Nonlinear Holomorphic Supersymmetry on Riemann Surfaces

Sergey M. Klishevich^{a,b*} and Mikhail S. Plyushchay^{a,b†}

^aDepartamento de Física, Universidad de Santiago de Chile, Casilla 307, Santiago 2, Chile ^bInstitute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia

Abstract

The application of the algebraic construction of the nonlinear holomorphic supersymmetry to the quantum-mechanical systems on Riemann surfaces subjected to an external magnetic field is considered. It is demonstrated that such a realization is possible only for Riemann surfaces with constant curvature metrics. The cases of the sphere and Lobachevski plane are investigated. The partial algebraization of the spectrum of the corresponding Hamiltonians is proved by their reduction to one-dimensional quasi-exactly solvable $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ families. It is found that these families possess a discrete symmetry group of "duality" transformations of the parameters of the systems. The algebraic structure of the systems on the sphere and hyperbolic plane is explored in the context of the nonlinear holomorphic supersymmetry and contracted Onsager algebra associated with it.

^{*}E-mail: sklishev@lauca.usach.cl

[†]E-mail: mplyushc@lauca.usach.cl

1 Introduction

The nonlinear holomorphic supersymmetry (*n*-HSUSY) [1, 2, 3, 4] is a natural generalization of the usual linear [5] and higher derivative (polynomial) [6, 7, 8] supersymmetries. Its construction was triggered by the observation of the quantum anomaly problem, which appears under attempt to quantize the classical one-dimensional system with nonlinear supersymmetry of arbitrary order $n \in \mathbb{N}$, n > 1 [7]. The important result in resolving this problem was obtained in Ref. [1], where we showed that the anomaly-free quantization is possible only for the peculiar class of the superpotentials, for which the corresponding quantum *n*-HSUSY turns out to be directly related to the quasi-exactly solvable (QES) systems [9, 10, 11, 12]. The one-dimensional quantum mechanical systems were also independently discussed within the framework of the so called Type A \mathcal{N} -fold supersymmetry [13, 14, 15, 16, 17], where, in particular, the equivalence between the nonlinear supersymmetry and the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ scheme for the 1D QES systems was demonstrated [15].

On the other hand, nowadays, the two-dimensional dynamics attracts a considerable attention in the context of the both, linear [5, 18, 19, 20], and nonlinear [21, 22, 23], supersymmetries. The particularly interesting 2D system, related to the quantum Hall effect [24, 25, 26], is the non-relativistic charged spin-1/2 particle in a stationary magnetic field [27, 28, 18]. In Ref. [2], we successfully generalized the *n*-HSUSY to the 2D case represented by the system of a charged spin-1/2 particle with gyromagnetic ratio 2n (or spin-n/2 particle with gyromagnetic ratio 2) moving in the plane with magnetic field of a specific form. The most important result of such a generalization was the observation of some universal nonlinear algebraic relations underlying the *n*-HSUSY.

In the recent paper [4], we identified the nonlinear relations of Ref. [2] as the Dolan-Grady relations [29]. As a result, the construction of the *n*-HSUSY was reduced to the following universal (representation-independent) algebraic structure. Its supercharges have the form of (anti)holomorphic polynomials in the two mutually conjugate operators (generating elements), in whose terms all the components of the *n*-HSUSY construction are built. It is these generating elements that obey the Dolan-Grady relations and produce the associated infinite-dimensional Onsager algebra [30]. The knowledge of the universal algebraic construction essentially facilitated the search for the central charges of the *n*-HSUSY, and simplified the calculation of its nonlinear superalgebra. Having it, we proposed a generalization of the *n*-HSUSY in the form of nonlinear pseudo-supersymmetry [4], which is related to the *PT*-symmetric quantum mechanics [31, 32, 33].

The present paper is devoted to investigation of the quantum mechanical problem of realization of the n-HSUSY on Riemann surfaces with magnetic field. Applying the algebraic construction of Ref. [4], we find a necessary condition for such a realization, and discuss the spectral problem in the two possible (non-trivial) cases of the sphere and Lobachevski plane subjected to an external magnetic field of a peculiar form. The reduction of the *n*-HSUSY systems to one dimension allows us, in particular, to find the discrete symmetry of the corresponding 1D QES potentials, which induces the "duality" transformations between the associated distinct $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ schemes.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss the realization of the *n*-HSUSY on Riemann surfaces with magnetic field, and find the zero modes corresponding to the cases of the sphere and Lobachevski plane. In Section 3 we demonstrate that the spectral problem

for these two cases can be partially solved by a reduction to one dimension. The obtained 1D systems admit distinct $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ representations, that is reflected in existence of the discrete symmetry of the potentials. This symmetry is investigated in Section 4. There, we also argue in favour of the existence of such discrete symmetry group for other $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ QES systems. In Section 5 we study the algebraic content of the systems on the sphere and hyperbolic plane in the context of the associated infinite-dimensional contracted Onsager algebra [4]. In Section 6 we briefly discuss the obtained results.

2 *n*-HSUSY and Riemann surfaces

2.1 *n*-HSUSY algebraic structure

The system with nonlinear holomorphic supersymmetry is described by the Hamiltonian [4]

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \bar{Z}, \ Z \right\} + \frac{n}{4} \left[Z, \ \bar{Z} \right] \sigma_3, \tag{2.1}$$

where σ_3 is the diagonal Pauli matrix, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The mutually conjugate operators Z and \overline{Z} obey the nonlinear Dolan-Grady relations

$$\left[Z, \left[Z, \left[Z, \bar{Z}\right]\right]\right] = \omega^2 \left[Z, \bar{Z}\right], \qquad \left[\bar{Z}, \left[\bar{Z}, \left[Z, \bar{Z}\right]\right]\right] = \bar{\omega}^2 \left[Z, \bar{Z}\right]. \quad (2.2)$$

These relations guarantee the existence of the odd integrals of motion (supercharges) Q_n and \bar{Q}_n , which are defined by the recurrent relations

$$Q_{n+2} = \left(Z^2 - \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2 \omega^2\right) Q_n, \qquad Q_0 = \sigma_+, \qquad Q_1 = Z\sigma_+, \bar{Q}_{n+2} = \left(\bar{Z}^2 - \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2 \bar{\omega}^2\right) \bar{Q}_n, \qquad \bar{Q}_0 = \sigma_-, \qquad \bar{Q}_1 = \bar{Z}\sigma_-,$$
(2.3)

containing a constant parameter $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$, $\bar{\omega} = \omega^*$, and $\sigma_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_1 \pm i\sigma_2)$. The supercharges generate a nonlinear superalgebra of order n, whose structure and further details of the algebraic construction underlying the nonlinear holomorphic supersymmetry are given in Ref. [4].

