# CLASSICAL INTEGRABILITY OF TWO DIMENSIONAL NON LINEAR SIGMA MODELS \*

N. Mohammedi<sup>†,‡</sup>

Division of Theoretical Physics, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Chadwick Building, The University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 3BX, England, U.K.

The conditions under which a general two-dimensional non-linear sigma model is classically integrable are given. These requirements are found by demanding that the equations of motion of the theory are expressible as a zero curvature relation. Some new integrable two-dimensional sigma models are then presented.

## June 2002

## I. INTRODUCTION

As is well-known, a two-dimensional theory is classically integrable if its equations of motion can be represented as a zero curvature relation. That is, a Lax pair  $(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1)$  can be found such that the commutator

$$\left[\partial_0 + \mathcal{A}_0\left(\lambda\right) , \ \partial_1 + \mathcal{A}_1\left(\lambda\right)\right] = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

yields the equations of motion of the model under consideration for all values of the spectral parameter  $\lambda$ . Here, the two-dimensional coordinates are  $(\tau, \sigma)$  with  $\partial_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$  and  $\partial_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}$ . In the rest of the paper, however, we will use the complex coordinates  $(z = \tau + i\sigma, \bar{z} = \tau - i\sigma)$  together with  $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  and  $\bar{\partial} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}$ . The classical example of this setting is the principal chiral non-linear sigma model in two dimensions [1,2]. The

action of this theory is given by

$$S = \int dz d\bar{z} \ \eta_{kl} \ e_i^k \left( X \right) e_j^l \left( X \right) \partial X^i \bar{\partial} X^j \quad , \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\eta_{kl}$  is an invertible invariant bilinear form of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$  defined by  $[T_i, T_j] = f_{ij}^k T_k$ . That is,  $\eta_{ij} f_{kl}^j +$  $\eta_{kj} f_{il}^j = 0$ . The vielbeins  $e_i^k$  satisfy the Cartan-Maurer relation

$$\partial_i e_j^k - \partial_j e_i^k + f_{mn}^k e_i^m e_j^n = 0 \quad . \tag{1.3}$$

The equations of motion of the principal chiral sigma model can be cast in the form

$$\partial \left( e_i^k \bar{\partial} X^i \right) + \bar{\partial} \left( e_i^k \partial X^i \right) = 0 \quad . \tag{1.4}$$

This expression is reached after using the properties of  $\eta_{ij}$  and  $e_i^k$ .

The Lax pair for this model is constructed in the following manner: Consider the commutator

$$\left[\partial + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \lambda^2}\right) \left(e_i^k \partial X^i\right) T_k , \ \bar{\partial} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \lambda^2}\right) \left(e_j^l \bar{\partial} X^j\right) T_l\right] \\ = \left\{-\lambda \left[\partial \left(e_i^k \bar{\partial} X^i\right) + \bar{\partial} \left(e_i^k \partial X^i\right)\right] + \left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \lambda^2}\right) \left[\partial \left(e_i^k \bar{\partial} X^i\right) - \bar{\partial} \left(e_i^k \partial X^i\right) + f_{mn}^k \left(e_i^m \partial X^i\right) \left(e_j^n \bar{\partial} X^j\right)\right]\right\} T_k .$$
(1.5)

If this commutator vanishes for all values of  $\lambda$  then the term proportional to  $-\lambda$  yields the equations of motion of the principal chiral sigma model. The second term is identically zero due to the Cartan-Maurer relations between the vielbeins  $e_i^k$ .

