

Any Compact Group is a Gauge Group

Sergio Doplicher* Gherardo Piacitelli†

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli studi di Roma “La Sapienza”,
P.le A. Moro, 2, 00185 Roma (Italy)

Dedicated to Huzihiro Araki on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

Abstract

The assignment of the local observables in the vacuum sector, fulfilling the standard axioms of local quantum theory, is known to determine uniquely a compact group G of gauge transformations of the first kind together with a central involutive element k of G , and a complete normal algebra of fields carrying the localizable charges, on which k defines the Bose/Fermi grading.

We show here that any such pair $\{G, k\}$, where G is compact metrizable, does actually appear. The corresponding model can be chosen to fulfill also the split property.

This is not a dynamical phenomenon: a given $\{G, k\}$ arises as the gauge group of a model where the local algebras of observables are a suitable subnet of local algebras of a possibly infinite product of free field theories.

Keywords: gauge groups, local algebras, superselection theory
MSC: 81T05; 43A95, 22D25.

1 Introduction

When few standard assumptions of Local Quantum Physics on the physical, four dimensional Minkowski spacetime are fulfilled, the assignment of the local observables is sufficient to construct an algebra \mathfrak{F} of fields carrying localizable charges and a compact group G of gauge transformations of the first kind, where \mathfrak{F} is complete in the sense that *all* superselection sectors with finite statistics (intrinsically determined by the local observables) can be reached acting with the fields on the vacuum [1]. Moreover, the construction is unique if in addition one requires normal Bose/Fermi commutation relations at spacelike separations; the corresponding \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading is defined by a central involution $k \in G$. The local

*dopliche@mat.uniroma1.it

†piacitel@mat.uniroma1.it

Research supported by MIUR and GNAMPA-INDAM.

observables are then identified with the gauge invariant part of \mathfrak{F} , and the superselection sectors are in one to one correspondence with the classes of irreducible continuous unitary representations of the gauge group G . More precisely, \mathfrak{F} is constructed from a cross product of \mathfrak{A} by the superselection category, and G appears as the group of all automorphisms of \mathfrak{F} leaving \mathfrak{A} pointwise fixed. Representations of \mathfrak{A} obeying the selection criterion of localizability and with finite statistics are described by localized morphisms of \mathfrak{A} induced by finite dimensional G invariant Hilbert spaces in \mathfrak{F} with support I ; the correspondence between a localized morphism and the representation of G obtained as the restriction of gauge automorphisms to the corresponding Hilbert space in \mathfrak{F} provides a natural isomorphism between the superselection category and a category of representations of G , equivalent to the category of all finite dimensional unitary continuous representations. For a survey see e.g. [2, 3].

The proof of this reconstruction theorem was closely related to a new duality theory for compact groups [4]. The gauge group G will be metrizable^a precisely in theories with at most countably many superselection sectors, hence, in particular, in theories where the split property is fulfilled by the field net [5]. So it is quite natural to ask which compact groups may arise as gauge groups. Indeed, here we provide a natural, functorial construction which maps any given system $\{G, k, \mu\}$ — with G a metrizable compact group, $k \in \mathfrak{Z}(G)$, $k^2 = e$, and μ a suitable mass function over a generating subset of the spectrum of G , cf below — to an observable net with a distinguished vacuum sector, admitting precisely G as its gauge group, and k as the grading element. Moreover, the field net will fulfill the split property.

Let $\text{Irr}(G)$ be the set of all irreducible subrepresentations of the regular representation. We say that a subset $\Delta \subset \text{Irr}(G)$ is symmetric if it is stable under conjugation, generating if every element of $\text{Irr}(G)$ appears as a subrepresentation of tensor products of elements of Δ ^b. In what follows, by a mass function we shall always understand a map $\mu : \Delta^{(\mu)} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with $\Delta^{(\mu)} \subset \text{Irr}(G)$ a symmetric, generating subset associated to mutually orthogonal subspaces, and such that $\inf\{\mu(\Delta^{(\mu)})\} > 0$; moreover, μ will satisfy the growth condition

$$\forall \delta > 0, \quad \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta^{(\mu)}} d(\sigma) \mu(\sigma)^4 e^{-\mu(\sigma)\delta} < \infty \quad (1.1)$$

($d(\sigma) = \dim(K_\sigma)$ is the dimension of the representation $\sigma \in \Delta^{(\mu)}$ acting on the Hilbert space $K_\sigma \subset L^2(G)$), which will imply the split property for the field net of the associated model.

A gauge triple is a system $T = \{G, k, \mu\}$ where G is a compact, metrizable group, $k \in G$ an involutive central element and μ a mass function. A continuous, surjective group homomorphism $G \xrightarrow{\eta} G_1$ defines an arrow

$$\{G, k, \mu\} \xrightarrow{\eta} \{G_1, k_1, \mu_1\}$$

^aEquivalently, the compact group G has a countable basis of open sets, or has a countable set of equivalence classes of irreducible continuous unitary representations.