2.2 Fixing geometry

We are going to investigate the problem of realization of the n-HSUSY on a 2D surface with a nontrivial Riemann geometry. The metrics of any two-dimensional surface can be represented *locally* in the conformally flat form,

$$ds^2 = \rho(z,\bar{z})dzd\bar{z} = g_{z\bar{z}}dzd\bar{z} + g_{\bar{z}z}d\bar{z}dz, \qquad (2.4)$$

where $z = x^1 + ix^2$, $\bar{z} = x^1 - ix^2$ are the isothermal coordinates. Given the isothermal coordinates on the neighbouring patches, the metrics on the patches are related by conformal transformations. Hence, we have

$$g_{z\bar{z}} = \frac{\rho}{2},$$
 $g^{z\bar{z}} = \frac{2}{\rho},$ $g_{zz} = g_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = 0,$ $\sqrt{g} = \rho,$

where $g = \det g_{\mu\nu}$.

Let us choose the operators Z and \overline{Z} in the form of covariant derivatives,

$$Z = \nabla_z = D + \partial \log \rho \hat{J}, \qquad \qquad \bar{Z} = -\nabla^z = -g^{z\bar{z}}\bar{D}, \qquad (2.5)$$

where the operator \hat{J} gives the rank of a tensor $T^{(j)}$, $\hat{J}T^{(j)} = jT^{(j)}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, while $D = \partial + i\hat{e}A$ and $\bar{D} = \bar{\partial} + i\hat{e}\bar{A}$ are the U(1) covariant derivatives with A, \bar{A} to be the components of the vector potential corresponding to the case of stationary magnetic field. The operator \hat{e} defines the electric charge of the tensor. By definition, the derivative ∇_z maps the tensors of the rank j into those of the rank j - 1, while ∇^z transforms the tensors of the rank j into those of the rank j + 1. The operators (2.5) are mutually adjoint with respect to the scalar product [34]

$$\langle T^{(j)}, U^{(j)} \rangle = \int d^2 z \sqrt{g} \left(g_{z\bar{z}} \right)^j \bar{T}^{(j)} U^{(j)},$$
 (2.6)

defined on the space of the tensors satisfying the appropriate boundary conditions.

The system (2.1) in representation (2.5) can be interpreted as a non-relativistic spin-1/2 charged particle of unit mass with gyromagnetic ratio g = 2n moving on a Riemann surface subjected to an external magnetic field.

In representation (2.5), the nonlinear Dolan-Grady relations (2.2) take the form

$$\left((\nabla_z^2 B) - \omega^2 B \right) \hat{e} - \frac{1}{2} \left((\nabla_z^2 \mathcal{R}) - \omega^2 \mathcal{R} \right) \hat{J} - (\nabla_z \mathcal{R}) \nabla_z = 0,$$

$$\left((\nabla^{z^2} B) - \bar{\omega}^2 B \right) \hat{e} - \frac{1}{2} \left((\nabla^{z^2} \mathcal{R}) - \bar{\omega}^2 \mathcal{R} \right) \hat{J} + (\nabla^z \mathcal{R}) \nabla^z = 0,$$

$$(2.7)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R} = -\frac{4}{\rho} \partial \bar{\partial} \ln \rho$$

is the scalar curvature of the Riemann surface. The relations (2.7) have to be satisfied as the operator identities. Hence, the coefficients at the operators \hat{e} , \hat{J} , ∇_z and ∇^z have to vanish independently. The coefficients at the covariant derivatives vanish only when the scalar curvature is a constant,

$$\mathcal{R} = const. \tag{2.8}$$

With this condition, the coefficients at the operator \hat{J} result in the equation $\omega^2 \mathcal{R} = 0$, and, hence, there are two possibilities: either $\omega = 0$, or $\mathcal{R} = 0$. The latter case corresponds to the plane geometry, and it was analysed in detail in Ref. [2]. Therefore, in what follows we shall discuss only the former case.

For $\omega = 0$, there arise the contracted Dolan-Grady relations,

$$[Z, [Z, [Z, \bar{Z}]]] = 0, \qquad [\bar{Z}, [\bar{Z}, [Z, \bar{Z}]]] = 0.$$
 (2.9)

The nonlinear supersymmetry associated with the contracted Dolan-Grady relations (2.9) is generated by the supercharges of the form [4]

$$Q_n = Z^n \sigma_+, \qquad \bar{Q}_n = \bar{Z}^n \sigma_-, \qquad (2.10)$$

which can be obtained from (2.3) in the limit $\omega \to 0$.

It is worth noting that, in principle, the restriction (2.8) can be overcome if to endow the Riemann structure of the 2D surface with the torsion. Then, for $\omega \neq 0$, the Dolan-Grady relations (2.2) will result in a set of nonlinear equations on the scalar curvature and the torsion. Here we restrict ourselves by the torsion-free case with the relation (2.8) to be the necessary condition for existence of the *n*-HSUSY on a Riemann surface.

The general uniformization theorem [35] implies that, up to the holomorphic equivalence, there are just three distinct simply connected Riemann surfaces: a) the sphere S^2 with the conformal factor

$$\rho(z,\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{(1+\beta^2 z\bar{z})^2},\tag{2.11}$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$; b) the complex plane \mathbb{C} with the flat metric, $\rho = 1$; c) the Lobachevski (hyperbolic) plane with the metric (2.4) defined on the disk $|z| < \beta^{-1}$ by

$$\rho(z,\bar{z}) = \frac{1}{(1-\beta^2 z\bar{z})^2}.$$
(2.12)

In Eqs. (2.11), (2.12), the positive parameter β defines the value of the scalar curvature, $\mathcal{R} = \pm 8\beta^2$, where the plus corresponds to the sphere, while the minus does to the hyperbolic plane. In the case of the sphere the parameter β is related to its radius as $\beta^{-1} = 2R$.