<sup>\*</sup>Preprint number: LTH 543

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Permanent address: Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique, Université François Rabelais, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Parc de Grandmont, F-37200 Tours, France.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>E-mail: nouri@celfi.phys.univ-tours.fr

In summary, the equations of motion are completely specified in terms of the currents

$$I^{j} = e^{j}_{i}\partial X^{i} \quad , \quad \bar{I}^{j}_{i} = e^{j}_{i}\bar{\partial}X^{i} \quad . \tag{1.6}$$

These currents satisfy the Bianchi identities  $\partial \bar{I}^i - \bar{\partial} I^i + f^i_{jk} I^j \bar{I}^k = 0$ . A convenient way of interpreting the Bianchi identities stems from the expressions

$$\partial X^{i} = (e^{-1})^{i}_{j} I^{j} , \quad \bar{\partial} X^{i} = (e^{-1})^{i}_{j} \bar{I}^{j} , \qquad (1.7)$$

The Bianchi identities are nothing else than the integrability conditions of these last relations. Namely,

$$\partial\bar{\partial}X^i - \bar{\partial}\partial X^i = 0 \quad . \tag{1.8}$$

This remark will be of use in what follows. Finally, a curvature which can be written as a linear combination of the equations of motion and the Bianchi identities is found.

## **II. GENERALISATION**

We would like now to generalise the previous discussion to any two-dimensional non-linear sigma model. Our aim is to determine the conditions under which a theory is integrable. We start from the action

$$S = \int dz d\bar{z} \ Q_{ij}(X) \,\partial X^i \bar{\partial} X^j \quad . \tag{2.1}$$

The tensor  $Q_{ij}$  has symmetric and anti-symmetric parts. The equations of motion resulting from the variation of this action are given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{l} \equiv \partial_{l} Q_{ij} \partial X^{i} \bar{\partial} X^{j} - \partial \left( Q_{lj} \bar{\partial} X^{j} \right) - \bar{\partial} \left( Q_{il} \partial X^{i} \right) = 0 \quad .$$

$$\tag{2.2}$$

By contacting the equations of motion with the matrix  $K_n^{ml}(X)$ , one gets

$$K_n^{ml}\mathcal{E}_l = \left(K_n^{ml}\partial_l Q_{ij} + Q_{lj}\partial_i K_n^{ml} + Q_{il}\partial_j K_n^{ml}\right)\partial X^i \bar{\partial} X^j - \partial \left(K_n^{ml}Q_{lj}\bar{\partial} X^j\right) - \bar{\partial} \left(K_n^{ml}Q_{il}\partial X^i\right) = 0 \quad .$$
(2.3)

In general,  $K_n^{ml}$  could also depend on some parameters which will be interpreted as the spectral parameters.

Our next step is the introduction of the two currents defined by

$$\partial X^{i} = \alpha_{j}^{i}(X) A^{j} \quad , \quad \bar{\partial} X^{i} = \beta_{j}^{i}(X) \bar{A}^{j} \quad , \tag{2.4}$$

where  $\alpha_j^i$  and  $\beta_j^i$  are two invertible matrices. In terms of these currents, the equations of motion take the form

$$K_n^{ml}\mathcal{E}_l = \left(K_n^{ml}\partial_l Q_{ij} + Q_{lj}\partial_i K_n^{ml} + Q_{il}\partial_j K_n^{ml}\right)\alpha_p^i\beta_q^j A^p \bar{A}^q - \partial\left(K_n^{ml}Q_{lj}\beta_p^j \bar{A}^p\right) - \bar{\partial}\left(K_n^{ml}Q_{il}\alpha_q^i A^q\right) = 0 \quad . \tag{2.5}$$

There are various ways of expressing the equations of motion. However, this last form is the most convenient for our purpose.

