# Critical phenomena of gravitating monopoles in the spacetime of a global monopole

Yves Brihaye\*

Faculté des Sciences, Université de Mons-Hainaut, B-7000 Mons, Belgium

Betti Hartmann<sup>†</sup>

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, U.K. (July 28, 2018)

## Abstract

We present a numerical study of critical phenomena (including the Lue-Weinberg phenomenon) arising for gravitating monopoles in a global monopole spacetime. The equations of this model have been recently studied by Spinelly et al. in a domain of parameter space away from the critical points.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb, 04.40.Nr, 14.80.Hv

Typeset using  $\text{REVT}_{EX}$ 

<sup>\*</sup>Yves.Brihaye@umh.ac.be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Betti.Hartmann@durham.ac.uk

## I. INTRODUCTION

Topological defects [1] arise in spontaneously broken field theories with non-trivial topological structure of the vacuum. If the symmetry broken is global, the defects have infinite energy and thus do not posses a particle-like behaviour. One way to get rid of this problem is to introduce gauge symmetries, the most popular example being the 't Hooft-Polyakov magnetic monopole [2]. Although initially constructed within an SU(2) gauge field theory, monopoles are predicted by all Grand Unified Theories (GUTs) which contain an unbroken U(1) symmetry. Since we observe this unbroken U(1) symmetry in the universe, this leads to the so-called "monopole-problem", the most popular solution to which is the scenario of inflation [3].

The coupling of field theories to gravity enriches their classical spectrum. For example, the Lagrangian describing a triplet of self-interacting scalar fields invariant under a global O(3) transformation has no finite energy solutions. However, the incorporation of gravity leads to global monopoles [4,5] which have particle-like structure.

The most striking feature of classical field theory solutions coupled to gravity is the pattern of bifurcations found e.g. for the gravitating monopoles merging into black hole solutions [6,7].

Recently, a model involving both a SO(3) triplet of Higgs fields as well as an O(3) triplet of Goldstone field was considered in [8]. Coupling the Lagrangian of this model to gravity, the authors were able to construct finite mass solutions incorporating both the gravitating monopole of [7] and the global monopole of [4]. Because many features of these solutions were left open, we reconsider here the classical equations and put the emphasis on several unsolved questions, namely : (i) how does the topological defect emerge from the purely gravitating magnetic monopole, (ii) what is the domain of existence of the solutions in the space of the parameters, (iii) do the solutions bifurcate into black holes solutions, (iv) do these features persist for large values of the self-interacting coupling constant. These questions are worth studying because it is known that the global monopole has a much stronger gravitational field at large distances as compared to that of the local monopole. The reason for this is that the space-time of the global monopole is not asymptotically flat, while that of the gauged monopole is. However, at short distances the gravitational effects of the global monopole are weak as long as its mass is much smaller than the Planck mass [1]. On the other hand, it is well known that local monopoles stop to exist when their radius becomes comparable to the corresponding Schwarzschild radius, which implies that the mass of the monopoles is of order of the Planck mass [6,7]. Since we are studying critical phenomena of these monpoles, the mass of both the local and the global monopole are of the order of magnitude of the Planck mass and the argument that the short distance gravitational field of the global monopole is weak doesn't hold anylonger. One might thus expect significant changes of the critical behaviour of the local monopole in the spacetime of a global one.

In order to answer these questions, we consider the model of [8] for generic values of the expectation values of the local Higgs fields and of the global Goldstone fields. The Lagrangian, the spherically symmetric Ansatz and the classical equations are presented in Sect. II. The numerical results are discussed in Sect. III and illustrated by means of five figures. We give our conclusions in Sec. IV.