In what follows we will mainly analyse the case of the sphere, while all the corresponding results for the Lobachevski plane can formally be obtained by the change $\beta \to i\beta$. At the same time, the construction of the *n*-HSUSY on the plane [2] can be reproduced from the cases corresponding to the surfaces (2.11) and (2.12) via the appropriate limiting procedure $\beta \to 0$.

2.3 Fixing magnetic field

We have not analysed yet the conditions corresponding to the vanishing of the coefficients at \hat{e} . They produce the equations on the external magnetic field. The natural definition of the magnetic field is given locally by the relation

$$Bdv = d\mathcal{A},$$

where $dv = (i\sqrt{g}/2) dz \wedge d\bar{z}$ is the area (volume) element and $\mathcal{A} = Adz + \bar{A}d\bar{z}$. In correspondence with (2.7), for $\omega = 0$ we have the following equations on the magnetic field $B = -ig^{z\bar{z}} (\partial \bar{A} - \bar{\partial}A)$:

$$\partial\left(\frac{1}{\rho(z,\bar{z})}\partial B(z,\bar{z})\right) = 0,$$
 $\bar{\partial}\left(\frac{1}{\rho(z,\bar{z})}\bar{\partial}B(z,\bar{z})\right) = 0.$

For the both cases (2.11) and (2.12), their solution can be represented in the form

$$B(z,\bar{z}) = c_1 \sqrt{\rho(z,\bar{z})} + c_0, \qquad (2.13)$$

with $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. In the case of the sphere the total magnetic flux,

$$2\pi\Phi = \int_{S^2} Bdv = 2\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} B(r)\rho(r)rdr = \frac{\pi(2c_0 + c_1)}{2\beta^2},$$
(2.14)

is quantized, $\Phi \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here and in what follows, we fix the charge of the system to be e = -1.

In the case of the hyperbolic plane, the magnetic flux is divergent, but the relation (2.14) still can be used (with the substitution $\beta^2 \rightarrow -\beta^2$) as a mere combination of the parameters which can acquire any real value.

2.4 Zero modes

Let us find the zero mode subspace of the *n*-HSUSY realized on the Riemann surfaces (2.11), (2.12) with magnetic field (2.13). To this end we note that the space of the states of the system (2.1) is a direct sum of the two Hilbert spaces, $\mathcal{F}_{-} \oplus \mathcal{F}_{+}$, formed by the states $\Psi^{-} \in \mathcal{F}_{-}$ and $\Psi^{+} \in \mathcal{F}_{+}$, being the eigenstates of σ_{3} , $\sigma_{3}\Psi^{\mp} = \mp \Psi^{\mp}$. We will refer to the spaces \mathcal{F}_{-} and \mathcal{F}_{+} , respectively, as to the "bosonic" and "fermionic" subspaces. We also assume that the "bosonic" wave functions are the tensors of rank *j*. Then, in accordance with the structure of the supercharges (2.10), the "fermionic" wave functions will be the tensors of the rank j - n.

The Hamiltonian acting on the "bosonic" wave functions can be rewritten in the complex coordinates as

$$H_n^{(j)} = -\frac{1}{2\rho} \left(\left\{ \bar{D}, D \right\} + 2j\partial \log \rho \bar{D} \right) - \frac{n}{4}B - j(n+1)\beta^2.$$
(2.15)

The upper index in the parentheses denotes the rank of the tensor that the Hamiltonian acts on. In these notations the corresponding "fermionic" Hamiltonian is $H_{-n}^{(j-n)}$.

In the gauge

$$A = -i\frac{\bar{z}}{8}\left(c_1\rho + 4\beta^2 \Phi \sqrt{\rho}\right), \qquad \qquad \bar{A} = i\frac{z}{8}\left(c_1\rho + 4\beta^2 \Phi \sqrt{\rho}\right), \qquad (2.16)$$

corresponding to the magnetic field (2.13), (2.14), the states of the form

$$\psi_{n}^{(-)}(z,\bar{z}) = \rho^{\frac{\Phi}{4} - j + \frac{n-1}{2}} e^{\frac{c_{1}}{8\beta^{2}}\sqrt{\rho}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_{k}^{(-)}(\bar{z}) z^{k}, \qquad (2.17)$$
$$\psi_{n}^{(+)}(z,\bar{z}) = \rho^{\frac{n-1}{2} - \frac{\Phi}{4}} e^{-\frac{c_{1}}{8\beta^{2}}\sqrt{\rho}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_{k}^{(+)}(z) \bar{z}^{k}$$

are the zero modes of the supercharges Q_n and \bar{Q}_n , respectively. In the case of the sphere, the condition of normalizability fixes the form of the functions $\varphi_k^{(\pm)}$,

$$\varphi_k^{(-)}(\bar{z}) = \sum_{q=0}^{2(n-j-1)+\Phi-k} \varphi_{kq}^{(-)} \bar{z}^q, \qquad (2.18)$$
$$\varphi_k^{(+)}(\bar{z}) = \sum_{q=0}^{2(n+j-1)-\Phi-k} \varphi_{kq}^{(+)} \bar{z}^q.$$

The corresponding number of the normalizable zero modes in the "bosonic" and "fermionic" sectors is given by

$$N^{(\mp)} = \frac{1}{2}n(3n \pm 2\Phi \mp 4j - 1).$$

Here we assume that $N^{(\mp)} \in \mathbb{N}$; for other values of the parameters n, j and Φ the corresponding number of zero modes is implied to be equal to zero.

In the case of the hyperbolic plane, the normalizability is managed by the sign of the parameter c_1 , and the corresponding space of zero modes is infinite-dimensional. Moreover on the hyperbolic plane, unlike the sphere case, the supercharges Q_n and \bar{Q}_n can not have zero modes simultaneously.

3 Reduction to $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ quasi-exactly solvable families

In this section we consider the spectral problem for the "bosonic" Hamiltonian (2.15) and show that it can be reduced to a one-dimensional problem corresponding to a $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ quasiexactly solvable families of the systems. The spectral problem in the "fermionic" sector can be analysed in a similar way.