The above definition of the two currents  $A^i$  and  $\bar{A}^i$  leads to some Bianchi identities. These are found from the integrability condition

$$\mathcal{B}^{i} \equiv \partial \bar{\partial} X^{i} - \bar{\partial} \partial X^{i} = \partial \left( \beta_{q}^{i} \bar{A}^{q} \right) - \bar{\partial} \left( \alpha_{p}^{i} A^{p} \right) = 0 \quad .$$

$$(2.6)$$

By contracting this last equation with the matrix  $L_{ni}^m(X)$ , one gets

$$L_{ni}^{m}\mathcal{B}^{i} = \partial \left( L_{ni}^{m}\beta_{q}^{i}\bar{A}^{q} \right) - \bar{\partial} \left( L_{ni}^{m}\alpha_{p}^{i}A^{p} \right) - \left( \partial_{j}L_{ni}^{m} - \partial_{i}L_{nj}^{m} \right) \alpha_{p}^{j}\beta_{q}^{i}A^{p}\bar{A}^{q} = 0 \quad .$$

$$\tag{2.7}$$

Like  $K_n^{ml}$ , the tensor  $L_{ni}^m$  could in principle depend on some parameters too. We have therefore expressed the equations of motion in terms of two currents subject to some Bianchi identities. We now turn our attention to the construction of the Lax pair.

Let us consider the two differential operator as defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{j}^{i} = \delta_{j}^{i} \partial + V_{j}^{i} \quad , \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{j}^{i} = \delta_{j}^{i} \bar{\partial} + \bar{V}_{j}^{i} \tag{2.8}$$

where  $V_i^i$  and  $\bar{V}_i^i$  are two gauge connections. The curvature of these two operators is given by

$$F_k^i \equiv \mathcal{D}_j^i \bar{\mathcal{D}}_k^j - \bar{\mathcal{D}}_j^i \mathcal{D}_k^j = \partial \bar{V}_k^i - \bar{\partial} V_k^i + V_j^i \bar{V}_k^j - \bar{V}_j^i V_k^j \quad .$$

$$(2.9)$$

Following the case of the principal chiral sigma model, we require that this curvature satisfies

$$F_k^i = K_k^{il} \mathcal{E}_l + L_{kl}^i \mathcal{B}^l \quad , \tag{2.10}$$

By matching the terms involving the two derivatives  $\partial$  and  $\overline{\partial}$  on both sides of equation (2.10), one is forced to choose the two gauge fields as

$$V_{k}^{i} = \left(K_{k}^{il}Q_{jl} + L_{kj}^{i}\right)\alpha_{m}^{j}A^{m} = \left(K_{k}^{il}Q_{jl} + L_{kj}^{i}\right)\partial X^{j}$$
  
$$\bar{V}_{k}^{i} = \left(-K_{k}^{il}Q_{lj} + L_{kj}^{i}\right)\beta_{n}^{j}\bar{A}^{n} = \left(-K_{k}^{il}Q_{lj} + L_{kj}^{i}\right)\bar{\partial}X^{j} \quad .$$
(2.11)

Substituting back  $V_k^i$  and  $\bar{V}_i^k$  in (2.10), leads to the following differential equation

$$\left( K_{k}^{il} \partial_{l} Q_{mn} + Q_{ln} \partial_{m} K_{k}^{il} + Q_{ml} \partial_{n} K_{k}^{il} \right) - \left( \partial_{m} L_{kn}^{i} - \partial_{n} L_{km}^{i} + L_{jm}^{i} L_{kn}^{j} - L_{jn}^{i} L_{km}^{j} \right)$$

$$= \left( K_{j}^{il} K_{k}^{jt} - K_{j}^{it} K_{k}^{jl} \right) Q_{ln} Q_{mt} + \left( K_{j}^{il} L_{kn}^{j} - L_{jn}^{i} K_{k}^{jl} \right) Q_{ml} + \left( K_{j}^{il} L_{km}^{j} - L_{jm}^{i} K_{k}^{jl} \right) Q_{ln} .$$

