

Supersymmetry in the Half-Oscillator - Revisited

Asim Gangopadhyaya¹ and Jeffrey V. Mallow²

Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, IL 60626

Abstract

Following a recent study by Das and Pernice[1], we have carefully analyzed the half-harmonic oscillator. In contrast to their observations, our analysis reveals that the spectrum does not allow for a zero energy ground state and hence the supersymmetry is broken when the domain is restricted to the positive half of the real axis.

Introduction:

The harmonic oscillator is an extensively studied problem in classical and quantum physics. This was the first problem to be studied by the ladder operator method of Dirac, which was later extended to all other solvable problem under the rubric of supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSY)[2].

In supersymmetric quantum mechanics, the partner potentials $V_{\pm}(x)$ are related to the superpotential $W(x)$ by $V_{\pm}(x) = W^2(x) \pm W'(x)$. Setting $\hbar = m = 1$, the corresponding Hamiltonians H_{\pm} have a factorized form

$$H_- = \mathcal{A}^+ \mathcal{A}^- , \quad H_+ = \mathcal{A}^- \mathcal{A}^+ , \quad \mathcal{A}^- \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{d}{dx} + W \right) , \quad \mathcal{A}^+ \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-\frac{d}{dx} + W \right) \quad (1)$$

If either $\psi_0^{(-)}(x) \equiv \exp(-\int^x W(y)dy)$ or $\frac{1}{(\psi_0^{(-)}(x))^{-1}}$ is normalizable, it is the ground state for H_- or H_+ and corresponds to the case of unbroken SUSY. As can be explicitly checked, $\mathcal{A}^- \psi_0^{(-)} = 0$, and thus the ground state $E_0^{(-)}$ of H_- is zero. The vanishing of the ground state energy of Hamiltonian H_- or H_+ , is a necessary and sufficient condition for unbroken SUSY [2]. If, however, neither $\psi_0^{(-)}$ nor $\frac{1}{\psi_0^{(-)}}$ is well defined, the SUSY is said to be spontaneously broken. In that situation, the Hamiltonians H_+ and H_- have exactly the same eigenvalues and neither has a zero energy groundstate.

Assuming, as in Ref. [1] that H_+ has the zero energy groundstate, the eigenstates of H_+ and H_- are related by

$$E_0^{(+)} = 0 , \quad E_{n+1}^{(+)} = E_n^{(-)} , \quad \psi_n^{(-)} \propto \mathcal{A}^+ \psi_{n+1}^{(+)} , \quad \mathcal{A}^- \psi_n^{(-)} \propto \psi_{n+1}^{(+)} , \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2)$$

¹agangop@luc.edu

²jmallow@luc.edu

Supersymmetry in singular systems

We have studied oscillator systems with a $\frac{1}{x^2}$ singularity [3, 4, 5]. It was explicitly shown that, despite the presence of the singularity, supersymmetry remains unbroken, provided the superpotential is regularized with care, in agreement with the conclusions of Ref. [1]. In Ref. [5], we showed how to determine the spectrum algebraically if supersymmetry is broken. Here, we would like to revisit the half-oscillator system and check whether it can support an unbroken supersymmetry. Following the notation used in Ref.[1], we define the half-oscillator by the potential:

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} \infty & x < 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} (\omega^2 x^2 - \omega) & x > 0 \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

If the potential $\frac{1}{2} (\omega^2 x^2 - \omega)$ defined over the positive part of the x -axis were to be extended to the entire real axis, the system would have a zero energy groundstate and unbroken SUSY. This potential is generated by the following superpotential

$$W(x) = \begin{cases} \infty & x < 0 \\ -\omega x & x > 0 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

As shown in Ref. [1, 3, 4, 5], the ground state energy of this model is nonzero, signalling the breakdown of the supersymmetry. It was argued in Ref.[1] that this breaking of SUSY is actually an artifact of the way one defines the potential for the negative value of x , and thus, if the superpotential is chosen to be c , a positive constant, in the region $x < 0$ and $-\omega x$ for $x > 0$, and a careful limit $c \rightarrow \infty$ is taken, that would lead to a zero energy groundstate for H_+ . I.e., the claim is that it is not the singularity itself, but rather the way it is handled, that results in the violation of the supersymmetry. We would like to check this assertion.

