

SIT-LP-02/06
hep-th/0206122
June, 2002

Linearization of $N = 2$ Nonlinear Supersymmetry and Spontaneous Supersymmetry Breaking Parameters

KAZUNARI SHIMA ^{*} and MOTOMU TSUDA [†]

*Laboratory of Physics, Saitama Institute of Technology
Okabe-machi, Saitama 369-0293, Japan*

Abstract

We show explicitly by the heuristic and practical arguments that for $N = 2$ supersymmetry (SUSY) a SUSY invariant relation between component fields of a vector supermultiplet of linear SUSY and Nambu-Goldstone fermions of the Volkov-Akulov model of nonlinear SUSY is written by using only three arbitrary dimensionless parameters, which can be recasted as the vacuum expectation values of auxiliary fields in the vector supermultiplet.

^{*} e-mail: shima@sit.ac.jp

[†] e-mail: tsuda@sit.ac.jp

Spontaneously breaking of supersymmetry (SUSY) [1]-[3] gives rise to Nambu-Goldstone (N-G) fermions [4]-[6] which can be characterized by means of the non-linear realization of global SUSY (NL SUSY) [3]. The relationship between the Volkov-Akulov (V-A) model of NL SUSY and a scalar supermultiplet of the linear SUSY of Wess and Zumino [1] is well-known from the early work by many authors [7]-[11]. As for a vector supermultiplet, its relationship to $N = 1$ V-A model was studied in the context of the coupling of the V-A action to the gauge multiplet action with the Fayet-Iliopoulos D term of linear SUSY [7].

Recently, it becomes important to linearize NL SUSY from the viewpoint of the superon-graviton model (SGM) having a new NL SUSY based upon $SO(10)$ super-Poincaré algebra from a composite viewpoint of matter proposed by one of the author [12, 13]. The fundamental action of SGM is the Einstein-Hilbert type one which is invariant under at least [global NL SUSY] \otimes [local $GL(4, R)$] \otimes [local Lorentz] \otimes [global $SO(N)$] as a whole [14], which is isomorphic to global $SO(N)$ super-Poincaré symmetry. The expansion of the SGM action in terms of graviton and superons (N-G fermions) with spin-1/2 is a highly nonlinear one which consists of the Einstein-Hilbert action of general relativity, the V-A action and their interactions [15]. In SGM, the (composite) eigenstates of the *linear* representation of $SO(10)$ super-Poincaré algebra which is composed of superons (N-G fermions) with spin-1/2 are regarded as all observed elementary particles at low energy except graviton [12, 13]. Therefore it is inevitable to linearize such a highly nonlinear theory for deriving the low energy physical contents of the SGM action, and so the investigation of the linearization of V-A model in detail is also useful.

As a preliminary to do this, in Ref.[16] we have explicitly shown that the $N = 1$ V-A model is related to the total action of a $U(1)$ gauge supermultiplet [17] of the linear SUSY with the Fayet-Iliopoulos D term indicating a spontaneous SUSY breaking. In this work of [16] it became clear that the representations of all component fields of a $U(1)$ gauge supermultiplet in terms of the N-G fermion indicate the axial vector nature of the $U(1)$ gauge field. Furthermore, in [18] we have constructed for $N = 2$ SUSY a relation between component fields of a vector supermultiplet [19] and N-G fermion fields at the leading orders in a SUSY invariant way, and we have shown that the $U(1)$ gauge field has a (realistic) vector nature in terms of the N-G fermion fields. In this paper, starting from an general ansatz given below (Eq.(3)), we show explicitly that the SUSY invariant relation of the $N = 2$ theories is written by using only three arbitrary dimensionless parameters, which can be recasted as the vacuum expectation values of auxiliary fields in a vector supermultiplet.

