

Asymptotic Factorisation of the Ground-State for SU(N)-invariant Supersymmetric Matrix-Models

D. Hasler^(a), J. Hoppe^(b)

^(a) *Theoretische Physik, ETH-Hönggerberg, CH-8093 Zürich*

^(b) *Department of Mathematics, KTH, S-10044 Stockholm*

October 23, 2018

Abstract

We give a simple - straightforward and rigorous - derivation that when the eigenvalues of one of the $d = 9$ (5, 3, 2) matrices in the $SU(N)$ invariant supersymmetric matrix model become large (and well separated from each other) the ground-state wavefunction (resp. asymptotic zero-energy solution of the corresponding differential equation) factorizes, for all $N > 1$, into a product of supersymmetric harmonic oscillator wavefunctions (involving the ‘off-diagonal’ degrees of freedom) and a wavefunction ψ that is annihilated by the free supercharge formed out of all ‘diagonal’ (Cartan sub-algebra) degrees of freedom.

During the past few years, zero-energy states in supersymmetric matrix-models have been widely investigated [1-13]. In this paper, we will derive the asymptotic form of the ground-state wavefunction, for arbitrary $N > 1$. While the result we obtain may not be surprising (A. Smilga has previously stated the emergence of effectively free asymptotic supercharges, referring to work of E. Witten, and himself (cf.[14][15]); and the free Laplacian in [10] should come from an effective, hence also free supercharge) it is perhaps worth giving an explicit proof of how asymptotic solutions of

$$Q_\beta \Psi = 0 ,$$

$$Q_\beta = \left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{tA}} \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^t + \frac{1}{2} f_{ABC} q_s B q_t C \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{st} \right) \Theta_{\alpha A} \quad (1)$$

$$A, B, C = 1, \dots, N^2 - 1,$$

$$s, t = 1, \dots, d \text{ (} d = 2, 3, 5 \text{ or } 9 \text{)},$$

$$\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, 2(d - 1)$$

look like; f_{ABC} are real, totally antisymmetric structure constants of $SU(N)$, the hermitian fermionic operators $\Theta_{\alpha A}$ satisfy canonical anticommutation relations, $\{\Theta_{\alpha A}, \Theta_{\beta B}\} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{AB}$, and the real symmetric γ -matrices satisfy

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta}^s \gamma_{\alpha'\beta'}^{st} + \gamma_{\alpha'\beta}^s \gamma_{\alpha\beta'}^{st} + (\beta \leftrightarrow \beta') = 2 (\delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \gamma_{\beta\beta'}^t - \delta_{\beta\beta'} \gamma_{\alpha\alpha'}^t) \quad (2)$$

in addition to $\gamma^s \gamma^t + \gamma^t \gamma^s = 2\delta^{ts} \cdot \mathbb{1}$. On $SU(N)$ invariant states,

$$J_A \Psi := -i f_{ABC} \left(q_{sB} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{sC}} + \frac{1}{2} \theta_{\alpha B} \theta_{\alpha C} \right) \Psi = 0 , \quad (3)$$

letting $A = k = 1, \dots, N-1$ label a Cartan subalgebra (CSA) (and $A = a = N, \dots, N^2-1$ the non-CSA degrees of freedom), fixing the gauge by choosing

$$q_{da} = 0 \quad (a = N, \dots, N^2-1) \quad (4)$$

as well as assuming

$$f_{ab} := \sum_{k=1}^N f_{abk} q_{dk} \quad (5)$$

to be invertible ($f := \det(f_{ab}) \neq 0$),

$$\tilde{Q}_\beta := \sqrt{f} Q_\beta \frac{1}{\sqrt{f}}$$

may be written as ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, d-1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_\beta = & \left(-i \nabla_{\mu\alpha} \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\mu + f_{ca} q_{\mu c} \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{d\mu} \right) \Theta_{\alpha a} \\ & + f_{akc} q_{\mu k} q_{\nu c} \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{\mu\nu} \Theta_{\alpha a} \\ & - i \nabla_{\mu k} \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\mu \Theta_{\alpha k} - i \nabla_{dk} \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^d \Theta_{\alpha k} \\ & - \frac{i}{2} \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^d \Theta_{\alpha k} f_{ab}^{-1} f_{abk} + i \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^d \Theta_{\alpha a} f_{ab}^{-1} \left(-\frac{1}{2} f_{bCD} \Theta_{\alpha' C} \Theta_{\alpha' D} - i L'_b \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} f_{abc} q_{\mu b} q_{\nu c} \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{\mu\nu} \Theta_{\alpha a} + \frac{1}{2} f_{kbc} q_{\mu b} q_{\nu c} \gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{\mu\nu} \Theta_{\alpha k} , \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the first term in line 4 comes from