### II. THE MODEL

#### A. The Lagrangian

We consider the following Lagrangian density [8]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{M} = -\frac{1}{4} F^{a}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu,a} - \frac{1}{2} D_{\mu} \phi^{a} D^{\mu} \phi^{a} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \xi^{a} \partial^{\mu} \xi^{a} - V(\phi^{a}, \xi^{a})$$
(1)

with the potential

$$V(\phi^a, \xi^a) = \frac{\lambda}{4} (\phi^a \phi^a - \upsilon^2)^2 + \frac{\lambda_g}{4} (\xi^a \xi^a - \eta^2)^2 , \qquad (2)$$

the covariant derivative of the Higgs triplet  $\phi^a$ , a = 1, 2, 3

$$D_{\mu}\phi^{a} = \partial_{\mu}\phi^{a} - e\varepsilon_{abc}A^{b}_{\mu}\phi^{c} \tag{3}$$

and the field strength tensor

$$F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu - e\varepsilon_{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu \ . \tag{4}$$

This model contains two triplets of scalar fields: the Higgs fields  $\phi^a$  with vacuum expectation value v and self-coupling  $\lambda$  and the Goldstone fields  $\xi^a$ , (a = 1, 2, 3) with vacuum expectation value  $\eta$  and self-coupling  $\lambda_g$ . It is invariant under a  $SO(3)_{local} \times O(3)_{global}$  transformation :

$$(\phi^a)'(x) = R_{ab}(x)\phi^a(x) \quad , \quad (\xi^a)'(x) = \tilde{R}_{ab}\xi^a(x)$$
 (5)

where the "*R*-part" of the symmetry is gauged by means of the SO(3) Yang-Mills field  $A^a_{\mu}$  with gauge coupling *e*, while the " $\tilde{R}$ -part" denotes a global transformation. Note that for the Lagrangian (1) the two scalar triplets are decoupled. The interaction between these fields will be carried out through the coupling to gravity. We thus consider the following action :

$$S = \int \left(\frac{R}{16\pi G} + \mathcal{L}_M\right) \sqrt{-g} d^4 x \tag{6}$$

where G is Newton's constant, g denotes the determinant of the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $R = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$  is the Ricci scalar. In this paper, we are carrying out a detailed numerical study of the classical, spherically symmetric solutions of the system of equations which arise from the variation of (6).

#### **B.** Spherically symmetric Ansatz

For the metric, the spherically symmetric Ansatz in Schwarzschild-like coordinates reads [6,7,9]:

$$ds^{2} = g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = -A^{2}(r)N(r)dt^{2} + N^{-1}(r)dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2}) , \qquad (7)$$

For the gauge, Higgs and Goldstone fields, we use the purely magnetic hedgehog Ansatz [2,4,8]:

$$A_r^{\ a} = A_t^{\ a} = 0 \ , \tag{8}$$

$$A_{\theta}{}^{a} = \frac{1 - u(r)}{e} e_{\varphi}{}^{a} , \qquad A_{\varphi}{}^{a} = -\frac{1 - u(r)}{e} \sin \theta e_{\theta}{}^{a} , \qquad (9)$$

$$\phi^a = \upsilon h(r)e_r^a \quad , \quad \xi^a = \upsilon f(r)e_r^a \quad . \tag{10}$$

We introduce the following dimensionless variable and coupling constants :

$$x = evr$$
 ,  $\alpha^2 = 4\pi Gv^2$  ,  $\beta^2 = \frac{\lambda}{e^2}$  ,  $\beta_g^2 = \frac{\lambda_g}{e^2}$  ,  $q = \frac{\eta}{v}$  (11)

The ratio between the radius of the local monopole core  $r_l \propto (ev)^{-1}$  and the global monopole core  $r_g \propto (\sqrt{\lambda_g}\eta)^{-1}$  can be given in terms of these quantities :

$$\frac{r_l}{r_g} \propto \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_g}\eta}{e\upsilon} = \beta_g q \tag{12}$$

Note that the notation used here corresponds to the one used in [9] and differs from the one in [8]. To avoid confusion, we stress here the crucial differences :

[8]: 
$$\alpha^2 = 1 - 8\pi G \eta^2$$
,  $g_{rr} = A$ ,  $g_{tt} = B$   
[9]:  $\alpha^2 = 4\pi G v^2$ ,  $g_{rr} = N^{-1}$ ,  $g_{tt} = A^2 N$ 

