

A gauge covariant approximation to QED

Yuichi Hoshino

Kushiro National College of Technology
Otanoshike nishi 2-32-1, Kushiro 084 Hokkaido, Japan

October 28, 2018

Abstract

We examine the fermion propagator in the Dyson-Schwinger equation which is based on spectral representation. Our approximation preserves vertex Ward-Takahashi Identity. The subtracted dispersion integral smoothes the imaginary part of the self energy and removes the infrared divergence in three dimension. As a result infrared behaviour of the propagator near the threshold is then found to be similar to four dimensional one. There exists analytic solutions for arbitrary gauge in four dimension. In our model there is a possibility of dynamical mass generation in which bare mass vanishes in four dimension.

Introduction

The non-linear integral Dyson-Schwinger equation has been extensively analysed with a particular vertex ansatz or in the quenched approximation to deal with the dynamical symmetry breaking in Quantum Field Theory. Sometimes the approximations made do not satisfy the Ward-Takahashi (W-T) Identity. In any case one may use the divergence of the axial-vector current to show dynamical chiral symmetry breaking via the axial Ward identity,

$$\partial_{\mu x} \langle T(J_5^\mu(x) \bar{\psi}(y) \gamma_5 \psi(y)) \rangle = -2\delta(x-y) \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle = -i \text{tr}(S_F(x))$$

in QED_{4,3} and QCD₄, where the right hand side of the above equation depends on the dynamics. Thus an effective mass, induced by gauge interaction of the fermions, with a non vanishing order parameter $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \neq 0$ has been found which is similar to the gap equation in superconductivity[4]. This is the familiar scenario of dynamical symmetry breaking. But there remains ambiguities as they consider only continuum contributions in Euclidian space of the fermion self energy and the structure of the propagator is not clear. Thus we have an interest to see what type of solutions exist in Minkowski space which satisfy the gauge identities. On the other hand in the ladder approximation and in the Landau gauge, W-T is valid only at one loop level of perturbation theory. Atkinson and

Blatt[2] have studied the singularity of the propagator after an analytic continuation of the Dyson-Schwinger equation to Minkowski space;the only physically meaningful answer for the propagator is a branch point(cut) on the real axis associated with zero mass photon,but the analytic continuation from Euclidean to Minkowski space is not unique and the result depends on the way the vertex is treated;sometimes it leads to a complex singularity[2].Hereafter we confine ourselves in QED₂₊₁.Main difficulty in (2+1)-dimension is the infrared divergences that was first demonstrated and improved by R. Jackiw and S.Templeton in the case of massless fermions[1].To avoid the infrared divergences in QED₂₊₁,the infrared behaviour was modified[1,2] by introducing massless fermions into the photon vacuum polarization since it affects the low energy behaviour of the photon.After that we explicitly shown the absence of infrared divergences in the lowest approximation[1].

We shall discuss the structure of the fermion propagator in Minkowski space using a gauge covariant approximation, which obeys the vertex W-T identity.The gauge covariant approximation,which is based on dispersion relations,leads to a linear Dyson-Schwinger equation that admits an analytic solution[3].In this paper,we analyse a lowest order approximation,where we treat the 2-spinor representation of fermions in (2+1)-dimension and do not introduce massless sources[1,2].We derive a linear integral equation for the spectral function but which does not admits analytic solution in the Landau gauge.However we derive the infrared behaviour of the propagator for arbitrary gauges.We first make a subtraction of the self-energy as usual in the integral equation and succeed in avoiding an infrared divergences in 3-dimension;threshold behaviour is modified to smooth one. In our analysis,the structure of the propagator has an essential singularity at $p^2 = m^2$ in arbitrary gauge.We also examine the fermion-antifermion pair condensation since there is no chiral symmetry in our model and find that the vacuum expectation value $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$ is finite in three dimension if we remove the ultraviolet divergence.In four dimension our approximation admits analytic solutions for arbitrary gauge.We discuss the problems of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking in four dimension regarding the vanishment of the bare mass $m_0 Z_2^{-1} = 0$.We compare the results in QED₃₊₁ with that in QED₂₊₁ in the same way.

1 Zeroth Gauge approximation

W-T identities between Green function in gauge theory are well known.Thus,with photon legs amputated,the first few identities read

$$k^\mu S(p)\Gamma_\mu(p,p-k)S(p-k) = S(p-k) - S(p),$$

$$k^\mu S(p')\Gamma_{\nu\mu}(p'k';pk)S(p) = S(p')\Gamma_\nu(p',p'+k')S(p'+k') - S(p-k')\Gamma_\nu(p-k',p)S(p), \quad (1)$$

where S is a complete electron propagator and Γ stands for the fully amputated connected Green function; coupling constants have been factorized out of

eq(1).In QED the propagators S and D of fermion and photon,and the vertex part Γ_μ play a central role via Dyson-Schwinger equations

$$1 = Z_2(\gamma \cdot p - m + \delta m)S(p) - ie^2 Z_2 \int \frac{d^n k}{(2\pi)^n} S(p)\Gamma_\mu(p, p-k)S(p-k)\gamma_\nu D^{\mu\nu}(k). \quad (2)$$

This expression is the same with well known form of renormalized Dyson-Schwinger equation

$$\begin{aligned} S^{-1} &= Z_2(S_0^{-1} + \Sigma), \\ Z_2^{-1}S_0 &= S(1 + \Sigma S_0), \end{aligned}$$

$$D_{\mu\nu}^{-1}(k) = Z_3[k^2 g_{\mu\nu} - k_\mu k_\nu (1 - \eta^{-1})] + ie^2 Z_2 Tr \int \frac{d^n p}{(2\pi)^n} \gamma_\nu S(p)\Gamma_\mu(p, p-k)S(p-k), \quad (3)$$

$$\Gamma_\mu(p, p-k) = Z_2 \gamma_\mu - ie^2 Z_2 \int \frac{d^n p'}{(2\pi)^n} \gamma_\lambda S(p')\Gamma_{\nu\mu}(p'k'; pk)D^{\lambda\nu}(k). \quad (4)$$

where η is a covariant gauge parameter and we are working in n -dimensions at this stage.In the gauge covariant approximation one seeks solutions to equations (2)-(4) in the form given above.To this end, begin with the Lehmann-Kallen spectral representation for the fermion propagator in the form

$$\begin{aligned} S(p) &= \left(\int_{-\infty}^{-m} + \int_m^{\infty} \right) \frac{dw \rho(w)}{\gamma \cdot p - w + i\epsilon(w)} \\ &= \int_{m^2}^{\infty} \frac{ds(\gamma \cdot p \rho_1(s) + m \rho_2(s))}{p^2 - s + i\epsilon} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\rho(w)$ is a positive definite distribution in a non-gauge theory,

$$\rho(w) = \epsilon(w)\rho(w) \quad \text{where} \quad \epsilon(w) = \theta(w) - \theta(-w).$$