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{dk}} \sqrt{f} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{f} f_{ab}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{dk}} f_{ba} ,$$

and the second one (with $iL'_b = \sum_{\mu=1}^{d-1} f_{bCE} q_{\mu C} \nabla_{\mu E}$) results upon using (3) to replace the terms involving ${}^1 \nabla_{da}$ when acting on gauge-fixed functions (cp. [16])

$$\tilde{\Psi} = (\text{const}) \cdot \sqrt{f} \cdot \Psi(\dots q_{da} \equiv 0 \dots) ;$$

the factor \sqrt{f} arises as follows: with $X_s := q_{sA} T_A$, $\{T_A\}_{A=1}^{N^2-1}$ being traceless hermitian $N \times N$ matrices (diagonal for $A = 1, \dots, N-1$), satisfying $[T_A, T_B] = i f_{ABC} T_C$, (3) says that for unitary U

$$\Psi(X_1, \dots, X_d) = \hat{U} \Psi(U^{-1} X_1 U, \dots, U^{-1} X_d U) ,$$

with \hat{U} representing the action of U in the fermionic Fock space; as it is well known that when changing coordinates from a hermitian matrix (X_d , resp. the q_{dA}) to its eigenvalues

¹Sorry for the notation: d is always 2,3,5 or 9, and never corresponds to an $SU(N)$ index.

$\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \dots > \lambda_N$ and the parameters of the unitary matrix U diagonalizing it, $X_d = U\Lambda U^{-1}$, the Jacobian of the transformation is $\prod_{i<j}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2$, while $\prod_{i=1}^N d\lambda_i \delta(\sum \lambda_i) \sim \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} dq_{dk}$, we can consider X_d to be equal to Λ (this is the content of (4)), while absorbing the Jacobian (and the constant $\int dU$),

$$\int \prod dq_{\mu A} \int \prod dq_{dA} = \int \prod dq_{\mu A} \int dU \int dq_{dk} \prod_{i<j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 ,$$

that enter the calculation of scalar products of gauge-invariant states into the gauge-fixed wave functions. In order to see that $\prod_{i<j}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)$ is equal to \sqrt{f} , one notes that $[\Lambda, E_{jk}] = (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)E_{jk}$ for $(E_{jk})_{mn} = \delta_{jm}\delta_{kn}$, while writing $E_{jl} = e_{jl}^a T_a$ and putting $\Lambda = q_{dk} T_k$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k) e_{jk}^a T_a &= [\Lambda, E_{jk}] = q_{dk} e_{jk}^a [T_k, T_a] \\ &= i q_{dk} f_{kab} e_{jk}^a T_b = (-i f_{ab} e_{jk}^b) T_a , \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

hence $f_{ab} e_{jk}^b = i(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) e_{jk}^a$; so the product of eigenvalues of the matrix (f_{ab}) is

$$\prod_{j \neq k} i(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) = \left(\prod_{j < k} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k) \right)^2 ;$$

note that the *eigenvectors* of (f_{ab}) are independent of the parameters q_{dk} (we will make use of that later). Returning to equation (6) let us denote the first line by Q_β^0 , the third line by \hat{Q}_β , and the rest by $Q'_{\beta'}$. Using (2) when calculating the cross-terms it is straightforward to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q_\beta^0, Q_{\beta'}^0\} &= \delta_{\beta\beta'} H^{(0)} + 2(\gamma^d)_{\beta\beta'} q_{dk} J_k , \\ H^{(0)} &= -\nabla_{\mu a} \nabla_{\mu a} + f_{ca} f_{cb} q_{\mu a} q_{\mu b} + i f_{ca} \gamma_{\alpha\alpha'}^d \Theta_{\alpha c} \Theta_{\alpha' a} . \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Both the bosonic and the fermionic part of $H^{(0)}$ can easily be diagonalized by using $(i f_{ac}) e_{jk}^c = -(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) e_{jk}^c$, resp. writing $(i f_{ab}) = U D U^{-1}$, with the columns of the unitary matrix U being the eigenvectors \vec{e}_{jk} ; so, defining

$$\begin{aligned} z_{\mu,jk} &:= (e_{jk}^a)^* q_{\mu a} \quad (= e_{kj}^a q_{\mu a}) \\ \Theta'_{\alpha,jk} &:= (e_{jk}^a)^* \Theta_{\alpha a} \quad (= e_{kj}^a \Theta_{\alpha a}) , \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$H^{(0)}$ becomes $2 \cdot \sum_{j < k} H_{jk}^{(0)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{j < k}^{(0)} &= - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\mu,jk}^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\mu,jk}} + (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^2 z_{\mu,jk}^* z_{\mu,jk} \\ &\quad - \gamma_{\alpha\alpha'}^d (\lambda_j - \lambda_k) \Theta_{\alpha,jk}^{\prime\dagger} \Theta'_{\alpha',jk} , \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