^bBy the Stone–Weierstrass theorem, a symmetric Δ is generating if and only if it is faithful, i.e. it separates points of G .

in the category $\mathcal{G}auge$ of gauge triples if and only if

$$\eta(k) = k_1$$

and the transposed map $\text{Irr}(G_1) \xrightarrow{\eta_*} \text{Irr}(G)$, $\eta_*\sigma = \sigma \circ \eta$, fulfills the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_*\Delta^{(\mu_1)} &\subset \Delta^{(\mu)}, \\ \mu \circ \eta_* &= \mu_1. \end{aligned}$$

We shall construct a functor F from $\mathcal{G}auge$ to the category $\mathcal{O}bs$ of covariant observable (i.e. local) nets in a vacuum sector. The objects of $\mathcal{O}bs$ are the systems $\{\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{A}, U\}$, where \mathfrak{A} is an irreducible, additive local net of von Neumann algebras on the separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , defined on the set \mathcal{K} of double cones in Minkowski space, and fulfilling duality^c; U is a strongly continuous unitary representation of the Poincaré group \mathcal{P} , covariantly acting on \mathfrak{A} , satisfying the spectrum condition and having an invariant vector (the vacuum vector), unique up to a phase. An arrow

$$\{\mathcal{H}_1, \mathfrak{A}_1, U_1\} \longrightarrow \{\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{A}, U\}$$

is given by an isometry $V : \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and an inclusion of nets $\Phi : \mathfrak{A}_1 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} VA &= \Phi(A)V, \quad A \in \mathfrak{A}_1, \\ VU_1(L) &= U(L)V, \quad L \in \mathcal{P}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\mathfrak{A}_1 \subset \mathfrak{A}$ in the sense of [6].

The results of [1] provide us with a reconstruction functor r which associates to any system $\{\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{A}, U\}$ in $\mathcal{O}bs$ the corresponding couple $\{G, k\}$ where G is the gauge group and k is the element grading the commutation rules.

We are ready to state our main result.

Theorem 1.1 *There exists a faithful, contravariant functor*

$$\mathcal{G}auge \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{O}bs$$

such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{G, k, \mu\} & \xrightarrow{F} & \{\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{A}, U\} \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow r \\ & & \{G, k\} \end{array}$$

where f forgets the mass function. Moreover, for any gauge triple T , the canonical field net associated with $F(T)$ fulfills the split property.

^cWe recall that the net \mathfrak{A} is said to fulfill duality if, for any double cone \mathcal{O} , $\mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O}) = \mathfrak{A}(\mathcal{O})'$.

We recall here that the split property selects the models which are more relevant for physics among theories fulfilling the general axioms of locality, covariance, and spectrum condition; in particular it allows us to derive rigorously a weak form of the Noether theorem and an exact variant of the current algebra [7, 8, 9]; this provides a natural approach towards a full Quantum Noether Theorem (see [10] for partial results in conformal models).

Remark 1.1. Let $T = \{G, k, \mu\}$ be a gauge triple, and $F(T) = \{\mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{A}, U\}$; \mathfrak{A} will be obtained as the G -invariant part of a field net \mathfrak{F} associated to T . \mathfrak{F} will be generated, as σ runs through $\Delta^{(\mu)}$, by $d(\sigma)$ independent free fields of mass $\mu(\sigma)$, acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_σ , of scalar or Dirac type according to whether $\sigma(k) = +1$ or -1 respectively, and fulfilling normal commutation relations; thus fields associated to $\sigma \neq \sigma'$ anticommute if $\sigma(k) = \sigma'(k) = -1$, and commute otherwise. The net \mathfrak{F} will act irreducibly over the (infinite, if $\Delta^{(\mu)}$ is infinite) tensor product (relative to the sequence of vacuum state vectors) over $\sigma \in \Delta^{(\mu)}$ of the Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_σ . To prove that G is indeed the full gauge group associated to \mathfrak{A} , it suffices to prove that the field net \mathfrak{F} fulfills the split property, together with a further cohomological condition [11, Theorems 4 and 2, §3.4.5.]. Actually, one might conjecture that the infinite tensor product of full free theories has no nontrivial sectors^d. Were this conjecture true, the extension of the functor F to a larger category of gauge triples, defined by dismissing the growth condition on the mass functions, still would make the above diagram commutative, without any need to invoke and establish the split property of the infinite tensor product model. Furthermore, this might allow us to extend the present result to theories with uncountably many superselection sectors, where the field algebra cannot fulfill the split property, but which however might hardly have any physical interest.

Remark 1.2. Let T, T_1 be two gauge triples, and $\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{F}_1$ the canonical field nets associated with $F(T), F(T_1)$, respectively. If there is an arrow $T \xrightarrow{\eta} T_1$, then $F(T_1)$ is a subsystem of $F(T)$ and one has the following commuting square of inclusions of nets (in the sense of [6])

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{A}_1 & \subset & \mathfrak{F}_1 \\ \cap & & \cap \\ \mathfrak{A} & \subset & \mathfrak{F} \end{array}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}_1 \vee \mathfrak{A} &= \mathfrak{F}^{\ker \eta}, \\ \mathfrak{F}_1 \wedge \mathfrak{A} &= \mathfrak{F}_1^{G_1}, \end{aligned}$$

a statement about the superselection theory for subsystems, which holds true in general. See [6].

The construction of our models is outlined in section 2, and the proof of the main theorem is completed in section 3.