First, we note that the functions of the form $z^m f(\bar{z}z)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, constitute a subspace invariant with respect to the action of the Hamiltonian (2.15) in the gauge (2.16). The parameter m, as will be shown, is the quantum number corresponding to the integral (5.5). Departing from the form of zero modes (2.17), we search for the eigenfunctions of the form

$$\psi(z,\bar{z}) = z^m (\bar{z}z)^a (\rho(\bar{z}z))^b e^{c\sqrt{\rho(\bar{z}z)}} \varphi(\bar{z}z).$$
(3.1)

Now, we introduce the variable

$$y = \sqrt{\rho(\bar{z}z)}$$

with the domain $0 \le y \le 1$. The anzatz (3.1) reduces the two-dimensional Hamiltonian (2.15) to the one-dimensional operator

$$H_{sl(2,\mathbb{R})} = 2\beta^2 \left(T_0^2 - T_- T_0 \right) + \alpha_+ T_+ + \alpha_0 T_0 + \alpha_- T_- + const$$
(3.2)

if the parameters a, b, c are chosen in the following way:

$$a = \frac{\sigma - 1}{2}m,$$
 $b = -\nu \frac{\Phi}{4} + \frac{\nu - 1}{2}j + \frac{\sigma - \nu}{4}m,$ $c = \mu \frac{c_1}{8\beta^2}.$ (3.3)

The parameters μ , ν and σ acquire the values ± 1 , while the operators

$$T_{+} = (N-1)y - y^{2}\frac{d}{dy}, \qquad T_{0} = y\frac{d}{dy} - \frac{N-1}{2}, \qquad T_{-} = \frac{d}{dy} \qquad (3.4)$$

generate the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ algebra with the Casimir operator fixed by the parameter N. When $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the realization (3.4) gives an irreducible N-dimensional representation on the space of polynomials of the degree up to N-1. In this case the operator (3.2) corresponds to some QES system [9, 10, 11].

The corresponding parameters of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ scheme, N, α_{\pm} and α_0 , read as

$$N = \mu n + \frac{\nu - \sigma}{2} m - \frac{\mu + \nu}{2} (2j - \Phi),$$

$$\alpha_{+} = -\mu \frac{c_{1}}{2},$$

$$\alpha_{0} = \beta^{2} (2\mu n - (\nu - \sigma) m - (\mu - \nu) (2j - \Phi)) - \mu \frac{c_{1}}{2},$$

$$\alpha_{-} = \beta^{2} \left(1 - \mu n + \frac{3\nu + \sigma}{2} m + \frac{\mu - 3\nu}{2} (2j - \Phi) \right).$$
(3.5)

So, we have formally $2^3 = 8$ solutions parameterised by the triple (μ, ν, σ) . Of course, the solutions (3.5) realize a true quasi-exactly solvable system only if the condition $N \in \mathbb{N}$ is satisfied. For example, the solution with the triple (-1, +1, +1) does not correspond to any QES system since in this case N = -n, while throughout the paper we assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But as it will be shown further, this solution together with the other solutions reflects some discrete symmetry of the resulting one-dimensional system. We note also that under the change $(\mu, \nu, \sigma) \rightarrow (-\mu, -\nu, -\sigma)$ in (3.5), the parameter N changes its sign. This means that for any choice of the parameters n, m and $2j - \Phi$, at most four solutions from (3.5) can realize the proper finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ representations of the QES system.

From the 2D viewpoint, the conditions of regularity at zero and of finitness at infinity¹ for the functions (3.1), (3.3) lead, correspondingly, to the constraints

$$\sigma m \ge 0, \qquad \qquad \nu \left(\Phi + m \right) \le \left(\nu - 1 \right) 2j. \tag{3.6}$$

The condition of normalizability of the wave functions results, in turn, in the inequality

$$\nu \left(2j - \Phi - m\right) + 1 > 0. \tag{3.7}$$

In all the relations (3.6), (3.7) we imply that the parameters m, j and Φ accept integer values only.

Changing the variable,

$$y = \cos^2 \beta x,$$

with $0 \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2\beta}$, and following the standard procedure of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ scheme for QES systems [9, 10, 11], one can transform the operator (3.2) to the one-dimensional Hamiltonian of the standard form,

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x).$$

All the solutions (3.5) lead to the very potential

$$V(x) = -\frac{c_1^2}{32\beta^2}\cos^4\beta x + \frac{c_1}{4}\left(2(j-n) - \Phi + \frac{c_1}{8\beta^2}\right)\cos^2\beta x + \frac{\beta^2}{8}\left(4m^2 - 1\right)\cot^2\beta x + \frac{\beta^2}{8}\left(4(2j - \Phi - m)^2 - 1\right)\tan^2\beta x.$$
(3.8)

¹Since we consider the sphere, it is necessary to require the regularity of all the wave functions for $|z| \to \infty$.

This means that the potential (3.8) possesses some discrete symmetry, which relates the solutions (3.5). We discuss this symmetry in the next section.

The potential (3.8) has the following behaviour near the point x = 0:

$$V(x) = const + \frac{4m^2 - 1}{8x^2} + \mathcal{O}(x^2).$$

Therefore, in the case $m = \pm \frac{1}{2}$, it is formally possible to extend the domain of definition of x to the symmetric interval $-\frac{\pi}{2\beta} \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2\beta}$ (or to \mathbb{R} in the case of the hyperbolic plane, see below).

The case of the plane corresponds to the limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$. Rescaling and shifting the parameters,

$$c_0 \to c_0 + \frac{c_1}{\beta^2}, \qquad \qquad c_1 \to -\frac{c_1}{\beta^2},$$

in this limit we recover from Eq. (2.13) the magnetic field of the form [2]

$$B(r) = c_1 r^2 + c_0, \qquad r^2 = \bar{z} z_1$$

and find that the described reduction results in the one-dimensional QES potential

$$V(x) = \frac{c_1^2}{32}x^6 + \frac{c_1c_0}{8}x^4 + \frac{1}{8}\left(c_0^2 - 2c_1(2n-m)\right)x^2 + \frac{4m^2 - 1}{8x^2} + const,$$
 (3.9)

defined on the half-line $0 \le x < \infty$. Hence, the proper limit procedure reproduces correctly the results derived in Ref. [2].