We denote in this paper the component fields of an $N = 2$ $U(1)$ gauge supermultiplet [19] as follows; [‡] namely, A and B for two physical scalar fields, A_a for a

[‡] In this letter Minkowski spacetime indices are denoted by $a, b, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and we use the

U(1) gauge field and λ^i ($i = 1, 2$) for two Majorana spinors in addition to F , G and D for three auxiliary scalar fields at least for a free vector supermultiplet required from the mismatch of the degrees of freedom between bosonic and fermionic physical fields. [§] The linear SUSY transformations of these component fields generated by constant (Majorana) spinor parameters ζ^i are

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta A &= \bar{\zeta}^1 \lambda^1 + \bar{\zeta}^2 \lambda^2, \\
\delta B &= i\bar{\zeta}^1 \gamma_5 \lambda^1 + i\bar{\zeta}^2 \gamma_5 \lambda^2, \\
\delta A_a &= -i\bar{\zeta}^1 \gamma_a \lambda^2 + i\bar{\zeta}^2 \gamma_a \lambda^1, \\
\delta \lambda^1 &= \{(F + i\gamma_5 G) - i\cancel{\partial}(A + i\gamma_5 B)\}\zeta^1 - iF_{ab}\sigma^{ab}\zeta^2 + i\gamma_5\zeta^2 D, \\
\delta \lambda^2 &= \{(F - i\gamma_5 G) - i\cancel{\partial}(A + i\gamma_5 B)\}\zeta^2 + iF_{ab}\sigma^{ab}\zeta^1 + i\gamma_5\zeta^1 D, \\
\delta F &= -i\bar{\zeta}^1 \cancel{\partial}\lambda^1 - i\bar{\zeta}^2 \cancel{\partial}\lambda^2, \\
\delta G &= \bar{\zeta}^1 \gamma_5 \cancel{\partial}\lambda^1 - \bar{\zeta}^2 \gamma_5 \cancel{\partial}\lambda^2, \\
\delta D &= \bar{\zeta}^1 \gamma_5 \cancel{\partial}\lambda^2 + \bar{\zeta}^2 \gamma_5 \cancel{\partial}\lambda^1,
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

which satisfy a closed off-shell commutator algebra.

On the other hand, in the $N = 2$ V-A model [20] we have a NL SUSY transformation laws of (Majorana) N-G fermions ψ^i generated by ζ^i ,

$$\delta \psi^i = \frac{1}{\kappa} \zeta^i - i\kappa (\bar{\zeta}^j \gamma^a \psi^j) \partial_a \psi^i, \tag{2}$$

where κ is a constant whose dimension is $(\text{mass})^{-2}$. Eq.(2) also satisfies the off-shell commutator algebra without a U(1) gauge transformation.

From the SUSY transformations (1) and (2), a SUSY invariant relation between the component fields of the $N = 2$ vector supermultiplet and the N-G fermion fields ψ^i is obtained at the leading orders of κ as follows: Indeed, adopting an ansatz

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^1 &= (\xi + i\theta\gamma_5)\psi^1 + (\eta + i\varphi\gamma_5)\psi^2 + \dots, \\
\lambda^2 &= (\xi' + i\theta'\gamma_5)\psi^1 + (\eta' + i\varphi'\gamma_5)\psi^2 + \dots.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with $\xi, \eta, \theta, \varphi, \xi', \eta', \theta'$ and φ' being eight arbitrary real parameters which are the most general one for the dimensionless case, we substitute (3) into (1) and combine (1) with (2) as done in [8]. Then we immediately obtain the relation between the bosonic fields A, B, A_a, F, G and D of the linear supermultiplet and the N-G

Minkowski spacetime metric $\frac{1}{2}\{\gamma^a, \gamma^b\} = \eta^{ab} = (+, -, -, -)$ and $\sigma^{ab} = \frac{i}{4}[\gamma^a, \gamma^b]$.