In the high energy limit $S\Sigma S_0 \rightarrow 0$,thus the relation between renormalization constant and the spectral function reads

$$\begin{aligned} S_0(p) &= Z_2 S(p), \\ \frac{Z_2^{-1}}{\gamma \cdot p - m_0} &= \int_{m^2}^{\infty} ds \frac{\gamma \cdot p \rho_1(s) + m \rho_2(s)}{p^2 - s}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Since

$$S(p-k) - S(p) = \int dw \rho(w) \frac{1}{\gamma \cdot p - w} \gamma \cdot k \frac{1}{\gamma \cdot (p-k) - w}, \quad (7)$$

the simplest possible (but by no means unique) solution of (1) is to take

$$S(p')\Gamma_\mu^{(0)}(p', p)S(p) = \int dw\rho(w)\frac{1}{\gamma \cdot p' - w}\gamma_\mu\frac{1}{\gamma \cdot p - w}. \quad (8)$$

The above formula represents a bare vertex weighted by a spectral function $\rho(w)$ for an electron of mass w . This form of the vertex includes the well known soft photon limit

$$S(p)\Gamma_\mu^{(0)}(p, p)S(p) = -\frac{\partial S(p)}{\partial p_\mu}. \quad (9)$$

If the ansatz for the vertex in equation (2) is used the renormalized Dyson-Schwinger equation is written in n-dimension as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2^{-1} &= S(p)(\gamma \cdot p - m_0) - ie^2 \int \frac{d^n k}{(2\pi)^n} S(p)\Gamma_\mu^{(0)}(p, p-k)S(p-k)\gamma_\nu D^{\mu\nu}(k) \\ &= (\gamma \cdot p - m_0) \int \frac{\rho(w)dw}{\gamma \cdot p - w} - ie^2 \int \frac{d^n k}{(2\pi)^n} dw\rho(w)\frac{1}{\gamma \cdot p - w}\gamma_\mu \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\gamma \cdot (p-k) - w}\gamma_\nu(g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2}(1-\eta))\frac{1}{k^2} \\ &= \int \frac{\rho(w)dw}{\gamma \cdot p - w}(\gamma \cdot p - m_0 + \Sigma(p, w)), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\Sigma(p, w)$ is obtained from lowest-order self energy of fermion with mass w . Recalling $Z_2^{-1} = \int \rho(w)dw$, equation(10) can be written in the renormalized form

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2^{-1} &= \int d\omega\rho(\omega)\frac{(\gamma \cdot p - m_0 + \delta m + \Sigma(p, \omega))}{\gamma \cdot p - \omega + i\epsilon\epsilon(\omega)} \\ &= \int d\omega\rho(\omega)(1 + \frac{\omega + m + \Sigma(p, \omega) - \Sigma(\omega, \omega)}{\gamma \cdot p - \omega + i\epsilon\epsilon(\omega)}), \\ \delta m &= m + m_0 - \Sigma(m, m). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Here we rewrite this equation in the Klein-Gordon form

$$0 = \int d\omega\rho(\omega)\frac{[(\gamma \cdot p + \omega)(m + \omega + \Sigma(p, \omega)](p, \omega) - (p \rightarrow \omega)}, \quad (12)$$

$$\Sigma(p, m) = \gamma \cdot p\Sigma_1(p, m) + \Sigma_2(p, m)$$

Taking imaginary part yields two equations ; $\gamma \cdot p\rho_1(\omega) + \omega\rho_2(\omega) = (\gamma \cdot p + \omega)\rho(\omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int d\omega\frac{\rho_1(\omega)\Im[\omega\Sigma_1(p, \omega) + \Sigma_2(p, \omega) - (p \rightarrow \omega)]}{p^2 - \omega^2} \\ &= i\pi \int d\omega\epsilon(\omega)\delta(p^2 - \omega^2)\rho_1(\omega)(\omega - m) \\ &= \frac{i\pi}{2|p|} \int d\omega\epsilon(\omega)(\delta(\omega - p) + \delta(\omega + p))\rho_1(\omega)(\omega - m) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int d\omega \frac{\rho_2(\omega) \Im[p^2 \Sigma_1(p, \omega) + \omega \Sigma_2(p, \omega) - (p \rightarrow \omega)]}{p^2 - \omega^2} \\
&= i\pi \int d\omega \epsilon(\omega) \delta(p^2 - \omega^2) \rho_2(\omega) \omega(\omega - m) \\
&= \frac{i\pi}{2|p|} \int d\omega \epsilon(\omega) (\delta(\omega - p) + \delta(\omega + p)) \rho_2(\omega) \omega(\omega - m), \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

here the real part does not appear thanks to renormalization of the self energy $\Sigma(p, \omega)$ within the dispersion integral. This is the main advantage of our method and gauge technique. In QED₃₊₁ the threshold behaviour is different from QED₂₊₁ and $\Im \Sigma_{3+1}(w, w) = 0$. In fact it is given as

$$\Im \Sigma_{3+1}(p, w) = \frac{e^2(p^2 - w^2)}{16\pi p^4} [\eta(p^2 + w^2) \gamma \cdot p - (\eta + 3)wp^2] \theta(p^2 - w^2).$$

In this case there is no need for subtraction of $\Im \Sigma(p, w)$ at $p = w$. But QED₂₊₁ is a super renormalizable theory and there are infrared singularities. In our method renormalization of the self energy removes the infrared divergences and the subtracted imaginary part of the self energy smoothes the threshold behaviour as we see in the next section. Infrared problem for massless fermion was first discussed by Jakiw and Templeton in connection with the dressed photon[1]. In the case of massive fermion the pair production is suppressed at low energy in the case of massive fermion loop is concerned for photon vacuum polarization, Therefore the bare photon propagator is dominant in the infrared.

2 Zeroth Green function

Now the self-energy of the fermion can be written as $\Sigma(p, m) = \gamma \cdot p \Sigma_1(p, m) + \Sigma_2(p, m)$. (Σ_1 and Σ_2 are often referred to as the vector and scalar parts of the self-energy respectively.) In (2+1) dimensions to $O(e^2)$ and in the photon gauge specified by η , the self energy of the fermion is written as follows,

$$\Sigma(p, m) = -ie^2 \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \gamma^\mu \frac{\gamma \cdot (p - k) + m}{(p - k)^2 - m^2} \gamma^\nu \left[\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{k^2} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^4} (1 - \eta) \right]. \tag{15}$$

It is convenient to separate $\Sigma_1(p, m)$ and $\Sigma_2(p, m)$ by taking traces of the self-energy,

$$Tr(\gamma \cdot p \Sigma(p, m)), Tr(\Sigma(p, m)).$$

In Euclidean space after angular integrations are performed they can be written in the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1(p, m) &= \frac{\eta e^2}{4\pi^2 p^2} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{k^2}{k^2 + m^2} \left[1 - \frac{p^2 + k^2}{2pk} \ln \left| \frac{p+k}{p-k} \right| \right] \\
\Sigma_2(p, m) &= \frac{(\eta + 2)e^2}{4\pi^2 p} \int_0^\infty dk \frac{mk}{k^2 + m^2} \ln \left| \frac{p+k}{p-k} \right|. \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

It can be evaluated by using contour integral,

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{1E}(p, m) &= 1 - \frac{\eta e^2 m}{8\pi p^2} \left(1 - \frac{p^2 - m^2}{mp} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{p}{m}\right)\right) \\ \Sigma_{2E}(p, m) &= \frac{(\eta + 2)e^2 m}{4\pi} \frac{m}{p} \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{p}{m}\right).\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

