

IU-MSTP/48

hep-th/0206232

June, 2002

# Toward Construction of Exact Operator Solution of $A_N$ -Toda Field Theory

Y. TAKIMOTO<sup>1</sup> and T. FUJIWARA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Information Processing Center, Ibaraki University, Mito 310-8512, Japan*

<sup>2</sup> *Department of Mathematical Sciences, Ibaraki University, Mito 310-8512, Japan*

## ABSTRACT

Quantum  $A_N$ -Toda field theory in two dimensions is investigated based on the method of quantizing canonical free field. Toda exponential operator associated with the fundamental weight  $\lambda^1$  is constructed.

Toda field theories (TFT) played prominent roles as completely integrable two dimensional field theory in various branches of physics from statistical field theory to string physics. In classical theory exact solutions are known [1] for TFT associated with arbitrary simple Lie algebra, and many interesting aspects have been investigated so far [2, 3, 4, 5, 6].

The simplest TFT associated with the Lie algebra  $A_1$  is the Liouville theory, for which exact operator solutions have been obtained by several authors [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Bilal and Gervais [3, 4] have investigated quantization of TFT based on the extensive canonical analysis developed for the Liouville theory [13, 14]. The full operator solution for  $A_2$  case has also been obtained in ref. [15, 16]. However, the generalization of such exact operator solutions to an arbitrary TFT is still lacking. In this note, we investigate the construction of the Toda exponential operator associated with the fundamental weight  $\lambda^1$  for an arbitrary  $N$  as a first step toward the complete construction of higher rank solutions.

Let us begin with the classical action of TFT associated with an arbitrary rank  $N$  simple Lie algebra

$$S = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha \varphi \cdot \partial^\alpha \varphi - \mu^2 \sum_{a=1}^N e^{\alpha^a \cdot \varphi} \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $\varphi$  is the  $N$ -component Toda fields, and we work in the Cartan-Weyl basis.  $\alpha^a$  ( $a = 1, \dots, N$ ) are the simple roots, and  $\lambda^a$  are the fundamental weight vectors satisfying  $2\lambda^a \cdot \alpha^b / (\alpha^b)^2 = \delta^{ab}$ . In spite of the dimension full mass parameter  $\mu^2$  the action (1) possesses the conformal invariance. At the classical level the coupling constant  $\gamma$  is a free parameter. In quantum theory it must be chosen by the requirement of conformal invariance in the presence of conformal matters [17]. The classical Toda field equations

$$\partial_\alpha \partial^\alpha \varphi - \mu^2 \sum_{a=1}^N \alpha^a e^{\alpha^a \cdot \varphi} = 0 \quad (2)$$

can be solved exactly by Lie algebraic method [1, 2].

To obtain complete operator solution we need all the Toda exponential operators for arbitrary fundamental weights [15, 16]. In what follows, however, we will construct the exponential operator associated with  $\lambda^1$  only. This restriction is mainly due to the reason that the exchange algebra among the screening charges appearing in the expansion of the operator associated with other weight vectors become very complicated.

The classical Toda exponential associated with  $\lambda^1$  is explicitly given by

$$e^{\lambda^1 \cdot \varphi(\tau, \sigma)} = \frac{e^{\lambda^1 \cdot \psi(\tau, \sigma)}}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{\mu^2}{4}\right)^n A_{1\dots n}(x^+) B_{1\dots n}(x^-)} \quad (3)$$

where  $\psi(x) = \psi_+(x^+) + \psi_-(x^-)$  with  $\psi_\pm(x^\pm)$  being arbitrary functions of the light-cone variables  $x^\pm = \tau \pm \sigma$ . It is identified with the canonical free field. The chiral screening

charges  $A_{1\dots n}(x^+)$  and  $B_{1\dots n}(x^-)$  are defined by the differential equations

$$\partial_+ A_1(x^+) = V_1^+(x^+) , \quad \partial_+ A_{1\dots n}(x^+) = V_1^+(x^+) A_{2\dots n}(x^+) , \quad (4)$$

where  $V_a^\pm(x^\pm) = e^{\alpha^a \cdot \psi_\pm(x^\pm)}$ .