^dA related conjecture has been formulated by Roberto Longo [12]; we defer this problem to future investigation.

2 Construction of the models

A mass function μ naturally defines a faithful representation D of G as the direct sum of the representations σ contained in its domain $\Delta^{(\mu)}$, with representation space $K \subset L^2(G)$ stable under the canonical conjugation on $L^2(G)$, which induces a conjugation J of K . The rôle of the grading element k is to select Fermi and Bose sectors: since k is central and involutive, we can decompose $\Delta^{(\mu)} = \Delta_+^{(\mu)} \cup \Delta_-^{(\mu)}$, where $\sigma(k) = \pm I_{K_\sigma}$, $\sigma \in \Delta_\pm^{(\mu)}$. Correspondingly, the space K has the natural decomposition $K = K_+ \oplus K_-$ as the direct sum of the two eigenspaces of the selfadjoint, unitary operator $D(k)$.

We consider two generalized free fields, a scalar field ϕ defined on the K_+ -valued test functions, and a Dirac field ψ defined on the $K_- \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$ -valued test functions (a brief reminder is included in the appendix, for convenience of the reader). Define \mathfrak{F} as the tensor product of the nets \mathfrak{F}_- and \mathfrak{F}_+ associated to ϕ and ψ respectively; the action of G on the fields is induced by the natural pointwise actions of G on the test functions, and the mass function determines the energy–momentum spectrum. The observable net $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}^G$ is the net of gauge invariant elements. The vacuum representation of \mathfrak{A} is generated by the restriction to \mathfrak{A} of the vacuum state of \mathfrak{F} .

The field algebra \mathfrak{F} is thus a product of massive free field models, on which the elements of the desired group G act as gauge transformations on multiplets of fields and k determines the Bose/Fermi grading. For each $\sigma \in \Delta^{(\mu)}$, there is a multiplet of $d(\sigma)$ fields on which G acts and defines an unitary representation equivalent to σ . Since the vacuum is cyclic and G -invariant, the action of G on the fields is implemented by a faithful, strongly continuous unitary representation \mathcal{V} of G . The commutation relations are graded by $\mathcal{V}(k)$. The basic properties of locality, covariance, and spectrum condition [13, 14] are evidently fulfilled, only the proof of the duality property of the net \mathfrak{A} in its vacuum sector has to be sketched.

We first prove twisted duality for the field net \mathfrak{F} . The net of fixed points under the action of a gauge group G_{\max} , *a priori* larger than G , will be the tensor product of nets, each fulfilling duality, hence it will fulfill duality as well (cf e.g. [5, Lemma 10.1]). This implies ([1, Theorem 3.6]) that \mathfrak{F} fulfills twisted duality, which in turn implies that for any closed subgroup $G \subset G_{\max} = U(\mathcal{H}) \cap \mathfrak{F}'$, the fixed point net $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{F}^G$ fulfills duality in the vacuum [15].

To be more precise, the Tychonov product G_{\max} of the full unitary groups $U(K_\sigma)$ (or of the full orthogonal group $O(K_\sigma)$ if $\sigma = \bar{\sigma}$) is represented on K by the diagonal action in the decomposition of K as the direct sum of the subspaces K_σ ; composing this representation with the second quantization functor Γ (which intertwines direct sums and tensor products, cf remark 1 above) provides a unitary representation of G_{\max} which induces gauge automorphisms on \mathfrak{F} , generated by the products of the actions of the full gauge groups $U(K_\sigma)$ (or $O(K_\sigma)$).

The subnet $\mathfrak{A}_{\min} = \mathfrak{F}^{G_{\max}}$ of fixed points under G_{\max} is now the tensor product of the nets $\mathfrak{A}_\sigma := \mathfrak{F}_\sigma^{U(K_\sigma)}$ (or $\mathfrak{F}_\sigma^{O(K_\sigma)}$) of fixed points in the field algebra

generated by the free fields ϕ_σ or ψ_σ . Since each \mathfrak{A}_σ fulfills duality in its vacuum sector, so does the tensor product net \mathfrak{A}_{\min} , hence \mathfrak{A} too.

We thus defined an object of \mathcal{Obs} associated by F to an object of \mathcal{Gauge} ; to an arrow $\{G, k, \mu\} \xrightarrow{\eta} \{G_1, k_1, \mu_1\}$ in \mathcal{Gauge} , we associate an arrow $F(\eta) = (V, \tilde{\Phi})$ in \mathcal{Obs} as follows. Let v_η be the restriction to K_1 of the isometry from $L^2(G_1)$ to $L^2(G)$ given by the transposition of η , and define the isometry \tilde{V} and the *-homomorphism $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathfrak{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}$ by

$$\tilde{V} := \Gamma(v_\eta), \quad \tilde{\Phi}(e^{i\phi(f)}) := e^{i\phi(v_\eta f)}, \quad \tilde{\Phi}(\psi(f)) := \psi(v_\eta f),$$

where ϕ, ψ is the generating Bose, resp. Fermi generalized free field, for all the appropriate test functions f . The desired arrow $F(\eta)$ is obtained as the restriction of $\tilde{V}, \tilde{\Phi}$ respectively to the gauge invariant subspace and subalgebra. A routine check shows that $F(\eta_1 \circ \eta_2) = F(\eta_2) \circ F(\eta_1)$ whenever $\eta_1 \circ \eta_2$ is defined, and F is indeed a contravariant functor. By Theorem 2.2 of [6] and the comments appended there, $F(\eta)$ in turn determines η , and F is faithful.