$$(2.12)$$

It is remarkable that this last equation is independent of  $\alpha_j^i$  and  $\beta_j^i$ . Therefore the choice of  $A^i$  and  $\bar{A}^i$  has no influence on the construction. We conclude that the curvature  $F_j^i$ , corresponding to the gauge fields  $V_j^i$  and  $\bar{V}_j^i$  in (2.11), can be written as a linear combination of the equations of motion and the Bianchi identities if equation (2.12) is fulfilled. Furthermore, the quantities  $K_k^{ij}$  and  $L_{jk}^i$  must depend on at least one spectral parameter  $\lambda$ . If the equation  $F_k^i = 0$ holds for all values of  $\lambda$  then one obtains  $\mathcal{E}_i = 0$  and  $\mathcal{B}^i = 0$ . Notice that none of the tensors  $Q_{ij}$ ,  $K_k^{ij}$  and  $L_{jk}^i$  is, a priopri, known. Therefore, the class of two-dimensional non-linear sigma model which is classically integrable is specified by all the tensors  $Q_{ij}$  satisfying (2.12) for some quantities  $K_k^{ij}$  and  $L_{jk}^i$ .

So far there is no Lie algebra structure in our construction. This is due to the fact that our gauge connections  $V_j^i$ and  $\bar{V}_j^i$  are not necessarily evaluated in a Lie algebra. Let us now choose a gauge connection that takes value in the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  generated by  $[H_i, H_j] = h_{ij}^k H_k$ . Namely,  $W = W^i H_i$  and  $\bar{W} = \bar{W}^i H_i$ . In a similar manner, we require that

$$\left[\partial + W, \,\bar{\partial} + \bar{W}\right] = \left(\partial \bar{W}^i - \bar{\partial} W^i + h^i_{jk} W^j \bar{W}^k\right) H_i = \left(\mu^{il} \mathcal{E}_l + \rho^i_l \mathcal{B}^l\right) H_i \quad , \tag{2.13}$$

where  $\mu^{il}$  and  $\rho_l^i$  are the equivalent of  $K_j^{il}$  and  $L_{jl}^i$ , respectively. The contracted equations of motion,  $\mu^{ml} \mathcal{E}_l$ , and the contracted Bianchi identities,  $\rho_i^m \mathcal{B}^i$ , are given by

$$\mu^{ml} \mathcal{E}_l = \left(\mu^{ml} \partial_l Q_{ij} + Q_{lj} \partial_i \mu^{ml} + Q_{il} \partial_j \mu^{ml}\right) \alpha_p^i \beta_q^j A^p \bar{A}^q - \partial \left(\mu^{ml} Q_{lj} \beta_p^j \bar{A}^p\right) - \bar{\partial} \left(\mu^{ml} Q_{il} \alpha_q^i A^q\right) = 0$$
  
$$\rho_i^m \mathcal{B}^i = \partial \left(\rho_i^m \beta_q^i \bar{A}^q\right) - \bar{\partial} \left(\rho_i^m \alpha_p^i A^p\right) - \left(\partial_j \rho_i^m - \partial_i \rho_j^m\right) \alpha_p^j \beta_q^i A^p \bar{A}^q = 0 \quad . \tag{2.14}$$

A direct inspection of equation (2.13) leads to the gauge connections

$$W^{i} = (\mu^{il}Q_{jl} + \rho_{j}^{i}) \alpha_{m}^{j}A^{m} = (\mu^{il}Q_{jl} + \rho_{j}^{i}) \partial X^{j}$$
  
$$\bar{W}^{i} = (-\mu^{il}Q_{lj} + \rho_{j}^{i}) \beta_{n}^{j}\bar{A}^{n} = (-\mu^{il}Q_{lj} + \rho_{j}^{i}) \bar{\partial}X^{j} .$$
(2.15)

The differential equation we obtain in this case is given by

(

$$\mu^{il}\partial_l Q_{mn} + Q_{ln}\partial_m \mu^{il} + Q_{ml}\partial_n \mu^{il} - \left(\partial_m \rho_n^i - \partial_n \rho_m^i + h_{jk}^i \rho_m^j \rho_n^k\right)$$
$$= h_{jk}^i \mu^{jl} \mu^{kt} Q_{ln} Q_{mt} + h_{jk}^i \mu^{jl} \left(\rho_m^k Q_{ln} + \rho_n^k Q_{ml}\right) \quad .$$
(2.16)

Here also, the dependence on the spectral parameter  $\lambda$  could only be in the tensors  $\mu^{ij}$  and  $\rho^i_i$ .

