

Nonperturbative Fermion-Boson Vortex Function in Gauge Theories

Han-xin He *

*China Institute of Atomic Energy, P.O.Box 275(18), Beijing 102413, P.R.China
and Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica, Beijing 100080, P.R.China*

Abstract

The nonperturbative fermion-boson vertex function in four-dimensional Abelian gauge theories is self-consistently and exactly derived in terms of a complete set of normal (longitudinal) and transverse Ward-Takahashi relations for the fermion-boson and the axial-vector vertices in the case of massless fermion, in which the possible quantum anomalies and perturbative corrections are taken into account simultaneously. We find that this nonperturbative fermion-boson vertex function is expressed nonperturbatively in terms of the full fermion propagator and contains the contributions of the transverse axial anomaly and perturbative corrections. The result that the transverse axial anomaly contributes to the nonperturbative fermion-boson vertex arises from the coupling between the fermion-boson and the axial-vector vertices through the transverse Ward-Takahashi relations for them and is a consequence of gauge invariance.

Keywords: Nonperturbative vertex; Ward-Takahashi relations; Anomaly

* E-mail: hxhe@iris.ciae.ac.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the interactions determine the structure and properties of any theory, and the basic interactions are described by the interaction vertices. The fermion-boson vertex in gauge theories is such basic interaction. In perturbation theory employed for the processes in which the interactions are weak the interaction vertices are well defined and can be computed order by order. On the other hand, the strong interaction phenomena such as the hadronic structure at low-energy scale and the nature of confinement in QCD can only be studied by nonperturbative approach. The Dyson-Schwinger equation(DSE) formalism[1] is such an appropriate nonperturbative approach, which provides a natural way to study the dynamical chiral symmetry breaking, confinement and other problems of hadronic physics[2]. In undertaking nonperturbative studies of gauge theories using DSEs, we immediately have to confront the issue of what is the nonperturbative form of the fermion-boson vertex function. This is because the DSEs are an infinite set of coupled integral equations which relate the n -point Green function to the $(n+1)$ -point function; at its simplest, propagators are related to three-point vertices. Therefore, we must find an adequate scheme in a gauge-invariant manner to truncate consistently this set of equations so that we are able to actually solve the DSEs. Finding the nonperturbative form of the fermion-boson vertex is needed to accomplish such truncation of the infinite set of equations, as well as is responsible for determining the nonperturbative behavior of the propagators. Indeed, recent studies utilising the DSE approach show that the chiral symmetry restoration transition is strongly dependent on the nonperturbative structure of the interaction kernel in the infrared region[3].

Naturally, the basic approach to find the nonperturbative fermion-boson vertex is to use the Ward-Takahashi(WT) relations[4] since the normal WT relation for the vertex relates the fermion-boson vertex (i.e. the vector vertex) to the full fermion propagator, which is satisfied perturbatively as well as nonperturbatively. But the normal WT relation specifies only the longitudinal part of the vertex, leaving the transverse part unconstrained. How to solve exactly the transverse part of the vertex and thereby the full vertex function then becomes a crucial problem [2,5]. Although there have been considerable efforts to construct the transverse part of the vertex in terms of an ansatz which satisfies some constraints[5], however, all such attempts remain *ad hoc* [2]. These constraints may serve as a guide to possible structure of nonperturbative vertex but can not derive the true nonperturbative vertex. Obviously the key point to obtain the complete constraints on the vertex must be to study the WT type constraint relations for the transverse part of the vertex, i.e., the transverse WT relations[6,7,8], since the longitudinal part of the vertex is specified by the normal WT relation. In Ref.[8], we studied the transverse WT relation for the fermion-boson vertex. It showed that in order to obtain the complete solution for the fermion-boson vertex function one needs to build simultaneously the WT relations for the axial-vector and the tensor vertex functions as well. Up to the effects of possible quantum anomalies and perturbative corrections, this problem was solved by He in Ref.[9]. However, the symmetry in the classical theory may be destroyed in the quantum theory by the quantum anomaly arising from the fermion-loop diagram. The well-known example is the anomalous nonconservation of the four-dimensional axial current, expressed by the anomalous axial-vector divergence equation, which is known as the axial anomaly or the Adler-Bell-Jackiw(ABJ) anomaly

[10]. Hence the normal WT relation for the axial-vector vertex is modified by ABJ anomaly. Recently we found the anomalous axial-vector curl equation besides the ABJ anomaly, which is called the transverse axial anomaly[11]. As a consequence, the transverse WT relation for the axial-vector vertex is modified by the transverse axial anomaly.

