

# Kinetic Terms for 2-Forms in Four Dimensions

J. Fernando Barbero G.\* and Eduardo J. S. Villaseñor†

*Instituto de Matemáticas y Física Fundamental, C.S.I.C., C/ Serrano 113bis, 28006 Madrid, Spain*

(Dated: May 8, 2002)

We study the general form of the possible kinetic terms for 2-form fields in four dimensions, under the restriction that they have a semibounded energy density. This is done by using covariant symplectic techniques and generalizes previous partial results in this direction.

PACS numbers: 11.15.-q, 03.65.Ca, 04.20.Fy

## I. INTRODUCTION

A cursory look at the Quantum Field Theory literature may give the impression that free (i.e. quadratic) Lagrangians are trivial first steps in the road to the physically interesting interacting theories. Once the important concepts of quantization in Fock spaces, the definition of particle states, quantum numbers and so on are understood, the emphasis shifts to the complicated problems of dealing with interactions and computing cross sections. The usual actions for the scalar, vector and spin 1/2 fields seem to cover all the possibilities as far as free theories are concerned<sup>1</sup> so one might think that there is little more to be learned about free actions. This, however, is not the case. Although Poincaré invariance in Minkowski spacetime certainly constraints the physical properties of the states described by a given, *arbitrarily general*, quadratic action its precise particle content is not always obvious because it is usually not evident how to “diagonalize” and write it in terms of the familiar free actions mentioned above. It is thus necessary to find a way to classify the possible kinetic terms according to their particle contents and their physical consistency (in particular it is very important to know if their energy is semibounded or not). In two previous papers [1], [2] we have obtained some partial results in this direction. In [1] we classified all the possible diff-invariant terms in four dimensions. One of the interesting results of that paper was finding out that quadratic diff-invariant Lagrangians do not describe any local physical degrees of freedom. In [2] we studied a family of quadratic actions for  $s$ -form fields in Minkowski spacetime<sup>2</sup> given by

$$S_s[A] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} [dA^t \wedge *PdA + \delta A^t \wedge *Q\delta A] \quad , \quad (1)$$

where  $A$  is a set of  $N$   $s$ -form fields (with its transpose denoted as  $A^t$ ),  $d$  is the exterior derivative,  $*$  is the Hodge dual used to define the dual derivative  $\delta$  and  $\wedge$  the exterior product.  $P$  and  $Q$  are quadratic forms represented by symmetric, real,  $N \times N$  matrices. We found out that all the Lagrangians of this type, with semibounded energy, could be rewritten as the sum of free  $s$ -form Maxwell-like actions and  $(\partial_a A^a)^2$  terms for disjoint sets of fields. Although (1) describes a large family of quadratic actions it is by no means the most general one. First of all, it only involves bosonic  $s$ -form fields and not other types of geometrical objects that may be important in some instances (for example, twice covariant symmetric tensors); also, it does not have cross terms involving forms of different type (no coupling between, say, 1-forms and 2-forms). Finally, in the special case of 2-forms, there is the possibility of adding an extra term, involving a new “coupling matrix”  $R$ , that does not exist in the other cases. The purpose of this paper is to study the inclusion of this additional term and develop the mathematical tools necessary to complete the classification of all the possible kinetic terms for 2-form fields in a four dimensional Minkowskian background.

There are several reasons to consider this problem. First, antisymmetric fields appear in physically relevant situations; it is known, for example, that string theories contain states that are described by this type of objects at low energies. They have also been used in several proposed mass generation mechanisms for gauge bosons without the introduction of Higgs fields (see [3] and references therein). Second, we believe that it is important to know all the free actions of a certain type and consider the consistent introduction of interactions along the lines of [4] to explore the possible existence of new models that can be studied by the usual methods of perturbative Quantum

---

\*jfbbarbero@imaff.cfmac.csic.es

†eduardo@imaff.cfmac.csic.es

<sup>1</sup> Gravity can be derived from the quadratic Fierz-Pauli Lagrangian.

<sup>2</sup> To make this paper as self-contained as possible, we give a quick review of the results of that paper in appendix D.

Field Theory. Third, it is a first step towards the classification of kinetic terms that couple 1-forms and 2-forms and their interactions (itself an open problem [5]). Finally, we think that it is very important to understand the physical content described by *general* free actions. In particular we want to know if, after imposing the condition that the energy be semi-definite, it is possible to describe particles with helicities different from zero. If helicity 1 modes are present, then we could have consistent actions for massless spin 1 fields maybe different from the usual Yang-Mills ones. If we could find helicity 2 states, we would have an alternative to the Fierz-Pauli Lagrangian that might lead to a reasonable perturbative quantum field theory of gravity after the introduction of self-interactions and matter couplings. The results of [2] show that the action (1) for 2-forms does not work in this sense; once we impose the condition that the energy be semi-definite we find out that it describes only massless scalars. However, as already quoted above, for 2-forms there is the possibility of adding an extra term to (1). We investigate here if the previous conclusion continues to apply to this case.

We study this problem within the covariant symplectic framework introduced by Crnković and Witten [6, 7, 8] and used by the authors in [1, 2, 9]. The method followed in those papers involved two main steps: the solution of the field equations and the evaluation, on the solution space, of the symplectic form derived from the action. The process of solving the field equations depends on the geometrical setting of the problem. In the case of the diff-invariant actions considered in [1, 9] they could be solved in a straightforward way, however, in the presence of a Minkowskian background [2] the process was more involved. It consisted of three main steps: the identification of the relevant algebraic sectors, the obtention of differential necessary conditions and, finally, the resolution of the field equations. The decomposition of the solution space that we found allowed us to write down, in a very compact and convenient way the symplectic structure on the solution space, the energy-momentum and the helicity densities. We want to point out that it is possible to follow more standard approaches, such as the familiar Dirac analysis, to identify the physical degrees of freedom and gauge symmetries of the problem that we consider. However, in order to derive sufficient and necessary conditions to guarantee the positiveness of energy in a generic case, we need to restrict the energy to physical configurations (reduced phase space). This requires, in practice, the resolution of the field equations and, in our opinion, a covariant description provides the most elegant and simple setting to study the problem.

The paper is structured as follows; after this introduction we discuss the action, field equations and their solutions in section II. As we show it is possible to follow the same steps and take advantage of the techniques developed in [2] in the much more complicated case of the general 2-form quadratic action that we study now. However, this requires some additional steps, in particular we will have to complexify the action, introduce suitable projection operators on self-dual and anti-self dual 2-forms and recover the real theory by implementing suitable reality conditions. In this respect the program bears a striking resemblance with the original Ahstekar variables formalism in which self-duality played an important role [10, 11, 12, 13] and the use of reality conditions was a key ingredient. In section III we obtain the symplectic structure on the solution space that allows us to identify canonical pairs of variables and the definition of Poisson brackets. We use it also to derive the energy-momentum density and the helicity of physical states in section IV. We get them as quadratic forms defined on the vector subspace defined by the algebraic constraints obtained in the process of solving the field equations. The main physical condition that we impose on the models described by the family of actions considered in this paper is the semi-boundedness of the energy, necessary at the classical level to guarantee stability after the introduction of interactions; this is discussed in detail in section V. Once the physically consistent kinetic terms are identified it is interesting to figure out if they can be written in simple “canonical” forms by linear field redefinitions. As shown in [2] in the absence of the  $R$ -term it is actually possible to write the kinetic term as a sum of ordinary and “dual” (of the  $(\partial_a A^a)^2$  type) Maxwell lagrangians; we show that this is also true here. Section VI is devoted to the study of the  $R = 0$  case as a further check of the methods used in this paper. We show how to recover the results obtained in previous work. Finally we end the paper with our conclusions in section VII and give several appendices with detailed compilations of formulas and some calculations needed in the main body of the paper.

## II. ACTION, FIELD EQUATIONS, AND SOLUTIONS

We start by writing down the action (rather the family of actions) that we consider in this paper

$$S_2[B] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \left[ \frac{1}{2} dB^t \wedge *PdB + \frac{1}{2} \delta B^t \wedge *Q\delta B - dB^t \wedge R\delta B \right] , \quad (2)$$

where  $B$  denotes a set of  $N$  real-valued 2-forms that we will take as column vectors,  $B^t$  is the transpose of  $B$ ,  $d$  is the exterior differential and  $\wedge$  is the exterior product defined on  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . We have a Minkowski metric  $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(- + + +)$  needed to define the Hodge dual  $*$  that we use to write down the adjoint exterior differential  $\delta$ . We provide a dictionary with our conventions to translate differential forms to the component framework in appendix A. As in (1),  $P$  and  $Q$

are real  $N \times N$  square symmetric matrices. The key new ingredient in (2) is the appearance of the last term (referred to in the following as  $R$ -term) involving an arbitrary  $N \times N$  square, but not necessarily symmetric, real matrix. In four dimensions this term can only be written for 2-forms. The Euler-Lagrange field equations given by (2) are

$$(P - *R^t)\delta dB + (Q - *R)d\delta B = 0 \quad . \quad (3)$$

As in previous papers we start by solving this set of linear partial differential equations. These equations involve a combination of algebraic and differential operators. Whereas in [2] the  $P$  and  $Q$  matrices commuted with the differential operators  $d$  and  $\delta$ , here the situation is much more complicated because  $P - *R^t$  and  $Q - *R$  involve the Hodge dual and, hence, one must be very careful with the order in which these algebraic operators,  $d$ , and  $\delta$  appear. In fact this is the key difficulty that we have to overcome to treat this problem along the lines followed for the action (1). Fortunately there is a clean way to solve this issue.

We will first consider Eq. (3) for *complex*  $B$ , ( $B_{ab}(x) \in \mathbb{C}^N, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^4$ ). The linearity of the field equations and the fact that  $P$ ,  $Q$ , and  $R$  are real objects tell us that both the real and imaginary part of a complex solution are real solutions. Also, every complex solution can be built from its real and imaginary parts.

We will introduce now the following set of projection operators (acting on 2-forms):

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_+ &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + i*), \\ \pi_- &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - i*), \end{aligned}$$

that satisfy the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_+^2 &= \pi_+, \\ \pi_-^2 &= \pi_-, \\ \pi_- \pi_+ &= \pi_+ \pi_- = 0, \\ \pi_+ + \pi_- &= 1, \\ i(\pi_- - \pi_+) &= * \quad . \end{aligned}$$

They also satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_+ \delta d &= \frac{1}{2} \square \pi_+ + \frac{1}{2} (\delta d - d\delta) \pi_-, \\ \pi_- \delta d &= \frac{1}{2} \square \pi_- + \frac{1}{2} (\delta d - d\delta) \pi_+, \\ \pi_+ d\delta &= \frac{1}{2} \square \pi_+ - \frac{1}{2} (\delta d - d\delta) \pi_-, \\ \pi_- d\delta &= \frac{1}{2} \square \pi_- - \frac{1}{2} (\delta d - d\delta) \pi_+. \end{aligned}$$

We write now  $B = (\pi_+ + \pi_-)B = \pi_+ B + \pi_- B \equiv B_+ + B_-$  where  $\pi_+ B_+ = B_+$ ,  $\pi_- B_- = B_-$ , and  $\pi_+ B_- = \pi_- B_+ = 0$ . It is straightforward to see that  $B$  is real if and only if  $B_- = \overline{B_+}$ <sup>3</sup> (the overbar denotes complex conjugation). By writing  $P - *R^t = P(\pi_+ + \pi_-) - i(\pi_- - \pi_+)R^t$  and  $Q - *R = Q(\pi_+ + \pi_-) - i(\pi_- - \pi_+)R$  in the field equations and projecting with  $\pi_+$  and  $\pi_-$  we see that they are equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_+ [(P + iR^t)\delta dB + (Q + iR)d\delta B] &= 0, \\ \pi_- [(P - iR^t)\delta dB + (Q - iR)d\delta B] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(P + iR^t) [\square B_+ + (\delta d - d\delta)B_-] + (Q + iR) [\square B_+ - (\delta d - d\delta)B_-] = 0 \quad (4a)$$

$$(P - iR^t) [\square B_- + (\delta d - d\delta)B_+] + (Q - iR) [\square B_- - (\delta d - d\delta)B_+] = 0. \quad (4b)$$