## C. Classical field equations

Varying (6) with respect to the metric fields gives the Einstein equations which can be combined to give two first order differential equations for A and  $\mu$ :

$$A' = \alpha^2 A x \left(\frac{2}{x^2} (u')^2 + (h')^2 + (f')^2\right)$$
(13)

$$\mu' = \alpha^2 x^2 \left( \left( \mathcal{U} - \frac{q^2}{x^2} \right) + N \mathcal{K} \right) \tag{14}$$

with the abbreviations

$$\mathcal{K} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2}{x^2} (u')^2 + (h')^2 + (f')^2 \right) \,, \tag{15}$$

$$\mathcal{U} = \frac{(u^2 - 1)^2}{2x^4} + h^2 \frac{u^2}{x^2} + \frac{f^2}{x^2} + \frac{\beta^2}{4} (h^2 - 1)^2 + \frac{\beta_g^2}{4} (f^2 - q^2)^2 , \qquad (16)$$

and N and  $\mu$  are related as follows:

$$N(x) = 1 - 2\alpha^2 q^2 - 2\frac{\mu(x)}{x} .$$
(17)

Variation with respect to the matter fields yields the Euler-Lagrange equations, which for our model are a set of three second order differential equations:

$$(ANu')' = A(\frac{u(u^2 - 1)}{x^2} + h^2 u) , \qquad (18)$$

$$(19)$$

$$(x^{2}ANh')' = A(2hu^{2} + \beta^{2}x^{2}h(h^{2} - 1)), \qquad (20)$$
(21)

$$(x^2 A N f')' = A(2f + \beta_g^2 x^2 f(f^2 - q^2)) .$$
(22)

The prime denotes the derivative with respect to x. In order to solve the system of equations uniquely, we have to introduce 8 boundary conditions, which we choose to be :

$$\mu(0) = 0$$
 ,  $u(0) = 1$  ,  $h(0) = 0$  ,  $f(0) = 0$  (23)

$$A(\infty) = 0$$
 ,  $u(\infty) = 0$  ,  $h(\infty) = 1$  ,  $f(\infty) = q$  (24)

Note that close to the origin,  $\mu(x \to 0) \approx -\alpha^2 q^2 x$  such that  $N(x \to 0) \to 1$ . Note further that while the decay of the Higgs field function h for  $x \to \infty$  depends on  $\beta$ , the decay of the Goldstone field function f doesn't depend on  $\beta_g$ :

$$(h-1) \approx \exp(-\sqrt{2\beta x})$$
,  $(f-q) \approx c/x^2$  for  $x \to \infty$ . (25)

The dimensionless mass of the solution is determined by the asymptotic value  $\mu(\infty) = \mu_{\infty}$  of the function  $\mu(x)$  and is given by  $\mu_{\infty}/\alpha^2$ .

#### **III. NUMERICAL RESULTS**

### A. Gravitating monopoles: q = 0

For q = 0, no global symmetry breaking takes place and equation (22) is trivially solved by f = 0. In this limit, the remaining equations are those of the gravitating magnetic monopole studied in [7]. For completness, we briefly recall the main properties of these solutions. The  $\alpha = 0$  limit corresponds to flat space with N(x) = A(x) = 1 and thus the 't Hooft- Polyakov [2] monopole is recovered. For non-vanishing  $\alpha^2$ , space-time is curved and the gravitating monopole arises smoothly from its flat space limit. While the mass of the gravitating monopole given (in our rescaled coordinates ) by  $\mu_{\infty}/\alpha^2$  decreases as expected, the ratio  $\mu_{\infty}/\alpha$  increases gradually from zero to one. In particular, the function N(x) develops a minimum  $N_m$  at  $x = x_m$  which becomes deeper for increasing  $\alpha$ . At a  $\beta$ -dependent critical value of  $\alpha$  (e.g.  $\alpha_{cr}(\beta = 0) \approx 1.385$ ,  $\alpha_{cr}(\beta = 1) \approx 1.145$ ) a degenerate horizon forms with  $N_m \to 0$  and the non-abelian solution ceases to exist. It bifurcates into an embedded extremal Reissner-Nordström (RN) black hole solution with magnetic charge P = 1 and horizon  $x_h$ :

$$N_{RN}(x) = 1 - \frac{2\mu_{\infty}}{x} + \frac{\alpha^2}{x^2}$$
,  $A_{RN}(x) = 1$ ,  $\mu_{\infty} = x_h = \alpha$  (26)