There are some interesting geometrical structures appearing in equation (2.12) (and similarly in equation (2.16)). These structures are the Lie derivative of  $Q_{ij}$  with respect to  $K_k^{il}$  and the curvature of the differential operator  $(\nabla_i)_k^j = \delta_k^j \partial_i + L_{ki}^j$ . Using the properties of these two geometrical objects, one can derive some consistency conditions for the validity of our differential equations. According to our investigation, the form of these conditions is too involved and does not lead to any new insight. Finally, it is worth mentioning that equation (2.16) is a generalisation of an equation that appears in the context of Poisson-Lie duality in two-dimensional sigma models [3,4]. This suggests a possible link between classical integrability an T-duality in sigma models. This relashionship has been noticed and exploited in [5–7] for a very particular class of classically integrable sigma models.

#### **III. EXAMPLES**

It is easier to consider the case described by the gauge connections  $W^i$  and  $\overline{W}^i$  in (2.15) and the differential equation (2.16). Let us first check that one obtains some known integrable two dimensional non-linear sigma models. Indeed, the Lax pair construction (1.5) for the principal chiral sigma model is found by taking

$$\mu^{il} = \lambda \eta^{ik} \left( e^{-1} \right)_k^l$$

$$\rho_n^i = \left( \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \lambda^2} \right) e_n^i$$

$$h_{jk}^i = f_{jk}^i$$
(3.1)

where  $\eta^{ij}$  is the inverse of the bilinear form  $\eta_{ij}$ . Similarly, all the Lax pairs corresponding to the other known integrable sigma models can be constructed in a similar manner.

By examining equation (2.16) one can realise that there are new integrable sigma models. A straight forward solution to this equation is found by taking

$$\mu^{ij} = \omega^{ik} v_k^j$$

$$v_k^l \partial_l Q_{mn} + Q_{ln} \partial_m v_k^l + Q_{ml} \partial_n v_k^l = 0$$

$$h_{jk}^i = 0$$

$$\rho_j^i = \partial_j \Lambda^i \quad , \qquad (3.2)$$

where  $\Lambda^i(X)$  is an arbitrary function and  $\omega^{ik}$  is a constant tensor. This means that the matrix  $v_k^l$  is a Killing vector of the tensor  $Q_{ij}$  and the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  is Abelian. In this case equations (2.16) is satisfied for any arbitrary tensors  $\omega^{il}$  and  $\Lambda^i$ . Notice that neither the equations of motion nor the Bianchi identities have, for this class of sigma models, terms quadratic in the currents  $A^i$  and  $\bar{A}^i$ . The Lax pair construction for these types of sigma models is given by

$$\left[ \partial + \left( \omega^{ik} v_k^l Q_{jl} \partial X^j + \partial \Lambda^i \right) H_i , \ \bar{\partial} + \left( -\omega^{mn} v_n^r Q_{rs} \bar{\partial} X^s + \bar{\partial} \Lambda^m \right) H_m \right]$$

$$= \left\{ \omega^{mn} \left[ -\partial \left( v_n^r Q_{rs} \bar{\partial} X^s \right) - \bar{\partial} \left( v_n^l Q_{jl} \partial X^j \right) \right] + \left( \partial \bar{\partial} \Lambda^m - \bar{\partial} \partial \Lambda^m \right) \right\} H_m .$$

$$(3.3)$$

When this curvature vanishes, the term proportional to the arbitrary tensor  $\omega^{mn}$  yields the equations of motion while the second term is identically zero. We have chosen here to use directly  $\partial X^i$  and  $\bar{\partial} X^i$  instead of the currents  $A^i$  and  $\bar{A}^i$ .