---

<sup>3</sup> In fact, if  $B$  is real then  $\overline{B_+} = 1/2(1 - i*)\overline{B} = 1/2(1 - i*)B = B_-$ . Conversely, if  $B_- = \overline{B_+}$  then  $(1 - i*)B = (1 - i*)\overline{B}$ . If we write  $B = \Re(B) + i\Im(B)$  the previous equality tells us that  $\Re(B) + * \Im(B) = \Re(B) - * \Im(B)$  and  $\Im(B) - * \Re(B) = -\Im(B) - * \Re(B)$  which implies  $* \Im(B) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Im(B) = 0$ .

with  $B_- = \overline{B}_+$ . We see that by complexifying the action and introducing the projection operators  $\pi_+$  and  $\pi_-$  we have been able to write the field equations (3) as equations on the fields  $B_+$  and  $B_-$  that do not involve the Hodge dual  $*$ . This allows us to obtain the following convenient necessary conditions by acting on (4) with  $d$  and  $\delta$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ \bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d\Box B_+ \\ d\Box B_- \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{P}d\Box\mathcal{B} = 0 \quad , \quad (5a)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & -b \\ -\bar{b} & \bar{a} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta\Box B_+ \\ \delta\Box B_- \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{Q}\delta\Box\mathcal{B} = 0 \quad , \quad (5b)$$

where  $\mathcal{B}(x) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} B_+ \\ B_- \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}$ ,  $a \equiv P+Q+i(R+R^t)$ , and  $b \equiv P-Q+i(R^t-R)$ . The matrices  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are *symmetric* ( $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}^t$ ,  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}^t$ ) but, in general, *not hermitian*. The conditions (5) have the same structure as those found for the  $R = 0$  case in [2].

We will discuss now several algebraic issues concerning the matrices  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ . As already happened in [2] it is not necessary to consider all the possible quadratic forms  $P$ ,  $Q$  and  $R$  because in some situations it is trivially possible to eliminate some of the fields appearing in the action by linear, non-singular field redefinitions. The relevant condition to be imposed in this case is

$$\ker(*P + R) \cap \ker(*Q + R^t) = \{0\} \quad (6)$$

with  $*P + R$  and  $*Q + R^t$  defined as linear operators in  $\Omega_2^N(\mathcal{M})$ , the space of 2-form valued  $N$ -dimensional vectors. The reason is that the field equations (3) can be rewritten in the form

$$d\delta(*P + R)B + \delta d(*Q + R^t)B = 0;$$

suggesting that elements in  $\ker(*P + R) \cap \ker(*Q + R^t)$  should play no role whatsoever. This turns out to be the case as can be easily seen by rewriting the action (2) as

$$S'_2[B] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} [\delta B^t \wedge d(*Q + R^t)B - dB^t \wedge \delta(*P + R)B],$$

expanding  $B = \rho^A B_A + \sigma^{\bar{\alpha}} B_{\bar{\alpha}}$  (with  $(*P + R)\rho^A B_A = 0$  and  $(*Q + R^t)\rho^A B_A = 0$  and  $\sigma^{\bar{\alpha}}$  in the complementary vector space) and plugging this expression back in the previous form of the action to check that all the  $B^A$  fields drop out. The condition (6) can be reexpressed (see appendix B) as the simple condition on  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ :

$$\ker \mathcal{P} \cap \ker \mathcal{Q} = \{0\} \quad . \quad (7)$$

We derive now some properties of  $\ker \mathcal{P}$  and  $\ker \mathcal{Q}$ . First it is straightforward to check that  $\begin{bmatrix} e_+ \\ e_- \end{bmatrix} \in \ker \mathcal{P}$  (with  $e_+, e_- \in \mathbb{C}^N$ ) if and only if  $\begin{bmatrix} e_+ \\ -e_- \end{bmatrix} \in \ker \mathcal{Q}$ . The main consequence of this is the fact that

$$f : \ker \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \ker \mathcal{Q} : \begin{bmatrix} e_+ \\ e_- \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} e_+ \\ -e_- \end{bmatrix}$$

defines an isomorphism between  $\ker \mathcal{P}$  and  $\ker \mathcal{Q}$  and hence  $\dim \ker \mathcal{P} = \dim \ker \mathcal{Q} \leq N$  as can be seen from (7). This isomorphism tells us how to get a basis of  $\ker \mathcal{Q}$  once we have a basis of  $\ker \mathcal{P}$ . Second, every vector in a linear basis of  $\ker \mathcal{P}$  can be written in the form  $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ \bar{v} \end{bmatrix}$  (for some  $v \in \mathbb{C}^N$ ; see appendix B). If  $\{\begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ \bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix}\}$  is a basis of  $\ker \mathcal{P}$  then  $\{\begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ -\bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix}\}$  is a basis of  $\ker \mathcal{Q}$ . As  $\ker \mathcal{P} \oplus \ker \mathcal{Q} = \text{Span}\{\begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix}\}$  we can complete this linearly independent set to a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^{2N}$  with  $\{\begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix}\}$  such that  $\{v_A, e_\alpha\}$  are linearly independent as  $\mathbb{C}^N$  vectors and build the following convenient basis for  $\mathbb{C}^{2N}$

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ \bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ -\bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ \bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ -\bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

We expand an arbitrary vector  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{2N}$  in this basis as

$$\mathcal{B} = B_p^A \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ \bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix} + B_q^A \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ -\bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix} + B_\alpha^+ \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ \bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix} + B_\alpha^- \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ -\bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$

We use this expansion to solve the necessary conditions (5); in order to do this we must enforce that  $\pi_+ B_- = \pi_- B_+ = 0$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , which are equivalent to the conditions  $B_p^A = i * B_q^A$  and  $B_+^\alpha = i * B_-^\alpha$  so that, in terms of new fields  $\tilde{B}$  and  $\hat{B}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_+ &= (1 + i*)[\tilde{B}^A v_A + \hat{B}^\alpha e_\alpha] \\ B_- &= (i * -1)[\tilde{B}^A \bar{v}_A + \hat{B}^\alpha \bar{e}_\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

We also have to impose the reality conditions; they simply tell us that both  $\tilde{B}^A$  and  $\hat{B}_\alpha$  must be purely imaginary. We conclude that  $\mathcal{B}$  must have the form

$$\mathcal{B} = *B^A \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ \bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix} - iB^A \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ -\bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix} + b^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ \bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix} + i * b^\alpha \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ -\bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

with  $B^A, b_\alpha$  real functions. Taking into account that the vectors in both the following sets are linearly independent

$$\left\{ \mathcal{P} \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ -\bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{P} \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ \bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{P} \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ -\bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \mathcal{Q} \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ \bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{Q} \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ \bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{Q} \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ -\bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

The necessary conditions are simply

$$\square dB^A = 0 \quad (9a)$$

$$\square db^\alpha = 0 \quad (9b)$$

$$\square \delta b^\alpha = 0 \quad (9c)$$

They have the same form as the ones found in [2] in the absence of the  $R$ -term and can be easily solved by using the procedure described in that paper. It should be pointed out that one of the advantages of the method that we are using here is that the structure of the necessary conditions is the same as in previous, more simple, models [2]. The solutions to (9) are

$$B^A = \gamma^A + d\Lambda^A, \quad (10a)$$

$$b^\alpha = \gamma^\alpha + d\delta\gamma_H^\alpha, \quad (10b)$$

where  $\Lambda^A$  is an arbitrary real function and  $\square\gamma^\alpha = 0, \square\gamma^A = 0, \square^2\gamma_H^\alpha = 0$ .

To complete the solution to the field equations we plug (10) into the field equations (4) to get

$$(1 + i*) [(ae_\alpha - b\bar{e}_\alpha)d\delta d\delta\gamma_H^\alpha + 2i\delta d\gamma^A(b\bar{v}_A) + 2\delta d\gamma^\alpha(b\bar{e}_\alpha)] = 0 \quad (11)$$

and its complex conjugate. In order to continue we proceed as in [2] by introducing Fourier transforms. To this end we choose an inertial coordinate system  $(\vec{x}, t)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and define

$$f(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{w} f(\vec{k}, t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \quad ;$$

and its inverse

$$\frac{1}{w} f(\vec{k}, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3\vec{x} f(\vec{x}, t) e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}$$

(where we use 3-dimensional vector notation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and denote the usual Euclidean scalar product with a dot). We have introduced  $w = +\sqrt{\vec{k}\cdot\vec{k}}$  in the previous definition in order to explicitly have a Lorentz invariant measure. We use the same letter to represent a field and its Fourier transform and for real fields we must have  $f(\vec{k}, t) = \bar{f}(-\vec{k}, t)$ . Using the formulas introduced in appendix A, in particular the definitions of  $+$  and  $-$  fields and  $e_{ij}(\vec{k})$ , (11) may be written in the two following alternative forms

$$\left(\delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{3}we_{ij}(\vec{k})\right) \left[\sigma_j^\alpha(\vec{k})(ae_\alpha - b\bar{e}_\alpha) + 2ib_j^A(\vec{k})(av_A) - 2b_j^\alpha(\vec{k})(b\bar{e}_\alpha)\right] = 0 \quad (12a)$$

$$\left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{3}we_{ij}(\vec{k})\right) \left[\sigma_j^\alpha(\vec{k})(\bar{a}\bar{e}_\alpha - \bar{b}e_\alpha) - 2ib_j^A(\vec{k})(\bar{a}\bar{v}_A) - 2b_j^\alpha(\vec{k})(\bar{b}e_\alpha)\right] = 0 \quad (12b)$$

$$\sigma_-^\alpha(\vec{k})(ae_\alpha - b\bar{e}_\alpha) + 2ib_-^A(\vec{k})(av_A) - 2b_-^\alpha(\vec{k})(b\bar{e}_\alpha) = 0 \quad (13a)$$

$$\sigma_+^\alpha(\vec{k})(\bar{a}\bar{e}_\alpha - \bar{b}e_\alpha) - 2ib_+^A(\vec{k})(\bar{a}\bar{v}_A) - 2b_+^\alpha(\vec{k})(\bar{b}e_\alpha) = 0 \quad (13b)$$

where we have used  $av_A + b\bar{v}_A = 0$ . The introduction of the  $+$  and  $-$  fields is suggested, in part, by the simple form that these algebraic conditions take and the fact that they decouple in terms of them. Notice that, in each pair, the conditions imposed on the field components are *independent* and also that the equations appearing in each pair are *not* complex conjugates of each other. In practice, it is convenient to project the last two equations onto the bases of  $\mathbb{C}^N$  given, respectively, by  $\{v_A, e_\alpha\}$  and  $\{\bar{v}_A, \bar{e}_\alpha\}$  to get

$$(e_\alpha^t ae_\beta - e_\alpha^t b\bar{e}_\beta)\sigma_-^\beta(\vec{k}) + 2i(e_\alpha^t av_A)b_-^A(\vec{k}) - 2(e_\alpha^t b\bar{e}_\beta)b_-^\beta(\vec{k}) = 0 \quad (14a)$$

$$2\Re(v_A^t ae_\beta)\sigma_-^\beta(\vec{k}) + 2i\Re(v_A^t av_B)b_-^B(\vec{k}) + 2(\bar{v}_A^t \bar{a}\bar{e}_\beta)b_-^\beta(\vec{k}) = 0 \quad (14b)$$

$$(\bar{e}_\alpha^t \bar{a}\bar{e}_\beta - \bar{e}_\alpha^t \bar{b}e_\beta)\sigma_+^\beta(\vec{k}) - 2i(\bar{e}_\alpha^t \bar{a}\bar{v}_A)b_+^A(\vec{k}) - 2(\bar{e}_\alpha^t \bar{b}e_\beta)b_+^\beta(\vec{k}) = 0 \quad (14c)$$

$$2\Re(v_A^t ae_\beta)\sigma_+^\beta(\vec{k}) - 2i\Re(v_A^t av_B)b_+^B(\vec{k}) + 2(v_A^t ae_\beta)b_+^\beta(\vec{k}) = 0. \quad (14d)$$

We will use them in this form to discuss the conditions leading to a semibounded energy.