whose ground state (now choosing γ^d as $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}$)

$$\psi_0 = \prod_{j < k} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \cdot e^{-(\lambda_j - \lambda_k) z_{\mu,jk}^* z_{\mu,jk}} \cdot \prod_{\alpha=1}^{d-1} \Theta_{\alpha,jk}^{\prime\dagger} | 0 \rangle , \quad (11)$$

where the vacuum is defined by

$$\Theta'_{\alpha,jk} | 0 \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \alpha, \forall (j, k) \text{ with } j < k,$$

has energy zero (and vanishes when any of the λ_j become equal). Now assume that the q_{dk} and the eigenvalues of $(f_{ab}) = (f_{abk}q_{dk})$ all become large, of order r ($r \rightarrow \infty$), with the $z_{\mu,jk}$ and the $q_{\mu a}$ small, of order $\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}$ (cp. (11)), while the $q_{\mu k}$ are considered to be of order 1. The leading part in (6) is then $Q_\beta^{(0)}$, being of order \sqrt{r} . Denoting the scalar-product with respect to the off-Cartan degrees of freedom, $q_{\mu a}$ and $\Theta_{\alpha a}$, by round brackets, we will now show that $(\psi_0, Q'_\beta \psi_0) = 0$, so that ψ (depending on the Cartan-degrees of freedom) in $\tilde{\Psi} \sim \psi_0 \cdot \psi$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$) has to satisfy $\hat{Q}_\beta \psi = 0$. All terms linear (or cubic) in the off-Cartan fermions clearly give zero, when calculating the expectation value. The last term in (6) also does not contribute (upon $\int \prod dq_{\mu b}$), as necessarily $\mu \neq \nu$ (and $b \neq c$), so it is odd in $q_{\mu b}$, resp. q_{rc} . The term which is cubic in the fermions, contributing via

$$+i\gamma_{\beta\alpha}^d \Theta_{\alpha'k} f_{ab}^{-1} f_{cbk} (\psi_0, \Theta_{\alpha a} \Theta_{\alpha'c} \psi_0)$$

cancels the contribution from the preceding term as

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_0, \Theta_{\alpha a} \Theta_{\alpha'c} \psi_0) &= \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} \delta_{ac} (\psi_0, \psi_0) \\ \parallel & \\ e_{jk}^a e_{ln}^c (\psi_0, \Theta'_{\alpha,jk} \Theta'_{\alpha',ln} \psi_0) &= \frac{1}{2} e_{jk}^a e_{kj}^c \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} (\psi_0, \psi_0) \end{aligned}$$

due to $UU^\dagger = \mathbf{1}$.

Let us discuss the contribution of excited states, i.e. states orthogonal to ψ_0 . We define the projection operator P_0 , which projects onto the state ψ_0 as

$$P_0 \tilde{\Psi} = \psi_0 (\psi_0, \tilde{\Psi}),$$

and $\bar{P}_0 = 1 - P_0$. Let Ψ be a zero mode of Q_β , i.e. $Q_\beta \Psi = 0$, or equivalently

$$\tilde{Q}_\beta \tilde{\Psi} = 0.$$

Decomposing this equation with respect to P_0 and \bar{P}_0 , we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_0 \tilde{Q}_\beta P_0 & P_0 \tilde{Q}_\beta \bar{P}_0 \\ \bar{P}_0 \tilde{Q}_\beta P_0 & \bar{P}_0 \tilde{Q}_\beta \bar{P}_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_0 \tilde{\Psi} \\ \bar{P}_0 \tilde{\Psi} \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