To ensure the periodic boundary condition on  $\varphi$ , we assume the same periodicity for  $\psi$ . The left- and the right-moving modes  $\psi_\pm$ , however, are not  $2\pi$  periodic. To see this, we note the normal mode expansions

$$\psi_\pm(x^\pm) = \frac{\gamma}{2} Q + \frac{\gamma}{4\pi} P x^\pm + \frac{i\gamma}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{1}{n} a_n^{(\pm)} e^{-inx^\pm} . \quad (5)$$

Then  $\psi_\pm$  satisfy  $\psi(x^\pm \pm 2\pi) = \psi_\pm(x^\pm) \pm \frac{\gamma}{2} P$ . The chiral screening charges defined by (4) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1\dots n}(x) &= C_{\alpha^1+\dots+\alpha^n} C_{\alpha^2+\dots+\alpha^n} \cdots C_{\alpha^n} \int_0^{2\pi} dy_1 \mathcal{E}_{\alpha^1+\dots+\alpha^n}(x-y_1) V_1^+(y_1) \\ &\times \int_0^{2\pi} dy_2 \mathcal{E}_{\alpha^2+\dots+\alpha^n}(y_1-y_2) V_2^+(y_2) \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} dy_n \mathcal{E}_{\alpha^n}(y_{n-1}-y_n) V_n^+(y_n) . \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The periodicity of  $\varphi(x)$  is guaranteed by the periodicity of  $A(x^+)B(x^-)$ . In (6) we have introduced for an arbitrary vector  $\beta$  in the root space

$$C_\beta = \left( 2 \sinh \frac{\gamma}{4} \beta \cdot P \right)^{-1} , \quad \mathcal{E}_\beta(x) = \exp \frac{\gamma}{4} \beta \cdot P \epsilon(x) \quad (7)$$

with  $\epsilon(x)$  being the stair-step function defined by  $\epsilon(x) = 1$  for  $0 < x < 2\pi$  and  $\epsilon(x+2\pi) = \epsilon(x) + 2$ . The screening charges (6) satisfy the quasi-periodicity

$$A_{1\dots n}(x^+ + 2\pi) = e^{\frac{\gamma}{2}(\alpha^1+\dots+\alpha^n) \cdot P} A_{1\dots n}(x^+) . \quad (8)$$

The screening charges in the right-moving sector  $B_{1\dots n}$  are obtained by replacing  $V_a^+$  with  $V_a^-$  in the rhs of (6).

A remarkable feature of TFT is that the mapping from  $\varphi$  to  $\psi$  described by the classical solution preserves the canonical structure [2]. In other words the fundamental Poisson brackets between the canonical variables  $\varphi$  and  $\pi_\varphi \equiv \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \partial_\tau \varphi$  are guaranteed by the canonical pairs of the free fields  $\psi$  and  $\pi_\psi \equiv \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \partial_\tau \psi$ , or equivalently, by the Poisson brackets

$$\{\psi_{k\pm}(x^\pm), \psi_{l\pm}(x'^\pm)\} = \frac{\gamma^2}{4} \left( \frac{x-x'}{2\pi} - \epsilon(x^\pm - x'^\pm) \right) \delta_{kl} , \quad (9)$$

where the indices  $k, l = 1, \dots, N$  stand for the components of the free field  $\psi$  [16]. Furthermore, the theory possesses extended conformal invariance, whose generators reduce to the

free field expressions after the substitution of the classical solution obtained from (3). In particular, the stress tensor generates the pseudo-conformal symmetry for the canonical free fields exactly the same manner as for the interacting fields. The virtue in writing the classical solution in the form (3) is that the conformal property becomes manifest. Since both the interacting and the free classical vertex functions possess the same transformation properties under the conformal symmetry, the  $N$  screening charges  $A_{1\dots n}(x^+)B_{1\dots n}(x^-)$  ( $n = 1, \dots, N$ ) must be of vanishing conformal weight. This can be verified directly from (6).