This completes the resolution of the field equations that we need in the next step to find the physical degrees of freedom and gauge symmetries described by the action (2). A final comment related to the general structure of the action and the resolution of the field equations is the following. The action (2) can be rewritten as

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} [d\mathcal{B}^t \wedge *P d\mathcal{B} + \delta\mathcal{B}^t \wedge *Q\delta\mathcal{B}] \quad (15)$$

with the additional condition  $\pi_- B_+ = \pi_+ B_- = 0$  implemented by using suitable Lagrange multipliers  $\lambda_+$ ,  $\lambda_-$  and adding to (15) the extra term  $\lambda_- \pi_- B_+ + \lambda_+ \pi_+ B_-$ . In this form it is easy to justify our choice of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that  $\ker \mathcal{P} \cap \ker \mathcal{Q} = \{0\}$  and take advantage of the results already obtained in [2] in the absence of the  $R$ -term. Also, we see that as  $\pi_- B_+ = \pi_+ B_- = 0$  and the reality conditions do not involve time derivatives the symplectic structure remains unchanged so, as we show in the following, several interesting objects can be obtained from known results for the  $R = 0$  case by simply restricting to the linear subspaces defined by these conditions.

### III. SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURE, GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS, AND PHYSICAL DEGREES OF FREEDOM

Once we know the solutions to the field equations we can study the physical content of our action (2) by computing the symplectic structure in the space of solutions to the field equations. For a general Lagrangian  $L(\xi, d\xi, \delta\xi)$  for a  $s$ -form field  $\xi$  we have that the symplectic form is

$$\Omega(\xi) = d\xi \llcorner d\xi \frac{\partial L}{\partial d\xi} + (-1)^{s+1} * d\xi \llcorner * d\xi \frac{\partial L}{\partial \delta\xi}$$

where the derivatives of the Lagrangian are defined according to

$$\begin{aligned} L(\xi + \epsilon, d\xi + d\epsilon, \delta\xi + \delta\epsilon) &= L(\xi, d\xi, \delta\xi) + \epsilon \wedge \frac{\partial L}{\partial \xi}(\xi, d\xi, \delta\xi) \\ &\quad + d\epsilon \wedge \frac{\partial L}{\partial d\xi}(\xi, d\xi, \delta\xi) + \delta\epsilon \wedge \frac{\partial L}{\partial \delta\xi}(\xi, d\xi, \delta\xi) + O(\epsilon^2) \end{aligned}$$

and  $d\llcorner, \llcorner$  refer to the space of fields. From the action (2) we get

$$\Omega(B) = d\mathcal{B}^t \llcorner * P d\mathcal{B} + [Q\delta d\mathcal{B}]^t \llcorner * d\mathcal{B} - d\mathcal{B}^t \llcorner R \delta d\mathcal{B} - * d\mathcal{B}^t \llcorner R^t d\mathcal{B}.$$

It is straightforward to check that  $d\Omega(B) = 0$  on solutions to the field equations (notice that we are using here the spacetime exterior derivative). This allows us to finally write the symplectic structure in phase space as

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{[\delta(*P + R)d\mathbb{B}]^t \llcorner d\mathbb{B} + [*d(*Q + R^t)d\mathbb{B}]^t \llcorner *d\mathbb{B}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [d\mathbb{B}^t \llcorner *P d\mathbb{B} - *d\mathbb{B}^t \llcorner Q \delta d\mathbb{B}].\end{aligned}\quad (16)$$

Plugging (8) in (16) we find

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \{4d\mathbb{B}^A \llcorner *S_{A\alpha} d\mathbb{b}^\alpha - 4d\mathbb{B}^A \llcorner *R_{AB} d\mathbb{B}^B + 4d\mathbb{B}^A \llcorner R_{A\alpha} \delta d\mathbb{b}^\alpha \\ &\quad + 4d\mathbb{b}^\alpha \llcorner *S_{\alpha A} d\mathbb{B}^A + 2d\mathbb{b}^\alpha \llcorner *R_{\alpha\beta}^+ d\mathbb{b}^\beta - 2d\mathbb{b}^\alpha \llcorner S_{\alpha\beta}^- \delta d\mathbb{b}^\beta \\ &\quad + 4*d\mathbb{b}^\alpha \llcorner *R_{\alpha A} d\mathbb{B}^A - 2*d\mathbb{b}^\alpha \llcorner *S_{\alpha\beta}^+ d\mathbb{b}^\beta - 2*d\mathbb{b}^\alpha \llcorner R_{\alpha\beta}^- \delta d\mathbb{b}^\beta\}\end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{R}_{AB} &\equiv \mathfrak{R}[v_A^t a v_B] \quad (\mathfrak{S}[v_A^t a v_B] = 0) \\ \mathfrak{S}_{A\alpha} &\equiv \mathfrak{S}[v_A^t a e_\alpha] \quad \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha A} \equiv \mathfrak{S}[e_\alpha^t a v_A] \\ \mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha} &\equiv \mathfrak{R}[v_A^t a e_\alpha] \quad \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha A} \equiv \mathfrak{R}[e_\alpha^t a v_A] \\ \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+ &\equiv \mathfrak{R}[e_\alpha^t a e_\beta + e_\alpha^t b \bar{e}_\beta] \\ \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^- &\equiv \mathfrak{R}[e_\alpha^t a e_\beta - e_\alpha^t b \bar{e}_\beta] \\ \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+ &\equiv \mathfrak{S}[e_\alpha^t a e_\beta + e_\alpha^t b \bar{e}_\beta] \\ \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^- &\equiv \mathfrak{S}[e_\alpha^t a e_\beta - e_\alpha^t b \bar{e}_\beta] \\ \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^b &\equiv \mathfrak{S}[e_\alpha^t b \bar{e}_\beta] \quad \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^a \equiv \mathfrak{S}[e_\alpha^t a \bar{e}_\beta] \\ \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^b &\equiv \mathfrak{R}[e_\alpha^t b \bar{e}_\beta] \quad \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^a \equiv \mathfrak{R}[e_\alpha^t a \bar{e}_\beta].\end{aligned}$$

It is important to remember that the derivative operators  $d$  and  $\delta$  that appear in this expression are four dimensional and the integral is over  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . In terms of Fourier modes the symplectic structure is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3 k}{w} \left\{ 16i d\bar{\beta}_{ij}^A(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{R}_{AB} \llcorner d\beta_{ij}^B(\vec{k}) + 8i d\beta_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+ \llcorner d\bar{\beta}_{ij}^\beta(\vec{k}) \right. \\ &\quad + 16i \left[ d\beta_{ij}^A(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{S}_{A\alpha} \llcorner d\bar{\beta}_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k}) - d\bar{\beta}_{ij}^A(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{S}_{A\alpha} \llcorner d\beta_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k}) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{16}{3} i w e_{ij}(\vec{k}) \left[ d\beta_{ij}^A(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha} \llcorner d\bar{a}^\alpha(\vec{k}) + d\bar{\beta}_{ij}^A(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha} \llcorner d\mathbb{a}^\alpha(\vec{k}) \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{8}{3} i w e_{ij}(\vec{k}) \left[ d\beta_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^- \llcorner d\bar{a}^\beta(\vec{k}) + d\bar{\beta}_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^- \llcorner d\mathbb{a}^\beta(\vec{k}) \right] \\ &\quad - 16i d\mathbb{a}^\alpha(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^- \llcorner d\bar{a}^\beta(\vec{k}) + \frac{8}{3} w e_{ij}(\vec{k}) d\sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^b \llcorner d\bar{\sigma}_j^\beta(\vec{k}) \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{3} w e_{ij}(\vec{k}) \left[ d\sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) (\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+ + 2\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^b) \llcorner d\bar{b}_j^\beta(\vec{k}) - d\bar{\sigma}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) (\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+ + 2\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^b) \llcorner d\mathbb{b}_j^\beta(\vec{k}) \right] \\ &\quad - 4i \left[ d\sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+ \llcorner d\bar{b}_i^\beta(\vec{k}) - d\bar{\sigma}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+ \llcorner d\mathbb{b}_i^\beta(\vec{k}) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{16}{3} w e_{ij}(\vec{k}) d\mathbb{b}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^b \llcorner d\bar{b}_j^\beta(\vec{k}) - 16i d\mathbb{b}_i^\alpha \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+ \llcorner d\bar{b}_i^\beta(\vec{k}) \\ &\quad - 8 \left[ d\alpha_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+ \llcorner d\bar{b}_i^\beta(\vec{k}) + d\bar{\alpha}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+ \llcorner d\mathbb{b}_i^\beta(\vec{k}) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{8}{3} i w e_{ij}(\vec{k}) \left[ d\alpha_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^- \llcorner d\bar{b}_j^\beta(\vec{k}) + \bar{\sigma}_j^\beta(\vec{k}) \right] + d\bar{\alpha}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^- \llcorner d\mathbb{b}_j^\beta(\vec{k}) + \sigma_j^\beta(\vec{k}) \\ &\quad - 8i [2d\mathbb{b}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) + d\sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) - 2i d\alpha_i^\alpha(\vec{k})] \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha A} \llcorner d\bar{b}_i^A(\vec{k}) \\ &\quad \left. + 8i [2d\bar{b}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) + d\bar{\sigma}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) + 2i d\bar{\alpha}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})] \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha A} \llcorner d\mathbb{b}_i^A(\vec{k}) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

where we have made use of the algebraic conditions (12) and it must be remembered that this symplectic form is defined on the solution space, i.e. when these conditions hold. Although its structure is rather complicated some features are already evident. For example, we can see that the arbitrary functions  $\Lambda^A$  do not appear, this means that they do not represent any physical degrees of freedom and should be taken as gauge parameters. In order to see this we collect the terms involving  $\Lambda^A$

$$4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbb{d}\Lambda^A \llcorner * \{ -\mathfrak{R}_{AB} d\mathbb{d}\gamma^B + \mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha} * \delta\mathbb{d}\gamma^\alpha + \mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha} * \delta d\delta\mathbb{d}\gamma_H^\alpha + \mathfrak{S}_{A\alpha} d\mathbb{d}\gamma^\alpha \}.$$

The integrand in the previous expression can be written as

$$d\{ \mathbb{d}\Lambda^A \llcorner * [ -\mathfrak{R}_{AB} d\mathbb{d}\gamma^B + \mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha} * \delta\mathbb{d}\gamma^\alpha + \mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha} * \delta d\delta\mathbb{d}\gamma_H^\alpha + \mathfrak{S}_{A\alpha} d\mathbb{d}\gamma^\alpha ] \} \\ - \mathbb{d}\Lambda^A \llcorner * [ -\mathfrak{R}_{AB} \delta d\mathbb{d}\gamma^B + \mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha} * d\delta\mathbb{d}\gamma^\alpha + \mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha} * d\delta d\delta\mathbb{d}\gamma_H^\alpha + \mathfrak{S}_{A\alpha} \delta d\mathbb{d}\gamma^\alpha ],$$

the first piece is an exact four dimensional 3-form and hence its pull-back onto  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is exact too so the integral over  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is zero. The second part is zero as a consequence of the condition (11); in fact, if one projects (11) along the direction of  $v_A$  and takes into account that  $\gamma^\alpha$  satisfies the wave equation one easily gets

$$-\mathfrak{R}_{AB} \delta d\mathbb{d}\gamma^B + \mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha} * d\delta\mathbb{d}\gamma^\alpha + \mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha} * d\delta d\delta\mathbb{d}\gamma_H^\alpha + \mathfrak{S}_{A\alpha} \delta d\mathbb{d}\gamma^\alpha = 0 \quad .$$

The degrees of freedom for a specific choice of  $P$ ,  $Q$ , and  $R$  can be identified by solving the algebraic conditions in terms of a set of independent fields and finding out which among them (and the remaining fields that do not appear in these conditions) appear in the symplectic structure.