[§]The component fields indeed belong to representations of a rigid SU(2) [19]; namely, λ^i and (F, G, D) belong to representations **2** and **3** of SU(2) respectively while other fields are singlets. In Ref.[18] we linearize $N = 2$ NL SUSY in the manifestly SU(2) covariant form.

fermions ψ^i at the leading orders of κ , provided that the real parameters in (3) are restricted as

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= 0 = \xi', \\ \eta' &= \xi, \quad \theta' = \varphi, \quad \varphi' = -\theta.\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

The results are

$$A = \frac{1}{2}\kappa \xi (\bar{\psi}^1\psi^1 + \bar{\psi}^2\psi^2) + \frac{i}{2}\kappa \theta (\bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\psi^1 - \bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\psi^2) + i\kappa \varphi \bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\psi^2 + \dots, \tag{5}$$

$$B = \frac{i}{2}\kappa \xi (\bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\psi^1 + \bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\psi^2) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa \theta (\bar{\psi}^1\psi^1 - \bar{\psi}^2\psi^2) - \kappa \varphi \bar{\psi}^1\psi^2 + \dots, \tag{6}$$

$$A_a = -i\kappa \xi \bar{\psi}^1\gamma_a\psi^2 + \kappa \theta \bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\gamma_a\psi^2 - \frac{1}{2}\kappa \varphi (\bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\gamma_a\psi^1 - \bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\gamma_a\psi^2) + \dots, \tag{7}$$

$$\lambda^1 = (\xi + i\theta\gamma_5)\psi^1 + i\varphi\gamma_5\psi^2 + \dots, \tag{8}$$

$$\lambda^2 = (\xi - i\theta\gamma_5)\psi^2 + i\varphi\gamma_5\psi^1 + \dots, \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}F &= \xi \left\{ \frac{1}{\kappa} - i\kappa(\bar{\psi}^1\partial\psi^1 + \bar{\psi}^2\partial\psi^2) \right\} - \kappa \theta (\bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\partial\psi^1 - \bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\partial\psi^2) \\ &\quad - \kappa \varphi \partial_a(\bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\gamma^a\psi^2) + \dots, \end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}G &= \theta \left\{ \frac{1}{\kappa} - i\kappa(\bar{\psi}^1\partial\psi^1 + \bar{\psi}^2\partial\psi^2) \right\} + \kappa \xi (\bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\partial\psi^1 - \bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\partial\psi^2) \\ &\quad - i\kappa \varphi \partial_a(\bar{\psi}^1\gamma^a\psi^2) + \dots, \end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}D &= \varphi \left\{ \frac{1}{\kappa} - i\kappa(\bar{\psi}^1\partial\psi^1 + \bar{\psi}^2\partial\psi^2) \right\} + \kappa \xi \partial_a(\bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\gamma^a\psi^2) \\ &\quad + i\kappa \theta \partial_a(\bar{\psi}^1\gamma^a\psi^2) + \dots, \end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

in which the three arbitrary real parameters ξ , θ and φ are involved. The first term $-i\kappa \xi \bar{\psi}^1\gamma_a\psi^2$ in Eq.(7) shows the vector nature of the U(1) gauge field as we expected and have already showed [18]. Also Eqs.(10) to (12) for the auxiliary fields F , G and D have the form which is proportional to a determinant $|w| = \det(w^a_b)$ in the $N = 2$ V-A model [20] with w^a_b being defined by

$$w^a_b = \delta^a_b + t^a_b, \quad t^a_b = -i\kappa^2\bar{\psi}^i\gamma^a\partial_b\psi^i,\tag{13}$$

plus total derivative terms at least at the leading orders of κ . Namely, we have

$$F = \frac{\xi}{\kappa} [\text{leading terms of } |w|] + [\text{tot. der.}] + \dots, \tag{14}$$

$$G = \frac{\theta}{\kappa} [\text{leading terms of } |w|] + [\text{tot. der.}] + \dots, \tag{15}$$

$$D = \frac{\varphi}{\kappa} [\text{leading terms of } |w|] + [\text{tot. der.}] + \dots. \tag{16}$$

In addition, the first terms in Eqs.(10) to (12) or the SUSY transformations of Eqs.(8) and (9) show that ξ/κ , θ/κ and φ/κ correspond to the vacuum expectation values of the auxiliary fields F , G and D .