As was argued in detail in ref. [16], it is convenient to make the substitutions  $Q \rightarrow 2Q$  in  $\psi_{\pm}(x^{\pm})$  and introduce the  $\star$ -product between  $L$  depending only on  $\psi_+$  and  $R$  depending only on  $\psi_-$  by

$$L \star R \equiv L e^{-\gamma\omega \cdot Q} R , \quad (10)$$

where  $L$  and  $R$  are assumed to have the same dependences on  $Q$  and  $\omega$  is chosen to satisfy  $\{L e^{-\gamma\omega \cdot Q}, e^{-\gamma\omega \cdot Q} R\} = 0$ . This not only satisfies the product rule

$$L \star RL' \star R' = LL' \star RR' , \quad (11)$$

but also preserve the chiral structure

$$\{L \star R, L' \star R'\} = \{L, L'\} \star RR' + LL' \star \{R, R'\} . \quad (12)$$

We now turn to quantum theory. We impose the standard commutation relations on the normal modes

$$[Q_k, P_l] = i\delta_{kl} , \quad [a_{kn}^{(+)}, a_{lm}^{(+)}] = [a_{kn}^{(-)}, a_{lm}^{(-)}] = n\delta_{kl}\delta_{n+m,0} , \quad (k, l = 1, \dots, N) . \quad (13)$$

The chiral vertex operators are defined by the normal ordering for the oscillator modes and the symmetric ordering for the zero-modes  $P, Q$  by the rule :  $e^{\beta \cdot Q} f(P) := e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta \cdot Q} f(P) e^{\frac{1}{2}\beta \cdot Q}$ .

We write chiral screening operators using simple notation

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\{n\}}(x) &= \int_0^{2\pi} dy_1 dy_2 \cdots dy_n : \mathcal{E}_{\alpha^1}(x - y_1) V_1^+(y_1) :: \mathcal{E}_{\alpha^2}(x - y_1) \mathcal{E}_{\alpha^2}(y_1 - y_2) V_2^+(y_2) : \\ &\times \cdots \times : \mathcal{E}_{\alpha^n}(x - y_1) \mathcal{E}_{\alpha^n}(y_1 - y_2) \cdots \mathcal{E}_{\alpha^n}(y_{n-1} - y_n) V_n^+(y_n) : , \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where  $\{n\}$  stands for  $1 \cdots n$  and we employ the convention  $A_{\{0\}} = B_{\{0\}} = 1$  for later convenience. Two major modifications are made here from the classical expressions (6). The one is the omission of the overall  $P$  dependent coefficients to simplify the operator algebra satisfied by the screening charges. The other is the rescaling  $\psi_+ \rightarrow \eta\psi_+$  to keep the conformal weight of the vertex operator  $V_a^+$  to be  $(1, 0)$  [9, 13, 14]. Then the conformal weights of the screening charges vanish. We assume that the conformal symmetry is generated by the normal-ordered free field stress tensor

$$T_{\pm\pm} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} (: \partial_{\pm}\psi \cdot \partial_{\pm}\psi : - 2\rho \cdot \partial_{\pm}^2\psi) , \quad (15)$$

where  $\rho$  is assumed to satisfy  $\alpha^a \cdot \rho = 1$  for any simple root and is explicitly given by  $\rho = \sum_a \frac{2\lambda^a}{(\alpha^a)^2}$ . The conformal weight  $\Delta_\beta$  of the vertex operators :  $e^{\eta\beta \cdot \psi}$  : is

$$\Delta_\beta = \beta \cdot \left( \eta\rho - \frac{\gamma^2 \eta^2}{8\pi} \beta \right). \quad (16)$$

In particular  $\eta$  must satisfy

$$\Delta_{\alpha^a} = \eta - \frac{\gamma^2 \eta^2}{4\pi} = 1. \quad (17)$$

We also make the replacement  $P$  by  $\eta P$  in  $\mathcal{E}_\beta(x)$  to ensure the quasi-periodicity of the screening charges under the shift  $x \rightarrow x + 2\pi$ . The screening charges  $B_{\{n\}}$  in the right-moving sector are similarly defined.