#### IV. ENERGY AND ANGULAR MOMENTUM

The calculation of the energy can be performed [2] by obtaining first the transformation of the Fourier components of the fields under space-time translations, and using the fact that if the symplectic form  $\Omega$  is invariant under a group of transformations locally we have  $i_v \Omega = \mathbb{d}H$ , where  $H$  is the generator of the symmetry and  $v$  is a tangent vector to an orbit of this group. For a Poincaré invariant action this procedure gives the energy-momentum density in a very convenient way. The only difficulty, in practice, comes from the fact that the algebraic conditions (12,13) must be used in a somewhat non obvious way to write  $i_v \Omega$  as a exterior derivative of something. In the present case there is an alternative method that quickly leads to the correct answer. The idea is to write  $\mathcal{B}$  in terms of  $\gamma^A$ ,  $\gamma^\alpha$ ,  $\gamma_H^\alpha$  and  $\Lambda^A$  by plugging (10) in (8) and notice that its structure is the same as the one obtained in [2] for the solutions to the field equations if one makes the identifications (splitting the  $e_r$  sector in + and - subsectors)

$$e^p \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ \bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix}, \quad e^q \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ -\bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_+^r \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ \bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \quad e_-^r \rightsquigarrow \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ -\bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix}.$$

The Fourier components of the  $A_p$ ,  $A_q$ , and  $A_r$  fields (see appendix D) can be put in one to one correspondence with those of  $\gamma^A$ ,  $\gamma^\alpha$ , and  $\gamma_H^\alpha$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{ij}^q(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow -i\beta_{ij}^A(\vec{k}) & \bar{\beta}_{ij}^q(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow -i\bar{\beta}_{ij}^A(\vec{k}) \\ b_i^q(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow -ib_i^A(\vec{k}) & \bar{b}_i^q(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow -i\bar{b}_i^A(\vec{k}) \\ \alpha_i^p(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow \frac{2}{3}we_{ij}(\vec{k})b_j^A & \bar{\alpha}_i^p(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow -\frac{2}{3}we_{ij}(\vec{k})\bar{b}_j^A \\ a^p(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow -\frac{w}{3!}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\beta_{ij}^A(\vec{k}) & \bar{a}^p(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow \frac{w}{3!}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\bar{\beta}_{ij}^A(\vec{k}) \\ \beta_{ij}^{r+}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow \beta_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k}) & \bar{\beta}_{ij}^{r+}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow \bar{\beta}_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\ a^{r+}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow a^\alpha(\vec{k}) & \bar{a}^{r+}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow \bar{a}^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\ \sigma_i^{r+}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow \sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) & \bar{\sigma}_i^{r+}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow \bar{\sigma}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\ b_i^{r+}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow b_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) & \bar{b}_i^{r+}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow \bar{b}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\ \alpha_i^{r+}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow \alpha_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) & \bar{\alpha}_i^{r+}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow \bar{\alpha}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_{ij}^{r-}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow -i\frac{w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})a^\alpha(\vec{k}) & \bar{\beta}_{ij}^{r-}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow i\frac{w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\bar{a}^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\
a^{r-}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow -i\frac{w}{3!}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\beta_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k}) & \bar{a}^{r-}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow i\frac{w}{3!}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\bar{\beta}_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\
\sigma_i^{r-}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow \frac{w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\sigma_j^\alpha(\vec{k}) & \bar{\sigma}_i^{r-}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow \frac{w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\bar{\sigma}_j^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\
b_i^{r-}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow -\frac{w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})[b_j^\alpha(\vec{k}) + \sigma_j^\alpha(\vec{k})] & \bar{b}_i^{r-}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow -\frac{w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})[\bar{b}_j^\alpha(\vec{k}) + \bar{\sigma}_j^\alpha(\vec{k})] \\
\alpha_i^{r-}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow i\frac{w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})[2b_j^\alpha(\vec{k}) + \sigma_j^\alpha(\vec{k}) - i\alpha_j^\alpha(\vec{k})] & \bar{\alpha}_i^{r-}(\vec{k}) &\rightsquigarrow -i\frac{w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})[2\bar{b}_j^\alpha(\vec{k}) + \bar{\sigma}_j^\alpha(\vec{k}) + i\bar{\alpha}_j^\alpha(\vec{k})].
\end{aligned}$$

In fact, by substituting the previous expressions into the algebraic conditions and the symplectic structure for the  $R = 0$  case (given in appendix D) we recover *exactly* equations (12) and the symplectic structure given in the previous section. This indicates that the energy-momentum density can be also obtained from the one in  $R = 0$  by this procedure. Doing so we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau^a d\mathbb{P}_a &= d\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{w} (\tau^a k_a) \left\{ 16\bar{\beta}_{ij}^A(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{AB}\bar{\beta}_{ij}^B(\vec{k}) + 16a^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^-\bar{a}^\beta(\vec{k}) - 8\beta_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+\bar{\beta}_{ij}^\beta(\vec{k}) \right. \\
&- 16\left[\beta_{ij}^A(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{A\alpha}\bar{\beta}_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k}) + \bar{\beta}_{ij}^A(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{A\alpha}\beta_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k})\right] - \frac{16}{3}we_{ij}(\vec{k})\left[\beta_{ij}^A(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha}\bar{a}^\alpha(\vec{k}) - \bar{\beta}_{ij}^A(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha}a^\alpha(\vec{k})\right] \\
&\quad - \frac{8}{3}we_{ij}(\vec{k})\left[\beta_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^-\bar{a}^\beta(\vec{k}) - \bar{\beta}_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+a^\beta(\vec{k})\right] \\
&\quad + 16\left[[b_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) - i\alpha_i^\alpha(\vec{k})]\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha A}\bar{b}_i^A(\vec{k}) + [\bar{b}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) + i\bar{\alpha}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})]\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha A}b_i^A(\vec{k})\right] \\
&\quad + \frac{8i}{3}we_{ij}(\vec{k})\left[\bar{\sigma}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+b_j^\beta(\vec{k}) + \sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+\bar{b}_j^\beta(\vec{k})\right] \\
&\quad \left. - 8i\left[\alpha_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+\bar{b}_i^\beta(\vec{k}) - \bar{\alpha}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+b_i^\beta(\vec{k})\right] + 16iwe_{ij}(\vec{k})\bar{b}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+b_j^\beta(\vec{k}) + 16\bar{b}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+b_i^\beta(\vec{k}) \right\} \\
&+ (\tau^a k_a - \tau^0 w) \left\{ 4\left[\bar{\sigma}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+b_i^\beta(\vec{k}) + \sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+\bar{b}_i^\beta(\vec{k})\right] + 8\left[\sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha A}\bar{b}_i^A(\vec{k}) + \bar{\sigma}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha A}b_i^A(\vec{k})\right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{4}{3}iwe_{ij}(\vec{k})\left[\bar{\sigma}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^-b_j^\beta(\vec{k}) + \sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^-\bar{b}_j^\beta(\vec{k})\right] + \frac{8}{3}iwe_{ij}(\vec{k})\bar{\sigma}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+b_j^\beta(\vec{k}) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $k^\mu = (w, \vec{k})$ , and  $k_0 = -w$ . The helicity of the physical states can be obtained in a similar way by projecting the angular momentum density along the direction of  $\vec{k}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(\vec{k}) &= -8i\sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+b_i^\beta(\vec{k}) - 4i\sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k})[\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+ + 2\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^b]\bar{b}_i^\beta(\vec{k}) + 4i\bar{\sigma}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})[\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+ + 2\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^b]b_i^\beta(\vec{k}) \\
&\quad - \frac{4w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+\bar{b}_j^\beta(\vec{k}) + \frac{4w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\bar{\sigma}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+b_j^\beta(\vec{k}) - 16ib_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+b_i^\beta(\vec{k}) \\
&\quad - \frac{16w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})b_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+\bar{b}_j^\beta(\vec{k}) + \frac{8iw}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\alpha_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+\bar{b}_j^\beta(\vec{k}) + \frac{8iw}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\bar{\alpha}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+b_j^\beta(\vec{k}) \\
&\quad - \frac{8w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})[2b_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) + \sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) - 2i\alpha_i^\alpha(\vec{k})]\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha A}\bar{b}_j^A(\vec{k}) + \frac{8w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})[2\bar{b}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) + \bar{\sigma}_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) + 2i\bar{\alpha}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})]\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha A}b_j^A(\vec{k}) \\
&\quad + 8\alpha_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+[\bar{b}_i^\beta(\vec{k}) + \bar{\sigma}_i^\beta(\vec{k})] + 8\bar{\alpha}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})\mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+[b_i^\beta(\vec{k}) + \sigma_i^\beta(\vec{k})].
\end{aligned}$$

## V. CONDITIONS TO HAVE A SEMIBOUNDED ENERGY DENSITY

The main physical requirement that we must impose to the kinetic terms that we are considering in this paper is to have a semibounded energy density. This is necessary in order to guarantee stability once interactions are added in. In the absence of algebraic conditions such as (12) this would be straightforward but here the situation is complicated by their presence. Looking at the structure of the energy density we see that some Fourier modes lack a quadratic part, that is, the matrix representation of the energy as a quadratic form has some zero entries in the main diagonal. This happens, for example, with the fields  $\alpha_i^\alpha(\vec{k})$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_i^\alpha(\vec{k})$ . A well known fact in linear algebra tells us that whenever this happens a quadratic form cannot be either definite or semidefinite. This forces us to impose suitable conditions on the  $P$ ,  $Q$ , and  $R$  matrices to eliminate these terms (specifically the rows and columns of the matrix where such diagonal zeroes appear). Here the presence of the algebraic conditions (12) forces us to study the restriction of the energy to the vector subspace defined by them. Before doing this it is convenient to rewrite the relevant part of the energy in terms of the  $+$  and  $-$  objects introduced at the end of appendix A because, as also happened with the

algebraic conditions (13), the  $+$  and  $-$  fields decouple. It is also convenient to split the fields into their real and imaginary parts to express the real energy in terms of real quantities. The  $\alpha$ -dependent part of the energy density reads

$$[\Re\alpha^- \quad \Im\alpha^-] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4\Im_{\alpha\beta}^- & 4\Im_{\alpha\beta}^- & -8\Im_{\alpha A} & -4\Re_{\alpha\beta}^+ & 0 \\ 8\Im_{\alpha A} & 4\Re_{\alpha\beta}^+ & 0 & 0 & 4\Im_{\alpha\beta}^- & 4\Im_{\alpha\beta}^- \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Re b_-^A \\ \Re b_-^\beta \\ \Re \sigma_-^\beta \\ \Im b_-^A \\ \Im b_-^\beta \\ \Im \sigma_-^\beta \end{bmatrix}.$$