3 Split property and completeness of the superselection theory

In this section we show that the net \mathfrak{F} constructed as in the appendix from the gauge triple $\{G, k, \mu\}$ is the canonical complete field net associated to \mathfrak{F}^G . To this end, we have to show that it fulfills the split property and the cohomological condition (3.4) below ([11]).

The arguments for the split property are adaptations from the discussion of the Bose case [16, 17, 18, 19]. In particular we prove that the split property holds if the mass function fulfills the growth condition (1.1).

We recall that a net \mathfrak{R} is said to fulfill the split property if, for any inclusion of double cones $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_2$ such that the closure of \mathcal{O}_1 is contained in the open set \mathcal{O}_2 (in symbols: $\mathcal{O}_1 \subset\subset \mathcal{O}_2$), then the inclusion $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subset \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{O}_2)$ is split, that is, there exists a type I factor \mathfrak{N} such that $\mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{O}_1) \subset \mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{R}(\mathcal{O}_2)$. If the canonical field net associated with an observable net fulfills the split property, then the observable net also fulfills the split property, but sufficiently general conditions that imply the converse are not known [7].

The field net \mathfrak{F} is the tensor product of the nets $\mathfrak{F}^{(\pm)}$; since finite tensor products preserve the split property, it is enough to show that $\mathfrak{F}^{(\pm)}$ both satisfy the split property. In the case of $\mathfrak{F}^{(+)}$, condition 1.1 (restricted to $\Delta_+^{(\mu)}$) is sufficient by the results of [5, 19]. Here we show that the same condition (restricted to $\Delta_-^{(\mu)}$) is sufficient also in order to ensure the split property for $\mathfrak{F}^{(-)}$.

As a first step, we give an alternative proof of the split property for the field net generated by a massive Dirac free field, which was first proved in [20]. The argument is an adaptation of the one given in [18] for the Bose case, and provides an estimate analogous to the one given in [19]; for the reader's convenience, we give some details and point out the main differences.

Let us consider the doubled theory of the massive Dirac free field (followed by a Klein transformation); this theory is induced by the fields

$$\psi_1 = \psi_m \otimes I, \quad \psi_2 = V \otimes \psi_m,$$

where Q is the usual charge and $V = e^{i\pi Q}$. We now choose as gauge transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\theta : \psi_1 &\mapsto \cos(\theta)\psi_1 + \sin(\theta)\psi_2 \\ \alpha_\theta : \psi_2 &\mapsto -\sin(\theta)\psi_1 + \cos(\theta)\psi_2, \end{aligned}$$

for which the conventional Noether theorem gives the conserved current

$$j^\mu = \frac{i}{2} \{ \psi_1 \gamma^\mu \psi_2 - \psi_2 \gamma^\mu \psi_1 \}.$$

Equivalently, with $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2)$, we can write $\psi(f, \eta) = \eta_1 \psi_1(f) + \eta_2 \psi_2(f)$, so that $\alpha_\theta(\psi(f, \eta)) = \psi(f, R(\theta)\eta)$, with $R(\theta)$ the orthogonal rotation by θ .

Note that $\alpha_{\pi/2}(F \otimes I) = (I \otimes F)^{\text{Klein}} = I \otimes F_+ + V \otimes F_-$ where $F = F_+ + F_-$ is the decomposition of F into the sum of a bosonic and a fermionic operator, $F_\pm = (F \pm V F V)/2$. Let $D_r = \{(0, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : |\mathbf{x}| < r\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_r = D_r''$ be the double cone of radius r , centred at the origin; then $\mathcal{O}_r \subset \subset \mathcal{O}_{r+\delta}$, $\delta > 0$. Thanks to covariance, it suffices to show that the inclusion $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{O}_r) \subset \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{O}_{r+\delta})$ is split, $r, \delta > 0$. Standard techniques (see [18] for the Bose case) allow one to give sense to $j^\mu(f) = \int d^4x j^\mu(x) f(x)$ as a selfadjoint operator for real test functions f , and show that, for a suitable f , $e^{i\theta j^0(f)}$ is in $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{O}_{r+\delta})$ and implements α_θ on $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{O}_r)$. The \mathcal{C}^∞ functions f will have the form $f(x^0, \mathbf{x}) = h(x^0)g(\mathbf{x})$, with g supported in $\{\mathbf{x} : |\mathbf{x}| < r + 2\frac{\delta}{3}\}$, $g(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ in $D_{r+\frac{\delta}{3}}$, and h with support in $[-1, 1]$ and such that $\int h(s)ds = 1$; note that the desired action of $j^0(f)$ does not depend on the smearing in time, i.e. on the choice of h [21, Lemma I]. In what follows, we shall write

$$J_m = \frac{\pi}{2} j^0(f).$$

Here the argument differs from [18], due to the presence of the Klein transformation ($\alpha_{\pi/2}$ is not the flip any more). Consider the algebraic *-isomorphism

$$\sigma \left(\sum_{i=1}^n F_i F'_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i \otimes F'_i$$

between the *-algebra generated by $\mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_r) \mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_{r+\delta})'$ and the algebraic tensor product $\mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_r) \otimes \mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_{r+\delta})'$. We wish to find two normal, faithful states λ and ℓ , such that

$$\lambda \left(\sum_{i=1}^n F_i F'_i \right) = \ell \left(\sum_{i=1}^n F_i \otimes F'_i \right), \quad (3.1)$$

where the F_i 's (resp. F'_i 's) are arbitrarily chosen in $\mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_r)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_{r+\delta})'$). This would imply that σ could be extended to a unique normal *-isomorphism $\bar{\sigma} :$

