))^3 = (-i\tau)^{\frac{15}{2}} 2\sqrt{2}(\tilde{\Theta}_{D_5}^{4,2}(\tau))^3, \tag{5.15}$$

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{D_5}^{4,1}(-\frac{1}{\tau}))^3 = (-i\tau)^{\frac{15}{2}} \zeta_4(\tilde{\Theta}_{D_5}^{4,3}(\tau))^3. \tag{5.16}$$

This modular property is the same as that of $(\Theta_{D_5}^{d,b}(\tau))^3$. Thus we conclude the equivalence between $(\Theta_{D_5}^{d,b}(\tau))^3$ and $(\tilde{\Theta}_{D_5}^{d,b}(\tau))^3$ completely.

5.3 E_n

E_6, E_7 and E_8 have center $\mathbf{Z}_3, \mathbf{Z}_2$ and \mathbf{Z}_1 , respectively, and the corresponding $SU(N)$ theories are $SU(3), SU(2)$ and $U(1)$. We search for theta functions of the same modular property as $\frac{\eta(\tau)^{r+1}}{\eta(\frac{\tau}{|\Gamma_{E_r}|})}$ by using level $|\Gamma_{E_r}|$ E_r theta functions.

E_6

For level 3 E_6 theta functions, there are 11 Weyl orbits. By imitating A_2 case, we introduce

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{E_6}^{1,0}(\tau) := \theta_{E_6}^{\lambda_0} - \theta_{E_6}^{\lambda_1^3}, \quad (5.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Theta}_{E_6}^{3,b}(\tau) &:= \zeta_{24}^{-7b} \zeta_9^{2b} ((\theta_{E_6}^{\lambda_1^1} + 8\theta_{E_6}^{\lambda_2^2}) + \zeta_3^b (\theta_{E_6}^{\lambda_1^4} + 8\theta_{E_6}^{\lambda_2^1}) + \zeta_3^{2b} (\theta_{E_6}^{\lambda_1^2} + 8\theta_{E_6}^{\lambda_2^4})), \\ &b = 0, 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

Modular property of this theta function is given by

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{E_6}^{1,0}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = (-i\tau)^3 \sqrt{3} \tilde{\Theta}_{E_6}^{3,0}(\tau), \quad (5.19)$$

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{E_6}^{3,1}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = (-i\tau)^3 \zeta_{24} \tilde{\Theta}_{E_6}^{3,2}(\tau). \quad (5.20)$$

One find that this modular property is the same as that of (4.12) and (4.13) except for $-i\tau$ factors.

E_7

For level 2 E_7 theta functions, there are 6 Weyl orbits. In the same way as A_1 case, we consider $(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{d,b}(\tau))^2$.

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{1,0}(\tau))^2 := (\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_0})^2 - (\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_3^2})^2 - (\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_1^1})^2 + (\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_2^2})^2 - 2\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_0} \theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_2} + 2\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_3^2}, \quad (5.21)$$

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,b}(\tau))^2 := 32 \zeta_3^{-2b} \zeta_8^{7b} ((\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_1^1} \theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_3^1} + \theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_2^2} \theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_4^4}) + (-1)^b (\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_1^1} \theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_4^4} + \theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_2^2} \theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_3^1})). \quad (5.22)$$

Modular property of these theta functions are given by

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{1,0}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right))^2 = (-i\tau)^{\frac{7}{2}} 2 (\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,0}(\tau))^2, \quad (5.23)$$

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,1}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right))^2 = (-i\tau)^{\frac{7}{2}} (\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,1}(\tau))^2. \quad (5.24)$$

We also find another set of theta functions

$$\begin{aligned} &(\tilde{\tilde{\Theta}}_{E_7}^{1,0}(\tau))^2 \\ &:= (\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_0})^2 - (\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_3^2})^2 - 3969(\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_1^1})^2 + 3969(\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_2^2})^2 + 126\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_0} \theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_2} - 126\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_1} \theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_3^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,b}(\tau))^2 := 32\zeta_3^{-2b}\zeta_8^{7b}((7\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_0}\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_3^1} - 9\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_3^2}\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_4}) + (-1)^b(7\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_3^1}\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_3^2} - 9\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_0}\theta_{E_7}^{\lambda_4})). \quad (5.26)$$