The scaling behavior for $r \rightarrow \infty$ of the operators \tilde{Q}_β is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_0 \tilde{Q}_\beta P_0 & P_0 \tilde{Q}_\beta \bar{P}_0 \\ \bar{P}_0 \tilde{Q}_\beta P_0 & \bar{P}_0 \tilde{Q}_\beta \bar{P}_0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} O(r^0) & O(r^{-1/2}) \\ O(r^{-1/2}) & O(r^{1/2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the term of order $r^{1/2}$ in $\bar{P}_0 \tilde{Q}_\beta \bar{P}_0$ is invertible on the range of \bar{P}_0 . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} O(r^0) P_0 \tilde{\Psi} + O(r^{-1/2}) \bar{P}_0 \tilde{\Psi} &= 0 \\ O(r^{-1/2}) P_0 \tilde{\Psi} + O(r^{1/2}) \bar{P}_0 \tilde{\Psi} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The second equation shows that the term $\bar{P}_0 \tilde{\Psi}$ is suppressed with respect to $P_0 \tilde{\Psi}$ at least by a factor r^{-1} . It follows that

$$P_0 \tilde{Q}_\beta P_0 \tilde{\Psi} = 0 + O(r^{-3/2}).$$

We identify the range of P_0 with the space of effective wavefunctions

$$\psi = \psi(q_{\mu k}, \Theta_{\alpha k})$$

via

$$P_0 \tilde{\Psi} \mapsto \psi = (\psi_0, \tilde{\Psi}) .$$

Hence $P_0 \tilde{\Psi} = \psi_0 \cdot \psi$. Let us summarize the above result. To a solution Ψ of $Q_\beta \Psi = 0$, there corresponds a wavefunction ψ given by $P_0 \tilde{\Psi} = \psi_0 \cdot \psi$ such that

$$\hat{Q}_\beta \psi = 0 + O(r^{-3/2}) \text{ for } r \rightarrow \infty ;$$

ψ must be square integrable at infinity.

Acknowledgments. This work was done while one of us (D.H.) was visiting KTH. We thank ETH and KTH for financial support, resp. hospitality, - and G.M. Graf for discussions.

References

- [1] P. Yi, Witten index and threshold bound states of D-branes, Nucl. Phys. **B505**, 307-318 (1997); [hep-th/9704098](#).
- [2] J. Fröhlich, J. Hoppe, On zero-mass ground states in super-membrane matrix models. Comm. Math. Phys. **191**, 613-626 (1998); [hep-th/9701119](#).
- [3] S. Sethi, M. Stern, D-Brane bound state redux. Comm. Math. Phys. **194**, 675-705 (1998); [hep-th/9705046](#).
- [4] M. Porrati, A. Rozenberg, Bound states at threshold in supersymmetric quantum mechanics, Nucl. Phys. **B515**, 184-202 (1998); [hep-th/9708119](#).
- [5] J. Hoppe, On the construction of zero energy states in supersymmetric matrix models I, II, III, [hep-th/9709132](#), [hep-th/9709217](#), [hep-th/9711033](#).
- [6] M.B. Green, M. Gutperle, D-particle bound states and the D-instanton measure, JHEP 9801 (1998); [hep-th/9711107](#).
- [7] M.B. Halpern, C. Schwartz, Asymptotic search for ground states of SU(2) matrix theory, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A13**, 4367-4408 (1998); [hep-th/9712133](#).
- [8] W. Krauth, H. Nicolai, M. Staudacher, Monte Carlo Approach to M-Theory, Phys. Lett. **B431**, (1998) 31, [hep-th/9803117](#).
- [9] G. Moore, N. Nekrasov, S. Shatashvili, D-particle bound states and generalized instantons, [hep-th/9803265](#).
- [10] A. Konechny, On asymptotic Hamiltonian for SU(N) matrix theory, JHEP 9810 (1998); [hep-th/9805046](#).

- [11] J. Fröhlich, G.M. Graf, D. Hasler, J. Hoppe, S.-T. Yau, Asymptotic form of zero energy wave functions in supersymmetric matrix models, Nucl. Phys. B**567**, 231-248 (2000); [hep-th/9904182](#).
- [12] V. Kac, A. Smilga, Normalized vacuum states in $N = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills quantum mechanics with any gauge group, [hep-th/9908096](#).
- [13] M. Bordemann, J. Hoppe, R.Suter, Zero Energy States for $SU(N)$: A simple exercise in group theory?, [hep-th/9909191](#). J. Hoppe, Asymptotic Zero Energy States for $SU(N \geq 3)$, [hep-th/9912163](#). J. Hoppe, J. Plefka, The Asymptotic Groundstate of $SU(3)$ Matrix Theory, [hep-th/0002107](#).
- [14] A.V. Smilga, in: Proc. Int. Workshop on Supermembranes and Physics in 2+1 dimensions (Trieste, July 1989), eds M.J. Duff, C.N. Pope, E. Sezgin (Worlds Scientific, Singapore, 1987).
- [15] A. Smilga, Born-Oppenheimer corrections to the effective zero-mode Hamiltonian in SYM Theory, [hep-th/0201048](#).
- [16] B. de Wit, M. Lüscher, H. Nicolai, The supermembrane is unstable, Nuclear Physics B **320**, 135 (1989).