In this Letter we further derive the nonperturbative fermion-boson vertex function in four-dimensional Abelian gauge theories by self-consistently solving a set of normal and transverse WT relations for the vector and the axial-vector vertex functions in momentum space in the case of massless fermion without any ansatz, in which the possible quantum anomalies and perturbative corrections are taken into account simultaneously. The error arose due to the inconsistent treatment in the previous study of the transverse part of the fermion-boson vertex[9] is also corrected by fully self-consistent procedure.

The result shows that the full fermion-boson vertex function is expressed nonperturbatively in terms of the full fermion propagator and contains the contributions of perturbative corrections and the transverse axial anomaly. Such a full fermion-boson vertex function is true nonperturbatively as well as perturbatively because it is determined completely by the longitudinal and transverse WT relations which are satisfied nonperturbatively as well as perturbatively. It is particular interesting to find that the nonperturbative fermion-boson vertex function contains the contribution of the transverse axial anomaly that appears originally in the transverse WT relation for the axial-vector vertex, which has never been obtained so far by the normal perturbative calculation for the vector vertex. This result arises from the coupling between the vector and the axial-vector vertices through the transverse WT relations for them. We notice that the WT relations and the appearance of quantum anomalies are the consequences of local gauge invariance. Thus, the nonperturbative fermion-gauge-boson vertex function which are deduced exactly from the WT relations maintains the gauge invariance.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.II, we present a set of normal and transverse WT relations for the vector and the axial-vector vertex functions, where the possible quantum anomalies and perturbative corrections are taken into account simultaneously. Based on this set of WT relations, in sec.II we derive the nonperturbative fermion-boson vertex function in the case of massless fermion by fully self-consistent procedure. Conclusions and discussions are given in Sec.IV.

II. NORMAL AND TRANSVERSE WARD-TAKAHASHI RELATIONS FOR THE VECTOR AND THE AXIAL-VECTOR VERTEX FUNCTIONS

The normal (longitudinal) WT identities for the vector and the axial-vector vertex functions in momentum space are well-known :

$$q_\mu \Gamma_V^\mu(p_1, p_2) = S_F^{-1}(p_1) - S_F^{-1}(p_2), \quad (1)$$

$$q_\mu \Gamma_A^\mu(p_1, p_2) = S_F^{-1}(p_1)\gamma_5 + \gamma_5 S_F^{-1}(p_2) + 2m\Gamma_5(p_1, p_2) + i\frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}F(p_1, p_2), \quad (2)$$

where $q = p_1 - p_2$, m is the fermion mass, Γ_V^μ , Γ_A^μ , and Γ_5 are the vector, the axial-vector, and the pseudo-scalar vertex functions in momentum space, respectively, and $S_F(p_1)$ is the

full fermion propagator. $F(p_1, p_2)$ denotes the contribution of the axial anomaly or the ABJ anomaly[10] in momentum space, and is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d^4x d^4x_1 d^4x_2 e^{i(p_1 \cdot x_1 - p_2 \cdot x_2 - q \cdot x)} \langle 0 | T \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F_{\rho\sigma}(x) | 0 \rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 - p_2 - q) i S_F(p_1) F(p_1, p_2) i S_F(p_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The normal WT identities (1) and (2) specify only the longitudinal parts of the vector and the axial-vector vertices, respectively, leaving the transverse parts unconstrained. In coordinate space they are related to the divergence of the time-ordered products of the three-point Green functions involving the corresponding current operators.