$\mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_r) \vee \mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_{r+\delta})' \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_r) \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_{r+\delta})'$, which implies the split property. Taking the vector $\Phi = e^{-iJ_m} \Omega \otimes \Omega$, we define on $\mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_r) \vee \mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_{r+\delta})'$ the normal state $\lambda(F) = (\Phi, F \otimes I \Phi)$. Then, we define the normal positive map X of $\mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}) \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O})'$ into itself, extending $X(T \otimes S) = T_- \otimes S_-$ by linearity and continuity. Finally we define $\Psi = e^{-2iJ_m} \Omega \otimes \Omega$, and the linear functional

$$\ell(\cdot) = (\Omega \otimes \Omega, \cdot \Omega \otimes \Omega) + (\Psi, X(\cdot) \Omega \otimes \Omega),$$

on $\mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_r) \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_{r+\delta})'$. The functionals λ and ℓ are ultraweakly continuous by construction; λ is evidently positive, normalized, and faithful. Also ℓ will be normalized, positive and faithful if (3.1) holds. If $F \in \mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_r)$ and $F' \in \mathfrak{F}_m(\mathcal{O}_{r+\delta})'$, we denote $F = F_+ + F_-$, $F' = F'_+ + F'_-$ where $F_\pm = (F \pm VFV)/2$ and analogously for F' ; then $\lambda(FF') = \lambda(F_+F'_+) + \lambda(F_+F'_-) + \lambda(F_-F'_+) + \lambda(F_-F'_-)$. Since $F' \otimes I$ commutes with e^{iJ_m} and Fermi fields have zero vacuum expectation values, we have $\lambda(F_+F'_+) = \omega_0(F)\omega_0(F')$ and $\lambda(F_-F'_+) = 0$; since e^{iJ_m} commutes with U_π (the unitary operator implementing α_π), we have $\lambda(F_+F'_-) = (U_\pi \Omega \otimes \Omega, e^{iJ_m}(F_+F'_- \otimes I)e^{-iJ_m}U_\pi \Omega \otimes \Omega) = -\lambda(F_+F'_-) = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(FF') &= \omega_0(F)\omega_0(F') + \lambda(F_-F'_-) = \\ &= \omega_0(F)\omega_0(F') + (\Omega \otimes \Omega, e^{iJ_m}(F'_- \otimes I)e^{-iJ_m}(I \otimes F_-)\Omega \otimes \Omega), \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $e^{iJ_m}(F_-F'_- \otimes I)e^{-iJ_m} = e^{iJ_m}(F_- \otimes I)e^{-iJ_m}(V \otimes F'_-)$, and $V\Omega = \Omega$. Since $(F'_- \otimes I)e^{iJ_m} = e^{-iJ_m}(F'_- \otimes I)$, we have $\lambda(F_-F'_-) = (\Psi, (F'_- \otimes F_-)\Omega \otimes \Omega)$, hence equation (3.1).

The former was an alternative proof of the split property for the Fermi–Dirac model; we are now interested in getting the estimate

$$\|J_m(f)\Omega \otimes \Omega\|^2 \leq \text{const} \left(r + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)^6 \left(\frac{m}{\delta}\right)^3 \left(\frac{m\delta}{2} + 2\right)^4 e^{-m\delta}, \quad (3.2)$$

where we recall the rôle of r and δ , $\mathcal{O}_r \subset\subset \mathcal{O}_{r+\delta}$. To this purpose we can slightly modify the estimate of [19, Lemma C.1] to obtain, for all positive a 's:

$$\inf_{\substack{h \in \mathcal{D}(-1,1) \\ \int h=1}} \sup_{\omega \geq a} |\omega^2 \hat{h}(\omega)| \leq 4a^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{a}{2} + 2\right)^2 e^{-\frac{a}{2}}. \quad (3.3)$$

Then standard calculations give

$$\|J_m \Omega \otimes \Omega\|^2 \leq \text{const} \int_{4m^2}^{\infty} dk^2 \left(1 - \frac{4m^2}{\kappa^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int d^3 \mathbf{p} \sqrt{|\mathbf{p}|^2 + \kappa^2} \left| \hat{f}(\sqrt{|\mathbf{p}|^2 + \kappa^2}, \mathbf{p}) \right|^2,$$

where, as usual, $\hat{f}(p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^4 x f(x) e^{ix_\mu p^\mu}$. Now, proceeding as in [19], we obtain

$$\|J_m \Omega \otimes \Omega\|^2 \leq \text{const} m^2 2 \left(r + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)^6 \left(\frac{2}{\delta}\right)^4 \sup_{\omega \geq m\delta} |\omega^2 \hat{h}(\omega)|^2,$$

and using (3.3) and the fact that J_m does not depend on the choice of h , we obtain the desired estimate (3.2).