For  $0 \leq \beta \leq 0.757$ , the solutions exist up to a maximal value  $\alpha_{max}$  with  $N_m(\alpha_{max}) \neq 0$ and reach their critical solution on a second branch of solutions with  $\alpha_{cr} < \alpha_{max}$ . This second branch disappears for  $\beta > 0.757$  and  $\alpha_{cr} = \alpha_{max}$ . For intermediate values of  $\beta$ , i.e. for  $\beta \geq \beta_{tr} = 7.15$ , however, the pattern of reaching the critical solution changes [10,11]. Lue and Weinberg [10] observed that for large enough  $\beta$  and increasing  $\alpha$ , a second local minimum of N(x) starts to form which is located between the origin and the minimum which corresponds to the Reissner-Nordström horizon. Eventually, the inner minimum dips down much quicker than the outer one, such that the critical solution is an extremal, non-abelian black hole with mass less than that of the corresponding extremal RN solution. For  $\beta$  close to  $\beta_{tr}$ , the outer minimum is already quite pronounced at the moment the inner minimum starts to form [11].

#### **B.** Gravitating monopoles: $q \neq 0$

One of the main goals of this paper is to study how the critical phenomena described in the previous section change in the presence of a global monopole, i.e. for q > 0.

This is illustrated in FIGs. 1 and 2 for  $\beta = \beta_g = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0.6$ . FIG. 1 demonstrates the evolution of the mass function  $\mu(x)$  for different values of the parameter q.  $\mu(x)$  develops a local, negative valued minimum and its asymptotic value decreases with q, in particular  $\mu_{\infty}$  becomes negative for q > 0.7. The evolution of the function N(x) is presented in FIG. 2. The difference  $N(\infty) - N_m$ , where  $N_m$  denotes the local minimum of N(x), decreases for increasing q and the function becomes monotonically decreasing for q > 0.8. The case of equal vacuum expectation values q = 1.0 was studied in [8]. Note that the solutions cease to exist for  $q > 1/(\sqrt{2}\alpha) \approx 1.178$ .

The natural question to raise now is in which domain of the  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\beta_g$ , q hyperspace monopole solutions exist. For the moment, we limit our analysis to the domain of existence in the  $\alpha - q$ -plane for fixed  $\beta$ ,  $\beta_g$ .

First, we analyse the evolution of the solutions for fixed small values of  $q \leq q_{tr}(\beta, \beta_g)$ and increasing  $\alpha$ . We find a very similar picture as in the q = 0 case : the function N(x)develops a minimum which approaches zero for  $\alpha \approx \alpha_{cr}(q, \beta, \beta_g)$ . Our numerical analysis suggests that the critical value of  $\alpha$  increases for increasing q [12], e.g. for  $\beta = \beta_g = 1.0$  we find :

$$\alpha_{cr}(0.0, 1.0, 1.0) \approx 1.145$$
 ,  $\alpha_{cr}(0.2, 1.0, 1.0) \approx 1.145$  ,  $\alpha_{cr}(0.6, 1.0, 1.0) \approx 1.175$  (27)

We further find that the critical value of  $\alpha$  depends only little on  $\beta_g$ , e.g. for q = 0.1 and  $\beta = 1.0$  we obtain :

$$\alpha_{cr}(0.1, 1.0, 1.0) \approx 1.145$$
 ,  $\alpha_{cr}(0.1, 1.0, 5.0) \approx 1.146$  ,  $\alpha_{cr}(0.1, 1.0, 10.0) \approx 1.147$  (28)

For small values of  $\beta$ , we find in analogy to [7] that the solutions exist up to a maximal value of  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha_{max}(q, \beta, \beta_g)$  with  $N_m \neq 0$  and that from there a second branch of solutions exists up to  $\alpha_{cr} < \alpha_{max}$  with  $N_m$  reaching zero at  $\alpha = \alpha_{cr}$ . Both,  $\alpha_{max}$  and  $\alpha_{cr}$  increase with q, e.g. for  $\beta = 0.0, \beta_g = 1.0$ , the values are :

$$\alpha_{cr}(0.0, 0.0, 1.0) \approx 1.385$$
,  $\alpha_{cr}(0.3, 0.0, 1.0) \approx 1.399$ ,  $\alpha_{cr}(0.4, 0.0, 1.0) \approx 1.434$  (29)