The condition that this terms are absent on the vector subspace defined by the algebraic conditions (14) is equivalent to the condition that the rank of the following matrix coincides with the rank of the submatrix defined by the the algebraic conditions alone

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4\Im_{\alpha\beta}^- & 4\Im_{\alpha\beta}^- & -8\Im_{\alpha A} & -4\Re_{\alpha\beta}^+ & 0 \\ 8\Im_{\alpha A} & 4\Re_{\alpha\beta}^+ & 0 & 0 & 4\Im_{\alpha\beta}^- & 4\Im_{\alpha\beta}^- \\ -2\Im_{\alpha A} & -2\Re_{\alpha\beta}^b & \Re_{\alpha\beta}^- & -2\Re_{\alpha A} & 2\Im_{\alpha\beta}^b & -\Im_{\alpha\beta}^- \\ 2\Re_{\alpha\beta}^a & -2\Im_{\alpha\beta}^b & \Im_{\alpha\beta}^- & -2\Im_{\alpha\beta}^a & -2\Re_{\alpha\beta}^b & \Re_{\alpha\beta}^- \\ 0 & \Re_{AB} & \Re_{AB} & -\Re_{AB} & \Im_{AB} & 0 \\ \Re_{AB} & -\Im_{AB} & 0 & 0 & \Re_{AB} & \Re_{AB} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now it is straightforward to check that the full matrix is non-singular; in fact, by adding the third column to the second, the sixth to the fourth, and then the resulting first row to the fourth, the second to the third, and finally rearranging the rows and columns (multiplying, when necessary by the appropriate non-zero factors) the resulting matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -\Re_{\alpha\beta}^+ & \Im_{\alpha\beta}^- & 2\Im_{\alpha A} \\ \Im_{\alpha\beta}^+ & \Re_{\alpha\beta}^- & 2\Re_{\alpha A} \\ \Im_{AB} & \Re_{AB} & \Re_{AB} \end{bmatrix}.$$

This matrix is proportional to the matrix of  $\mathcal{P}$  in the vector subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^{2N}$  spanned by the linearly independent vectors

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ \bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} ie_\alpha \\ -i\bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} iv_A \\ -i\bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

and, hence, it is non-singular. This means that the matrix that we started with is non-singular and, hence, it is impossible that the first two rows depend linearly on the remaining ones. The conclusion of this analysis is that the only way to avoid having the  $\alpha$ -terms is by working with matrices  $P$ ,  $Q$ , and  $R$  such that the  $e_\alpha$  sector is absent. This condition means that  $\text{rank } \mathcal{P} = N$ , or in a more convenient form

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} iR^t & Q \\ P & iR \end{bmatrix} = N.$$

Notice that in the  $R = 0$  case this is just  $\text{rank } P + \text{rank } Q = N$  or  $\dim \ker P + \dim \ker Q = N$ . Once we impose this condition we get that the symplectic structure is simply:

$$\Omega = 16i \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3k}{w} \mathbf{d}\beta_{ij}^A(\vec{k}) \Re_{AB} \mathbb{A} \mathbf{d}\beta_{ij}^B(\vec{k})$$

and the energy-momentum density becomes

$$\tau^a d\mathbb{P}_a = d\mathbb{I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{w} (\tau^a k_a) 16 \overline{\beta}_{ij}^A(\vec{k}) \mathfrak{R}_{AB} \beta_{ij}^B(\vec{k}).$$

The helicity density is identically zero, i.e. in the physically consistent case the action (2) describes only scalar particles. In order to ensure that the energy density is positive definite we must require that the non-singular matrix  $\mathfrak{R}_{AB}$  be definite<sup>4</sup> Notice, also, that the symplectic structure is non-degenerate ( $\mathfrak{R}_{AB}$  is always non-singular) and that it is possible to simultaneously diagonalize both the symplectic structure and the energy density. Knowing that the  $e_\alpha$  sector must be absent we can use an internal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^{2N}$  spanned by the vectors  $\begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ \overline{v}_A \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ -\overline{v}_A \end{bmatrix}$  and expand

$$\mathcal{B} = *B^A \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ \overline{v}_A \end{bmatrix} - iB^A \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ -\overline{v}_A \end{bmatrix}$$

that introduced back in (15) gives

$$S'_2 = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} dB^A \wedge * \mathfrak{R}_{AB} dB^B.$$

Now as  $\mathfrak{R}_{AB}$  is actually a positive definite symmetric we know that we can diagonalize it to be the identity and, hence, the previous action is a sum of N Maxwell actions as in the  $R = 0$  case.

## VI. THE $R = 0$ CASE

In this section we check that we recover the previously known results for the  $R = 0$  case within the formalism presented in this paper. The first step is to compute  $v_A$  by finding the kernel of

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{bmatrix} P + Q & P - Q \\ P - Q & P + Q \end{bmatrix}$$

It is straightforward to see that the elements of  $\ker \mathcal{P}$  must have the form

$$\lambda_p \begin{bmatrix} e_p \\ e_p \end{bmatrix} + \lambda_q \begin{bmatrix} e_q \\ -e_q \end{bmatrix}.$$

where  $e_p \in \ker P$ ,  $e_q \in \ker Q$  are *real* vectors<sup>5</sup> and  $\lambda_p, \lambda_q \in \mathbb{R}$ . As we are taking the vectors in  $\ker \mathcal{P}$  and  $\ker \mathcal{Q}$  in the form  $\begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ \overline{v}_A \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ -\overline{v}_A \end{bmatrix}$  we must use the following linearly independent vectors<sup>6</sup>

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} e_p \\ e_p \end{bmatrix}, \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} ie_q \\ -ie_q \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

which, in practice means that we have two types of  $v_A$  objects: the real  $e_p$  and the purely imaginary  $ie_q$ . We complete this set with

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} e_r \\ e_r \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

---

<sup>4</sup> If  $\mathfrak{R}_{AB} = v_A^t \mathcal{P} v_B^B$  were singular we should be able to find  $\lambda_A \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $v_A^t \mathcal{P} (v_B^B \lambda_B) = 0$ ; as the  $v_A^A$  are linearly independent this means that  $(v_B^B \lambda_B) \in \ker \mathcal{P}$ . As it obviously belongs to  $\ker \mathcal{Q}$ , and  $\ker \mathcal{P} \cap \ker \mathcal{Q} = \{0\}$ ,  $(v_B^B \lambda_B)$  must be zero and also  $\lambda_A$ .

<sup>5</sup> Here we follow the notation used in appendix D

<sup>6</sup> the 1/2 factor is included to take into account the different choices of constants in (1) and (2)

where the  $e_r$  are also chosen to be real and together with  $e_p, e_q$  give a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . In the following we will use indices  $p, q$  instead of  $A$ , and  $r$  instead of  $\alpha$ .

We compute now the several internal matrices appearing in the expressions of the symplectic structure, the energy-momentum density and the helicity density

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_{AB} &\equiv \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} e_p^t Q e_p & 0 \\ 0 & -e_q^t P e_q \end{bmatrix}, \\ \mathfrak{S}_{A\alpha} &\equiv \frac{1}{4} e_q^t P e_r, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha A} \equiv \frac{1}{4} e_r^t P e_q, \quad \mathfrak{R}_{A\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{4} e_p^t Q e_r, \quad \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha A} \equiv \frac{1}{4} e_r^t Q e_p, \\ \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^+ &\equiv \frac{1}{2} e_r^t P e_r, \quad \mathfrak{R}_{\alpha\beta}^- \equiv \frac{1}{2} e_r^t Q e_r, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^+ \equiv 0, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^- \equiv 0, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^b \equiv 0, \quad \mathfrak{S}_{\alpha\beta}^a \equiv 0, \end{aligned}$$

we get then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega = 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{w} &\left\{ i \mathbb{d} \bar{\beta}_{ij}^p(\vec{k}) e_p^t Q e_{p'} \mathbb{d} \beta_{ij}^q(\vec{k}) - i \mathbb{d} \bar{\beta}_{ij}^q(\vec{k}) e_q^t P e_{q'} \mathbb{d} \beta_{ij}^p(\vec{k}) + i \mathbb{d} \beta_{ij}^q(\vec{k}) e_q P e_r \mathbb{d} \bar{\beta}_{ij}^r(\vec{k}) \right. \\ &- i \mathbb{d} \bar{\beta}_{ij}^q(\vec{k}) e_q^t P e_r \mathbb{d} \beta_{ij}^r(\vec{k}) + i \mathbb{d} \beta_{ij}^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t P e_{r'} \mathbb{d} \bar{\beta}_{ij}^r(\vec{k}) - 2i \mathbb{d} a^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t Q e_{r'} \mathbb{d} \bar{a}^r(\vec{k}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} i w e_{ij}(\vec{k}) \left[ \mathbb{d} \beta_{ij}^p(\vec{k}) e_p^t Q e_r \mathbb{d} \bar{a}^r(\vec{k}) + \mathbb{d} \bar{\beta}_{ij}^p(\vec{k}) e_p^t Q e_r \mathbb{d} a^r(\vec{k}) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} i \left[ \mathbb{d} \sigma_i^r(\vec{k}) e^t P e_{r'} \mathbb{d} \bar{b}_i^{r'}(\vec{k}) - \mathbb{d} \bar{\sigma}_i^r(\vec{k}) e^t P e_{r'} \mathbb{d} b_i^{r'}(\vec{k}) \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left[ 2 \mathbb{d} b_i^r(\vec{k}) + \mathbb{d} \sigma_i^r(\vec{k}) - 2i \mathbb{d} \alpha_i^r(\vec{k}) \right] e_r^t P e_q \mathbb{d} \bar{b}_i^q(\vec{k}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ 2 \mathbb{d} \bar{b}_i^r(\vec{k}) + \mathbb{d} \bar{\sigma}_i^r(\vec{k}) + 2i \mathbb{d} \bar{\alpha}_i^r(\vec{k}) \right] e_r^t P e_q \mathbb{d} b_i^q(\vec{k}) \\ &- \mathbb{d} \bar{\alpha}_i^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t P e_{r'} \mathbb{d} b_i^{r'}(\vec{k}) - \mathbb{d} \alpha_i^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t P e_{r'} \mathbb{d} \bar{b}_i^{r'}(\vec{k}) - 2i \mathbb{d} b_i^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t P e_{r'} \mathbb{d} \bar{b}_i^{r'}(\vec{k}) \left. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the energy-momentum we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^a \mathbb{d} P_a = \mathbb{d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3 \vec{k}}{w} (\tau^a k_a) &\left\{ 4 \bar{\beta}_{ij}^p(\vec{k}) e_p^t Q e_{p'} \beta_{ij}^q(\vec{k}) - 4 \bar{\beta}_{ij}^q(\vec{k}) e_q^t P e_{q'} \beta_{ij}^p(\vec{k}) - 4 \bar{\beta}_{ij}^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t P e_{r'} \beta_{ij}^r(\vec{k}) - \right. \\ &- 4 [\bar{\beta}_{ij}^q(\vec{k}) e_q^t P e_r \beta_{ij}^r(\vec{k}) + \beta_{ij}^q(\vec{k}) e_q^t P e_r \bar{\beta}_{ij}^r(\vec{k})] \\ &- \frac{4}{3} w e_{ij}(\vec{k}) [\beta_{ij}^p(\vec{k}) e_p^t Q e_r \bar{a}(\vec{k}) - \bar{\beta}_{ij}^p(\vec{k}) e_p^t Q e_r a(\vec{k})] \\ &+ 4 \left\{ [b_i^r(\vec{k}) - i \alpha_i^r(\vec{k})] e_r^t P e_q \bar{b}_i^q(\vec{k}) + [\bar{b}_i^r(\vec{k}) + i \bar{\alpha}_i^r(\vec{k})] e_r^t P e_q b_i^q(\vec{k}) \right\} \\ &+ 8 a^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t Q e_{r'} \bar{a}_i^{r'}(\vec{k}) + 8 \bar{b}_i^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t P e_{r'} b_i^{r'}(\vec{k}) \\ &- 4i [\alpha_i^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t P e_{r'} \bar{b}_i^{r'}(\vec{k}) - \bar{\alpha}_i^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t P e_{r'} b_i^{r'}(\vec{k})] \\ &+ 2(\tau^a k_a - \tau^0 w) \left[ \sigma_i^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t P [e_q \bar{b}^q(\vec{k}) + e_{r'} \bar{b}^r(\vec{k})] + \bar{\sigma}_i^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t P [e_q b^q(\vec{k}) + e_{r'} b^r(\vec{k})] \right] \left. \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and the helicity density is