We are now ready to prove the split property for $\mathfrak{F}^{(-)}$. The doubled theory $(\mathfrak{F}^{(-)} \otimes \mathfrak{F}^{(-)})^{\text{Klein}}$ is generated by the Dirac fields $\psi_{\sigma,i}(f, \eta)$ of mass $\mu(\sigma)$ (where $\sigma \in \Delta_-^{(\mu)}$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, \dim(K_\sigma)$), with the addition of the internal degrees of freedom $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Defining the gauge transformation

$$\alpha_\theta(\psi_{\sigma,i}(f, \eta)) = \psi_{\sigma,i}(f, R(\theta)\eta),$$

where $R(\theta)$ is the rotation by θ , we find as generator of such rotations the operator $J = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_-^{(\mu)}} \sum_{i=1}^{\dim K_\sigma} J_{\sigma,i}$ where the $J_{\sigma,i}$'s strongly commute with each other. The only remaining question is whether J exists in the incomplete infinite tensor product. Writing J_m for the local generator of the rotation of a doubled Dirac field of mass m , this is the case if $\sum_{\sigma \in \Delta_-^{(\mu)}} d(\sigma) \|J_{\mu(\sigma)} \Omega \otimes \Omega\| < \infty$, as can be seen applying the following lemma. Using estimate (3.2) we get the desired condition (1.1), restricted to $\Delta_-^{(\mu)}$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\{J_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, be a family of strongly commuting selfadjoint operators affiliated to the von Neumann algebra \mathfrak{R} on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} ; if $\Omega \in \bigcap_n \mathcal{D}(J_n)$ is a cyclic vector for \mathfrak{R} and if $\sum \|J_n \Omega\| < \infty$, then $U(\lambda) := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{iJ_n \lambda}$ defines a strongly continuous one parameter group with generator $J = \sum_n J_n$.*

Proof. For any real λ and $T \in \mathfrak{R}'$, the sequence

$$x_n(\lambda) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^n e^{i\lambda J_k} \right) T \Omega = T \left(\prod_{k=1}^n e^{i\lambda J_k} \right) \Omega$$

fulfills $\|x_n(\lambda) - x_m(\lambda)\| \leq \|T\| |\lambda| \sum_{k=m+1}^n \|J_k \Omega\|$, where we used repeatedly the unitarity and the triangle inequality, and finally that $|e^{it} - 1| \leq |t|$ together with the functional calculus. Hence, there exists $x(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(\lambda)$, and $\|x(\lambda) - x_n(\lambda)\| \leq \|T\| |\lambda| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \|J_k \Omega\|$; a “ 3ε argument” gives continuity in norm of $\lambda \mapsto x(\lambda)$. Since $\mathfrak{R}'\Omega$ dense in \mathcal{H} , $U(\lambda) = \text{s-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n e^{i\lambda J_k}$ exists for any λ and $\lambda \mapsto U(\lambda)$ is strongly continuous. ■

By the results of [11], a field net \mathfrak{F} fulfilling the split property is a complete field net for the fixpoint net \mathfrak{F}^G if it also fulfills the following condition. Let p be a path connecting the double cones \mathcal{O}_0 and \mathcal{O} , i.e. a collection of $2n + 1$ double cones $\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_n = \mathcal{O}$, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n$ such that $(\mathcal{O}_{i-1} \cup \mathcal{O}_i) \subset \hat{\mathcal{O}}_i$; we denote $|p| = \bigcup_i \hat{\mathcal{O}}_i$. Then the condition is

$$\bigwedge_p \mathfrak{F}(|p|) = \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{O}_0) \vee \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{O}), \quad (3.4)$$

where the intersection is taken over all paths p connecting \mathcal{O}_0 and \mathcal{O} .

In order to apply these results to our case, we need the following

Lemma 3.2 *If $\mathfrak{F}_1, \mathfrak{F}_2$ are two field nets with normal commutation relations, fulfilling (3.4), then also $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{O}) = (\mathfrak{F}_1(\mathcal{O}) \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{F}_2(\mathcal{O}))^{\text{Klein}}$ has normal commutation relations and satisfies (3.4).*

Proof. Since the Klein transformation is normal,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\mathfrak{F}_1(\mathcal{O}_0) \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{F}_2(\mathcal{O}_0))^{\text{Klein}} \vee (\mathfrak{F}_1(\mathcal{O}) \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{F}_2(\mathcal{O}))^{\text{Klein}} = \\
& = \left[\left(\mathfrak{F}_1(\mathcal{O}_0) \vee \mathfrak{F}_1(\mathcal{O}) \right) \overline{\otimes} \left(\mathfrak{F}_2(\mathcal{O}_0) \vee \mathfrak{F}_2(\mathcal{O}) \right) \right]^{\text{Klein}} = \\
& = \left[\left(\bigwedge_p \mathfrak{F}_1(|p|) \right) \overline{\otimes} \left(\bigwedge_q \mathfrak{F}_2(|q|) \right) \right]^{\text{Klein}} = \left(\bigwedge_{p,q} \mathfrak{F}_1(|p|) \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{F}_2(|q|) \right)^{\text{Klein}} = \\
& = \bigwedge_p (\mathfrak{F}_1(|p|) \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{F}_2(|p|))^{\text{Klein}} \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