For  $q > q_{tr}(\beta, \beta_g)$  the scenario is quite different as can be guessed from FIG. 2. Indeed, when the expectation value of the global Goldstone field becomes large, the function N(x)decreases monotonically from N(0) = 1 to its asymptotic value  $N(\infty) = 1 - 2\alpha^2 q^2$ . No local minimum develops and the solution just stops existing because the asymptotic value  $N(\infty) = 1 - 2q^2\alpha^2$  of N(x) itself becomes negative. The domain of existence of solutions in the  $\alpha - q$ - plane is presented in FIG. 3. This FIG. suggests clearly that  $q_{tr}(\beta, \beta_g)$  decreases with decreasing  $\beta$ , which is indeed what our numerical simulations confirm.

In order to understand the pattern of reaching the critical solution, we show in FIG. 4. the critical solution for q = 0.2. Our numerical results suggest that a degenerate horizon forms at  $x_h \approx 1.148$ . For  $0 > x > x_h$ , the Goldstone field function f(x) vanishes, while all other functions are non-trivial. For  $x > x_h$  in contrast,  $u(x) \equiv 0$ ,  $h(x) \equiv 1$ , while the Goldstone field function f(x) and the metric functions N(x) and A(x) remain non-trivial in this region. We can make a rough approximation to explain this result analytically as follows : at and just outside the horizon, we can treat the Goldstone field as roughly vanishing  $f(x) \approx 0$ . Thus equation (14) becomes

$$\mu' = \alpha^2 x^2 \left(\frac{1}{2x^4} - \frac{q^2}{x^2} + \beta_g q^4\right) \text{ for } x \approx x_h \tag{30}$$

For q = 0 the solution is clearly the RN solution. For  $q \neq 0$ , however, we find using (17):

$$N(x) = 1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{x^2} + \frac{C}{x} - \frac{2}{3}\alpha^2 q^4 \beta_g^2 x^2$$
(31)

where C is an integration constant. This solution has degenerate horizons with  $N(x_h) = N'(x_h) = 0$  at

$$x_h^{(1,2,3,4)} = \pm \frac{1}{2\alpha q^2 \beta_g} \sqrt{1 \pm \sqrt{1 - 8\alpha^4 q^4 \beta_g^2}}$$
(32)

For our choice of parameters in FIG. 4, one of these four horizons is  $x_h \approx 1.148$ . This is exactly equal to the horizon we find in our numerical calculations. This approximation is, of course, only valid close to the horizon. Away from the horizon, the solution is non-abelian. We thus observe a "black hole inside a global monopole". Outside the core of the global monopole, where f has reached its vacuum expectation value f(x) = q, (14) reduces to the equation for the RN case and using (17) we obtain for N(x) the metric function of an extremal RN black hole with deficit angle  $1 - 2\alpha^2 q^2$ :

$$N_{RN}(x) = 1 - 2\alpha^2 q^2 - \frac{2\mu_{\infty}}{x} + \frac{\alpha^2}{x^2} .$$
(33)

The mass of this solution is given by  $\mu_{\infty}/\alpha^2 = \alpha^{-1}\sqrt{1-2\alpha^2q^2}$ . For our choice of parameters in FIG. 4, our numerical results indicate that indeed at the critical value of  $\alpha = 1.145$ , this mass is obtained.

Finally, we demonstrate in FIG. 5 that the so-called Lue-Weinberg (LW) phenomenon observed previously only in the gravitating monopole case for large enough values of  $\beta$ 

[10,11] persists in the presence of a global monopole. We show the metric function N(x) for  $\beta = 15$ ,  $\beta_g = 1.0$  and q = 0.5. Apparently, with increasing  $\alpha$ , first a RN type horizon forms at roughly (using (32))  $x \approx 0.82$ . At  $\alpha = 0.7975$ , a second minimum starts to develop, which dips down much quicker than the RN type minimum. At  $\alpha_{cr} \approx 0.79895$ , this inner minimum has reached zero, while the outer one is still greater than zero. Thus, an extremal non-abelian black hole has formed. This scenario is similar to the one observed in the model without a global Goldstone field. Comparing the critical value of  $\alpha$ , we find that  $\alpha_{cr}(q, \beta = 15.)$  decreases slightly with increasing q. We find  $\alpha_{cr}(0.0) = 0.80017$  and  $\alpha_{cr}(0.5) = 0.79895$ .