In the canonical field theory the transverse WT relations for the vector and the axial-vector vertices in coordinate space are related to the curl of the time-ordered products of the three-point functions involving the vector and the axial-vector current operators, respectively[8,9]. The results are

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_x^\mu \langle 0 | T j^\nu(x) \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) | 0 \rangle - \partial_x^\nu \langle 0 | T j^\mu(x) \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) | 0 \rangle \\ &= i \sigma^{\mu\nu} \langle 0 | T \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) | 0 \rangle \delta^4(x_1 - x) + i \langle 0 | T \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) | 0 \rangle \sigma^{\mu\nu} \delta^4(x_2 - x) \\ &+ 2m \langle 0 | T \bar{\psi}(x) \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi(x) \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) | 0 \rangle \\ &+ \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} i (\partial_\lambda^x - \partial_\lambda^{x'}) \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \langle 0 | T \bar{\psi}(x') \gamma_\rho \gamma_5 U_P(x', x) \psi(x) \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) | 0 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_x^\mu \langle 0 | T j_5^\nu(x) \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) | 0 \rangle - \partial_x^\nu \langle 0 | T j_5^\mu(x) \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) | 0 \rangle \\ &= i \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \langle 0 | T \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) | 0 \rangle \delta^4(x_1 - x) - i \langle 0 | T \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) | 0 \rangle \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \delta^4(x_2 - x) \\ &+ \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} i (\partial_\lambda^x - \partial_\lambda^{x'}) \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \langle 0 | T \bar{\psi}(x') \gamma_\rho U_P(x', x) \psi(x) \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) | 0 \rangle \\ &+ \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \langle 0 | T \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) [\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\rho} F_{\alpha\beta}(x) F_\rho^\nu(x) - \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\nu\rho} F_{\alpha\beta}(x) F_\rho^\mu(x)] | 0 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $j^\mu(x) = \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x)$, $j_5^\mu(x) = \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \psi(x)$, $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$. The Wilson line $U_P(x', x) = P \exp(-ig \int_{x'}^{x'} dy^\rho A_\rho(y))$ is introduced in order that the operators be locally gauge invariant, where A_μ is the gauge fields. In the QED case, $g = e$ and A_ρ is the photon field. In the QCD case, $A_\rho = A_\rho^a T^a$, A_ρ^a are the non-Abelian gluon fields and T^a are the generators of $SU(3)_c$ group. The last term of Eq.(5) is the contribution of the transverse axial anomaly expressed by the anomalous axial-vector curl equation[11]

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial^\mu j_5^\nu(x) - \partial^\nu j_5^\mu(x) \\ &= \lim_{x' \rightarrow x} i (\partial_\lambda^x - \partial_\lambda^{x'}) \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \bar{\psi}(x') \gamma_\rho U_P(x', x) \psi(x) \\ &+ \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} [\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\rho} F_{\alpha\beta}(x) F_\rho^\nu(x) - \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\nu\rho} F_{\alpha\beta}(x) F_\rho^\mu(x)], \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where the last term is the transverse axial anomaly for the curl of the axial-vector current, which is different from the ABJ anomaly expressed by the anomalous axial-vector divergence equation[10].