Modular property of these theta function is also given by

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{1,0}(-\frac{1}{\tau}))^2 = (-i\tau)^{\frac{7}{2}}2(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,0}(\tau))^2, \quad (5.27)$$

$$(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,1}(-\frac{1}{\tau}))^2 = (-i\tau)^{\frac{7}{2}}(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,1}(\tau))^2. \quad (5.28)$$

We find two sets of theta functions, which transform in the same way as $(\Theta_{A_1}^{d,b}(\tau))^2$. To find these sets we find self-dual theta function $(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,1}(\tau))^2$ $((\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,1}(\tau))^2)$ at first. Next we obtain $(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,0}(\tau))^2$ $((\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,0}(\tau))^2)$ by translating this. Finally we obtain $(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{1,0}(\tau))^2$ $((\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{1,0}(\tau))^2)$ by modular transformation of $(\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,0}(\tau))^2$ $((\tilde{\Theta}_{E_7}^{2,0}(\tau))^2)$.

E_8

For level one E_8 theta function, there is only one orbit,

$${}^t\lambda_0 = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0). \quad (5.29)$$

We consider

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{E_8}^{1,0}(\tau) := \theta_{E_8}^{\lambda_0}. \quad (5.30)$$

This transforms as

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{E_8}^{1,0}(-\frac{1}{\tau}) = (-i\tau)^4\tilde{\Theta}_{E_8}^{1,0}(\tau). \quad (5.31)$$

In this section we provided D, E theta function $\tilde{\Theta}_{\mathcal{G}_r}^{d,b}(\tau)$ which transform in the same way as $\eta(\tau)^{r+1}/\eta(\frac{a\tau+b}{d})$, $(a, b, d \in \mathbf{Z}, b < d, ad = |\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|)$. By using this theta function we can introduce the formula for D, E case $\tilde{\Theta}_{\mathcal{G}_r}^{d,b}(\tau)/\eta(\tau)^{r+1}$. By considering 24-th power of this formula and combining this in the same way as the $SU(|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|)$ partition function, we obtain D, E partition function which satisfies Montonen-Olive duality. However the other Vafa-Witten conjectures are not satisfied by this approach in general.

6 Conclusion and Discussion

We claim that there exist at least a set of \mathcal{G}_r theta functions $\{\tilde{\Theta}_{\mathcal{G}_r}^{d,b}(\tau)|b < d\}$ constructed by level $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}_r}|$ \mathcal{G}_r theta functions, whose modular property is the same as $\{\eta(\tau)^{r+1}/\eta(\frac{a\tau+b}{d})|ad = |\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}_r}|, b < d, a, b, d \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. In particular for $\mathcal{G}_r = A_{N-1}$ case each sets are exactly the same. By considering 24-th power of $\tilde{\Theta}_{\mathcal{G}_r}^{d,b}(\tau)/\eta(\tau)^{r+1}$, we can construct \mathcal{G}_r partition functions satisfying Montonen-Olive duality. However this partition function does not satisfy the other Vafa-Witten conjectures in general.

To satisfy the other Vafa-Witten conjectures, we should search for another set of \mathcal{G}_r functions, whose modular property is the same as $\{\eta(\tau)^{r+1}/\eta(\frac{a\tau+b}{d})|ad = |\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}_r}|, b < d, a, b, d \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. We also attempt to search for \mathcal{G}_r theta functions satisfying Montonen-Olive duality by using higher level theta functions.

Since we introduce a formula for *ADE* case described by level $|\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}}|$ theta functions and produce the candidate of the *ADE* partition function on *K3*, we want to interpret the *ADE* partition function on *K3* in terms of the character of affine Lie algebra in the same way as A_1 case.