In Minkowski space after Wick rotation we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1(p, m) &= 1 + \frac{\eta e^2 m}{8\pi p^2} \left(1 - \frac{p^2 + m^2}{mp} \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{p}{m}\right)\right) \\ \Sigma_2(p, m) &= \frac{(\eta + 2)e^2 m}{4\pi} \frac{m}{p} \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{p}{m}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then the imaginary parts are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Im \tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{p}{m}\right) &= -i \frac{\pi}{2} \epsilon\left(\frac{p}{m}\right) \theta(p^2 - m^2) \\ \Im \Sigma_1(p, m) &= \frac{\eta e^2}{16} \frac{p^2 + m^2}{p^3} \epsilon\left(\frac{p}{m}\right) \theta(p^2 - m^2) \\ \Im \Sigma_2(p, m) &= -\frac{2(\eta + 2)e^2 m}{16} \frac{m}{p} \epsilon\left(\frac{p}{m}\right) \theta(p^2 - m^2).\end{aligned}\quad (18)$$

The final form of the imaginary part of the self energy is presented in the following form,

$$\Im \Sigma_{2+1}(p, m) = \frac{e^2}{16} \left[\gamma \cdot p \frac{p^2 + m^2}{p^3} - \frac{2(\eta + 2)m}{p} \right] \epsilon\left(\frac{p}{m}\right) \theta(p^2 - m^2). \quad (19)$$

If we substitute the above expression into the equation (13),(14) they can be written to this order,

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(p)(p - m)\rho_1(p) &= \left(\int_m^{\epsilon(p)p} - \int_{-p\epsilon(p)}^{-m} \right) \frac{dw \rho_1(w) [\Im((w\Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2)(p, w) - (p \rightarrow w))]}{p^2 - w^2} \\ &= \int_m^p dw [\rho_1(w) + \rho_1(-w)] K(p, w), \\ K(p, w) &= \frac{e^2}{8\pi} \left(-\frac{\eta |p| w}{p^3} + 4 \frac{|p|}{p(p + w)} \right)\end{aligned}\quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(p)(p - m)\rho_2(p) &= \left(\int_m^{\epsilon(p)p} - \int_{-p\epsilon(p)}^{-m} \right) \frac{dw \rho_2(w) [\Im((p^2 \Sigma_1 + w \Sigma_2)(p, w) - (p \rightarrow w))]}{p^2 - w^2} \\ &= \int_m^p dw [\rho_2(w) - \rho_2(-w)] L(p, w), \\ L(p, w) &= \frac{e^2}{8\pi} \left(-\frac{\eta(w - 2p)}{pw} + 4 \frac{w}{p(p + w)} \right).\end{aligned}\quad (21)$$

In this way the spectral function obeys the more sensible integral equation. We assume that the spectral function is real. Delbourgo, Waites[1] derived the equation with gauge technique but not carried out renormalization. In that case the equation for the spectral functions contains the real part of the self energy and it means that the spectral function becomes complex function in the dispersion theory. Further they could not avoid infrared divergences in quenched case. In our approximation this point is modified to be consistent with original equation (11). Thanks to subtraction, kernel K, L does not contain infrared singularities at $p = w$. We see that it is suitable to use the variable p instead of p^2 since the coupling constant e^2 has a dimension of mass. First we seek the infrared behaviour of the solution near $p = m$. It is possible to find in any gauge by virtue of $\rho(w) \rightarrow \rho(p)$ on the r.h.s of (20),(21) in the limit $p \rightarrow m$. Thus the ρ equation simplifies to

$$\frac{d}{dp}[(p-m)\rho(p)] = \rho(p) \lim_{p \rightarrow w \rightarrow m} \frac{\Im \Sigma(p, w)}{\pi(p^2 - m^2)} = \rho(p) \frac{e^2}{8\pi m} (2 - \eta). \quad (22)$$

It follows that near the electron mass shell

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\omega}[(\omega-1)\rho(\omega)] &\simeq \chi(2-\eta)\rho(\omega) \\ \rho(\omega) &\simeq C(\omega-1)^{-1+\chi(2-\eta)}, \chi = \frac{e^2}{8\pi m}, \omega = \frac{p}{m}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

And the propagator near the threshold is then found to be

$$S(p)_{p^2 \simeq m^2} \sim \frac{(\gamma \cdot p + m)}{p^2 - m^2} \left(\frac{2m^2}{p^2 - m^2} \right)^{\chi(\eta-2)}. \quad (24)$$

This approximation corresponds to Bloch-Nordsieck Model in 4-dimension, where only the retarded propagator is considered[3]. We see the same feature of QED₂₊₁, from the threshold behaviour of the propagator. In QED₃₊₁, the Yennie gauge $\eta = 3$ produces a free particle pole (Abrikosov 1956) in the lowest approximation:

$$S(p)_{p^2 \simeq m^2} \sim \frac{(\gamma \cdot p + m)}{p^2 - m^2} \left(\frac{m^2}{p^2 - m^2} \right)^{\alpha(3-\eta)/2\pi}. \quad (25)$$

In four dimension our method also reproduces the same behaviour in the same approximation we took above as well as gauge technique[3]. Now let us return to equations (20),(21). Unfortunately we cannot solve the equations analytically. Instead we use inequalities for the integral kernels that admit us to evaluate two approximate solutions in the Landau gauge. Notice that the numerator of the propagator; $\gamma \cdot p \rho_1(w) + w \rho_2(w)$, $\rho_1(w)$ is odd and $\rho_2(w)$ is even in w . If we use the inequalities for (20),(21)

$$\frac{1}{2p} \leq \frac{1}{w+p} \leq \frac{1}{w+m},$$

we derive the following equations for positive and negative $\omega = w/m$;

$$\begin{aligned}(\omega - 1)\rho_1(\omega) &= 2\chi \int_1^\omega d\omega' \frac{\rho_1(\omega) + \rho_1(-\omega)}{\omega + \omega'}, \omega > 0 \\(\omega + 1)\rho_1(-\omega) &= 2\chi \int_1^\omega d\omega' \frac{\rho_1(\omega) + \rho_1(-\omega)}{\omega + \omega'}, \omega < 0\end{aligned}\quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\omega - 1)\rho_2(\omega) &= 2\chi \int_1^\omega d\omega' \frac{\omega'(\rho_2(\omega) - \rho_2(-\omega))}{\omega(\omega + \omega')}, \omega > 0 \\(\omega + 1)\rho_2(-\omega) &= 2\chi \int_1^\omega d\omega' \frac{\omega'(\rho_2(\omega) - \rho_2(-\omega))}{\omega(\omega + \omega')}, \omega < 0\end{aligned}\quad (27)$$

Here we define

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_1^w(\omega) &\equiv \rho_1(\omega) - \rho_1(-\omega) \\ \rho_2^w(\omega) &\equiv \rho_2(\omega) + \rho_2(-\omega).\end{aligned}\quad (28)$$

It is easy to convert them into differential equations with two cases;

$$\frac{1}{2\omega} \leq \frac{1}{\omega + \omega'} \leq \frac{1}{\omega + 1}.\quad (29)$$