## **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

We have studied gravitating magnetic monopoles in the spacetime of a global monopole and have put emphasis on the study of critical phenomena. We find that the solutions merge into extremal black hole solutions representing "black holes inside a global monopole" for a choice of parameters where the radius of the core of the local monopole is smaller than that of the global one (i.e. for small values of the product  $\beta_g q$ ). The critical value of  $\alpha$ is increasing with increasing q. For intermediate values of the Higgs boson mass, we find that the Lue-Weinberg phenomenon persists in the presence of a global monopole with the critical value of  $\alpha$  decreasing with increasing q for this phenomenon.

It is remarkable that many properties of the gravitating monopole persist in the presence of a global monopole. Of course, the model studied here involves many parameters and we limited our analysis to some particular cases. We believe though that all qualitative properties of the solutions are exhibited in our results.

It would be interesting to study the corresponding black hole solutions of this model, especially to investigate how the domain of existence in the  $\alpha$ - $x_h$ -plane chances in the presence of a global monopole.

Axially symmetric SU(2) monopoles in curved space have been studied in [13]. It was found that in contrast to flat space, an attractive phase can exist for specific choices of the coupling constants. It is left as future work to study the influence of the global monopole on the attraction between like-charged gauged monopoles.

Acknowledgements B. H. was supported by the EPSRC.

## REFERENCES

- A. Vilenkin and E. P. S. Shellard, Cosmic Strings and Other topological defects, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [2] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B79 (1974), 276;
   A. M. Polyakov, JETP Lett. 20 (1974), 194.
- [3] A. Guth, Phys. Rev. **D23** (1981), 347.
- [4] M. Barriola and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63** (1989), 341.
- [5] D. Harari and C. Lousto, Phys. Rev. **D** 42 (1990), 2626.
- [6] K. Lee, V.P. Nair and E.J. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992), 2751.
- [7] P. Breitenlohner, P. Forgacs and D. Maison, Nucl. Phys. B383 (1992), 357;
   P. Breitenlohner, P. Forgacs and D. Maison, Nucl. Phys. B442 (1995), 126.
- [8] J. Spinelly, U. de Freitas and E. R. Berezza de Mello, hep-th/0205046.
- [9] Y. Brihaye, B. Hartmann, J. Kunz and N. Tell, Phys. Rev. D60 (1999), 104016.
- [10] A. Lue and E.J. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **D60** (1999), 084025.
- [11] Y. Brihaye, B. Hartmann and J. Kunz, Phys. Rev. **D62** (2000), 044008.
- [12] It should be stressed that the numerical integration of the equations becomes more and more involved for increasing q.
- [13] B. Hartmann, B. Kleihaus and J. Kunz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001), 1422.



FIG. 1. The mass function  $\mu(x)$  is presented for  $\beta = \beta_g = 1.0$ ,  $\alpha = 0.6$  and for several values of q as a function of the dimensionless coordinate x = evr.



FIG. 2. Same as FIG. 1 for the metric function N(x).



FIG. 3. The domain of existence of the solutions in the  $\alpha - q - plane$  is shown for  $\beta_g = \beta = 1.0$  (dashed) and  $\beta_g = 1.0$ ,  $\beta = 0$  ( $\alpha_{max}$ : dotted-dashed,  $\alpha_{cr}$ : dotted). The solid line represents  $1 - 2q^2\alpha^2 = 0$ . The solutions exist below the solid line and to the left of the corresponding dashed, dotted-dashed, dotted line, respectively.



FIG. 4. The functions N, A, u, h, f are presented for  $\beta = \beta_g = 1.0, q = 0.2$  and  $\alpha \approx \alpha_{cr} \approx 1.145$  as functions of the dimensionless coordinate x = evr.



FIG. 5. The metric function N(x) is shown for  $\beta = 15$ ,  $\beta_g = 1.0$ , q = 0.5 and four values of  $\alpha$ , especially  $\alpha \approx \alpha_{cr} = 0.79895$  as function of the dimensionless coordinate x = evr.