The  $\star$ -product (10) can be defined also in quantum theory. It satisfies the product rule (11), which implies that the left- and the right-chiral operators can be considered as commuting under the  $\star$ -product. In particular one easily see  $L \star f(\varpi) R = f(\varpi) L \star R$  for an arbitrary  $f$  as a special case. For later convenience, we introduce here some notation

$$\varpi \equiv -\frac{iP}{\gamma\eta}, \quad \varpi^a \equiv \alpha^a \cdot \varpi, \quad g \equiv \frac{\gamma^2 \eta^2}{8\pi} = \frac{\eta - 1}{2}, \quad q \equiv e^{2\pi i g}, \quad (18)$$

where  $q$  is the quantum deformation parameter and  $g$  plays the role of the Planck constant. A  $q$ -number  $[x]$  is defined as usual by

$$[x] = \frac{q^x - q^{-x}}{q - q^{-1}}. \quad (19)$$

We also use  $[x]_n \equiv [x][x+1] \cdots [x+n-1]$  and  $[n]! \equiv [1][2] \cdots [n]$ . In terms of these variables (14) can be written as

$$A_{\{n\}}(x) = \int_0^{2\pi} dy_1 dy_2 \cdots dy_n q^{(\Omega_n+1)\epsilon(x-y_1) + (\Omega_n - \Omega_1)\epsilon(y_1 - y_2) + \cdots + (\Omega_n - \Omega_{n-1})\epsilon(y_{n-1} - y_n)} \\ \times V_1^+(y_1) V_2^+(y_2) \cdots V_n^+(y_n), \quad (20)$$

where we have introduced  $\Omega_n$  by

$$\Omega_n \equiv \sum_{a=1}^n \varpi^a, \quad \Omega_0 \equiv 0. \quad (21)$$

In quantum theory the quasi-periodicity (8) is modified to

$$A_{\{n\}}(x + 2\pi) = q^{2(\Omega_n+1)} A_{\{n\}}(x). \quad (22)$$

The screening charges (20) and the vertex operators

$$V_\kappa^1(x) \equiv V_\kappa^{1+}(x^+) \star V_\kappa^{1-}(x^-) \quad \text{with} \quad V_\kappa^{1\pm}(x^\pm) \equiv: e^{\kappa \eta \lambda^1 \cdot \psi_\pm(x^\pm)}: \quad (23)$$

are the building blocks of the Toda exponential operators  $e^{\kappa\eta\lambda^1\cdot\varphi}$ . These satisfy mutual commutativity among  $V_\kappa^1(x)$  and  $A_{\{n\}}(x)$  at the same point,\* and the conformal weight of the screening charges vanishes. We thus expand the Toda exponential as

$$e^{\kappa\eta\lambda^1\cdot\varphi(x)} = V_\kappa^1(x) \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_N=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{4}\right)^{\sum_{l=1}^N l n_l} C_{n_1 \dots n_N}^1(\kappa; \varpi) \prod_{m=1}^N (A_{\{m\}}(x^+) \star B_{\{m\}}(x^-))^{n_m} , \quad (24)$$

where  $C_{n_1 \dots n_N}^1$  are unknown coefficients depending on the zero-mode momenta without affecting the conformal property. We assume

$$C_{0 \dots 0}^1(\kappa; \varpi) = 1 , \quad C_{n_1 \dots n_N}^1(0; \varpi) = \delta_{n_1 0} \cdots \delta_{n_N 0} . \quad (25)$$

Note that there arises no ordering ambiguity due to the assumed commutativity of operators mentioned above.

At this point we give an outline of the strategy for the construction of (24). The first step is to construct the exponential operator for  $\kappa = -1$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\eta\lambda^1\cdot\varphi(x)} &= V_{-1}^1(x) \sum_{n=0}^N \left(\frac{\mu^2}{4}\right)^n C_{0 \dots 0 1 0 \dots 0}^1(-1; \varpi) A_{\{n\}}(x^+) \star B_{\{n\}}(x^-) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^N \left(\frac{\mu^2}{4}\right)^n C_{[n]}^1(\varpi) \Psi_{\{n\}}^{1+}(x^+) \star \Psi_{\{n\}}^{1-}(x^-) , \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where  $C_{[n]}^1(\varpi)$  is given by