The formulas corresponding to the case of the hyperbolic plane are obtained by the formal substitution $\beta \to i\beta$ with the change of the domains of the variables y and x to $1 \le y < \infty$ and $0 \le x < \infty$. Under such transformations, the trigonometric potential (3.8) is converted into the hyperbolic QES potential

$$V(x) = \frac{c_1^2}{32\beta^2} \cosh^4 \beta x + \frac{c_1}{4} \left(2(j-n) + \Phi - \frac{c_1}{8\beta^2} \right) \cosh^2 \beta x + \frac{\beta^2}{8} \left(4m^2 - 1 \right) \coth^2 \beta x + \frac{\beta^2}{8} \left(4(2j + \Phi - m)^2 - 1 \right) \tanh^2 \beta x.$$
(3.10)

The condition of regularity at zero is the same as for the sphere, $\sigma m \geq 0$, while the condition of finitness at infinity has to be discarded. Besides, the normalizability of the functions (3.1), (3.3) is changed for $\mu c_1 > 0$ instead of (3.7). Since the magnetic flux diverges in this case, the symbol Φ denotes, as we noted above, the combination of the parameters (2.14) and can acquire any real value. Therefore, in general, only the solutions (+1, -1, +1), (+1, -1, -1)and (-1, +1, -1) can produce the proper finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ representations, while other values of the triple (μ, ν, σ) serve only for integer values of the parameter Φ .

4 "Duality" transformations

In the previous section we have seen that the reduction of the two-dimensional Hamiltonian, associated with the n-HSUSY on the sphere or hyperbolic plane with magnetic field (2.13),

results in the one-dimensional quantum system with the potential (3.8) or (3.10), respectively. The resulting 1D Hamiltonians can be transformed to the QES operator (3.2) with appearance of the eight different families of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ schemes. Here we investigate the question what these different families of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ schemes mean from the point of view of the corresponding one-dimensional quantum mechanical QES system.

First, we note that the potential (3.8) is effectively four-parametric since the parameter β can be absorbed by rescaling $x \to \beta^{-1}x$, $c_1 \to \beta^2 c_1$, $H \to \beta^{-2}H$, while j and Φ enter only in the combination $2j - \Phi$. The parameters $n, 2j - \Phi$ and m take integer values, and c_1 is real. These parameters enter into the potential (3.8) in quadratic combinations. This motivates us to search for the linear transformations of the parameters, which leave the potential to be invariant. It is convenient to represent them in the matrix form

$$Y' = GY,\tag{4.1}$$

with $Y^T = (n, 2j - \Phi, m, c_1)$. Let us introduce the following matrices:

$$G_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.2)

One can verify that the potential (3.8) stands invariant under the transformations (4.1) generated by the set of the mutually commuting matrices

$$G = \mathbb{1}, -\mathbb{1}, G_i, -G_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3,$$
(4.3)

satisfying the relations

$$G_1 G_2 G_3 = -\mathbb{1}, \qquad \qquad G_1^2 = G_2^2 = G_3^2 = \mathbb{1}.$$
 (4.4)

Here, the eight transformations produced by the matrices G on the space of the parameters Y correspond to eight transformations in terms of the parameters (μ, ν, σ) , which connect different solutions (3.5) at the level of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ schemes. In particular, the transformations generated by the G_1 , G_2 and G_3 correspond, respectively, to the transformations $(\mu, \nu, \sigma) \rightarrow (-\mu, \nu, \sigma), (\mu, -\nu, \sigma)$, and $(\mu, \nu, -\sigma)$. From this point of view, the transformations (4.1)–(4.3) give some irreducible representation of the Abelian discrete group of reflections in three axes.

Another nontrivial example of such discrete transformations is given by the QES potential (3.9) related to the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ -operator

$$H_{QES} = -2T_0T_- + \beta_+T_+ + \beta_0T_0 + \beta_-T_-,$$

where the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ -generators are given by Eq. (3.4) with $y = x^2$ [9, 36]. The complete set of the discrete transformations (4.1) with $Y^T = (n, m, c_0, c_1)$ is given in this case by the matrices $\mathbb{I}, -\mathbb{I}, \tilde{G}, -\tilde{G}$, where

$$\tilde{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In comparison with (3.8), the case (3.9), with $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c_0, c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, is more simple, and, hence, more illustrative. Let us discuss here the corresponding eigenfunctions, which can be found algebraically. One can represent them formally as

$$\psi(x) = P_{N-1}\left(x^2\right) x^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu m} \exp\left(-\frac{\mu}{16}c_1 x^4 - \frac{\mu}{8}c_0 x^2\right), \quad \text{with} \quad N = \mu n - \frac{\mu+\nu}{2}m, \quad (4.5)$$

with μ and ν acquiring the values ± 1 . For the functions (4.5) to be normalized, one has to impose some restrictions on the parameters. There are four distinct cases, which can be marked by the pair (μ, ν) :

The restrictions on c_1 arise from the requirement of the normalizability, while those on m do from the requirement of the vanishing of the functions at x = 0, since we consider the spectral problem on the half-line, $0 \le x < \infty$. In fact, the cases (+1, -1), (-1, +1) correspond to the conventional $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ representation of the potential (3.9) (see, e.g., Refs. [10, 36]), while those (+1, +1), (-1, -1) were not discussed earlier. All the four cases are related by the discrete transformations as it is reflected on the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (+1,-1) & \xleftarrow{G} & (+1,+1) \\ & & & & & \\ \uparrow - \mathrm{I} & & & & & \\ (-1,+1) & \xleftarrow{\tilde{G}} & (-1,-1) \end{array}$$

In principle, one can discard the requirement for n and m to be integer simultaneously. The condition $N = \pm (n - m) \in \mathbb{N}$ is enough to apply the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ scheme. In this case, there are one natural and three real parameters, similarly to the usual case with N = n. For example, when $m = \frac{1}{2}$, the domain of definition of the potential (3.9) can be extended to the whole real axis. Let $c_1 > 0$, then the pair (+1, -1) with $N = n \in \mathbb{N}$ provides the even eigenfunctions (4.5), while the pair (+1, +1) with $N = n - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ gives the odd eigenfunctions. These two cases correspond to the two distinct forms of the QES x^6 -potential [10].