The rhs is an infrared approximation; $\omega' = 1$ ($p = m$). The solutions are derived for $\rho^w(\omega)$ and they are given

$$\frac{1}{\omega}(\omega + 1)^{-1-\chi}(\omega - 1)^{-1+\chi} \leq \rho_1^w(\omega) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2\chi}{\omega + 1}\right)(\omega + 1)^{-2-\chi}(\omega - 1)^{-1+\chi},\quad (30)$$

$$\frac{1}{\omega^2}(\omega + 1)^{-1-\chi}(\omega - 1)^{-1+\chi} \leq \rho_2^w(\omega) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{2\chi}{\omega + 1}\right)(\omega + 1)^{-2-\chi}(\omega - 1)^{-1+\chi}/\omega.\quad (31)$$

The functions in both sides are normalized by integrals which define the normalization conditions for $\rho_1(\omega)$ and $\rho_2(\omega)$ below

$$\begin{aligned}\int d\omega \rho_1^U(\omega) &= \int_1^\infty d\omega \exp\left(-\frac{2\chi}{\omega + 1}\right)(\omega + 1)^{-2-\chi}(\omega - 1)^{-1+\chi} = N(\chi) \\ \int d\omega \rho_1^L(\omega) &= \int_1^\infty d\omega \frac{1}{\omega}(\omega + 1)^{-1-\chi}(\omega - 1)^{-1+\chi}\end{aligned}\quad (32)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\chi} + \frac{2\pi}{\sin(\chi\pi)} + 2\Phi(-1, 1, \chi) = \int d\omega \omega \rho_2^L(\omega)\quad (33)$$

$$\int d\omega \omega \rho_2^U(\omega) = N(\chi)$$

,where $N(\chi)$ is expressed in terms of Whittaker's function and Φ is a Lerch's function.

$$N(\chi) = \frac{\chi^{-1-\frac{\chi}{2}} \exp(-\frac{\chi}{2}) M(-\frac{\chi}{2} + 1, \frac{\chi}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \chi)}{4\chi(\chi + 1)}, \quad (34)$$

$$M_{\kappa,\mu}(z) = \exp(-\frac{z}{2}) z^{\frac{1}{2}+\mu} M(\frac{1}{2} + \mu - \kappa, 1 + 2\mu, z), \quad (35)$$

$$\Phi(z, a, \nu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(\nu + n)^a}, \quad (36)$$

where $M(a, b, z)$ is a Kummer's function

$$\frac{\Gamma(b-a)\Gamma(a)}{\Gamma(b)} M(a, b, z) = \int_0^1 dt \exp(zt) t^{a-1} (1-t)^{b-a-1}. \quad (37)$$

In order to agree with the free field limit $\rho(\omega) \rightarrow \delta(\omega - 1)$ for small χ where the condition $\int \rho(\omega) d\omega = 1$ holds, spectral functions are normalized and renormalized quantities for small coupling are

$$m_0 Z_2^{-1} = \frac{1}{[n]} \lim_{p^2 \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}(p^2 S(p)) = m \int \omega \rho_2(\omega) d\omega \rightarrow m \quad (38)$$

$$Z_2^{-1} = \frac{1}{[n]} \lim_{p^2 \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}(\gamma \cdot p S(p)) = \int \rho_1(\omega) d\omega \rightarrow 1. \quad (39)$$

The normalization constants for $\rho_1^U = \omega \rho_2^U$, $\rho_1^L = \omega \rho_2^L$ are $C^U = \chi^2 / M(-\frac{\chi}{2} + 1, \frac{\chi}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \chi)$, $C^L = \chi$ respectively. Here we should notice that two spectral functions are same in the Landau gauge (i.e. $\rho_1(\omega) = \omega \rho_2(\omega)$). It is interesting to examine the possible occurrence of confinement and dynamical mass generation in our model. In general $Z_2 = 0$ is a compositeness condition and $m_0 = 0$ is a signpost of dynamical mass generation. However in our case two conditions are not satisfied. But the vacuum expectation value $\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle$ is a gauge invariant quantity in general. And the trace of the propagator itself is gauge independent if the approximation made is sufficient [6]. The gauge transformation of the propagator from the Landau gauge to other covariant η gauge in three dimension is determined [6]

$$S(x, \eta) = \exp(-\frac{\eta e^2 |x|}{8\pi}) S(x, 0). \quad (40)$$

This shows a gauge invariance of the order parameter $\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle$ in three dimension. We examine the order parameter for fermion-antifermion pair condensation

in the case of bare mass and find

$$\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle = -itrS(x) = 2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \int_1^\infty d\omega \frac{m\omega\rho_2(\omega)}{p^2 + m^2\omega} \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{m^2}{2\pi}\chi \int_1^\infty \omega^2 \rho_2^L(\omega) d\omega &\geq \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \geq -\frac{m^2}{2\pi} \int_1^\infty \omega^2 \rho_2^U(\omega) d\omega \\ -\frac{m^2}{4\pi}\chi &\geq \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle \geq -\frac{m^2}{2\pi} \frac{4\chi^2 C}{M(-\frac{\chi}{2} + 1, \frac{\chi}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \chi)}, \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dt \exp(-\chi t)(1-t)^{\chi-1} - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 dt \exp(-\chi t)(1-t)^{\chi-1} t \\ &= \frac{1}{2} M(1, \chi + 1, -\chi) - \frac{1}{4} M(2, \chi + 2, -\chi). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Here we used the dimensional regularization;

$$\int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(k^2 + L)^a} = \frac{\Gamma(a - \frac{D}{2})}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}} \Gamma(a)} L^{\frac{D}{2}-a},$$

and took into account the most attractive effect in the long distance and strong dumps at short distance for the spectral function ρ_2^U . If we remove the linear ultraviolet divergence the order parameter is finite and vanishes in the weak coupling limit. Thus we conclude that fermion-antfermion pair condensation occurs in our approximation with finite bare mass. It might be related to some physical phenomena; for example gapless superconductivity[9]. Here we notice the non-linear relation of the bare mass and coupling constant,

$$m_0 Z_2^{-1} = \frac{\chi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp(-\frac{\chi}{2}) m}{\chi + 1} \&(1 + \frac{2\pi\chi}{\sin(\pi\chi)} + \chi\Phi(-1, 1, \chi) m \quad (44)$$

for ρ_2^U and ρ_2^L . It is compared with the solution of gap equation in superconductivity;

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \frac{g}{2(2\pi)^3} \int d^3p (\epsilon^2(p) + |M_d|^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ |M_d| &\simeq \omega_D \exp(-\frac{2}{g\nu_F}), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where ν_F is the energy density of the number of states of electrons on the fermi surface and ω_D is the Debye frequency[10]. Finally we show the results of gauge technique, in which we set $\gamma \cdot p = p$ in the infrared. In this case the integral equation for one spectral function we get. The integral kernels are classified into four pieces

(1) $p > 0, w > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(p, w) &= (p + w)[(p\Sigma_1(p, w) + \Sigma_2(p, w)) - (p \rightarrow w)] \\ &= \eta \frac{(p^2 - w^2)(p - w)}{p^2} + 4 \frac{(p^2 - w^2)}{p} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

(2) $p > 0, w < 0$

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(p, w) &= (p-w)[p\Sigma_1(p, -w) + -w\Sigma_2(p, -w) - (p \rightarrow w)] \\ &= \eta \frac{(p-w)^2(3p+w)}{p^2} + 4 \frac{(p-w)^2}{p} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