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\vec{k}) = \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{k^i}{w} &\left\{ -8i \bar{b}_j^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t P e_{r'} b_k^{r'}(\vec{k}) - 4i \bar{b}_j^r(\vec{k}) e_r^t P e_q b_k^q(\vec{k}) - 4i \bar{b}_j^q(\vec{k}) e_q^t P e_r b_k^r(\vec{k}) \right. \\ &- 2i [\bar{\sigma}_j^r(\vec{k}) + 2i \bar{\alpha}_j^r(\vec{k})] P b_k(\vec{k}) + 2i [\sigma_j^r(\vec{k}) - 2i \alpha_j^r(\vec{k})] P \bar{b}_k(\vec{k}) \left. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

All these expressions coincide with the ones given in appendix D after making the identifications

$$\alpha_i^p(\vec{k}) \equiv \frac{2}{3} w e_{ij}(\vec{k}) b_j^p(\vec{k}), \quad \beta_{ij}^p(\vec{k}) \equiv \frac{1}{3} w e_{ij}(\vec{k}) a^p(\vec{k})$$

and using the algebraic conditions (12).

## VII. CONCLUSIONS

The main purpose of the paper is to classify all the possible kinetic terms for 2-form fields in four dimensions completing previous work in this direction. This classification may be useful to understand quantum field theories

involving the coupling of 1-forms and 2-forms. From the technical point of view several issues should be emphasized. First, in order to disentangle the algebraic structure of the space of solutions to the field equations (and have the possibility of using previous results to solve the necessary conditions that appear as an intermediate step in the process of solving them) we have introduced a set of *complex* projection operators. Their use allow us to circumvent the difficulties associated with the fact that the Hodge dual and the exterior derivative (and its dual) do not commute. Second, the fact that we have introduced complex objects forced us to impose suitable reality conditions to describe real solutions to the field equations. This has a intriguing similarity with the original Ashtekar [10, 11] formulation of General Relativity as derived from the self-dual action [12, 13] where reality conditions were a key ingredient to keep the structure of the Hamiltonian constraint as simple as possible.

The main physical requirement that we impose on the models described by the action (2) is that the energy must be semibounded. This is necessary to guarantee stability once interactions are included. This simple condition strongly constrains the physical content of these actions: as we have shown all of them can be rewritten by means of linear, non-singular field redefinitions as the sum of several Maxwell 2-form actions describing massless scalars. This result is important, among other things, to study the possible deformations of general 2-form theories by using the techniques developed by Henneaux and collaborators [4, 14]. We want to stress that the main difficulty that we have to overcome is to find *sufficient* and *necessary* conditions on  $P$ ,  $Q$ , and  $R$  that guarantee the semiboundedness of the energy. Although it is easy to give sufficient conditions it is much harder to find those that are also necessary; this is the main problem solved in the paper.

The approach that we follow gives a partial classification of quantum field theories –at least of those that are “continuously” connected to their kinetic terms– which are also, in a sense, those that can be expected to allow a consistent perturbative treatment (around the zero value of the fields). It is important to realize that gauge symmetries appear in a natural way as given by those functions appearing in the solution to the field equations that do not appear in the symplectic structure. Their presence or absence in the kinetic terms that we consider is dictated only by the conditions imposed on the energy; and their extension to a full interacting theory will be given by their consistent deformations.

The next step in the process of classifying kinetic terms consists of considering quadratic terms involving different types of differential forms, such as 1-forms and 2-forms. The techniques developed in this and previous papers will certainly be of much help to disentangle the presumably complicated algebraic structure of these more elaborate models.

## APPENDIX A: CONVENTIONS FOR DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

We give in this appendix our definitions and conventions for differential forms in four dimensions. A  $s$ -form  $\omega$  defined on a  $N$  dimensional differentiable manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  (endowed with coordinates  $x^a$ ) is given by

$$\omega(x) = \omega_{a_1 \dots a_s}(x) dx^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{a_s}$$

with

$$\omega_{a_1 \dots a_s} = \omega_{[a_1 \dots a_s]} \equiv \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_s} (-1)^\pi \omega_{\pi(a_1) \dots \pi(a_s)}$$

and  $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_s$  a permutation of order  $s$ . The space of  $s$ -forms on  $\mathcal{M}$  will be denoted as  $\Omega_s(\mathcal{M})$ .

The exterior (wedge) product of a  $s$ -form  $\omega$  and a  $r$ -form  $\xi$  is defined by

$$\omega \wedge \xi = \omega_{[a_1 \dots a_s} \xi_{b_1 \dots b_r]} dx^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{a_s} \wedge dx^{b_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{b_r}$$

and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \wedge \xi &= (-1)^{sr} \xi \wedge \omega, \\ (\xi \wedge \eta) \wedge \omega &= \xi \wedge (\eta \wedge \omega). \end{aligned}$$

The exterior differential that takes a  $s$ -form  $\omega$  to a  $(s+1)$ -form is defined as

$$d\omega = \partial_{[a_1} \omega_{a_2 \dots a_{s+1}]} dx^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{a_{s+1}},$$

and has the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} d^2 &= 0 \\ d(\omega \wedge \xi) &= d\omega \wedge \xi + (-1)^s \omega \wedge d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

In the presence of a non-degenerate metric in  $\mathcal{M}$  we can define the Hodge dual of a  $s$ -form  $\omega$  as the  $(N-s)$ -form given by

$$*\omega = \frac{1}{(N-s)!} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\det g|}} \omega_{b_1 \dots b_s} \tilde{\eta}^{b_1 \dots b_s c_1 \dots c_{N-s}} g_{a_1 c_1} \dots g_{a_{N-s} c_{N-s}} dx^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{a_{N-s}},$$

where  $\tilde{\eta}^{b_1 \dots b_N}$  is the Levi-Civita tensor density on  $\mathcal{M}$  defined to be  $+1$  for even permutations of the indices and  $-1$  for odd permutations, in any coordinate chart. If  $g_{ab}$  has Riemannian signature we have

$$**\omega = (-1)^{s(N-s)} \omega$$

whereas for Lorentzian signatures we get

$$**\omega = (-1)^{s(N-s)+1} \omega.$$

In the presence of a metric it is also possible to define the adjoint exterior differential  $\delta$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= (-1)^{N(s+1)+1} * d * && \text{Riemannian signature} \\ \delta &= (-1)^{N(s+1)} * d * && \text{Lorentzian signature.} \end{aligned}$$

It takes  $s$ -forms to  $(s-1)$ -forms according to

$$\delta\omega = -s \nabla^a \omega_{a a_1 \dots a_{s-1}} dx^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{a_{s-1}},$$

where  $\nabla$  is the metric compatible, torsion-free, covariant derivative and satisfies

$$\delta^2 = 0.$$

We introduce now the operator (Laplacian for Riemannian signatures and wave operator for Lorentzian signatures)

$$\square = d\delta + \delta d,$$

that takes  $s$ -forms to  $s$ -forms and is given by

$$\square\omega = -\nabla_a \nabla^a \omega_{a a_1 \dots a_s} dx^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{a_s};$$

it commutes with both  $d$  and  $\delta$ . Finally, let  $X$  be a vector field given by  $X = X^a \partial_a$ , we define the interior product of  $X$  and a  $s$ -form  $\omega$  as the  $(s-1)$ -form

$$i_X \omega = s X^a \omega_{a a_2, \dots, a_{s-1}} dx^{a_2} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{a_{s-1}};$$

it satisfies

$$(di_X + i_X d)\omega = \mathcal{L}_X \omega;$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_X \omega$  is the Lie derivative of  $\omega$  defined by the vector field  $X$ .

We will write the components of differential forms in a Minkowskian background as

$$\omega = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_s} \\ \omega_{0 i_1 \dots i_{s-1}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

The Fourier transforms for  $s$ -forms  $\omega_s$  can be parametrized as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \beta(\vec{k}, t) \\ 0 \end{array} \right\} \\ \omega_1 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ik_i \alpha(\vec{k}, t) + \beta_i(\vec{k}, t) \\ b(\vec{k}, t) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\omega_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} ik_{[i}\alpha_{j]}(\vec{k}, t) + \beta_{ij}(\vec{k}, t) \\ ik_i a(\vec{k}, t) + b_i(\vec{k}, t) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\omega_3 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} ik_{[i}\alpha_{jk]}(\vec{k}, t) \\ ik_{[i}a_{j]}(\vec{k}, t) + b_{ij}(\vec{k}, t) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\omega_4 = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ ik_{[i}a_{jk]}(\vec{k}, t) \end{array} \right\}$$

where  $\alpha_{ij}$ ,  $\beta_{ij}$ , and  $b_{ij}$  are antisymmetric and transverse, and  $a_i$ ,  $b_i$ ,  $\alpha_i$ , and  $\beta_i$  are transverse.