Having the two examples, one can suppose that the existence of the discrete symmetry transformations is general for all the one-dimensional QES $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ systems. Let us give some simple arguments in favour of this conjecture.

Changing appropriately the variable and realizing the similarity transformation, one can represent any QES $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ Hamiltonian in the canonical form [9, 10, 11, 12]

$$H_{QES} = -P_4(y)\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \left(\frac{N-1}{2}P_4'(y) - P_2(y)\right)\frac{d}{dy} + \frac{N}{2}\left(P_2'(y) - \frac{N-1}{6}P_4''(y)\right), \quad (4.6)$$

where $P_k(y)$ is a polynomial of the *k*th degree. The potential of the corresponding Schrödinger equation is given by

$$V(x) = \frac{N(N+2)}{12} \left(\frac{3}{4} \frac{P_4'^2}{P_4} - P_4''\right) + \frac{N+1}{4} \left(2P_2' - P_2 \frac{P_4'}{P_4}\right) + \frac{1}{4} \frac{P_2^2}{P_4} + const, \quad (4.7)$$

where the right hand side is evaluated at $y = f^{-1}(x)$ with f^{-1} to be the inverse function of elliptic integral

$$f(y) = \int \frac{dy}{\sqrt{P_4(y)}}.$$

The canonical form (4.6) is not unique because of the existence of a "residual" symmetry, which allows us to fix essentially the polynomial P_4 [12]. Therefore, one can consider that coefficients of the polynomial P_2 and the discrete parameter N span the whole parametric space of the system. From (4.7) it follows that the parameters enter into the potential in quadratic combinations (see the explicit set of QES potentials, e.g., in Ref. [36]). Therefore, appealing to the cases (3.8) and (3.9), it seems plausible that the transformations of the form (4.1) should also exist in the general case (4.7). Of course, in general, for the transformed parameter N to remain integer, one has to treat some parameters as to be also integer.

In conclusion of this section, we stress that from the intrinsic 1D viewpoint, the transformations generated by the corresponding matrices G, in general case, relate different systems². At the same time if one treats a 1D QES system in the framework of the corresponding 2D supersymmetric system, then the discrete transformations can intertwine the distinct sectors of the spectrum. This property as well as a relation $G^2 = 1$ allows us to treat (4.1) as some kind of "duality" transformations. For example, one can verify that the zero modes (2.17), (2.18) are spanned by the solutions (3.3) with the triples (+1, -1, +1) and (+1, -1, -1), which are related by the "duality" transformation generated by the G_3 (4.2).

5 The contracted Onsager algebra

In this section, following Ref. [4], we analyse the algebraic structure underlying the 2D spherical and hyperbolic systems with the nonlinear holomorphic supersymmetry.

The operators $Z_0 \equiv Z$ and $\overline{Z}_0 \equiv \overline{Z}$ together with the contracted Dolan-Grady relations (2.9) recursively generate the infinite-dimensional contracted Onsager algebra [4]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z_k, \ \bar{Z}_l \end{bmatrix} = B_{k+l+1}, \qquad [Z_k, \ B_l] = Z_{k+l}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} B_k, \ \bar{Z}_l \end{bmatrix} = \bar{Z}_{k+l}, \begin{bmatrix} Z_k, \ Z_l \end{bmatrix} = 0, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \bar{Z}_k, \ \bar{Z}_l \end{bmatrix} = 0, \qquad [B_k, \ B_l] = 0,$$
(5.1)

where $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $B_0 = 0$ is implied.

In general, the algebra (5.1) admits the infinite set of the commuting charges [4],

$$\mathcal{J}_{n}^{l} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{l} \left(\left\{ \bar{Z}_{p-1}, \ Z_{l-p} \right\} - B_{p} B_{l-p} \right) + \frac{n}{2} B_{l} \sigma_{3}, \tag{5.2}$$

which includes the Hamiltonian (2.1), $\mathcal{J}_n^1 = 2\mathcal{H}_n$.

²Here we suppose that all the parameters of the 1D potential (3.9) are always chosen in such a way that the functions (4.5) represent the states of the system; the same is assumed for the potential (3.8) though we do not present the corresponding wave functions explicitly here.

The operators Z, \overline{Z} in the representation (2.5) with the conformal factor (2.11) corresponding to the sphere generate the following finite-dimensional algebra:

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z, \ \bar{Z} \end{bmatrix} = G - \hat{R}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} Z, \ \bar{\mathcal{D}} \end{bmatrix} = G, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}, \ \bar{Z} \end{bmatrix} = G, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}, \ \hat{R} \end{bmatrix} = 4\beta^{2}\mathcal{D}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} Z, \ \hat{R} \end{bmatrix} = 4\beta^{2}Z, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} Z, \ G \end{bmatrix} = -4\beta^{2}\mathcal{D}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} \hat{R}, \ \bar{\mathcal{D}} \end{bmatrix} = 4\beta^{2}\bar{\mathcal{D}} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{R}, \ \bar{Z} \end{bmatrix} = 4\beta^{2}\bar{Z}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} G, \ \bar{Z} \end{bmatrix} = -4\beta^{2}\bar{\mathcal{D}}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} Z, \ \mathcal{D} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{\mathcal{D}}, \ \bar{Z} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}, \ \bar{\mathcal{D}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G, \ \hat{R} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G, \ \mathcal{D} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G, \ \bar{\mathcal{D}} \end{bmatrix} = 0,$$
(5.3)

where $\hat{R} = 2\beta^2(2\hat{J} - \Phi \cdot \mathbb{I})$, and $G = (B(\bar{z}z) - 2\beta^2 \Phi) \cdot \mathbb{I}$, while the operators \mathcal{D} and $\bar{\mathcal{D}}$ in the gauge (2.16) have the coordinate representation $\mathcal{D} = \frac{1}{4}c_1\bar{z}\rho(\bar{z}z)$, $\bar{\mathcal{D}} = \frac{1}{2}c_1z$. Like the covariant derivatives, the operator \mathcal{D} maps the tensors of the rank j into those of the rank j-1, while $\bar{\mathcal{D}}$ transforms the tensors of the rank j into those of the rank j+1. The operators \mathcal{D} and $\bar{\mathcal{D}}$ are mutually adjoint with respect to the scalar product (2.6). The algebra and all the corresponding relations for the hyperbolic plane (2.12) can be reproduced by the formal change $\beta \to i\beta$.