(3) $p < 0, w > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} K_3(p, w) &= (-p+w)[-p\Sigma_1(-p, w) + \Sigma_2(-p, w) - (p \rightarrow w)] \\ &= -\eta \frac{(p-w)^2(3p+w)}{p^2} - 4 \frac{(p-w)^2}{p} \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

(4) $p < 0, w < 0$

$$\begin{aligned} K_4(p, w) &= -(p+w)[(-p\Sigma_1(-p, -w) + \Sigma_2(-p, w)) - (p \rightarrow w)] \\ &= -\eta \frac{(p-w)^2(3p+w)}{p^2} - 4 \frac{(p-w)^2}{p} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1) \ p > 0, (p-m)\rho(p) &= \int_m^p \frac{K_1\rho(w) + K_2\rho(-w)}{p^2 - w^2} dw \\ 2) \ p < 0, -(p+m)\rho(-p) &= \int_m^p \frac{K_3\rho(w) + K_4\rho(-w)}{p^2 - w^2} dw \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

These equation could not be solved since it is converted into higher order differential equation and not tractable. However the infrared behaviour is easily determined by choosing only positive p in the kernel K_1 of equation (1) and set $p = m$ in front of the integral. The results we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega - 1)\rho(\omega) &= \chi \left(\frac{\eta + 4}{\omega} \int_1^\omega \rho(\omega) d\omega - \frac{\eta}{\omega^2} \int_1^\omega \omega \rho(\omega) d\omega \right), \\ \frac{d}{d\omega} [\omega(\omega - 1)\rho(\omega)] &= \chi((\eta + 4)\rho(\omega) - \eta\omega\rho(\omega)), \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

$$\rho(\omega) \simeq C(\omega - 1)^{-1+4\chi} \omega^{-1+4\chi(\eta-2)}, \chi = \frac{e^2}{16\pi m}. \quad (52)$$

More precisely the spectral function near the threshold is given

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\omega) &\simeq F(2+z, 3+z; 4+2z+4\chi; \omega)\omega^z, \\ z &= \text{Root}(Z^2 + (4\chi+3)Z + (8\chi+2+\eta\chi) = 0). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Near $\omega = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\omega) &\simeq (\omega - 1)^{-1+4\chi} F(2+z, 4\chi, 1+z+4\chi; 8+4z+4\chi; 1-\omega), \\ S(p)_{p^2 \simeq m^2} &\sim \frac{\gamma \cdot p + m}{p^2 - m^2} \left(\frac{p^2 - m^2}{2m^2} \right)^{-1+4\chi}. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

This shows the gauge invariance near the threshold. If we evaluate the spectral function in perturbative way, first we put $\rho^{(0)} = \delta(w - m)$, gauge dependent part of $\rho^{(1)}$ does not contain $1/(p - m)$ term and does not contribute the singularity near the threshold.

3 Analysis in four dimension

In this section we examine the QED₃₊₁ which is familiar but not known except for the Landau gauge in gauge technique. In our method we show the analytic solution for the spectral functions in arbitrary gauge. The self energy of the fermion to $O(e^2)$ is well known

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma(p, m) &= \gamma \cdot p \Sigma_1(p, m) + \Sigma_2(p, m) \\ \pi^{-1} \Im \Sigma_1 &= \chi \eta \frac{p^4 - m^4}{p^4} \theta(p^2 - m^2) \\ \pi^{-1} \Im \Sigma_2 &= -\chi(\eta + 3)m \frac{p^2 - m^2}{p^2} \theta(p^2 - m^2), \chi = \frac{e^2}{16\pi^2}.\end{aligned}\quad (55)$$

In the same way in the previous section we derive the equation for the spectral functions

$$\begin{aligned}(\gamma \cdot p + m) \Im(\gamma \cdot p \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2) &= \Im(p^2 \Sigma_1 + m \Sigma_2 + \gamma \cdot p(m \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2)), \\ \epsilon(p) \frac{p-m}{|p|} \rho_1(p) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int \rho_1(w) dw \frac{\Im(w \Sigma_1(p, w) + \Sigma_2(p, w))}{p^2 - w^2 + i\epsilon(w)} \\ &= 2\chi \left(\int_m^{\epsilon(p)p} - \int_{-\epsilon(p)p}^{-m} \right) \left(\frac{\eta w^3}{p^4} - \frac{3w}{p^2} \right) \rho_1(w) dw\end{aligned}\quad (56)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(p)(p-m)\rho_2(p) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int \rho_2(w) dw \frac{\Im(p^2 \Sigma_1(p, w) + w \Sigma_2(p, w))}{p^2 - w^2 + i\epsilon(w)} \\ &= 2\chi \left(\int_m^{\epsilon(p)p} - \int_{-\epsilon(p)p}^{-m} \right) \left(\eta - \frac{3w^2}{p^2} \right) \rho_2(w) dw\end{aligned}\quad (57)$$

It is helpful to separate ρ into odd and even part in p ; $\rho_2 = p\rho_o(p^2) + m\rho_e(p^2)$ then the lhs is

$$\epsilon(p)[(p^2 \rho_o - m^2 \rho_e) + mp(\rho_o - \rho_e)].$$

The rhs is even in p then it simplifies to

$$p^2 \rho_o - m^2 \rho_e = 0 \rightarrow \rho_e = \frac{p^2}{m^2} \rho_o,$$

$$\epsilon(p)mp(\rho_e - \rho_o) = 2\chi(\eta \int (w\rho_o + m\rho_e)dw - \frac{3}{p^2} \int w^2(w\rho_o + m\rho_e)dw).$$

Introducing dimensional variable $\omega = w/m$

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(\omega)\omega(\omega^2 - 1)\rho_o(\omega) &= 2\chi(\eta \int \omega'(1 + \omega')\rho_o(\omega') - \frac{3}{\omega^2} \int \omega'^3(\omega' + 1)\rho_o(\omega')d\omega') \\ (\omega^2 - 1)\rho_2(\omega) &= 2\chi(\eta \int \omega'\rho_2(\omega')d\omega' - \frac{3}{\omega^2} \int \omega'^3\rho_2(\omega')d\omega')\end{aligned}\quad (58)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\omega\rho_o(\omega^2) &= \rho_2(\omega^2), s = \omega^2 \\ (s-1)\rho_2(s) &= 2\chi(\eta \int \rho_2(s')ds' - \frac{3}{s} \int s' \rho_2(s')ds'),\end{aligned}\quad (59)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2}[s(s-1)\rho_2(s)] = 2\chi((\eta-3)\frac{d}{ds}[s\rho_2(s)] + \eta\rho_2(s)),\quad (60)$$

$$\rho_2(s) = \epsilon(\omega)F(\alpha, \beta; 2; s)\omega,$$

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \left(\frac{3}{2} - \chi(\eta-3) \mp \frac{r'}{2}\right),$$

$$r'^2 = (1+6\chi)^2 + 4\chi^2\eta^2 - 24\chi^2\eta + 4\chi\eta.\quad (61)$$

Next we consider $\rho_1 = p\rho_o + m\rho_e$. In the same way to ρ_2 the equation simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(p)\frac{p-m}{|p|}\rho_1(p) &= 2\chi\left(\frac{\eta}{p^4} \int w^3\rho_1(w)dw - \frac{3}{p^2} \int w\rho_1(w)dw\right), \\ \epsilon(\omega)\frac{\omega}{|\omega|}(\omega^2-1)\rho_o(\omega) &= 2\chi\left(\frac{\eta}{\omega^4} \int \omega'^4(\omega'+1)\rho_o(\omega'^2)d\omega' - \frac{3}{\omega^2} \int \omega'^2(\omega'+1)\rho_o(\omega')d\omega'\right) \\ (\omega^2-1)\rho_o(\omega^2) &= 2\chi\left(\frac{\eta}{\omega^4} \int \omega'^5\rho_o(\omega'^2)d\omega' - \frac{3}{\omega^2} \int \omega'^3\rho_o(\omega'^2)d\omega'\right).\end{aligned}$$