$$C_{[n]}^1(\varpi) = C_{\underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{n-1} 1 \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{N-n}}^1(-1; \varpi - \lambda^1) , \quad (27)$$

and  $\Psi_{\{n\}}^{1\pm}(x^\pm)$  are the chiral fields defined by

$$\Psi_{\{n\}}^{1+}(x^+) \equiv V_{-1}^{1+}(x^+) A_{\{n\}}(x^+) , \quad \Psi_{\{n\}}^{1-}(x^-) \equiv V_{-1}^{1-}(x^-) B_{\{n\}}(x^-) . \quad (28)$$

The coefficients  $C_{[n]}^1$  must be chosen to satisfy the locality condition

$$[e^{-\eta\lambda^1\cdot\varphi(0,\sigma)}, e^{-\eta\lambda^1\cdot\varphi(0,\sigma')}] = 0 . \quad (29)$$

Once we have the operator for  $\kappa = -1$ , we can construct (24) for an arbitrary negative integer  $\kappa$  as a composite operator. In this way we are able to find the expansion coefficients  $C_{n_1 \dots n_N}^1(\kappa; \varpi)$  for an arbitrary negative integer  $\kappa$ . This is the second step. We finally continue  $\kappa$  to an arbitrary real number.

---

\*The commutativity of the screening charges  $A_{\{n\}}(x)A_{\{m\}}(x) = A_{\{m\}}(x)A_{\{n\}}(x)$  is simply assumed here. This can be shown explicitly in the  $A_2$ -case. At the time writing this paper, the satisfactory proof of this is not known to the present authors.

To construct the operator (26) we need the exchange algebra among  $V_\kappa^{1+}(x)$  and  $A_{\{n\}}(x)$ , which can be inferred from the results of the  $A_2$ -case [16]. It is straightforward to find the exchange algebra between  $V_\kappa^{1+}(x)$  and  $A_{\{n\}}(x)$ . As for the screening charges, we assume the general form

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\{n\}}(x)A_{\{m\}}(x') &= \alpha_{nm}A_{\{m\}}(x')A_{\{n\}}(x) + \beta_{nm}A_{\{n\}}(x')A_{\{m\}}(x) \\ &+ \gamma_{nm}A_{\{n\}}(x)A_{\{m\}}(x) + \delta_{nm}A_{\{n\}}(x')A_{\{m\}}(x') . \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The coefficients appearing in the rhs' of (30) depend on  $\varpi$  as well as the sign of  $x - x'$ . They can be obtained straightforwardly by applying the method developed in ref. [16]. We thus find the exchange algebra

$$\begin{aligned} V_\kappa^{1+}(x)V_\nu^{1+}(x') &= q^{-\kappa\nu(\lambda^1)^2\epsilon(x-x')}V_\nu^{1+}(x')V_\kappa^{1+}(x) \\ A_{\{n\}}(x)V_\kappa^{1+}(x') &= \frac{q^{-\kappa\epsilon(x-x')}[\Omega_n+1]}{[\Omega_n+\kappa+1]}V_\kappa^{1+}(x')A_{\{n\}}(x) + \frac{q^{(\Omega_n+1)\epsilon(x-x')}[\kappa]}{[\Omega_n+\kappa+1]}V_\kappa^{1+}(x')A_{\{n\}}(x') , \\ A_{\{n\}}(x)A_{\{m\}}(x') &= -\frac{q^{(\Omega_n-\Omega_m-1)\epsilon(x-x')}}{[\Omega_n-\Omega_m]}A_{\{n\}}(x')A_{\{m\}}(x) \\ &+ \frac{q^{-\epsilon(x-x')}[\Omega_n+1][\Omega_n-\Omega_m-1][\Omega_m+2]}{[\Omega_n+2][\Omega_n-\Omega_m][\Omega_m+1]}A_{\{m\}}(x')A_{\{n\}}(x) \\ &- \frac{q^{-(\Omega_m+2)\epsilon(x-x')}}{[\Omega_m+1]}A_{\{n\}}(x)A_{\{m\}}(x) \\ &+ \frac{q^{(\Omega_n+1)\epsilon(x-x')}}{[\Omega_n+2]}A_{\{n\}}(x')A_{\{m\}}(x') , \quad (n \neq m) \\ A_{\{n\}}(x)A_{\{n\}}(x') &= q^{-2\epsilon(x-x')}A_{\{n\}}(x')A_{\{n\}}(x) - \frac{q^{-(\Omega_n+3)\epsilon(x-x')}}{[\Omega_n+2]}(A_{\{n\}}(x))^2 \\ &+ \frac{q^{(\Omega_n+1)\epsilon(x-x')}}{[\Omega_n+2]}(A_{\{n\}}(x'))^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The exchange algebra among the chiral field  $\Psi_{\{n\}}^{1+}(x)$  can also be obtained from (31) as