We conclude that any two-dimensional non-linear sigma model possessing isometries is classically integrable<sup>1</sup>. In this case, for a given sigma model, one can find an infinite number of Lax pairs: one for each choice of  $(\Lambda^i, \omega^{ij})$ . Moreover, one has a Lax pair construction involving an arbitrary number of spectral parameters.

An example of this class is given by a generalisation of the principal chiral sigma model. We consider the action

$$S = \int dz d\bar{z} \ \Omega_{kl} \ e_i^k \left( X \right) e_j^l \left( X \right) \partial X^i \bar{\partial} X^j \quad , \tag{3.4}$$

where  $\Omega_{kl}$  can be any constant matrix (not necessarily the bilinear form  $\eta_{kl}$ ). The underlying Lie algebra is still  $\mathcal{G}$ , defined in the introductory section. The Killing vector  $v_j^i$  corresponding to the tensor  $Q_{ij} = \Omega_{kl} e_i^k e_j^l$  is given by  $v_j^i = R_j^k (e^{-1})_k^i$ , where  $R_j^i$  is defined by  $g^{-1}T_ig = R_i^jT_j$  and verifies the relations  $\partial_k R_j^i = f_{mn}^i R_j^m e_k^n$  and  $f_{jk}^i R_m^j R_n^k = f_{mn}^j R_j^i$ . Here g(X) is an element in the Lie group corresponding to the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G}$ . The vielbeins are given by the usual experession  $e_i^i T_i = (g^{-1}\partial_i g)^i T_i$ .

At this point some remarks are due. Firstly, since the principal chiral sigma model is a special case of the action (3.4), we have therefore found a new Lax pair formulation for this model. Secondly, there is another model based on the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{G} = SU(2)$  and which is known to be classically integrable [8]. This model corresponds to taking a diagonal  $\Omega_{ij}$  with  $\Omega_{11} = \Omega_{22} \neq \Omega_{33}$ . In this case also our Lax pair construction is different from that of [8]. Finally, we should mention that an earlier attempt to study the classical integrability of the model (3.4) did not produce any new integrable systems [9].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Since the zero curvature condition leads to  $v_i^i \mathcal{E}_i = 0$  (and not  $\mathcal{E}_i = 0$ ), we require the Killing vectors  $v_i^i$  to be invertible.

The second example we consider here is described by the action

$$S = \int dz d\bar{z} \ Q^{ij}(\chi) \ \partial \chi_i \bar{\partial} \chi_j \quad , \tag{3.5}$$

where  $Q^{ij}$  is given through its inverse by

$$Q_{ij} = \eta_{ij} + f^k_{ij} \chi_k \quad . \tag{3.6}$$

This model is the non-Abelian T-dual of the chiral principal sigma model [10–13]. It is not known whether this model is classically integrable. The study of this model sheds, therefore, some light on whether duality preserves integrability.

The equations of motion stemming from our action can be cast in the form

$$\partial \bar{J}^l - \bar{\partial} J^l + f^l_{ij} J^i \bar{J}^j = 0 \quad , \tag{3.7}$$

where the two currents are given by [14]

$$J^{l} = Q^{il}\partial\chi_{i} \quad , \quad \bar{J}^{l} = -Q^{lj}\bar{\partial}\chi_{j} \quad . \tag{3.8}$$

This definition leads to the Bianchi identities  $(\partial \bar{\partial} \chi_i - \bar{\partial} \partial \chi_i = 0)$ 

$$\partial \bar{J}^j + \bar{\partial} J^j + \eta^{jk} f^i_{kl} \chi_i \left( \partial \bar{J}^l - \bar{\partial} J^l + f^l_{mn} J^m \bar{J}^n \right) = 0 \quad . \tag{3.9}$$