The transverse W-T relations for the vector and the axial-vector vertex functions can be written in more clear and elegant form in momentum space by computing the Fourier transformation of Eqs.(4) and (5). In terms of the standard definition for the Fourier transformation of the three-point functions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & iq^\mu \Gamma_V^\nu(p_1, p_2) - iq^\nu \Gamma_V^\mu(p_1, p_2) \\ &= S_F^{-1}(p_1) \sigma^{\mu\nu} + \sigma^{\mu\nu} S_F^{-1}(p_2) + 2m \Gamma_T^{\mu\nu}(p_1, p_2) \\ &+ (p_{1\lambda} + p_{2\lambda}) \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \Gamma_{A\rho}(p_1, p_2) - \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} 2k_\lambda \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \Gamma_{A\rho}(p_1, p_2; k), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & iq^\mu \Gamma_A^\nu(p_1, p_2) - iq^\nu \Gamma_A^\mu(p_1, p_2) \\ &= S_F^{-1}(p_1) \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 - \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 S_F^{-1}(p_2) + (p_{1\lambda} + p_{2\lambda}) \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \Gamma_{V\rho}(p_1, p_2) \\ &- \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} 2k_\lambda \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \Gamma_{V\rho}(p_1, p_2; k) + \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} F_{(T)}^{\mu\nu}(p_1, p_2), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $q = p_1 - p_2$, $\Gamma_T^{\mu\nu}$ is the tensor vertex function in momentum space. $F_{(T)}^{\mu\nu}(p_1, p_2)$ denotes the contribution of the transverse axial anomaly in momentum space, and is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int d^4 x d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 e^{i(p_1 \cdot x_1 - p_2 \cdot x_2 - q \cdot x)} \langle 0 | T \psi(x_1) \bar{\psi}(x_2) [\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\rho} F_\rho^\nu(x) - \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\nu\rho} F_\rho^\mu(x)] F_{\alpha\beta}(x) | 0 \rangle \\ &= (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 - p_2 - q) i S_F(p_1) F_{(T)}^{\mu\nu}(p_1, p_2) i S_F(p_2). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Note that here $F_{(T)}^{\mu\nu}(p_1, p_2)$ is equal to $K^{\mu\nu}(p_1, p_2)$ defined in Ref.[11]. In Eqs.(7) and (8), $\Gamma_{V\rho}(p_1, p_2; k)$ and $\Gamma_{A\rho}(p_1, p_2; k)$ involve the internal momentum k of the gauge boson appearing in the Wilson line and hence are the higher-order contributions of $\Gamma_{V\rho}(p_1, p_2)$ and $\Gamma_{A\rho}(p_1, p_2)$, respectively. Their contributions were neglected in Refs.[8,9]. The integrals in Eqs.(7) and (8) can be written order by order. For example, to the one-loop order the integral in Eq.(7) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} 2k_\lambda \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \Gamma_{A\rho}(p_1, p_2; k) \\ &= g^2 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} 2k_\lambda \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \gamma^\alpha \frac{1}{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{k} - m} \gamma^\rho \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\hat{p}_2 - \hat{k} - m} \gamma^\beta \frac{-i}{k^2} [g_{\alpha\beta} + (\xi - 1) \frac{k_\alpha k_\beta}{k^4}] \\ &+ g^2 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} 2\varepsilon^{\alpha\mu\nu\rho} [\gamma^\beta \frac{1}{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{k} - m} \gamma^\rho \gamma_5 + \gamma^\rho \gamma_5 \frac{1}{\hat{p}_2 - \hat{k} - m} \gamma^\beta] \frac{-i}{k^2} [g_{\alpha\beta} + (\xi - 1) \frac{k_\alpha k_\beta}{k^4}], \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $\hat{k} = \gamma \cdot k$, and ξ is the covariant gauge parameter. The last two terms in the right-hand side of Eq.(10) are the one-loop self-energy contributions accompanying the vertex correction. The integral in Eq.(8) can be written similarly. Therefore, the terms involving the integrals over the internal momentum k correspond to the perturbative corrections to the vertices. It can be verified that the transverse WT relations (7) and (8) are satisfied at one-loop order in perturbation theory, and then can be further verified that they should be satisfied at each order in perturbation theory since the perturbative correction terms in the WT relations (7) and (8) can be written order by order.

It is easy to show that Eqs.(7) and (8) specify the transverse parts of the vertices [8,9]. Eqs.(7) and (8) show that the transverse parts of the vector and the axial-vector vertex functions are coupled each other through the transverse WT relations for them. It implies that the transverse parts of the vector and the axial-vector vertex functions are not independent of each other in four-dimensional spacetime. Thus, some properties of the axial-vector vertex function, for instance, the transverse axial anomaly that appears originally in the transverse WT relation for the axial-vector vertex, will also contribute to the vector vertex function.

Now we have the normal WT identities (1) and (2), which impose the constraints on longitudinal parts of the vector and the axial-vector vertex functions, respectively, and the transverse WT relations (7) and (8), which impose the constraints on transverse parts of these vertex functions. In general, this set of WT relations are not enough to determine the complete solutions of the vector and the axial-vector vertex functions because this set of WT relations involve the terms $m\Gamma_S$ and $m\Gamma_T^{\mu\nu}$ where m is fermion mass. However, in the limit with zero fermion mass, the contributions from the pseudo-scalar and the tensor vertex functions disappear and hence Eqs.(1), (2), (7) and (8) form a complete set of WT relations for the vector and the axial-vector vertices. Then we can solve this set of WT relations to obtain the full vector and the axial-vector vertex functions in terms of the fermion propagator and perturbative corrections.