We need also the expressions for the Hodge duals of these objects. In the following it is useful to introduce the transverse antisymmetric object  $e_{ij}(\vec{k})$  defined by

$$e_{ij}(\vec{k}) \equiv -\frac{3i}{w^2}\varepsilon_{ijk}k^k$$

that satisfies the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{ijk} &= ik_{[i}e_{jk]}(\vec{k}) \\ e_{ij}(\vec{k}) &= \bar{e}_{ij}(-\vec{k}) \\ e_{ij}(\vec{k})e_{jk}(\vec{k}) &= \frac{9}{w^2}\left(\delta_{ik} - \frac{k_ik_k}{w^2}\right) \\ e_{ij}(\vec{k})e_{ij}(-\vec{k}) &= \frac{18}{w^2} \end{aligned}$$

In Fourier transform we have

$$\begin{aligned} *\omega_4 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} -\frac{4}{3}w^2e_{ij}(\vec{k})a_{ij}(\vec{k}, t) \\ 0 \end{array} \right\} \\ *\omega_3 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} ik_ie_{jk}(\vec{k})b_{jk}(\vec{k}, t) + w^2e_{ij}(\vec{k})a_j(\vec{k}, t) \\ -\frac{w^2}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\alpha_{ij}(\vec{k}, t) \end{array} \right\} \\ *\omega_2 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{2i}{3}k_{[i}e_{j]k}(\vec{k})b_k(\vec{k}, t) - \frac{w^2}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k})a(\vec{k}, t) \\ -\frac{i}{3!}k_ie_{jk}(\vec{k})\beta_{jk}(\vec{k}, t) - \frac{w^2}{3!}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\alpha_j(\vec{k}, t) \end{array} \right\} \\ *\omega_1 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{i}{6}k_{[i}e_{jk]}(\vec{k})b(\vec{k}, t) \\ \frac{2i}{3\cdot 3!}k_{[i}e_{j]k}(\vec{k})\beta_k(\vec{k}, t) - \frac{w^2}{3\cdot 3!}e_{ij}(\vec{k})\alpha(\vec{k}, t) \end{array} \right\} \\ *\omega_0 &= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -\frac{i}{4!}k_{[i}e_{jk]}(\vec{k})\beta(\vec{k}, t) \end{array} \right\} . \end{aligned}$$

The objects appearing in solutions to the necessary conditions (8) can be parametrized [2] as

$$\gamma^A = \begin{Bmatrix} \beta_{ij}^A(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{\beta}_{ij}^A(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \\ b_i^A(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{b}_i^A(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \end{Bmatrix}.$$

$$\gamma^\alpha = \begin{Bmatrix} \beta_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{\beta}_{ij}^\alpha(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \\ \frac{i}{w}k_i \left[ a^\alpha(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{a}^\alpha(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \right] + b_i^\alpha(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{b}_i^\alpha(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$d\delta\gamma_H = \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{i}{w}k_{[i} \left[ \alpha_{j]}^\alpha(\vec{k}) + wt\sigma_{j]}^\alpha(\vec{k}) \right] e^{-iwt} + \frac{i}{w}k_{[i} \left[ \bar{\alpha}_{j]}^\alpha(-\vec{k}) + wt\bar{\sigma}_{j]}^\alpha(-\vec{k}) \right] e^{iwt} \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[ -i\alpha_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) + (1-iwt)\sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) \right] e^{-iwt} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ i\bar{\alpha}_i^\alpha(-\vec{k}) + (1+iwt)\bar{\sigma}_i^\alpha(-\vec{k}) \right] e^{iwt} \end{Bmatrix}$$

We will also need

$$d\gamma^A = \begin{Bmatrix} ik_{[i}\beta_{jk]}^A(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + ik_{[i}\bar{\beta}_{jk]}^A(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \\ -\frac{2}{3}ik_{[i} \left[ b_{j]}^A(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{b}_{j]}^A(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \right] - \frac{w}{3}i \left[ \beta_{ij}^A(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} - \bar{\beta}_{ij}^A(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \right] \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$d\gamma^\alpha = \begin{Bmatrix} ik_{[i}\beta_{jk]}^\alpha(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + ik_{[i}\bar{\beta}_{jk]}^\alpha(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \\ -\frac{2}{3}ik_{[i} \left[ b_{j]}^\alpha(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{b}_{j]}^\alpha(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \right] - \frac{w}{3}i \left[ \beta_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} - \bar{\beta}_{ij}^\alpha(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \right] \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$*\gamma^A = \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{2}{3}ik_{[i}e_{j]k}(\vec{k}) \left[ b_k^A(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{b}_k^A(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \right] \\ -\frac{i}{3!}k_i e_{jk}(\vec{k}) \left[ \beta_{jk}^A(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{\beta}_{ij}^A(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \right] \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$*\gamma^\alpha = \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{2}{3}ik_{[i}e_{j]k}(\vec{k}) \left[ b_k^\alpha(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{b}_k^\alpha(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \right] - \frac{w}{3}e_{ij}(\vec{k}) \left[ a^\alpha(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{a}^\alpha(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \right] \\ -\frac{i}{3!}k_i e_{jk}(\vec{k}) \left[ \beta_{jk}^\alpha(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{\beta}_{ij}^\alpha(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \right] \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$*d\delta\gamma_H^\alpha = \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{i}{3}k_{[i}e_{j]k}(\vec{k}) \left[ \{(1-iwt)\sigma_k^\alpha(\vec{k}) - i\alpha_k^\alpha(\vec{k})\}e^{-iwt} + \{(1+iwt)\bar{\sigma}_k^\alpha(-\vec{k}) + i\bar{\alpha}_k^\alpha(-\vec{k})\}e^{iwt} \right] \\ -\frac{w}{3!}e_{ij}(\vec{k}) \left\{ [\alpha_j^\alpha(\vec{k}) + wt\sigma_j^\alpha(\vec{k})]e^{-iwt} + [\bar{\alpha}_j^\alpha(-\vec{k}) + wt\bar{\sigma}_j^\alpha(-\vec{k})]e^{iwt} \right\} \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$d*\gamma^\alpha = \begin{Bmatrix} -\frac{w}{3}ik_{[i}e_{j]k}(\vec{k})[a^\alpha(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{a}^\alpha(-\vec{k})e^{iwt}] \\ \frac{2w}{9}k_{[i}e_{j]k}(\vec{k})[-ib_k^\alpha(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + i\bar{b}_k^\alpha(-\vec{k})e^{iwt}] + \frac{iw^2}{9}e_{ij}(\vec{k})[a^\alpha(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} - \bar{a}^\alpha(-\vec{k})e^{iwt}] \end{Bmatrix}$$

$$*\delta d\delta\gamma_H^\alpha = \begin{Bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{2}{9}i\omega k_{[i}e_{j]k}(\vec{k})[-i\sigma_k^\alpha(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + i\bar{\sigma}_k^\alpha(-\vec{k})e^{iwt}] \end{Bmatrix}.$$



The expressions for the algebraic conditions derived from the field equations, the symplectic form, the energy momentum density, and the helicity density can be simplified by introducing a pair of *real*,  $\vec{k}$ -dependent, transverse vectors  $e_i^\lambda(\vec{k})$  ( $\lambda = L, R$ ) satisfying the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} k_i e_i^\lambda(\vec{k}) &= 0, \\ \{\vec{k}, \vec{e}^R(\vec{k}), \vec{e}^L(\vec{k})\} &\text{ positive oriented,} \\ e_i^\lambda(\vec{k}) e_i^\mu(\vec{k}) &= \delta^{\lambda\mu} \\ e_{ij}(\vec{k}) e_j^\lambda(\vec{k}) &= -\frac{3i}{\omega} \epsilon^\lambda{}_\mu e_i^\mu(\vec{k}) \quad , \end{aligned}$$

where  $\epsilon^\lambda{}_\mu$  is the antisymmetric matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad ,$$

and writing

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{ij}^A(\vec{k}) &= i\epsilon_{ijk} \frac{k_k}{\omega} \beta^A(\vec{k}) \\ \beta_{ij}^\alpha(\vec{k}) &= i\epsilon_{ijk} \frac{k_k}{\omega} \beta^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\ b_i^A(\vec{k}) &= e_i^\lambda(\vec{k}) b_\lambda^A(\vec{k}) \\ b_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) &= e_i^\lambda(\vec{k}) b_\lambda^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\ \alpha_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) &= e_i^\lambda(\vec{k}) \alpha_\lambda^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\ \sigma_i^\alpha(\vec{k}) &= e_i^\lambda(\vec{k}) \sigma_\lambda^\alpha(\vec{k}) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

It is also convenient to define

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_+^\alpha(\vec{k}) &= \sigma_L^\alpha(\vec{k}) - i\sigma_R^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\ \sigma_-^\alpha(\vec{k}) &= \sigma_R^\alpha(\vec{k}) - i\sigma_L^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\ b_+^\alpha(\vec{k}) &= b_L^\alpha(\vec{k}) - ib_R^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\ b_-^\alpha(\vec{k}) &= b_R^\alpha(\vec{k}) - ib_L^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\ b_+^A(\vec{k}) &= b_L^A(\vec{k}) - ib_R^A(\vec{k}) \\ b_-^A(\vec{k}) &= b_R^A(\vec{k}) - ib_L^A(\vec{k}) \\ \alpha_+^\alpha(\vec{k}) &= \alpha_L^\alpha(\vec{k}) - i\alpha_R^\alpha(\vec{k}) \\ \alpha_-^\alpha(\vec{k}) &= \alpha_R^\alpha(\vec{k}) - i\alpha_L^\alpha(\vec{k}) \quad . \end{aligned}$$

A useful formula used in the obtention of the symplectic structure is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \omega^1 \wedge * \omega^2 = 3! \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3 k}{\omega^2} \beta_{ij}^1(\vec{k}, t) b_{ij}^2(-\vec{k}, t) + 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3 k \alpha_i^1(\vec{k}, t) \hat{a}_i^2(-\vec{k}, t)$$

where  $\omega^1$  and  $\omega^2$  are a 2-form and a 3-form respectively with Fourier components given by

$$\omega^1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ik_{[i} \hat{\alpha}_{j]}^1(\vec{k}, t) + \beta_{ij}^1(\vec{k}, t) \\ ik_i \hat{a}^1(\vec{k}, t) + b_i^1(\vec{k}, t) \end{array} \right\} \quad \omega^2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ik_{[i} \hat{\alpha}_{jk]}^2(\vec{k}, t) \\ ik_{[i} \hat{a}_{j]}^2(\vec{k}, t) + b_{ij}^2(\vec{k}, t) \end{array} \right\}$$

**APPENDIX B: SOME ALGEBRAIC ISSUES**

Let us show, first, that

$$\ker \mathcal{P} \cap \ker \mathcal{Q} = \{0\}$$

In fact, if we write  $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \ker \mathcal{P} \cap \ker \mathcal{Q}$  ( $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^N$ ) we have

$$\mathcal{P} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} ax + by = 0 \\ \bar{b}x + \bar{a}y = 0 \end{cases} \quad \mathcal{Q} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} ax - by = 0 \\ -\bar{b}x + \bar{a}y = 0 \end{cases}$$

from which it is immediate to get

$$(P + iR)x = 0, (Q + iR^t)x = 0, (P - iR)y = 0, (Q - iR^t)y = 0.$$

i.e.

$$x \in \ker(P + iR) \cap \ker(Q + iR^t), \quad y \in \ker(P - iR) \cap \ker(Q - iR^t)$$

but the obvious isomorphism between  $\Omega_2^{2N}$  at each point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^{2N}$  (defined by identifying  $*$  and  $i$ ) implies that the condition (6) is equivalent to  $\ker(P + iR) \cap \ker(Q + iR^t) = \{0\}$  (and also to its conjugate  $\ker(P - iR) \cap \ker(Q - iR^t) = \{0\}$ ) so that we get  $x = 0$  and  $y = 0$  and (7).

We prove now every vector in a linear basis of  $\ker \mathcal{P}$  can be written in the form  $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ \bar{v} \end{bmatrix}$  (for some  $v \in \mathbb{C}^N$ ); indeed,

$$\mathcal{P} \begin{bmatrix} e_+ \\ e_- \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} ae_+ + be_- = 0 \\ \bar{b}e_+ + \bar{a}e_- = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a\bar{e}_- + b\bar{e}_+ = 0 \\ \bar{b}\bar{e}_- + \bar{a}\bar{e}_+ = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{P} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_- \\ \bar{e}_+ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and, hence,

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_+ \\ e_- \end{bmatrix} \in \ker \mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_- \\ \bar{e}_+ \end{bmatrix} \in \ker \mathcal{P}$$

There are two possibilities now: if both  $\begin{bmatrix} e_+ \\ e_- \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_- \\ \bar{e}_+ \end{bmatrix}$  are linearly independent then both  $\begin{bmatrix} e_+ \\ e_- \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_- \\ \bar{e}_+ \end{bmatrix}$  and  $i \begin{bmatrix} e_+ \\ e_- \end{bmatrix} - i \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_- \\ \bar{e}_+ \end{bmatrix}$  are, also, linearly independent and have the form  $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ \bar{v} \end{bmatrix}$ . If, instead,  $\begin{bmatrix} e_+ \\ e_- \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_- \\ \bar{e}_+ \end{bmatrix}$  are linearly dependent (and non-zero) then there exist  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\rho \geq 0$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  such that  $\begin{bmatrix} e_+ \\ e_- \end{bmatrix} = \rho e^{i\theta} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_- \\ \bar{e}_+ \end{bmatrix}$ ; which gives  $e_+ = \rho e^{i\theta} \bar{e}_-$  and  $e_- = \rho e^{i\theta} \bar{e}_+$  and, hence,  $\rho = 1$ . We see that is then possible to write

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_+ \\ e_- \end{bmatrix} = e^{i\theta} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{e}_- \\ \bar{e}_+ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e_+ \\ e^{i\theta} \bar{e}_+ \end{bmatrix}$$

which has the desired form after multiplying by  $e^{-i\theta/2}$ . Is is a simple matter now to build a basis with vectors in the form introduced above by using this procedure. Given a basis of  $\ker \mathcal{P}$  we can adjoin to it all the vectors obtained by switching the plus and minus components and conjugating; substitute every vector by one of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} v \\ \bar{v} \end{bmatrix}$  and then choose a maximally independent set among the resulting vectors to have a basis of vectors of this type.