The algebra (5.3) has the following two Casimir operators:

$$\mathcal{C} = G^2 + 8\beta^2 \bar{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{D}, \qquad \mathcal{W} = \bar{\mathcal{D}}Z + \bar{Z}\mathcal{D} - \bar{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{D} - (4\beta^2)^{-1}G\left(\hat{R} - 4\beta^2\right). \tag{5.4}$$

In the given representation, we have $C = \frac{1}{4}c_1^2 \cdot \mathbb{I}$, while the operator \mathcal{W} in the gauge (2.16) has the form

$$\mathcal{W} = \frac{1}{2}c_1 \left(z\partial - \bar{z}\bar{\partial} - \frac{1}{2} \left(2j - \Phi \right) \right), \tag{5.5}$$

where we assume that the operator acts on a tensor of the rank j. From this representation, one can conclude that the Casimir operator \mathcal{W} is an analogue of the generator of rotations in the coordinate complex plane.

Rescaling appropriately the generators, it is always possible to reduce the $4\beta^2$ to $\mu = \pm 1$, where '+' corresponds to the sphere, while '-' does to the hyperbolic plane. Then, one can redefine the generators of the algebra (5.3) as

$$E_{-} = Z - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}, \qquad E_{+} = \bar{Z} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{D}}, \qquad E_{0} = \hat{R}, \qquad (5.6)$$

$$T_{-} = \mathcal{D}, \qquad \qquad T_{+} = \bar{\mathcal{D}}, \qquad \qquad T_{0} = G. \qquad (5.7)$$

From (5.3) it follows that the operators (5.7) span the Abelian algebra of translations, T_3 , while the operators (5.6) together with (5.7) obey the commutation relations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_{-}, E_{+} \end{bmatrix} = -E_{0}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} E_{0}, E_{\pm} \end{bmatrix} = \pm \mu E_{\pm}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} E_{0}, T_{\pm} \end{bmatrix} = \pm \mu T_{\pm}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} E_{\pm}, T_{0} \end{bmatrix} = \pm \mu T_{\pm}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} E_{\pm}, T_{\mp} \end{bmatrix} = \mp T_{0}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} E_{\pm}, T_{\pm} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{0}, T_{0} \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Therefore, one can conclude that in the case of the sphere the algebra (5.3) is the algebra of the 3D Euclidean group of motions, $iso(3) = T_3 \oplus_s so(3)$, while in the case of the hyperbolic plane it is the Poincaré algebra, $iso(1,2) = T_3 \oplus_s so(1,2)$. In this context, the first Casimir operator in (5.4) is the "squared mass" operator, $\mathcal{C} = T_0T_0 + 2\mu T_-T_+$, while the second one is the Pauli-Lubanski "pseudo-scalar", $\mathcal{W} = T_0(1 - \mu E_0) + T_+E_- + E_+T_-$. In terms of the algebra (5.3), the generators of the contracted Onsager algebra (5.1) read as

$$Z_m = (-4\beta^2)^m (Z + m\mathcal{D}), \qquad \bar{Z}_m = (-4\beta^2)^m (\bar{Z} + m\bar{\mathcal{D}}), B_m = (-4\beta^2)^{m-1} (mG - \hat{R}).$$

Hence, we have obtained the nontrivial realization of the contracted Onsager algebra. It is worth noting that in the systems with nonlinear holomorphic supersymmetry investigated earlier [1, 2], only the uncontracted Onsager algebra case was realized nontrivially [4].

Among the central charges (5.2), besides the Hamiltonian \mathcal{J}_n^1 , the operator \mathcal{J}_n^2 is the only independent integral of motion, which can be represented as

$$\mathcal{J}_n^2 = -4\beta^2 \left(2\mathcal{J}_n^1 + \mathcal{W}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\hat{R}\left(\hat{R} + 4\beta^2 n\sigma_3\right) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}.$$
(5.8)

Hence, in the representation under consideration, the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_n and the Casimir operator \mathcal{W} form the set of independent central charges of the supersymmetric system, which are the differential operators. We note also that the supersymmetric system admits another two central charges, $\mathcal{T}_1 = 2\hat{J} + n\sigma_3$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 = \hat{J}(\hat{J} + n\sigma_3)$, in terms of which the second structure in the integrals (5.8) is represented linearly, $\hat{R}\left(\hat{R} + 4\beta^2 n\sigma_3\right) = 4\beta^2(\Phi^2 \cdot \mathbb{1} - 2\Phi\mathcal{T}_1 + 4\mathcal{T}_2)$. The operators \mathcal{H}_n , \mathcal{W} , \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 exhaust the list of independent nontrivial central charges of the system. All other commuting charges \mathcal{J}_n^l with $l \geq 3$ can be represented as the linear combinations of them:

$$\mathcal{J}_{n}^{l} = (-4\beta^{2})^{l-2} \left(4\beta^{2} \left(l-2\right) l \mathcal{J}_{n}^{1} + C_{2}^{l} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} C_{2}^{l-1} \hat{R} \left(\hat{R} + 4\beta^{2} n \sigma_{3} \right) - \frac{1}{2} C_{3}^{l} \cdot \mathcal{C} \right),$$

where $C_n^l = \frac{l!}{(l-n)!n!}, l, n \in \mathbb{N}.$

6 Discussion and outlook

Let us summarize briefly the obtained results and discuss some open problems that deserve further attention.

We have discussed the application of the general algebraic scheme of the nonlinear holomorphic supersymmetry to the quantum mechanical systems with nontrivial 2D Riemann geometry in the presence of external magnetic field. The analysis of Dolan-Grady relations (2.2), underlying the construction [4], shows that the nonlinear supersymmetry can be realized on Riemann surfaces with constant curvature only. Moreover, for non-vanishing curvature the *n*-HSUSY can be realized solely in the case of the contracted Dolan-Grady relations (2.9). We have investigated the cases of the sphere and Lobachevski plane, which together with the zero curvature case of the plane analysed by us earlier [2], exhaust the simply connected Riemann surfaces. We demonstrated that for the *n*-HSUSY system on the sphere and hyperbolic plane a part of the spectrum can be found algebraically by reducing the corresponding 2D Hamiltonian to one-dimensional families of the QES Hamiltonians described by the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ scheme. We have found that the one-dimensional QES potential corresponding to the sphere admits a discrete group of transformations of the parameters of the potential. The 1D potential corresponding to the case of hyperbolic plane admits a similar discrete group of transformations if some parameter is restricted to be integer. Moreover, we have argued that, in general, other QES $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ potentials also can admit such a symmetry group after discretization of some parameters. This was illustrated by the example of the QES potential (3.9). We are going to investigate in detail the question of the discrete symmetry of QES potentials elsewhere.