The equation can be converted into differential equation and its solution is given

$$(s-1)\rho_o(s) = 2\chi\left(\frac{\eta}{s^2} \int s'^2\rho_o(s')ds' - \frac{3}{s} \int s'\rho_o(s')ds'\right),\quad (62)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{ds^2}[s^2(s-1)\rho_o(s)] = 2\chi((\eta-3)\frac{d}{ds}[s^2\rho_o(s)] - 3s\rho_o(s)),\quad (63)$$

$$\rho_1(s) = \epsilon(\omega)\omega\rho_o(s) = \epsilon(\omega)\frac{F(a, b; 2; s)\omega}{s(s-1)^{1+2\chi(3-\eta)}},$$

$$(a, b) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \chi(\eta-3) \pm \frac{r}{2}\right),$$

$$r^2 = (1-6\chi)^2 + 4\chi^2\eta^2 - 24\chi^2\eta - 4\chi\eta.\quad (64)$$

Same to three dimension we get $\rho_1(s) = \rho_2(s)$ in the Landau gauge. We obtain the full propagator in the dispersion integral

$$S_\eta(p) = \int_1^\infty ds \frac{\gamma \cdot p \rho_1(s, \eta) + m\rho_2(s, \eta)}{p^2 - m^2s}\quad (65)$$

$$S(p) = \frac{RD\gamma \cdot p}{p^2} \left(I(a, b; c; 1; 0) - I(a, b; c; 1; \frac{p^2}{m^2}) - \frac{RE}{m} R_2EI(2-\alpha, 2-\beta; c; 1; \frac{p^2}{m^2}) \right),\quad (66)$$

where

$$c = 2\chi(\eta - 3), D = \frac{\Gamma(2 - a - b)}{\Gamma(2 - a)\Gamma(2 - b)}, E = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta - 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}.$$

We have used the basic integral

$$\begin{aligned} I(a, b, c; d; y) &= \int_0^\infty x^{c-1}(x+y)^{-d} F(a, b, c; -x) dx \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(a-c+d)\Gamma(b-c+d)}{\Gamma(a+b-c+d)\Gamma(d)} \\ &\quad \times F(a-c+d, b-c+d; a+b-c+d; 1-y). \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Here we study the weak coupling limit of the propagator as we formally define the free field limit. First the vector part we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(p)_V &= \int_1^\infty ds \left[\frac{F(a, b, c; 1-s)}{(p^2 - m^2 s)(s-1)^{1-c}} - (p^2 \rightarrow 0) \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-a-b)}{\Gamma(2-a)\Gamma(2-b)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{p^2} \int_0^\infty dx x^{c-1} \left[\left(x + 1 - \frac{p^2}{m^2}\right)^{-1} F(a, b, c; -x) - (p^2 \rightarrow 0) \right] \frac{\Gamma(2-a-b)}{\Gamma(2-a)\Gamma(2-b)} \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{p^2} \frac{\Gamma(2\chi(\eta-3))\Gamma(1-2\chi(\eta-3))}{\Gamma(2)} \left[1 - F\left(2-2\chi\eta, 1+6\chi; 2; \frac{p^2}{m^2}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{p^2} \Gamma(2\chi(\eta-3))\Gamma(1-2\chi(\eta-3)) \left[1 - F\left(2, 1; 2; \frac{p^2}{m^2}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2\chi(\eta-3))\Gamma(1-2\chi(\eta-3))}{p^2 - m^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

The scalar part is

$$\begin{aligned} S(p)_S &= \int_1^\infty ds \frac{mF(2-\alpha, 2-\beta; c; 1-s)}{(p^2 - m^2 s)(s-1)^{1+2\chi(3-\eta)}} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta - 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \\ &= \frac{-m}{m^2} \int_0^\infty dx x^{c-1} \left(x + 1 - \frac{p^2}{m^2}\right)^{-1} F(2-\alpha, 2-\beta; c; -x) \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta - 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{m} \Gamma(2\chi(\eta-3))\Gamma(1-2\chi(\eta-3)) F\left(2+6\chi, 1-2\chi\eta; 2; \frac{p^2}{m^2}\right) \\ &\rightarrow \frac{\Gamma(2\chi(\eta-3))\Gamma(1-2\chi(\eta-3))}{p^2 - m^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

for weak coupling limit and it behaves as the free propagator. Next we examine the renormalization constants in this approximation by going to asymptotic values of p in (68), (69) or else from the formal expression for the

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2^{-1} &= \int \rho_1(s) ds = R \frac{\Gamma(2-a-b)}{\Gamma(2-a)\Gamma(2-b)} \int_1^\infty \frac{F(a, b, c; 1-s)}{s(s-1)^{-c+1}} ds \\ &= R \Gamma(2\chi(\eta-3))\Gamma(1-2\chi(\eta-3)) \rightarrow 1 \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

for small χ , then we choose the normalization constant as $R = 2\chi(\eta - 3)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 Z_2^{-1} &= m \int \rho_2(s) ds = m R \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta - 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_1^\infty \frac{F(2 - \alpha, 2 - \beta; c; 1 - s)}{(s - 1)^{c-1}} ds \\ &= \lim_{d \rightarrow 0} I(2 - \alpha, 2 - \beta; c; d; y) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

It is not clear that the use of the formula (67) with limiting case $d = 0$ for m_0 is correct or not [8]. In the high energy limit propagator behaves as a free one in the weak coupling, we determine two quantities from the $S(p)_V$ and $S(p)_S$. Then it reads

$$S(p)_V = \frac{\Gamma(1 + 2\chi(\eta - 3))\Gamma(1 - 2\chi(\eta - 3))}{p^2} [1 - F(2 - 2\chi\eta, 1 + 6\chi; 2; \frac{p^2}{m^2})]. \quad (72)$$

This shows the residue of inverse power of p^2 up to normalization constant R

$$Z_2^{-1} = \Gamma(1 + 2\chi(\eta - 3))\Gamma(1 - 2\chi(\eta - 3)). \quad (73)$$

$$S(p)_S = \frac{\Gamma(1 + 2\chi(\eta - 3))\Gamma(1 - 2\chi(\eta - 3))}{m} F(2 + 6\chi, 1 - 2\chi\eta; 2; \frac{p^2}{m^2}). \quad (74)$$