$$\Psi_{\{n\}}^{1+}(x)\Psi_{\{m\}}^{1+}(x') = \sum_{k,l} \mathcal{R}_{nm}^{lk} \Psi_{\{k\}}^{1+}(x')\Psi_{\{l\}}^{1+}(x) . \quad (32)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_{nm}^{kl}$  is the  $\varpi$  dependent  $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix and the nonvanishing matrix elements are explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{nn}^{nn} &= q^{-\frac{2}{N-1}\epsilon(x-x')} , \\ \mathcal{R}_{nm}^{nm} &= q^{\frac{N-1}{N+1}\epsilon(x-x')} \frac{[\Omega_n-\Omega_m+1]}{[\Omega_n-\Omega_m]} , \\ \mathcal{R}_{nm}^{mn} &= -\frac{q^{\left(\frac{N-1}{N+1}+\Omega_n-\Omega_m\right)\epsilon(x-x')}}{[\Omega_n-\Omega_m]} . \quad (n \neq m) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Essentially equivalent exchange algebra with (32) has already been obtained in ref. [3].

We are now in a position to analyze the locality condition (29). Using the exchange algebra (32) and the relation

$$\Psi_{\{n\}}^{1+}(x)\varpi = (\varpi - \mu_n)\Psi_{\{n\}}^{1+}(x) \quad (34)$$

with  $\mu_n \equiv \lambda^1 - \alpha^1 - \dots - \alpha^n$ , we can examine the locality as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [e^{-\eta\lambda^1 \cdot \varphi(0,\sigma)}, e^{-\eta\lambda^1 \cdot \varphi(0,\sigma')}] \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \left\{ \sum_{n,m} \sum_{r,s} C_{[n]}^1(\varpi) C_{[m]}^1(\varpi - \mu_n) \mathcal{R}_{nm}^{kl}(\varpi) \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{nm}^{rs}(\varpi) - C_{[l]}^1(\varpi) C_{[k]}^1(\varpi - \mu_l) \right\} \\ &\quad \times \Psi_{\{l\}}^{1+}(\sigma') \Psi_{\{k\}}^{1+}(\sigma) \star \Psi_{\{s\}}^{1-}(-\sigma') \Psi_{\{r\}}^{1-}(-\sigma) , \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{nm}^{kl}(\varpi)$  is the inverse of  $\mathcal{R}_{nm}^{kl}$  defined by

$$\sum_{r,s} \mathcal{R}_{nm}^{rs} \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{sr}^{kl} = \delta_n^l \delta_m^k . \quad (36)$$

It is now straightforward to show that the locality condition is equivalent to

$$C_{[k]}^1(\varpi) C_{[l]}^1(\varpi - \mu_k) \mathcal{R}_{kl}^{nm}(\varpi) = C_{[m]}^1(\varpi) C_{[n]}^1(\varpi - \mu_m) \mathcal{R}_{mn}^{lk}(\varpi) . \quad (37)$$

Similar relation has already been obtained in ref. [16]. Using the explicit expression (33), we obtain the constraints on  $C_{[n]}^1(\varpi)$  as

$$\frac{C_{[n]}^1(\varpi) C_{[m]}^1(\varpi - \mu_n)}{C_{[n]}^1(\varpi - \mu_m) C_{[m]}^1(\varpi)} = \frac{[\Omega_n - \Omega_m - 1]}{[\Omega_n - \Omega_m + 1]} . \quad (38)$$