We can continue to obtain higher order terms in the SUSY invariant relations: After some calculations we obtain the relation between λ^i and the N-G fermion fields ψ^i at $O(\kappa^2)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^1 = & (\xi + i\theta\gamma_5)\psi^1 + i\varphi\gamma_5\psi^2 \\
& - \frac{i}{2}\kappa^2 \xi \{(\bar{\psi}^1\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^1)\psi^1 - (\bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^1)\gamma_5\psi^1 + (\bar{\psi}^1\partial_a\psi^1)\gamma^a\psi^1 + (\bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\partial_a\psi^1)\gamma_5\gamma^a\psi^1\} \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2 \theta \{(\bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^1)\psi^1 - (\bar{\psi}^1\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^1)\gamma_5\psi^1 - (\bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\partial_a\psi^1)\gamma^a\psi^1 - (\bar{\psi}^1\partial_a\psi^1)\gamma_5\gamma^a\psi^1\} \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2 \varphi \{(\bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^2)\psi^1 - (\bar{\psi}^1\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^2)\gamma_5\psi^1 - (\bar{\psi}^1\gamma_5\partial_a\psi^2)\gamma^a\psi^1 - (\bar{\psi}^1\partial_a\psi^2)\gamma_5\gamma^a\psi^1\} \\
& - i\kappa^2 \xi \{(\bar{\psi}^2\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^2)\psi^1 + (\bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^2)\gamma_5\psi^1 + (\bar{\psi}^2\partial_a\psi^2)\gamma^a\psi^1 + (\bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\partial_a\psi^2)\gamma_5\gamma^a\psi^1\} \\
& + \kappa^2 \theta \{(\bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^2)\psi^1 + (\bar{\psi}^2\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^2)\gamma_5\psi^1 - (\bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\partial_a\psi^2)\gamma^a\psi^1 - (\bar{\psi}^2\partial_a\psi^2)\gamma_5\gamma^a\psi^1\} \\
& - \kappa^2 \varphi \{(\bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^1)\psi^1 + (\bar{\psi}^2\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^1)\gamma_5\psi^1 - (\bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\partial_a\psi^1)\gamma^a\psi^1 - (\bar{\psi}^2\partial_a\psi^1)\gamma_5\gamma^a\psi^1\} \\
& + \kappa^2 \xi \left\{ \frac{i}{2}(\bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^1)\gamma_5\psi^2 + (\bar{\psi}^2\gamma_b\partial_a\psi^1)\sigma^{ab}\psi^2 \right\} \\
& + \kappa^2 \theta \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}^2\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^1)\gamma_5\psi^2 - i(\bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\gamma_b\partial_a\psi^1)\sigma^{ab}\psi^2 \right\} \\
& + \kappa^2 \varphi \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\psi}^2\bar{\not{\partial}}\psi^2)\gamma_5\psi^2 - i(\bar{\psi}^2\gamma_5\gamma_b\partial_a\psi^2)\sigma^{ab}\psi^2 \right\} + \dots , \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

and λ^2 is obtained by exchanging the indices 1 and 2 and by replacing θ with $-\theta$ in Eq.(17). We can also construct the SUSY invariant relation with respect to the bosonic fields of the linear supermultiplet at $O(\kappa^3)$ [18]. In principle we can further continue to obtain higher order terms in the SUSY invariant relation following this approach. However, it will be more useful to use the $N = 2$ superfield formalism [21] as was done in Refs.[7, 9, 16]. Remarkably, Eqs.(5) to (12) (and also (17), etc.) reduce to that of the $N = 1$ SUSY by imposing, e.g. $\psi^2 = 0$: Indeed, when $\xi = 1$ and $\theta = \varphi = 0$, they becomes that of the scalar supermultiplet obtained in Ref.[8]. When $\varphi = 1$ and $\xi = \theta = 0$, they reduce to that of the U(1) gauge supermultiplet obtained in Refs.[7, 16].