Let us expand this in inverse powers of p^2 . The results is

$$\begin{aligned} S(p)_S &= \frac{\Gamma(1 + 2\chi(\eta - 3))\Gamma(1 - 2\chi(\eta - 3))}{m} \\ &\times \left[\frac{\Gamma(1 + 2\chi(\eta + 3))}{\Gamma(2 + 6\chi)\Gamma(1 + 2\chi\eta)} \left(-\frac{m^2}{p^2}\right)^{1-2\chi\eta} F(1 - 2\chi\eta, -2\chi\eta; 2 + 2\chi(\eta + 3); \frac{m^2}{p^2}) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\Gamma(-1 - 2\chi(\eta + 3))}{\Gamma(1 - 2\chi\eta)\Gamma(-6\chi)} \left(-\frac{m^2}{p^2}\right)^{2+6\chi} F(2 + 6\chi, 1 + 6\chi; -2\chi(\eta + 3); \frac{m^2}{p^2}) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

From this form we find that the first term corresponds to free field

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p^2 \rightarrow \infty} [p^2 S(p)_S] &= m_0 Z_2^{-1} = m \frac{\Gamma(1 - 2\chi(\eta - 3))\Gamma(1 + 2\chi(\eta - 3))\Gamma(1 + 2\chi(\eta + 3))}{\Gamma(1 + 2\chi\eta)\Gamma(2 + 6\chi)} \\ &\times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \eta = 0 \\ 0 & \eta < 0 \\ \infty & \eta > 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

for small χ . The second case in the parenthesis corresponds to dynamical mass generation. In Ref [8], the relation between the vanishment of the bare fermion mass and dynamical chiral symmetry breaking was discussed in QED and QCD. In both cases $m_0 Z_2^{-1} = 0$ was explicitly shown using renormalization group equations for the propagator;

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 Z_2^{-1} &= \lim_{p^2 \rightarrow \infty} p^2 S(p)_S = m \int \rho_2(s) ds = 0, \\ \frac{m_0}{m} &= \frac{\int \rho_2(s) ds}{\int \rho_1(s) ds} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

where the existence of the ultraviolet stagnant point was assumed in QED;

$$\lim_{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} g(\kappa) = g_\infty, \beta(g_\infty) = 0, \beta'(g_\infty) < 0. \quad (78)$$

We considered the infrared and ultraviolet boundary conditions. The spectral functions satisfying boundary conditions are

$$\rho_1(s) = R \frac{\Gamma(2-a-b)}{\Gamma(2-a)\Gamma(2-b)} \frac{F(a,b; 2\chi(\eta-3); 1-s)}{s(s-1)^{1+2\chi(3-\eta)}}, \quad (79)$$

$$\rho_2(s) = R \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta-2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{F(2-\alpha, 2-\beta; 2\chi(\eta-3); 1-s)}{(s-1)^{1+2\chi(3-\eta)}}. \quad (80)$$

It is clear that the infrared behaviour is the same as Bloch-Nordsieck Model and in any gauge the spectral functions are normalizable for weak coupling in a sense of analytic continuation. Here we show the results in the Gauge Technique solution in the Landau gauge;

$$\rho(s) = \frac{2\epsilon R(\epsilon)}{m(s-1)^{1+2\epsilon}} \left[\frac{1}{w} F(-\epsilon, -\epsilon; -2\epsilon; 1-s) + F(-\epsilon, 1-\epsilon; -2\epsilon; 1-s) \right] \quad (81)$$

with $\epsilon = 3e^2/16\pi^2$, $s = (w/m)^2$ and $R(\epsilon)$ is the normalization factor[7]. Notice that first term corresponds to ρ_1 (odd in w) and second term corresponds to ρ_2 (even in w). Next we examine the pair condensation. The vacuum expectation value $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$ is proportional to

$$-itrS(x) = 4 \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} S(p)_S = -4C \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \int_1^\infty ds \frac{m\rho_2(s)}{p^2 + m^2s}. \quad (82)$$

In equations (59),(62) spectral functions in the Landau gauge have a simple form

$$\rho_1(s) = \rho_2(s) = \frac{C}{s(s-1)^{1+6\chi}}. \quad (83)$$

We change the variable $s \rightarrow 1/s$, and get

$$\rho_2(s) = -\frac{6\chi s^{6\chi}}{(1-s)^{1+6\chi}}, Z_2^{-1} = \int_0^1 \rho_1(s) ds = \Gamma(1+6\chi)\Gamma(1-6\chi). \quad (84)$$

Then we obtain the propagator

$$\begin{aligned} S(p) &= -\frac{1}{m^2} \int_0^1 \frac{(\gamma \cdot p + m)s\rho_2(s)}{sp^2 - m^2} ds \\ &= -\frac{(\gamma \cdot p + m)6\pi\chi(1+6\chi)}{m^2 \sin(6\pi\chi)} F(1, 2+6\chi; 2; \frac{p^2}{m^2}) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1+6\chi)\Gamma(1-6\chi)(\gamma \cdot p + m)}{p^2} \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{p^2}{m^2}\right)^{-1-6\chi}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

In this form bare mass and renormalization constant are

$$m_0 Z_2^{-1} = m\Gamma(1+6\chi)\Gamma(1-6\chi), Z_2^{-1} = \Gamma(1+6\chi)\Gamma(1-6\chi). \quad (86)$$

If we use dimensional regularization

$$\int \frac{d^D p}{(2\pi)^D} \frac{1}{(p^2 + L)^a} = \frac{\Gamma(a - \frac{D}{2})}{(4\pi)^{\frac{D}{2}} \Gamma(a)} L^{\frac{D}{2}-a}, D = 4 - 2\epsilon, a = 1, \quad (87)$$

we can avoid quadratic and infrared divergence and can evaluate the finite answer

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle &= -itrS(x) = -4 \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \int_1^\infty ds \frac{m\rho_2(s)}{p^2 + m^2 s} \\ &= 4 \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Gamma(-1 + \epsilon)}{16\pi^2} \int_1^\infty s^{1-\epsilon} m^3 \rho_2(s) ds \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{m^3 \Gamma(-1 + \epsilon) \Gamma(2 + 6\chi + \epsilon) \Gamma(1 - 6\chi)}{4\pi^2 \Gamma(\epsilon)} = -\frac{m^3}{4\pi^2} \Gamma(2 + 6\chi) \Gamma(1 - 6\chi). \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

In general case if we apply the same formula (67), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta - 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_1^\infty \frac{s^{1-\epsilon} F(2 - \alpha, 2 - \beta; 2\chi(\eta - 3); 1 - s) ds}{(s - 1)^{1+2\chi(3-\eta)}} \\ = R \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta - 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^\infty x^{2\chi(\eta-3)-1} (x+1)^{1-\epsilon} F(2 - \alpha, 2 - \beta; 2\chi(\eta - 3); -x) dx \\ = \frac{\Gamma(1 + 2\chi(\eta - 3)) \Gamma(6\chi + \epsilon) \Gamma(\epsilon - 1 - 2\chi\eta)}{\Gamma(\epsilon) \Gamma(\epsilon - 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

Thus the naive limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ leads $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle = 0$ except for $\eta = 0$. In the Landau gauge the result coincides the previous case. This result is suspicious for us too. Therefore we integrate explicitly in momentum space by changing variable $x \rightarrow -x$ and get

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} S(ip)_S \simeq \int_0^\infty x F(a, b; 2; -x) dx = 0, \quad (90)$$

here we use the formula

$$\int_0^\infty x^{-s-1} F(a, b; c; -x) dx = \frac{\Gamma(a+s)\Gamma(b+s)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(-s)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+s)}. \quad (91)$$

If $c = 2$ and $s = -2$ it yields the same result with (71). Here we summarise our calculus of the vacuum expectation value.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle &= \text{finite}; m_0 Z_2^{-1} \neq 0, \eta = 0 \\ &= 0; m_0 Z_2^{-1} = 0, \infty, \eta \neq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

In the usual treatment of dynamical mass generation, if the bare mass vanishes order parameter becomes finite but not zero. In that case the Dyson-Schwinger equation is non-linear and non-trivial mass function (*i.e.* scalar part of the propagator) made it convergent and finite. In our model non-trivial propagator does not necessary leads to non-vanishing vacuum expectation value.