This lemma applies equally well to any finite tensor product $\mathfrak{F}^{(n)}(\mathcal{O}) = (\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{O}) \overline{\otimes} \dots \overline{\otimes} \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{O}))^{\text{Klein}}$. It can be easily extended to the infinite tensor product $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} = \bigvee_n \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{(n)}$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{(n)} = \mathfrak{F}^{(n)} \otimes I \otimes I \otimes \dots$ acts on $\bigotimes_j^{\Omega_j} \mathcal{H}_j$, since the maps

$$P^{(n)} F_1 \otimes F_2 \otimes \dots = F_1 \otimes \dots \otimes F_n \otimes \omega_0(F_{n+1}) I \otimes \omega_0(F_{n+2}) I \otimes \dots$$

converge pointwise strongly to the identity map as $n \rightarrow \infty$, commute with the Klein transformations, and $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^{(n)}(\mathcal{O}) = P^{(n)} \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{O})$. This is precisely the case of the models constructed in section 2. Hence, the field nets enjoy both the split property and the cohomological condition (3.4). Therefore the superselection structure of the net of local observables \mathfrak{A} associated to the gauge triple $T = \{G, k, \mu\}$ is described precisely by the given group G .

Appendix

We first recall the definition of the generalized free scalar field ϕ (case $D(k) = I$).

Let J be a complex conjugation on K commuting with D , and H the subspace of real (i.e. J -invariant) vectors; our test functions f on \mathbb{R}^4 take values in H , have range each in a finite dimensional D -invariant subspace, and $(\xi, f(\cdot))$ is \mathcal{C}^∞ with compact support for each $\xi \in K$; they carry actions of G and \mathcal{P} given by

$${}_g f(x) = D(g)f(x), \quad g \in G, x \in \mathbb{R}^4, \quad (\text{A.A.1})$$

$${}_{(a,A)} f(x) = f(A^{-1}(x-a)), \quad (a, A) \in \mathcal{P}, x \in \mathbb{R}^4. \quad (\text{A.A.2})$$

On these test functions define the scalar product

$$(f, g) = \sum_\sigma \int (\hat{f}_\sigma(p), \hat{g}_\sigma(p)) d\Omega_{\mu(\sigma)}^+(p),$$

where \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f , and $d\Omega_m^+$ is the Lorentz invariant measure on the positive energy mass hyperboloid such that for each continuous function g with compact support

$$\int g(p) d\Omega_m^+(p) = \int g(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}, \mathbf{p}) \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{2\sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}}.$$

The generalized free scalar field ϕ is the map from our real test functions f to selfadjoint operators $\phi(f)$ defined by the vacuum functional ω_0 on the Weyl relations

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\phi(f)} e^{i\phi(g)} &= e^{-\frac{i}{2}\text{Im}(f,g)} e^{i\phi(f+g)}, \\ \omega_0(e^{i\phi(f)}) &= e^{-\frac{1}{4}(f,f)}, \end{aligned}$$

one may check

$$\text{Im}(f, g) = \sum_{\sigma} \int (f_{\sigma}(x), (\Delta_{\mu(\sigma)} \star g_{\sigma})(x)) d^4x,$$

where, in the convolution product, Δ_m , the Fourier transform of $\frac{1}{2i}(d\Omega_m^+(p) - d\Omega_m^+(-p))$, is the usual Lorentz invariant commutator kernel with support excluding all spacelike points.

The generalized free Dirac field ψ (case $D(k) = -I$) will be defined on test functions f on \mathbb{R}^4 with values in $K \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$, each with range in a finite dimensional D -invariant subspace, and such that $(\xi, f(\cdot))$ is \mathcal{C}^{∞} with compact support for each $\xi \in K \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$; they carry actions of G and of the covering group \tilde{P} of the Poincaré group^e given by

$$g f(x) = D(g) \otimes I f(x), \quad g \in G, x \in \mathbb{R}^4, \quad (\text{A.A.3})$$

$${}_{(a,A)} f(x) = I \otimes S(A) f(\Lambda(A)^{-1}(x-a)), \quad (a, A) \in \tilde{P}, x \in \mathbb{R}^4 \quad (\text{A.A.4})$$

where S is the $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ linear representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathbb{C}^4 acting on the associated Dirac γ -matrices^f by

$$S(A) \not{p} S(A)^{-1} = \not{p}', \quad p' = \Lambda(A)p; \quad \not{p} := \gamma_{\mu} p^{\mu}.$$

On these test functions define the positive semidefinite scalar product

$$(f, g) = \sum_{\sigma} \int (f_{\sigma}(x), i\gamma_0(-i \not{\partial} + \mu(\sigma)) \Delta_{\mu(\sigma)} \star g_{\sigma})(x)) d^4x, \quad (\text{A.A.5})$$

^ei.e. the semi direct product of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and the translations by the action $x \mapsto \Lambda(A)x$.