We start with the superpotential of Ref. [1]:

$$W(x, c) = c \theta(-x) - \omega x \theta(x) \quad (5)$$

where $\theta(x)$ is the Heavyside step function. Corresponding partner potentials V_{\pm} are given by

$$V_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} [c^2 \theta(-x) + (\omega^2 x^2 \mp \omega) \theta(x) \mp c\delta(x)] \quad (6)$$

where $D\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\omega}, \sqrt{2\omega x}\right)$ is the parabolic cylindrical function [6]. The finiteness of the potential on the negative x -axis extends the domain to $(-\infty, \infty)$. For both potentials, solutions away

from the origin are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} x > 0 & \quad \psi(x) = A_1 D\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\omega}, \sqrt{2\omega} x\right) \\ x < 0 & \quad \psi(x) = A_2 \exp\left(\sqrt{C^2 - 2\epsilon} x\right) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $D\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\omega}, \sqrt{2\omega} x\right)$ is the parabolic cylindrical function [6]. The bound states are determined from the boundary conditions:

$$\psi(0_-) = \psi(0_+) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi'(0_+) - \psi'(0_-) = -c\psi(0_-) \quad (8)$$

where $D\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\omega}, \sqrt{2\omega} x\right)$ is the parabolic cylindrical function [6]. Using

$$D\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\omega}, 0\right) = \frac{2^{\frac{\epsilon}{2\omega}} \sqrt{\pi}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)} \quad \text{and} \quad D'\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\omega}, 0\right) = -2 \frac{2^{\frac{\epsilon}{2\omega}} \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{\omega}}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)} \quad (9)$$

and the boundary conditions given in eq. (8), we get

$$-2 \frac{\sqrt{\omega} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)}{\Gamma\left(-\frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)} = \sqrt{c^2 - 2\epsilon^+} - c \quad (10)$$

which agrees with eq. (23) of Ref. [1]. For any finite c , it is easy to show that $\epsilon^+ = 0$ is a solution. Using $\Gamma(x+1) = x \Gamma(x)$, the left hand side may be rewritten as $-\frac{\epsilon^+ \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)}{\sqrt{\omega} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)}$. Since both Γ functions are finite as $\epsilon^+ \rightarrow 0$, eq. (10) is manifestly satisfied by the solution $\epsilon^+ = 0$. Thus, for any finite value of c , a zero energy eigenvalue is indeed a solution of the above equation; therefore, the supersymmetry remains unbroken. Other eigenvalues $0 < \epsilon^+ < c^2$, for a finite c , can be obtained by numerically solving eq. (10).

Now let us consider the case of half-oscillator; i.e., take the limit $c \rightarrow \infty$. For $\epsilon^+ \ll c^2$, we have, inverting eq. (10),

$$\frac{\sqrt{\omega} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)}{\epsilon^+ \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)} = -\frac{c}{\epsilon^+} \quad (11)$$

For $\epsilon^+ \approx 0$, the above equation reduces to

$$\frac{\sqrt{\omega}}{\epsilon^+ \sqrt{\pi}} = -\frac{c}{\epsilon^+}$$

Both sides diverge as $\frac{1}{\epsilon^+}$; therefore, for finite ω , this does not permit infinite values of c . Thus, in contrast to the results obtained in Ref. [1], we find that $\epsilon^+ = 0$ is not a permissible

solution. To find the correct eigenenergies of this system as $c \rightarrow \infty$, we write eq. (11) as

$$\frac{\sqrt{\omega} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)} = -c \quad (12)$$

which yields $\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right) = -n_+$ with $n_+ = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; i.e., $\epsilon_{n_+}^+ = 2\omega(n_+ + 1)$. In summation, the ground state energy for V_+ is not equal to 0 but rather to 2ω .