4 Improved Vertex function

Direct substitution of our solution $\rho(w)$ into equation (8), gives the zeroth order vertex function,

$$S(p)\Gamma_\mu^{(0)}S(p') = \int dw \rho(w) \frac{1}{\gamma \cdot p - w} \gamma_\mu \frac{1}{\gamma \cdot p' - w}. \quad (93)$$

We may improve the vertex by adding transverse combinations of terms such as

$$[(p_\mu \gamma \cdot p' + p'_\mu \gamma \cdot p - p \cdot p' \gamma_\mu) + ((p + p')_\mu + i(p' - p)_\alpha \epsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu} \gamma_\nu)w + w^2 \gamma_\mu]$$

in the numerator of the integral, allowing for a parity violating contribution. One may also include a form factor proportional to a linear combination of γ_μ and $(p + p')_\mu$ and try to ensure that on-shell quantities are gauge invariant.

5 Summary

To solve the Dyson-Schwinger equation in QED in four and three dimension, gauge technique has been used[1],[4]. In the annalysis in four dimension, it seems correct in the infrared but one is suspicious to other kinematical region and to its restriction only in the Landau gauge. For example in the Yennie gauge there is no solution due to the ultraviolet divergences. In three dimension the difficulty of infrared divergences had been suggested to modify the photon propagator or the introduction of Chern-Simons term. However the latter violates parity and is induced by quantum loop correction. Previously, to soften the infrared divergence, massless fermions were introduced into photon vacuum polarization[1] but in that case no renormalization of the self energy was done. The main difficulty in that case is the use of the variable ω^2 for the spectral function $\rho(\omega^2)$ in spite of the dimension-full coupling constant e^2 . In this work we have instead analyzed the quenched case with renormalization that leads to avoid the infrared singularity. We estimated the solution of the spectral function $\rho(\omega)$ in the Landau gauge; these are simple functions like in QED_{3+1} [3]. The infrared behaviour in the arbitrary gauge is also determined. The gauge dependence near the mass shell is similar to that in QED_{3+1} thanks to the renormalization; $\rho(\omega) \simeq (\omega - 1)^{-1+\chi(2-\eta)}$. There is some difference in the infrared behaviour between our method and gauge technique. The latter show the gauge independence near the threshold. Here we summarize the differences between two approximations. The former shows the high energy behaviour of the spectral function is

$1/p^2$ and the cut structure near the mass shell is given by massless fermion-loop; $\rho(p) = (p - m)^{-1 - e^2/c\pi^2}$ for $p - m \ll e^2$ and $c = e^2 N/8$ for N massless fermions; the integral equation for $\rho(\omega)$ was not solved analytically because of its complexity due to higher order corrections. In our approximation the high energy behaviour is $1/p^3$ for ρ , and the structure near the mass shell is determined by coupling constant mass ratio $\chi = e^2/(8\pi m)$. Fermion antifermion pair condensation parameter is gauge invariant but approximation makes it not. The order parameter is finite if we remove the linear ultraviolet divergence and vanishes in the weak coupling limit with finite bare mass in our approximation. Both in three and four dimension, we find the non-linear relation between bare mass and coupling constant. They differ from the solution of gap equation in super conductivity. Since QED_{2+1} is super renormalizable, ordinary renormalization group is not relevant, but the gauge covariant approximation is non-perturbative, and we have succeeded in finding the infrared behaviour of the fermion propagator. In four dimension there exists analytic solutions for the spectral functions which satisfy the boundary condition for arbitrary gauge instead gauge technique admits them for the Landau gauge. Both of them show the well known infrared behaviour as Bloch-Nordsieck. Without use gauge technique $\gamma \cdot p = p$, ultraviolet divergence is absent for arbitrary gauge in the equation for the spectral functions. The vanishment of bare fermion mass occurs in the negative gauge parameter η in our quenched approximation. The vacuum expectation value $\langle \bar{\psi}\psi \rangle$ is shown to be finite which is different from the quenched ladder approximation in the Landau gauge[4].

6 Acknowledgement

The author is indebted to Prof. Delbourgo during his stay in March 2000 at the University of Tasmania for his hospitality and stimulating comments. He also thanks to Dr. Suzuki at Hokkaido University for his careful comments and discussion.

7 References

- [1] R. Jakiw, S. Templeton, Phys. Rev. **23D**.2291(1981);
T. Appelquist, D. Nash, L. C. R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**(1988)2575;
A. B. Waites, R. Delbourgo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **27A**(1992)6857;
Y. Hoshino, T. Matsuyama, Phys. Lett. **222B**(1989)493.
- [2] D. Atkinson, D. W. E. Blatt, Nucl. Phys. **151B**(1979)342;
P. Maris, Phys. Rev. **52D**(1995)6087; Y. Hoshino, Nuovo Cim. **112A**(1999)335.
- [3] F. Bloch, A. Nordsieck, Phys. Rev. **52**(1937)54; Abrikosov, A. A., JETP, **30**,96(1956);
R. Delbourgo, P. West, J. Phys. **10A**(1977)1049; R. Delbourgo, Phys. Lett. **72B**(1977)96.
- [4] T. Maskawa, H. Nakajima, Prog. Theor. Phys. **52**(1974)1326,
Prog. Theor. Phys. **54**(1975)860; R. Fukuda, T. Kugo, Nucl. Phys. **B117**(1976)250,
H. D. Politzer, Nucl. Phys. **B117**(1976)397.

- [5] S. Deser, R. Jackiw, S. Templeton, *Ann. Phys.* **140**(1982)372.
[6] C.J. Burden, J. Praschifka, C.D. Roberts, *Phys. Rev.* **46D**(1992)2695;
L.D. Landau, I.M. Kharatonikov, *Zk. Eksp. Theor. Fiz.* **29**.89(1958);
B. Zumino, *J. Math. Phys.* **1**(1960)1;
Landau, Lifshits "Quantum Electrodynamics", Butterworth Heinemann.
[7] R. Delbourgo, *IL Nuovo Cim.* **49A**(1979)485.
[8] R. Delbourgo, B.W. Keck; *J. Phys. G* **6**(1980)275;
K. Nishijima, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **81**(1989)878
[9] in H. Nakajima "Introduction to Superconductivity", Baihukan in Japanese.
[10] in V A Miransky "Dynamical Symmetry Breaking in Quantum Field Theo-
ries", World Scien