III. NONPERTURBATIVE FERMION-BOSON VERTEX FUNCTIONS

We now derive the full fermion-boson vertex function Γ_V^μ by consistently and exactly solving the set of WT relations for the vector and the axial-vector vertex functions, given by Eqs.(1), (2), (7) and (8), in the case of massless fermion. To do this, we multiply both sides of Eqs.(7) and (8) by iq_ν , and then move the terms proportional to $q_\nu\Gamma_V^\nu$ and $q_\nu\Gamma_A^\nu$ into the right-hand side of the equations. We thus have

$$q^2\Gamma_V^\mu(p_1, p_2) = q^\mu[q_\nu\Gamma_V^\nu(p_1, p_2)] + iS_F^{-1}(p_1)q_\nu\sigma^{\mu\nu} + iq_\nu\sigma^{\mu\nu}S_F^{-1}(p_2) \\ + i(p_{1\lambda}p_{2\lambda})q_\nu\varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho}\Gamma_{A\rho}(p_1, p_2) - iq_\nu\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}2k_\lambda\varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho}\Gamma_{A\rho}(p_1, p_2; k), \quad (11)$$

$$q^2\Gamma_A^\mu(p_1, p_2) = q^\mu[q_\nu\Gamma_A^\nu(p_1, p_2)] + iS_F^{-1}(p_1)q_\nu\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5 - iq_\nu\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5S_F^{-1}(p_2) \\ + i(p_{1\lambda} + p_{2\lambda})q_\nu\varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho}\Gamma_{V\rho}(p_1, p_2) - iq_\nu\int\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}2k_\lambda\varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho}\Gamma_{V\rho}(p_1, p_2; k) \\ + i\frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}q_\nu F_{(T)}^{\mu\nu}(p_1, p_2). \quad (12)$$

By substituting Eq.(12) into Eq.(11) and using Eqs.(1) and (2), after some lengthy computation we obtain the following full fermion-boson vertex function in the case of massless fermion

$$\Gamma_V^\mu(p_1, p_2) = [q^2 + (p_1 + p_2)^2 - ((p_1 + p_2) \cdot q)^2 q^{-2}]^{-1} \\ \times \{[S_F^{-1}(p_1) - S_F^{-1}(p_2)][q^\mu + q^\mu(p_1 + p_2)^2 q^{-2} - q^\mu((p_1 + p_2) \cdot q)^2 q^{-4}]\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +iS_F^{-1}(p_1)\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu + i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu S_F^{-1}(p_2) \\
& +i[S_F^{-1}(p_1)\sigma^{\mu\lambda} - \sigma^{\mu\lambda}S_F^{-1}(p_2)](p_{1\lambda} + p_{2\lambda}) \\
& +i[S_F^{-1}(p_1)\sigma^{\lambda\nu} - \sigma^{\lambda\nu}S_F^{-1}(p_2)]q_\nu(p_{1\lambda} + p_{2\lambda})q^\mu q^{-2} \\
& -i[S_F^{-1}(p_1)\sigma^{\mu\nu} - \sigma^{\mu\nu}S_F^{-1}(p_2)]q_\nu(p_1 + p_2) \cdot qq^{-2} \\
& +i[S_F^{-1}(p_1)\sigma^{\lambda\nu} + \sigma^{\lambda\nu}S_F^{-1}(p_2)]q_\nu(p_{1\lambda} + p_{2\lambda})[p_1^\mu + p_2^\mu - q^\mu(p_1 + p_2) \cdot qq^{-2}]q^{-2} \\
& +C_{V+A}^\mu + (p_{1\nu} + p_{2\nu})C_{V+A}^\nu[p_1^\mu + p_2^\mu - q^\mu(p_1 + p_2) \cdot qq^{-2}]q^{-2} \\
& -\frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}(p_{1\lambda} + p_{2\lambda})q^{-2}q_\nu q^\sigma \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} F_{\rho\sigma}^{(T)}(p_1, p_2)\}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{V+A}^\mu &= (p_{1\lambda} + p_{2\lambda})q_\nu q_\alpha q^{-2} \varepsilon^{\rho\lambda\mu\nu} \varepsilon^{\rho\beta\alpha\delta} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} 2k_\beta \Gamma_{V\delta}(p_1, p_2; k) \\
& -iq_\nu \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} 2k_\lambda \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \Gamma_{A\rho}(p_1, p_2; k),
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where the terms involving C_{V+A}^μ arise from the perturbative corrections. The explicit form of C_{V+A}^μ can be written after performing the loop-integrals and the expression is rather lengthy, which will be discussed elsewhere. The last term of Eq.(13) is the contribution of the transverse axial anomaly. The coupling between the vector and the axial-vector vertices through the transverse WT relations for them, Eqs.(7) and (8), leads to that the transverse axial anomaly which appears originally in the transverse WT relations for the axial-vector vertex contributes to the fermion-boson (vector) vertex function.