The Lax pair construction is found through the commutator

$$\begin{bmatrix} \partial + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \lambda^2}\right) J^k T_k , \ \bar{\partial} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \lambda^2}\right) \bar{J}^l T_l \end{bmatrix}$$
  
$$= \left\{ -\lambda \left[ \partial \bar{J}^j + \bar{\partial} J^j + \eta^{jk} f^i_{kl} \chi_i \left( \partial \bar{J}^l - \bar{\partial} J^l + f^l_{mn} J^m \bar{J}^n \right) \right]$$
  
$$+ \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \lambda^2} \right) \delta^j_l + \lambda \eta^{jk} f^i_{kl} \chi_i \right] \left( \partial \bar{J}^l - \bar{\partial} J^l + f^l_{mn} J^m \bar{J}^n \right) \right\} T_j .$$
(3.10)

If this commutators is to vanish then the term proportional  $-\lambda$  yields the Bianchi identities while the term proportional to  $\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + \lambda^2}\right) \delta_l^j + \lambda \eta^{jk} f_{kl}^i \chi_i\right]$  leads to the equations of motion. Therefore, the non-Abelian dual of the principal chiral sigma model is also classically integrable.

In summary, we have given in this paper the necessary constraints for a non-linear sigma model to be classicaly integrable. The equations found are not empty and lead to some unexpected integrable theories. The geometrical nature of these equations might be employed to find more solutions.

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank the members of the Division of Theoretical Physics at the University of Liverpool for their hospitality. I would like also to thank Péter Forgács, Ian Jack , Tim Jones and Max Niedermaier for very useful discussions. This research is supported by the CNRS.

K. Pohlmeyer, Integrable Hamiltonian systems and interactions through quadratic constraints, Commun. Math. Phys. 46 (1976) 207.

<sup>[2]</sup> A. M. Polyakov and P. B. Wiegmann, Theory of non-Abelian Goldstone bosons, Phys. Lett. B131 (1983) 121.

<sup>[3]</sup> C. Klimčík and P. Ševera, Dual non-Abelian duality and the Drinfeld double, Phys. Lett. B351 (1995) 455, hep-th/9502122.
[4] C. Klimčík, Poisson-Lie T-duality, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 46 (1996) 116, hep-th/9509095.

 <sup>[5]</sup> J. Balog, P. Forgács, Z. Horváth and L. Palla, A new family of SU(2) symmetric integrable sigma models, Phys. Lett. B324 (1994) 403, hep-th/9307030.

<sup>[6]</sup> J. M. Evans and T. J. Hollowood, Integrable theories that are asymptotically CFT, Nucl. Phys. B438 (1995) 469, hepth/9407113.

J. Balog, P. Forgács and L. Palla, A two-dimensional integrable axionic σ-model and T-duality, Phys. Lett. B484 (2000) 367, hep-th/0004180.

- [8] I. V. Cherednik, Relativistically invariant quasiclassical limits of integrable two-dimensional quantum models, Theor. Math. Phys. 47 (1981) 422.
- [9] N. Sochen, Integrable generalized principal chiral models, Phys. Lett. B391 (1997) 374, hep-th/9607009.
- [10] B. E. Fridling and A. Jevicki, Dual representations and ultraviolet divergences in non-linear sigma models, Phys. Lett. B134 (1984) 70.
- [11] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, Quantum equivalence of dual field theories, Annals Phys. 162 (1985) 31.
- [12] X. C. de la Ossa and F. Quevedo, Duality symmetries from non-Abelian isometries in string theories, Nucl. Phys. B403 (1993) 377, hep-th/9210021.
- [13] E. Álvarez, L. Álvarez-Gaumé and Y. Lozano, On non-Abelian Duality, Nucl. Phys. B424 (1994) 155, hep-th/9403155.
- [14] A. Giveon and M. Roček, On non-Abelian duality, Nucl. Phys. B421 (1994) 173, hep-th/9308154.