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank Prof. H.Awata, Prof. M.Jinzenji, Prof. H.Kanno, Prof. A.Tsuchiya, Prof. M.Wakimoto and Prof. K.Yoshioka for helpful suggestions and useful discussions. We also thank Prof. H.Awata for carefully reading our manuscript.

A Theta Functions

In this section, we give explicit notation of theta functions used in this article. For level l and rank r \mathcal{G}_r theta function with weight vector β , we use the following notation [10, 30]:

$$\theta_{\mathcal{G}_r}^{\beta}(\tau) := \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}^r} q^{\frac{l}{2}t(m + \frac{1}{l}\mathcal{G}_r^{-1}\beta)_{\mathcal{G}_r}(m + \frac{1}{l}\mathcal{G}_r^{-1}\beta)}, t\beta = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_r), b_i \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (\text{a.1})$$

Here \mathcal{G}_r also stands for Cartan matrix for \mathcal{G}_r . We give independent orbits of theta functions.

Level 3 A_2 theta functions

There are 6 Weyl orbits,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t\lambda_0 &= (0, 0), \\ {}^t\lambda_1^k &= k(1, 0), k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\ {}^t\lambda_2 &= (1, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{a.2})$$

Level 4 A_3 theta functions

There are 22 Weyl orbits,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^t\lambda_0 &= (0, 0, 0), \\ {}^t\lambda_1^k &= k(1, 0, 0), k = 1, 2, \dots, 8, \\ {}^t\lambda_2^k &= k(0, 1, 0), k = 1, 2, 3, \\ {}^t\lambda_3^k &= k(1, 1, 0), k = 1, 3, 5, 7, \\ {}^t\lambda_4^k &= k(1, 0, 1), k = 1, 2, \\ {}^t\lambda_5 &= (1, 1, 1), \\ {}^t\lambda_6 &= (2, 1, 0), \\ {}^t\lambda_7 &= (4, 1, 0), \\ {}^t\lambda_8 &= (1, 4, 1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{a.3})$$

Level 5 A_4 theta functions

There are 66 Weyl orbits,

$${}^t\lambda_0 = (0, 0, 0, 0),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^t\lambda_1^k &= k(1, 0, 0, 0), k = 1, \dots, 4, 6, \dots, 9, 11, 12, \\
{}^t\lambda_2^k &= k(0, 1, 0, 0), k = 1, \dots, 4, 6, \dots, 9, 11, 12, \\
{}^t\lambda_3^k &= k(1, 1, 0, 0), k = 1, \dots, 4, 6, \dots, 9, 11, 12, \\
{}^t\lambda_4^k &= k(1, 0, 1, 0), k = 1, \dots, 4, 6, \dots, 9, 11, 12, \\
{}^t\lambda_5^k &= k(1, 1, 1, 0), k = 1, \dots, 4, 6, \dots, 9, 11, 12, \\
{}^t\lambda_1^k &= k(1, 0, 0, 0), k = 5, 10, \\
{}^t\lambda_6^k &= k(1, 0, 0, 1), k = 1, 2, \\
{}^t\lambda_7^k &= k(2, 0, 1, 0), k = 1, 2, \\
{}^t\lambda_8^k &= k(0, 1, 1, 0), k = 1, 2, \\
{}^t\lambda_9 &= (1, 1, 1, 1), \\
{}^t\lambda_{10}^k &= k(3, 1, 0, 0), k = 1, 2, \\
{}^t\lambda_{11}^k &= k(1, 2, 0, 0), k = 1, 2, \\
{}^t\lambda_{12}^k &= k(1, 0, 3, 0), k = 1, 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{a.4}$$

Level 2 D_4 theta functions

There are 5 Weyl orbits,

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^t\lambda_0 &= (0, 0, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_1^k &= k(1, 0, 0, 0), k = 1, 2, \\
{}^t\lambda_2 &= (0, 1, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_3 &= (1, 0, 1, 0).
\end{aligned} \tag{a.5}$$