So far, our discussion does not depend on the form of the action for the two models. We now consider a free action which is invariant under Eq.(1)

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{lin}} = & \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_a A)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_a B)^2 - \frac{1}{4}F_{ab}^2 + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\lambda}^i\bar{\not{\partial}}\lambda^i + \frac{1}{2}(F^2 + G^2 + D^2) \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{\kappa}(\xi F + \theta G + \varphi D) \right], \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

where ξ , θ and φ are three arbitrary real parameters satisfying $\xi^2 + \theta^2 + \varphi^2 = 1$. The last three terms proportional to κ^{-1} is an analog of the Fayet-Iliopoulos D term in the $N = 1$ theories [5]. The field equations for the auxiliary fields F , G or D are $F = \xi/\kappa$, $G = \theta/\kappa$ or $D = \varphi/\kappa$ indicating a spontaneous SUSY breaking. Substituting (5) to (12) into the linear action S_{lin} of (18), we can show immediately that S_{lin} coincides with the following V-A action S_{VA} up to and including $O(\kappa^0)$; namely,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{VA}} &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x |w| \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^4x \left[1 + t^a{}_a + \frac{1}{2}(t^a{}_a t^b{}_b - t^a{}_b t^b{}_a) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{abcd} \epsilon^{efgd} t^a{}_e t^b{}_f t^c{}_g - \frac{1}{4!} \epsilon_{abcd} \epsilon^{efgh} t^a{}_e t^b{}_f t^c{}_g t^d{}_h \right], \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

which is invariant under (2).

We note that the linearization of $N = 2$ SUSY in this paper can be discussed as a manifestly (rigid) $SU(2)$ invariant form [18], which gives more concise expressions of the SUSY invariant relation (5) to (12) (and also (17), etc.): By defining

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{Li} &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5)\lambda^i, & \lambda_{Ri}^i &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5)\lambda^i, \\ \zeta_L^i &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5)\zeta^i, & \zeta_{Ri} &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + \gamma_5)\zeta^i, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and their Dirac conjugates $\bar{\lambda}_L^i$, $\bar{\lambda}_{Ri}$, $\bar{\zeta}_L^i$ and $\bar{\zeta}_R^i$, and by using the antisymmetric symbol ϵ^{ij} ($\epsilon^{12} = +1$) and ϵ_{ij} ($\epsilon_{12} = -1$) to raise and lower $SU(2)$ indices as $\psi^i = \epsilon^{ij}\psi_j$, $\psi_i = \epsilon_{ij}\psi^j$, the linear SUSY transformations of (1) are rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A &= \bar{\zeta}_L^i \lambda_{Ri}^i + \bar{\zeta}_R^i \lambda_{Li}, \\ \delta B &= i\bar{\zeta}_L^i \lambda_{Ri}^i - i\bar{\zeta}_R^i \lambda_{Li}, \\ \delta A_a &= -i\bar{\zeta}_L^i \gamma_a \lambda_L^i + i\bar{\zeta}_R^i \lambda_{Ri}, \\ \delta \lambda_{Li} &= iF_{ab} \sigma^{ab} \zeta_L^i - i\cancel{\partial}(A + iB)\zeta_{Ri} + i\zeta_{Lj}(\sigma^I)^j{}_i D^I, \\ \delta \lambda_{Ri}^i &= -iF_{ab} \sigma^{ab} \zeta_R^i - i\cancel{\partial}(A - iB)\zeta_L^i + iD^I(\sigma^I)^i{}_j \zeta_R^j, \\ \delta D^I &= \bar{\zeta}_L^i(\sigma^I)^i{}_j \cancel{\partial} \lambda_L^j + \bar{\zeta}_R^i(\sigma^I)^i{}_j \cancel{\partial} \lambda_R^j, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where σ^I are the Pauli matrices and D^I are $SU(2)$ vector defined by

$$D^1 = -G, \quad D^2 = -F, \quad D^3 = D. \quad (22)$$

And, for example, Eq.(17) is recasted as the following compact form; namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{Li}(\psi) = & i\xi^I(\psi_L\sigma^I)_i + \kappa^2\xi^I\gamma^a\psi_{Ri}\bar{\psi}_R\sigma^I\partial_a\psi_L + \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2\xi^I\gamma^{ab}\psi_{Li}\partial_a(\bar{\psi}_L\sigma^I\gamma_b\psi_L) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\kappa^2\xi^I(\psi_L\sigma^J)_i(\bar{\psi}_L\sigma^J\sigma^I\not{\partial}\psi_L - \bar{\psi}_R\sigma^J\sigma^I\not{\partial}\psi_R) + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^4), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