Unfortunately, these do not uniquely determine  $C_{[n]}^1(\varpi)$ . To proceed further we assume that the  $\varpi$  dependences of  $C_{[n]}^1(\varpi)$  are minimal and correctly reproduce the classical limit [16]. Thus we are lead to the ansatz

$$C_{[n]}^1(\varpi) = c_n \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{[\Omega_k - \Omega_n + a_k][\Omega_k - \Omega_n + b_k]} , \quad (39)$$

where the unknown constants  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  are chosen so as for  $C_{[n]}^1(\varpi)$  to satisfy (38). It is easy to show that (38) are satisfied by

$$a_k = 0 , \quad b_k = 1 . \quad (40)$$

This result is completely consistent with the operator solutions for the Liouville and the  $A_2$  cases. The overall constant  $c_n$  cannot be determined from the locality constraints (38). It might be fixed by the requirement of the field equations [12, 15, 16]. We may infer from the known results for  $N = 1, 2$  as

$$c_n = \left( -\frac{\eta}{8\pi g \sin 2\pi g} \right)^n . \quad (41)$$



This completes the construction of the basic operator (31).

Exponential operator (24) for an arbitrary negative integer  $\kappa$  can be obtained inductively from (31) as composite operators [16]

$$e^{\kappa\eta\lambda^1\cdot\varphi(x)} = \lim_{x'\rightarrow x} |(1 - e^{-i(x^+ - x'^+)}) (1 - e^{-i(x^- - x'^-)})|^{\Delta_\kappa - \Delta_{-1} - \Delta_{\kappa+1}} e^{-\eta\lambda^1\cdot\varphi(x)} e^{\eta(\kappa+1)\lambda^1\cdot\varphi(x')}, \quad (42)$$

where  $\Delta_\nu$  is the conformal weight of the free field vertex operator  $V_\nu^1$  defined by (16). In the classical theory, the Toda exponential for  $\kappa$  being a negative integer is a finite polynomial of the screening charges as can be seen from (3). This is expected to hold also in quantum theory. Our construction is consistent with this.

Using (24) and (31) in (42), we obtain the recurrence relation for  $C_{n_1 \dots n_N}^1(\kappa; \varphi)$  as

$$C_{n_1 \dots n_N}^1(\kappa; \varphi) = \sum_{m=0}^N C_{[m]}^1(\varphi - \kappa\lambda^1) C_{n_1 \dots n_{m-1} n_m - 1 n_{m+1} \dots n_N}^1(\kappa + 1; \varphi + \lambda^1 - \mu_m). \quad (43)$$

In deriving this, use has been made of

$$V_\nu^1(x)\varphi = (\varphi + \nu\lambda^1)V_\nu^1(x), \quad A_{\{m\}}(x)\varphi = (\varphi + \lambda^1 - \mu_m)A_{\{m\}}(x). \quad (44)$$

The recurrence relation can be analyzed inductively with respect to  $-\kappa$  and  $N$ , the rank of Toda theory. We finally arrive at the closed form of the expansion coefficients

$$C_{n_1 \dots n_N}^1(\kappa; \varphi) = (-1)^{\bar{n}} \left( -\frac{\eta}{8\pi g \sin 2\pi g} \right)^{\sum_{k=1}^N kn_k} \frac{[\kappa]_{\bar{n}}}{[n_1]! \cdots [n_N]!} \\ \times \prod_{k=1}^N \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{[\Omega_k - \Omega_l + \bar{n}\delta_{l0} - n_l]_{n_k} [\Omega_k - \Omega_l - \kappa\delta_{l0} + 1]_{n_k}} \quad (45)$$

where  $n_0 \equiv 0$  and  $\bar{n} \equiv n_1 + \cdots + n_N$ .

So far we have considered  $\kappa$  as arbitrary negative integers. We may continue  $\kappa$  to arbitrary real numbers. It is almost obvious that the Toda exponential operator (24) with (45) for arbitrary real  $\kappa$  automatically satisfy the locality condition. Furthermore, the exact operator solutions for the Liouville and  $A_2$  cases are also reproduced in this way.