^fAn explicit representation is e.g. given by

$$S(A) = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^{*-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boldsymbol{\sigma} \\ -\boldsymbol{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

are the Pauli matrices.

and let $(f, g)_+$ be its positive frequency part, obtained by replacing in (A.A.5) Δ_m by Δ_m^+ , the Fourier transform of $\frac{1}{2i}d\Omega_m^+$.

The Dirac generalized free field ψ is defined by the GNS representation of the pure gauge invariant quasi free state ω_0 on the CAR algebra associated to (A.A.5):

$$\begin{aligned}\{\psi(f)^*, \psi(g)\} &= (f, g)I, \\ \omega_0(\psi(f)^*\psi(g)) &= (f, g)_+.\end{aligned}$$

In this way one may obtain functors Γ_\pm whose tensor product combines, in the general case where $D(k)$ splits K into $K_+ \oplus K_-$, to give the desired functor Γ . In particular, since the actions (A.A.1, A.A.2, A.A.3, A.A.4) of G and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ on test functions induce automorphisms of the tensor product of the Weyl and CAR algebras on K_+ and K_- , leaving the (tensor product) vacuum state invariant, these actions are implemented by unitary strongly continuous representations \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} . The von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{O})$, $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{K}$, is generated by $\exp i\phi(f) \otimes I$ and $I \otimes \psi(g)$, f, g varying over the test functions associated respectively to K_+ and K_- as above, with support in \mathcal{O} .

The case of generic spins and possibly continuous mass spectrum, not used in this paper, might be handled in a similar way.

References

- [1] Sergio Doplicher and John E. Roberts: *Why there is a field algebra with a compact gauge group describing the superselection structure in particle physics*, Commun. Math. Phys. **131**, 51–107 (1990).
- [2] Sergio Doplicher: *Abstract compact group duals, operator algebras and quantum field theory*, in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Kyoto 1990, Springer 1991, 1319–1333.
- [3] Sergio Doplicher: *Quantum field theory, categories and duality*, in *Advances in Dynamical Systems and Quantum Physics*, Proceedings of the Capri Conference 1993, S. Albeverio et al. editors. World Scientific 1995, 106–110.
- [4] Sergio Doplicher and John E. Roberts: *A new duality theory for compact groups*, Invent. Math., **98**, 157–218 (1989).
- [5] Sergio Doplicher and Roberto Longo: *Standard and split inclusions of von Neumann algebras*, Invent. Math. **75**, 495–536 (1984).
- [6] Roberto Conti, Sergio Doplicher, and John E. Roberts: *Superselection theory for subsystems*, Commun. Math. Phys. **218**, 263–281 (2000) [arXiv: math-oe/0001139].
- [7] Sergio Doplicher: *Local Aspects of superselection rules*, Commun. Math. Phys. **85**, 73–86 (1982).

- [8] Sergio Doplicher and Roberto Longo: *Local Aspects of superselection rules. II*, Commun. Math. Phys. **88**, 399–409 (1983).
- [9] Detlev Buchholz, Sergio Doplicher, and Roberto Longo: *On Noether’s theorem in quantum field theory*, Ann. Phys. **170**, 1–17 (1986).
- [10] Sebastiano Carpi: *Quantum Noether theorem and conformal field theory: study of some models*, Rev. Math. Phys. **11**, 519–532 (1999).
- [11] John E. Roberts: *Lectures on algebraic quantum field theory*, in *The algebraic theory of superselection sectors. Introduction and recent results*, Daniel Kastler ed., World Scientific Publ., Singapore, 1990, pp. 1–112.
- [12] Roberto Longo, private communication.
- [13] Rudolph Haag: *Local quantum physics. Fields, particles, algebras*, Springer–Verlag, 1996.
- [14] Huzihiro Araki, *Mathematical theory of quantum fields*, Oxford University Press, 1999.
- [15] Sergio Doplicher, Rudolf Haag, and John E. Roberts: *Fields, observable and gauge transformations I*, Commun. Math. Phys. **13**, 1–23 (1969).
- [16] Wulf Driessler: *Duality and the absence of locally generated superselection sectors for CCR–type algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **70**, 213–220 (1979).
- [17] Jürg Fröhlich: *New superselection sectors (“soliton states”) in two–dimensional Bose quantum field models*, Commun. Math. Phys. **47**, 269–310 (1976).
- [18] Claudio D’Antoni and Roberto Longo: *Interpolation by type I factors and the flip automorphism*, Jour. Funct. Anal. **51**, 361–371 (1983).
- [19] Claudio D’Antoni, Sergio Doplicher, Klaus Fredenhagen, and Roberto Longo: *Convergence of local charges and continuity properties of W^* -inclusions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **110**, 325–348 (1987).
- [20] Stephen J. Summers: *Normal product states for fermions and twisted duality for CCR– and CAR–type algebras with application to the Yukawa₂ quantum field model*, Commun. Math. Phys. **86**, 111–141 (1982).
- [21] Daniel Kastler, Derek W. Robinson, and J. A. Swieca: *Conserved currents and associated symmetries; Goldstone’s theorem*, Commun. Math. Phys. **3**, 108–120 (1966).