We can also write the full fermion-boson vertex function as a sum of its longitudinal and transverse parts:

$$\Gamma_V^\mu(p_1, p_2) = \Gamma_{V(L)}^\mu(p_1, p_2) + \Gamma_{V(T)}^\mu(p_1, p_2) \tag{15}$$

with

$$\Gamma_{V(L)}^\mu(p_1, p_2) = q^{-2}q^\mu[S_F^{-1}(p_1) - S_F^{-1}(p_2)], \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{V(T)}^\mu(p_1, p_2) &= [q^2 + (p_1 + p_2)^2 - ((p_1 + p_2) \cdot q)^2 q^{-2}]^{-1} \\
& \times \{iS_F^{-1}(p_1)\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu + i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu S_F^{-1}(p_2) \\
& +i[S_F^{-1}(p_1)\sigma^{\mu\lambda} - \sigma^{\mu\lambda}S_F^{-1}(p_2)](p_{1\lambda} + p_{2\lambda}) \\
& +i[S_F^{-1}(p_1)\sigma^{\lambda\nu} - \sigma^{\lambda\nu}S_F^{-1}(p_2)]q_\nu(p_{1\lambda} + p_{2\lambda})q^\mu q^{-2} \\
& -i[S_F^{-1}(p_1)\sigma^{\mu\nu} - \sigma^{\mu\nu}S_F^{-1}(p_2)]q_\nu(p_1 + p_2) \cdot qq^{-2} \\
& +i[S_F^{-1}(p_1)\sigma^{\lambda\nu} + \sigma^{\lambda\nu}S_F^{-1}(p_2)]q_\nu(p_{1\lambda} + p_{2\lambda})[p_1^\mu + p_2^\mu - q^\mu(p_1 + p_2) \cdot qq^{-2}]q^{-2} \\
& +C_{V+A}^\mu + (p_{1\nu} + p_{2\nu})C_{V+A}^\nu[p_1^\mu + p_2^\mu - q^\mu(p_1 + p_2) \cdot qq^{-2}]q^{-2} \\
& -\frac{g^2}{16\pi^2}(p_{1\lambda} + p_{2\lambda})q^{-2}q_\nu q^\sigma \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} F_{\rho\sigma}^{(T)}(p_1, p_2)\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

We note that this result improves the previous expression given by Eq.(26) of Ref.[9] in following aspects: The contributions of the transverse axial anomaly and the perturbative

corrections denoted by C_{V+A}^μ are included. Besides, in the process of deriving Eq.(26) of Ref.[9] we used inconsistently the formula

$$(p_{1\mu} + p_{2\mu})\Gamma_V^\mu(p_1, p_2) = 2m\Gamma_S(p_1, p_2) + S_F^{-1}(p_1) + S_F^{-1}(p_2), \quad (18)$$

which led to the error in the expression of the transverse part of the fermion-boson vertex in the previous study[9]. This defect is overcome in present work by the self-consistent iteration procedure and now the result is corrected. In this paper, Eqs.(13) and (17) are derived exactly and self-consistently by means of WT relations (1), (2), (7) and (8) without any approximation.

The full fermion-boson vertex function now is expressed nonperturbatively in terms of the full fermion propagator and contains the contributions of the transverse axial anomaly and the perturbative corrections given by terms involving C_{V+A}^μ . This is the nonperturbative form of the fermion-boson vertex function because this vertex function is completely determined in terms of a set of WT relations which are true nonperturbatively.