We have investigated quantum  $A_N$ -Toda field theory and, in particular, a construction of the exponential operator associated with the fundamental weight vector  $\lambda^1$ . Though this is only a first step toward the full operator solution, our result includes the operator solutions for the Liouville and the  $A_2$  cases, where only the screening charge operators of the type given by (14) appear. For  $N \geq 3$  we encounter screening charges other than  $A_{\{n\}}$ . For instance in the  $A_3$ -system we can give the exponential operators associated with the fundamental weights  $\lambda^1$  and  $\lambda^3$ . To construct  $e^{\kappa\eta\lambda^2\cdot\varphi}$  we must seek for the quantum expressions of the screening charges  $A_2, A_{21}, A_{23}, A_{213} + A_{231}, A_{2132} + A_{2312}$  and then analyze their exchange algebra. (See [16] for notation.) These are not of the type  $A_{\{n\}}$  and are expected to have rather complicated exchange algebra. We will investigate them in future publication.

## References

- [1] A.N. Leznov and M.V. Saveliev, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **3** (1979) 207; *Commun. Math. Phys.* **74** (1980) 111.
- [2] O. Babelon, *Phys. Lett.* **B215** (1988) 523; “From integrable to conformal field theory”, Lectures given in Jaca at the XX International G.I.F.T. Seminar, PAR-LPTHE-89/29. O. Babelon, F. Toppan and L. Bonora, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **140** (1991) 93.
- [3] A. Bilal and J.-L. Gervais, *Phys. Lett.* **B206** (1988) 412; *Nucl. Phys.* **B314** (1989) 646.
- [4] A. Bilal and J.-L. Gervais, *Nucl. Phys.* **B318** (1989) 579.
- [5] T. Hollowood and P. Mansfield, *Phys. Lett.* **B226** (1989), 73;  
P. Mansfield and B. Spence, *Nucl. Phys.* **B362** (1991), 294;  
J. Balog, L. Fehér and L. O’Raifeartaigh, *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **203** (1990) 76.
- [6] J.-L. Gervais and Y. Matsuo, *commun. Math. Phys.* **152** (1993) 317.
- [7] E. Braaten, T. Curtright and C. Thorn, *Phys. Lett.* **B118** (1982) 115; *Ann. Phys. (N.Y.)* **147** (1983) 365.
- [8] J.-L Gervais and A. Neveu, *Nucl. Phys.* **B199** (1982) 59; **B209** (1982) 125.
- [9] H.J. Otto and G. Weigt, *Phys. Lett.* **B159** (1985) 341; *Z. Phys.* **C31** (1986) 219;  
G. Weigt, “Canonical quantization of the Liouville theory, quantum group structures, and correlation functions” talk given at 1992 John Hopkins Workshop on Current Problems in Particle Theory, Göteborg, Sweden, hep-th/9208075, print-92-0383 (DESY-IFH).
- [10] Y. Kazama and H. Nicolai, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A9** (1994) 667.
- [11] J.-L. Gervais and J. Schnittger, *Phys. Lett.* **B315** (1993) 258;  
J.-L. Gervais and J. Schnittger, *Nucl. Phys.* **B431** (1994) 273.  
J. Schnittger, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **104** (1996) 892.
- [12] T. Fujiwara, H. Igarashi and Y. Takimoto, *Phys. Lett.* **B391** (1997) 78.
- [13] T.L. Curtright and C.B. Thorn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 1309;  
J.-L Gervais and A. Neveu, *Nucl. Phys.* **B224** (1983) 329.
- [14] J.-L Gervais and A. Neveu, *Nucl. Phys.* **238** (1984) 125.
- [15] T. Fujiwara, H. Igarashi and Y. Takimoto, *Phys. Lett.* **B430** (1998) 120.

- [16] Y. Takimoto, H. Igarashi, H. Kurokawa, and T. Fujiwara, Nucl. Phys. **B543** (1999) 615.
- [17] H. Igarashi, Y. Takimoto and T. Fujiwara, Prog. Theor. Phys. **99** (1998) 45.