The analysis of the algebraic content of the models on the sphere and hyperbolic plane shows that all the operators of the systems can be represented in terms of the generators of the iso(3) (sphere) or iso(1,2) (hyperbolic plane) algebras. Furthermore, the nontrivial representations of the contracted Onsager algebra are also realized via the generators of these algebras. Using these representations, we have found that besides the Hamiltonian, the infinite set of commuting charges (5.2) contains, in fact, only one non-trivial independent integral of motion, which is a differential operator being, simultaneously, the Pauli-Lubanski "pseudo-scalar" of the corresponding iso(3) or iso(1, 2) algebra.

It would be interesting to generalize the 2D realizations of the nonlinear holomorphic supersymmetry to the cases of the noncommutative plane, sphere and hyperbolic plane. We hope to consider such a generalization in future publications.

Acknowledgements

SK thanks J. Gamboa for useful communications. The work was supported by the grants 1010073 and 3000006 from FONDECYT (Chile) and by DICYT (USACH).

References

- S. M. Klishevich and M. S. Plyushchay, Nucl. Phys. B 606 (2001) 583-612, hepth/0012023.
- [2] S. M. Klishevich and M. S. Plyushchay, Nucl. Phys. B 616 (2001) 403-418, hepth/0105135.
- [3] S. M. Klishevich and M. S. Plyushchay, Nonlinear Supersymmetry, to appear in Proceedings of "Symmetry in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics," Kiev, Ukraine, hep-th/0112125.
- [4] S. M. Klishevich and M. S. Plyushchay, Nonlinear holomorphic supersymmetry, Dolan-Grady relations and Onsager algebra, to appear in Nucl. Phys. B, hep-th/0112158.
- [5] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U. Sukhatme, Phys. Rep. **251** (1995) 267-385, hep-th/9405029.
- [6] A. A. Andrianov, M. V. Ioffe and V. P. Spiridonov, Phys. Lett. A 174 (1993) 273-279, hep-th/9303005.
- [7] M. S. Plyushchay, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15 (2000) 3679-3698, hep-th/9903130.

- [8] S. M. Klishevich and M. S. Plyushchay, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 14 (1999) 2739-2752, hepth/9905149.
- [9] A. Turbiner, Comm. Math. Phys. **118** (1988) 467.
- [10] M. A. Shifman, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 4 (1989) 2897.
- [11] A. Ushveridze, Quasi-exactly solvable models in quantum mechanics, (IOP Publishing, Bristol, 1994).
- [12] F. Finkel, A. Gonzalez-Lopez, N. Kamran, P. J. Olver and M. A. Rodriguez, Lie algebras of differential operators and partial integrability, hep-th/9603139.
- [13] H. Aoyama, H. Kikuchi, I. Okouchi, M. Sato and S. Wada, Nucl. Phys. B 553 (1999) 644-710, hep-th/9808034.
- [14] H. Aoyama, M. Sato and T. Tanaka, Phys. Lett. B 503 (2001) 423-429, quantph/0012065.
- [15] H. Aoyama, M. Sato and T. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. B 619 (2001) 105-127, quantph/0106037.
- [16] H. Aoyama, N. Nakayama, M. Sato and T. Tanaka, Phys. Lett. B 519 (2001) 260-268, hep-th/0107048.
- [17] P. Dorey, C. Dunning and R. Tateo, J. Phys. A **34** (2001) 5679-5704, hep-th/0103051.
- [18] T. E. Clark, S. T. Love and S. R. Nowling, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 15 (2000) 2105-2112, hep-ph/0012074.
- [19] G. A. Mezincescu and L. Mezincescu, Factorization method and the supersymmetric monopole harmonics, hep-th/0109002.
- [20] S. Kim and C. k. Lee, Supersymmetry-based approach to quantum particle dynamics on a curved surface with nonzero magneitc field, hep-th/0112120.
- [21] A. A. Andrianov, M. V. Ioffe and D. N. Nishnianidze, Phys. Lett. A 201 (1995) 103, hep-th/9404120.
- [22] F. Cannata, M. V. Ioffe and D. N. Nishnianidze, J. Phys. A 35 (2002) 1389-1404, hep-th/0201080.
- [23] B. Demircioglu, S. Kuru, M. Onder and A. Vercin, Two families of superintegrable and isospectral potentials in two dimensions, quant-ph/0201099.
- [24] R. Iengo and D. Li, Nucl. Phys. B **413** (1994) 735-753, hep-th/9307011.
- [25] C. L. de Souza Batista and D. Li, Phys. Rev. B 55 (1997) 1582, cond-mat/9607170.
- [26] M. Alimohammadi and H. Mohseni Sadjadi, J. Phys. A 32 (1999) 4433-4440, hepth/9801101.

- [27] R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D **29** (1984) 2375 [Erratum-ibid. D **33** (1984) 2500].
- [28] G. V. Dunne, Annals Phys. **215** (1992) 233-263.
- [29] L. Dolan and M. Grady, Phys. Rev. D 25 (1982) 1587.
- [30] L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. **65** (1944) 117.
- [31] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 (1998) L273, physics/9801007.
- [32] M. Znojil, F. Cannata, B. Bagchi and R. Roychoudhury, Phys. Lett. B 483 (2000) 284-289, hep-th/0003277.
- [33] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. 43, 205-214 (2002), math-ph/0107001.
- [34] M. Nakahara, Geometry, topology and physics, (Institute of Physics Pub., Bristol, 1992).
- [35] H. Farkas and I. Kra, Riemann Surfaces (Springer-Verlag, 1991).
- [36] A. Turbiner, Quasiexactly solvable differential equations, hep-th/9409068.