**APPENDIX C: SOME USEFUL MATRIX IDENTITIES**

Writing

$$\mathbf{v}_+ \equiv \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ \bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{v}_- \equiv \begin{bmatrix} v_A \\ -\bar{v}_A \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{e}_- \equiv \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ -\bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{e}_+ \equiv \begin{bmatrix} e_\alpha \\ \bar{e}_\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_-^t \mathcal{P} \mathbf{v}_- &= 4\Re_{AB} & \mathbf{v}_+^t \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{v}_+ &= 4\Re_{AB} \\ \mathbf{v}_-^t \mathcal{P} \mathbf{e}_+ &= 4i\Im_{A\alpha} & \mathbf{v}_+^t \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{e}_+ &= 4\Re_{A\alpha} \\ \mathbf{v}_-^t \mathcal{P} \mathbf{e}_- &= 4\Re_{A\alpha} & \mathbf{v}_+^t \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{e}_- &= 4i\Im_{A\alpha} \\ \mathbf{e}_+^t \mathcal{P} \mathbf{v}_- &= 4i\Im_{A\alpha} & \mathbf{e}_+^t \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{v}_+ &= 4\Re_{\alpha A} \\ \mathbf{e}_+^t \mathcal{P} \mathbf{e}_+ &= 2\Re_{\alpha\beta}^+ & \mathbf{e}_+^t \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{e}_+ &= 2\Re_{\alpha\beta}^- \\ \mathbf{e}_+^t \mathcal{P} \mathbf{e}_- &= 2i\Im_{\alpha\beta}^- & \mathbf{e}_+^t \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{e}_- &= 2i\Im_{\alpha\beta}^+ \\ \mathbf{e}_-^t \mathcal{P} \mathbf{v}_- &= 4\Re_{\alpha A} & \mathbf{e}_-^t \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{v}_+ &= 4i\Im_{\alpha A} \\ \mathbf{e}_-^t \mathcal{P} \mathbf{e}_+ &= 2i\Im_{\alpha\beta}^+ & \mathbf{e}_-^t \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{e}_+ &= 2i\Im_{\alpha\beta}^- \\ \mathbf{e}_-^t \mathcal{P} \mathbf{e}_- &= 2\Re_{\alpha\beta}^- & \mathbf{e}_-^t \mathcal{Q} \mathbf{e}_- &= 2\Re_{\alpha\beta}^+ \end{aligned}$$

It is useful to notice that  $\Im_{\alpha\beta}^b = -\Im_{\beta\alpha}^b$  and, hence  $\Im_{\alpha\beta}^+ = \Im_{\beta\alpha}^-$ .

**APPENDIX D: A SUMMARY OF RESULTS FOR R=0**

We summarize in this section the main features of the  $R = 0$  case [2]. The field equations derived from (1) are

$$P\delta dA + Qd\delta A = 0 \quad .$$

Expanding  $A = A^p e_p + A^q e_q + A^r e_r$  with  $\ker P = \text{Span}\{e_p\}$ ,  $\ker Q = \text{Span}\{e_q\}$ , and  $\mathbb{R}^N = \text{Span}\{e_p, e_q, e_r\}$  we can solve them by first considering the necessary conditions

$$\square dA^q = 0, \quad \square \delta A^p = 0, \quad \square dA^r = 0, \quad \square \delta A^r = 0.$$

Their solutions are

$$A^q = \gamma^q + d\Lambda^q, \quad A^p = \gamma^p + \delta\Theta^p, \quad A^r = \gamma^r + d\delta\gamma_{HD}^r$$

with  $\Lambda^q$ , and  $\Theta^p$  arbitrary and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^q &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \beta_{ij}^q(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{\beta}_{ij}^q(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \\ b_i^q(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{b}_i^q(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \end{array} \right\}, \\ \gamma^p &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{i}{w}k_{[i}\alpha_{j]}^p(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \frac{i}{w}k_{[i}\bar{\alpha}_{j]}^p(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \\ \frac{i}{w}k_i \left[ a^p(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{a}^p(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \right] \end{array} \right\}, \\ \gamma^r &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \beta_{ij}^r(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{\beta}_{ij}^r(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \\ \frac{i}{w}k_i \left[ a^r(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{a}^r(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \right] + b_i^r(\vec{k})e^{-iwt} + \bar{b}_i^r(-\vec{k})e^{iwt} \end{array} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$d\delta\gamma_{HD}^r = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{i}{w} k_{[i} \left\{ \left[ \alpha_{j]}^r(\vec{k}) + wt\sigma_{j]}^r(\vec{k}) \right] e^{-iwt} + \left[ \bar{\alpha}_{j]}^r(-\vec{k}) + wt\bar{\sigma}_{j]}^r(-\vec{k}) \right] e^{iwt} \right\} \\ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \sigma_i^r(\vec{k}) - i\alpha_i^r(\vec{k}) - iwt\sigma_i^r(\vec{k}) \right) e^{-iwt} + \left( \bar{\sigma}_i^r(-\vec{k}) + i\bar{\alpha}_i^r(-\vec{k}) + iwt\bar{\sigma}_i^r(-\vec{k}) \right) e^{iwt} \right] \end{array} \right\}.$$

The objects appearing in the previous expressions are subject to the algebraic conditions

$$P \left[ b_i^q(\vec{k})e_q + b_i^r(\vec{k})e_r \right] = Q \left[ \frac{i}{2}\alpha_i^p(\vec{k})e_p + b_i^r(\vec{k})e_r + \sigma_i^r(\vec{k})e_r \right].$$

The symplectic structure is given by

$$\Omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{w} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -4i\mathbb{d}\bar{\beta}_{ij}^t(\vec{k}) \mathbb{A}P\mathbb{d}\beta^{ij}(\vec{k}) + 8i\mathbb{d}\bar{a}^t(\vec{k}) \mathbb{A}Q\mathbb{d}a(\vec{k}) + 8i\mathbb{d}\bar{b}_i^r(\vec{k})e_r^t \mathbb{A}P\mathbb{d}b_i^r(\vec{k}) \\ +4i\mathbb{d}\bar{b}_i^r(\vec{k})e_r^t \mathbb{A}P\mathbb{d}b_i^q(\vec{k}) + 4i\mathbb{d}\bar{b}_i^q(\vec{k})e_r^t \mathbb{A}P\mathbb{d}b_i^r(\vec{k}) \\ +2i \left[ \mathbb{d}\bar{\sigma}_i^r(\vec{k})e_r^t \mathbb{A}P\mathbb{d}b^i(\vec{k}) - \mathbb{d}\sigma_i^r(\vec{k})e_r^t \mathbb{A}P\mathbb{d}\bar{b}^i(\vec{k}) \right] \\ -4 \left[ \mathbb{d}\bar{\alpha}_i^r(\vec{k})e_r^t \mathbb{A}P\mathbb{d}b^i(\vec{k}) + \mathbb{d}\alpha_i^r(\vec{k})e_r^t \mathbb{A}P\mathbb{d}\bar{b}^i(\vec{k}) \right] \end{array} \right\},$$

the energy momentum is

$$\tau^a \mathbb{d}P_a = \mathbb{d} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3\vec{k}}{w} \left[ \begin{array}{l} (\tau^a k_a) \left[ -4\bar{\beta}_{ij}^t(\vec{k})P\beta_{ij}(\vec{k}) + 8\bar{a}^t(\vec{k})Qa(\vec{k}) + 8\bar{b}_i^r(\vec{k})e_r^t P b_i^r(\vec{k}) + \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. 4\bar{b}_i^r(\vec{k})e_r^t P b_i^q(\vec{k}) + 4\bar{b}_i^q(\vec{k})e_r^t P b_i^r(\vec{k}) + 4i\bar{\alpha}_i^r(\vec{k})e_r^t P b^i(\vec{k}) - 4i\alpha_i^r(\vec{k})e_r^t P \bar{b}^i(\vec{k}) \right] \right. \\ \left. + 2(\tau^a k_a - \tau^0 w) \left[ \bar{\sigma}_i^r(\vec{k})e_r^t P b^i(\vec{k}) + \sigma_i^r(\vec{k})e_r^t P \bar{b}^i(\vec{k}) \right] \right] \right\},$$

and the helicity density

$$\lambda(\vec{k}) = \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{k^i}{w} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} -8i\bar{b}_j^r(\vec{k})e_r^t P e_r b_k^r(\vec{k}) - 4i\bar{b}_j^r(\vec{k})e_r^t P e_q b_k^q(\vec{k}) - 4i\bar{b}_j^q(\vec{k})e_q^t P e_r b_k^r(\vec{k}) \\ -2i[\bar{\sigma}_j^r(\vec{k}) + 2i\bar{\alpha}_j^r(\vec{k})]P b_k(\vec{k}) + 2i[\sigma_j^r(\vec{k}) - 2i\alpha_j^r(\vec{k})]P \bar{b}_k(\vec{k}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

In all these expressions we have  $\beta_{ij} \equiv \beta_{ij}^r e_r + \beta_{ij}^q e_q$ ,  $a \equiv a^p e_p + a^r e_r$ , and  $b_i \equiv b_i^r e_r + b_i^q e_q$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank J. León and A. Tiemblo for several interesting discussions. E.J.S.V. is supported by a Spanish Ministry of Education and Culture fellowship co-financed by the European Social Fund.

- 
- [1] J. F. Barbero G. and E. J. S. Villaseñor, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 104014 (2000).
  - [2] J. F. Barbero G. and E. J. S. Villaseñor, Nucl. Phys. B **600**, 423 (2001).
  - [3] E. Harikumar, A. Lahiri, and M. Sivakumar, Phys. Rev. **D63**, 105020 (2001).
  - [4] M. Henneaux, hep-th/9712226.
  - [5] M. Henneaux and B. Knaepen, Phys. Rev. **D56**, 6076 (1997).
  - [6] C. Crnković, Nucl. Phys. B **288**, 419 (1987).
  - [7] C. Crnković, Nucl. Phys. B **5**, 1557 (1988).
  - [8] C. Crnković and E. Witten, in *Three Hundred Years of Gravitation*, edited by S. W. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, 1989).
  - [9] J. F. Barbero G. and E. J. S. Villaseñor, hep-th/0204092.
  - [10] A. Ashtekar, Phys. Rev. **D36**, 1587 (1987).
  - [11] A. Ashtekar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2244 (1986).
  - [12] J. Samuel, Pramana J. Phys. **28**, L429 (1987).
  - [13] T. Jacobson and L. Smolin, Class. Quant. Grav. **5**, 583 (1988).
  - [14] M. Henneaux and B. Knaepen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **15**, 3535 (2000).