For the partner potential V_- , the eigenenergies are determined by imposition of boundary conditions:

$$\psi(0_-) = \psi(0_+) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi'(0_+) - \psi'(0_-) = c\psi(0_-) \quad (13)$$

which gives rise to the condition

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon^-}{2\omega}\right)}{\sqrt{\omega} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^-}{2\omega}\right)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c^2 - 2\epsilon^- + c}} \quad (14)$$

and by similar arguments, the eigenenergies are given by $\epsilon_{n_-}^- = 2\omega(n_- + 1)$, $n_- = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Thus, when we take the $c \rightarrow \infty$ limit, the spectrum of systems with potentials V_+ and V_- are identical, characteristic of broken supersymmetry.

An alternate regularization considered in Ref. [1] is

$$\begin{aligned} W(x) &= -\omega x \theta(x) - \lambda x \theta(-x) \\ V_{\pm} &= \frac{1}{2} (\omega^2 x^2 \mp \omega) \theta(x) + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda^2 x^2 \mp \lambda) \theta(-x) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Boundary conditions lead to

$$\frac{\epsilon^+ \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)}{\sqrt{\omega} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)} = \frac{\epsilon^+ \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\lambda}\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\lambda}\right)} \quad (16)$$

For finite λ , the above equation obviously admits a zero energy solution and hence has unbroken supersymmetry. Other eigenvalues can be obtained by solving the above equation numerically for a fixed λ .

For $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, however, analysis identical to the preceding yields

$$\frac{\sqrt{\omega} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)}{\epsilon^+ \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)} = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda} \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\lambda}\right)}{\epsilon^+ \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\lambda}\right)} \quad (17)$$

In the limit $\epsilon^+ \rightarrow 0$, the left hand side goes to $\frac{\sqrt{\omega}}{\epsilon^+ \sqrt{\pi}}$ while the right hand side goes to $\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\epsilon^+ \sqrt{\pi}}$. Thus, for finite ω this does not allow for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, for this regularization as well,

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right)$ needs to be in the neighborhood of its poles, i.e., $\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^+}{2\omega}\right) = -n_+$, i.e., $\epsilon_{n_+}^+ = 2\omega(n_+ + 1)$. With similar arguments for ϵ^- , we get $\epsilon_n^\pm = 2\omega(n + 1)$, where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Identity of the two spectra means that supersymmetry is broken.

Conclusion: We revisited the analysis of supersymmetry in an oscillator in presence of a singularity. While we agree with the assertion of Ref. [1] that systems with $\frac{1}{x^2}$, if properly regularized, retain supersymmetry [3, 4, 5], the half-oscillator system does not. We have explicitly shown that a careful analysis leads to identical spectra for both partner potentials of the half-oscillator system, and hence to broken supersymmetry.

Acknowledgment: We would like to thank Prof. R. Dutt and Prof. Constantin Rasinariu for carefully reading the manuscript and suggesting changes. We also thank Prof. A. Khare and Prof. U.P. Sukhatme for important discussion. One of us (AG) would like to thank the physics department at UIC for its warm hospitality.

References

- [1] A. Das and S.A. Pernice *Nucl. Phys. B* **561** (1999) 357.
- [2] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, *Phys. Rep.* **251** (1995) 268.
- [3] A. Gangopadhyaya, U.P. Sukhatme; *Phys. Lett. A* **224**, (1996), 5.
- [4] R. Dutt, A. Gangopadhyaya, C. Rasinariu, U.P. Sukhatme; *Jour. Phys. A-Math. & Gen.* **34**, 4129-4142, 2001.
- [5] A. Gangopadhyaya, J.V. Mallow, U.P. Sukhatme; *Phys. Lett. A* **283**, (2001), 279.
- [6] Eugene Merzbacher, *Quantum Mechanics* by John Wiley & Sons, Second Edition (1970), page 70.