Level 4 D_5 theta functions

There are 39 Weyl orbits,

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^t\lambda_0 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_1^k &= k(1, 0, 0, 0, 0), k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\
{}^t\lambda_2^k &= k(0, 1, 0, 0, 0), k = 1, 2, \\
{}^t\lambda_3 &= (1, 1, 0, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_4 &= (2, 1, 0, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_5^k &= k(0, 0, 1, 0, 0), k = 1, 2, \\
{}^t\lambda_6 &= (1, 0, 1, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_7 &= (2, 0, 1, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_8 &= (0, 1, 1, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_9^k &= k(0, 0, 0, 1, 1), k = 1, 2, \\
{}^t\lambda_{10} &= (1, 0, 0, 1, 1), \\
{}^t\lambda_{11} &= (2, 0, 0, 1, 1), \\
{}^t\lambda_{12} &= (0, 1, 0, 1, 1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^t\lambda_{13} &= (0, 0, 1, 1, 1), \\
{}^t\lambda_{14}^k &= k(0, 0, 0, 1, 0), k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\
{}^t\lambda_{15}^k &= k(1, 0, 0, 1, 0), k = 1, 2, \\
{}^t\lambda_{16}^k &= k(2, 0, 0, 1, 0), k = 1, 3, \\
{}^t\lambda_{17} &= (3, 0, 0, 1, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_{18} &= (1, 0, 0, 3, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_{19} &= (0, 1, 0, 1, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_{20} &= (1, 1, 0, 1, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_{21} &= (3, 2, 0, 3, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_{22} &= (0, 0, 1, 1, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_{23} &= (1, 0, 1, 1, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_{24} &= (1, 1, 0, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_{25} &= (1, 0, 0, 2, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_{26} &= (1, 0, 0, 4, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_{27} &= (0, 1, 0, 2, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_{28} &= (0, 0, 1, 2, 0).
\end{aligned} \tag{a.6}$$

Level 3 E_6 theta functions

There are 11 Weyl orbits,

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^t\lambda_0 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_1^k &= k(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\
{}^t\lambda_2^k &= k(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), k = 1, 2, 4, \\
{}^t\lambda_3 &= (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_4 &= (0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_5 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_6 &= (0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0).
\end{aligned} \tag{a.7}$$

Level 2 E_7 theta functions

There are 6 Weyl orbits,

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^t\lambda_0 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_1 &= (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_2 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0), \\
{}^t\lambda_3^k &= k(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0), k = 1, 2, \\
{}^t\lambda_4 &= (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{a.8}$$

References

- [1] M.F.Atiyah, N.H.Hitchin and I.M.Singer. *Self-duality in four dimensional Riemannian Geometry*, Proc.R.Soc.Lond A362(1978)425.