In conclusion of this paper, adopting the general ansatz (3) having the eight real dimensionless parameters with κ^0 , we have explicitly shown that for $N = 2$ SUSY the SUSY invariant relation (5) to (12) (and also (17), etc.) is written by using only three arbitrary parameters, which can be recasted as the vacuum expectation values of the auxiliary fields in the vector supermultiplet. These heuristic arguments are practical and show more general assumptions adopted for obtaining the SUSY invariant relation. From those arguments on the linearization of $N = 1$ and $N = 2$ SUSY, we speculate that all renormalizable (N -extended) global linear SUSY (interacting) model are equivalent to the (N -extended) V-A model. It is remarkable that the vector (not axial) gauge field needs $N = 2$ SUSY, i.e. $SU(2)$ structure of the basic algebra. These results may support the SGM scenario [12, 13] which is global NL SUSY (generalized V-A) model in curved spacetime.

Acknowledgements

The work of M.T. is supported in part by the High-Tech research program of Saitama Institute of Technology.

References

- [1] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Phys. Lett.* **B49** (1974) 52.
- [2] Y.A. Golfand and E.S. Likhtman, *JET Lett.* **13** (1971) 323.
- [3] D.V. Volkov and V.P. Akulov, *JETP Lett.* **16** (1972) 438;
D.V. Volkov and V.P. Akulov, *Phys. Lett.* **B46** (1973) 109.
- [4] A. Salam and J. Strathdee, *Phys. Lett.* **B49** (1974) 465.
- [5] P. Fayet and J. Iliopoulos, *Phys. Lett.* **B51** (1974) 461.
- [6] L. O’Raifeartaigh, *Nucl. Phys.* **B96** (1975) 331.
- [7] E.A. Ivanov and A.A. Kapustnikov, Relation between linear and nonlinear realizations of supersymmetry, JINR Dubna Report No. E2-10765, 1977 (unpublished);
E.A. Ivanov and A.A. Kapustnikov, *J. Phys.* **A11** (1978) 2375;
E.A. Ivanov and A.A. Kapustnikov, *J. Phys.* **G8** (1982) 167.
- [8] M. Roček, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **41** (1978) 451.
- [9] T. Uematsu and C.K. Zachos, *Nucl. Phys.* **B201** (1982) 250.
- [10] S. Samuel and J. Wess, *Nucl. Phys.* **B221** (1983) 153;
Nucl. Phys. **B226** (1983) 289.
- [11] H. Nishino, Non-linear realization of \mathfrak{N}_0 -extended supersymmetry, *Nucl. Phys.* **B586** (2000) 491, hep-th/0002029.
- [12] K. Shima, *Z. Phys.* **C18** (1983) 25;
K. Shima, *European Phys. J.* **C7** (1999) 341.
- [13] K. Shima, *Phys. Lett.* **B501** (2001) 237, hep-ph/0012320.
- [14] K. Shima and M. Tsuda, *Phys. Lett.* **B507** (2001) 260, hep-th/0101178.
- [15] K. Shima and M. Tsuda, On Einstein-Hilbert type action of superon-graviton model(SGM), hep-th/0112052.
- [16] K. Shima, Y. Tanii and M. Tsuda, *Phys. Lett.* **B525** (2002) 183, hep-th/0110102.
- [17] J. Wess and B. Zumino, *Nucl. Phys.* **B78** (1974) 1.
- [18] K. Shima, Y. Tanii and M. Tsuda, hep-th/0205178.

- [19] P. Fayet, *Nucl. Phys.* **B113** (1976) 135.
- [20] W. Bardeen and V. Višnjić, *Nucl. Phys.* **B194** (1982) 422.
- [21] R. Grimm, M. Sohnius and J. Wess, *Nucl. Phys.* **B133** (1978) 275.