- [2] G.Bonelli. *The geometry of the M5-branes and TQFTs*,J.Geom.Phys.40:13-25,2001; *On the Supersymmetric Index of the M theory 5-brane and Little String Theory*,Phys.Lett.B521:383-390,2001; *The M5-brane on K3 and del Pezzo's and multi-loop string amplitudes*,JHEP 0112:022,2001; *Counting BPS states of the M5-brane*,Fortsch.Phys.50:851-857,2002.
- [3] T.Eguchi and K.Sakai. *Seiberg-Witten Curve for the E-String Theory*,JHEP 0205 (2002) 058.
- [4] K.Fukaya. *Topology, geometry and field theory* , World Scientific 1994.
- [5] J. A. Harvey, A. Strominger. *The Heterotic String is a Soliton*, Nucl.Phys. B449 (1995) 535-552
- [6] Amer Iqbal, *A note on E-strings*, hep-th/0206064.
- [7] M.Jinzenji and T.Sasaki. *N = 4 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory on Orbifold- T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2* , Mod.Phys.Lett. A16 (2001) 411-428.
- [8] M.Jinzenji and T.Sasaki. *N = 4 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory on Orbifold- T^4/\mathbf{Z}_2 :Higher Rank Case*, JHEP 0112 (2001) 002.
- [9] M.Jinzenji and T.Sasaki. *An Approach to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ ADE Gauge Theory on K3*, JHEP 0209 (2002) 002.
- [10] V. G. Kac. *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge 1990.
- [11] V. G. Kac. *Infinite Dimensional Lie algebras, Theta Functions and Modular Forms*, Advances in Mathematics 53,125-264(1984).
- [12] M.Kapranov. *The Elliptic Curve in the S-Duality Theory and Eisenstein Series for Kac-Moody Groups*, math.AG/0001005.
- [13] J. M. F. Labastida, Carlos Lozano. *Mathai-Quillen formulation of twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric gauge theories in four dimensions*, Nucl.Phys.B502(1997)741.
- [14] J. M. F. Labastida, Carlos Lozano. *The Vafa-Witten Theory for Gauge Group $SU(N)$* hep-th/9903172.
- [15] I.G.Macdonald. *Affine root systems and Dedekind's η -functions*, Invent. math. **15** (1972), 91-143.
- [16] J. A. Minahan, D. Nemeschansky, N. P. Warner. *Partition Functions for BPS States of the Non-Critical E_8 String* Adv.Theor.Math.Phys.1 (1998) 167-183.
- [17] J. A. Minahan, D. Nemeschansky, C. Vafa, N. P. Warner. *E-Strings and $N=4$ Topological Yang-Mills Theories* Nucl.Phys. B 527 (1998) 581-623.
- [18] C.Montonen and D.Olive,*Magnetic monopoles as gauge particles ?*, Phys.Lett.B 72 (1977) 117;
P.Goddard, J.Nyuts and D.Olive,*Gauge theories and magnetic charge*, Nucl. Phys. B125 (1977) 1.

- [19] S.Mukai, *Symplectic structure of the moduli space of sheaves on abelian or K3 surface*, Inv.Math. 77 (1984) 101;
L.Göttsche, *The Betti numbers of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth projective surface*, Math. Ann. 286 (1990) 193.
- [20] H.Nakajima. *Lectures on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces*, to appear.
- [21] H.Nakajima. *Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties, and Kac-Moody algebras*, Duke Math. J. 76 (1994);
Gauge theory on resolutions of simple singularities and simple Lie algebras., Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1994.
- [22] T.Sasaki. *Hecke Operator and S-Duality of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills for ADE Gauge Group on K3*, JHEP 0307 (2003) 024
- [23] T.Sasaki. *Gap Condition and Self-Dualized $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills Theory for ADE Gauge Group on K3*, Mod.Phys.Lett. A19, No.7(2004)p511
- [24] G.'t Hooft. *On the Phase Transition towards Permanent Quark Confinement.*, Nucl.Phys.B138(1978)1;
A Property of Electric and Magnetic Flux in Nonabelian Gauge Theories. Nucl.Phys.B153(1979)141.
- [25] C.Vafa and E.Witten. *A strong coupling test of S-duality*, Nucl. Phys. B431 (1994) 3.
- [26] C.Vafa. *Geometric Origin of Montonen-Olive Duality*, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. 1 (1998) 158-166.
- [27] K.Yoshioka. *The Betti numbers of the moduli space of stable sheaves of rank 2 on \mathbf{P}^2* . J. reine angew. Math. **453** (1994), 193-220.
- [28] K.Yoshioka. *Euler characteristics of $SU(2)$ instanton moduli spaces on rational elliptic surfaces* Commun.Math.Phys. 205 (1999) 501-517.
- [29] K.Yoshioka. *Irreducibility of moduli spaces of vector bundles on K3 surfaces* math.AG/9907001. *Euler characteristics of $SU(2)$ instanton moduli spaces on rational elliptic surfaces* Commun.Math.Phys. 205 (1999) 501-517.
- [30] M.Wakimoto. *Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Translation of Mathematical Monographs Vol.195, American Mathematical Society 2001.
- [31] M.Wakimoto. *Lectures on Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebra*, World Scientific 2001.