Above results are given in the Abelian case. In QCD case we usually consider the three-point functions involving the current operators, $j^\mu(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^\mu\frac{\lambda^a}{2}\psi(x)$ and $j_5^\mu(x) = \bar{\psi}(x)\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\frac{\lambda^a}{2}\psi(x)$, respectively, where λ^a are the generators of flavour SU(n) group. In such case, for the axial currents of non-Abelian QCD, the anomaly equation should be the Abelian result, supplemented by the appropriate group theory factors. Besides, the relative results of present work will be modified by putting the generator factors into suitable position in each term of corresponding relations. For the case of effective QCD with Faddeev-Popov ghost fields, the transverse WT type relations need to be studied further.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this paper we have derived the nonperturbative form of the full fermion-boson vertex function in four-dimensional Abelian gauge theories in terms of a complete set of normal (longitudinal) and transverse WT relations for the vector and the axial-vector vertex functions in the case of massless fermion, in which the possible quantum anomalies and perturbative corrections have been taken into account simultaneously. The longitudinal part of the vertex is specified nonperturbatively by the normal WT relation for the vertex in terms of the fermion propagator, while the transverse part of the vertex is completely determined by a set of transverse WT relations for the vector and the axial-vector vertex functions (the longitudinal WT relations do not contribute to the transverse part of the vertex). The error arose from the inconsistent treatment in the previous study[9] of the fermion-boson vertex has also been corrected by fully self-consistent procedure.

The result shows that the full fermion-boson vertex function is expressed nonperturbatively in terms of the full fermion propagator and contains the contributions of the transverse axial anomaly and perturbative corrections. Such a full fermion-boson vertex function is true nonperturbatively as well as perturbatively because it is determined completely by the WT relations which are satisfied both nonperturbatively and perturbatively. It is easy to check that this vertex function has correct perturbative limit. Indeed, if the fermion propagator is taken as bare one and the effect of quantum anomaly is neglected, then the vertex given by

Eq.(13) is reduced to bare one, $\Gamma_V^\mu(p_1, p_2) \rightarrow \gamma^\mu$. The one-loop vertex function can be obtained by substituting the one-loop propagator and including the contributions of integrals over k shown in Eqs.(7) and (8), which will be discussed elsewhere.

The nonperturbative fermion-boson vertex function given by Eq.(13) or Eqs.(15)-(17) is written in the general form. In the practical application to the DSE for the fermion propagator, the full fermion propagator $S_F(p)$ is usually expressed nonperturbatively in terms of two Lorentz scalar functions, $F(p^2)$ the wavefunction renormalization and $M(p^2)$ the mass function, so that $S_F(p) = F(p^2)/[\gamma \cdot p - M(p^2)]$ ($S_F(p)$ can also be written in the way that $S_F^{-1}(p) = A(p^2)\gamma \cdot p - B(p^2)$). Substituting the expression of $S_F(p)$ into Eq.(13) or Eqs.(16)-(17), then the full fermion-boson vertex function can be expressed nonperturbatively in terms of $F(p^2)$ and $M(p^2)$ (or $A(p^2)$ and $B(p^2)$). Ball and Chiu have shown how to construct the longitudinal part of the vertex in a way free of kinematic singularities[5]. According to the way described by Ball and Chiu, in principle, there is no difficulty to rewrite the transverse part of the vertex in a manner free of kinematic singularities.

We note that, as is well-known, the WT relations are essential in the renormalization programme of any theory. In fact, one of the goals of the renormalization of the theory is precisely to transform the formal WT relations into relations among renormalized finite quantities. It has been verified[12] that the consequence of a symmetry in terms of WT relations is consistent with renormalization, and the renormalized Green functions satisfy the WT relations as a trivial consequence of multiplicative renormalizability. Therefore, the fermion-boson vertex determined completely in terms of the WT relations should be consistent with the multiplicative renormalizability.

It is particularly interesting to notice that the nonperturbative fermion-boson vertex function involves the contribution of the transverse axial anomaly that appears originally in the transverse WT relation for the axial-vector vertex. As we know, the normal perturbative calculation of the fermion-boson vertex has never led to such result. This result arises here due to the coupling between the transverse components of the vector and the axial-vector vertices through the transverse WT relations for them. Note that the appearance of the transverse axial anomaly and the ABJ anomaly is a consequence of local gauge invariance. On the other hand, it is well known that the WT relations are the fundamental consequence of local gauge invariance. Hence the appearance of the transverse axial anomaly in the nonperturbative fermion-boson vertex function is a natural consequence of gauge invariance. Thus, applying this nonperturbative fermion-boson vertex function, which are determined completely in terms of the WT relations, to the Dyson-Schwinger equations in Abelian theories will provide an adequate scheme for truncating the infinite set of coupled DSEs in a gauge-invariant manner. Up to the effects of quantum anomaly and the perturbative corrections given by the loop-integrals in Eqs.(7) and (8), the DSEs will form a self-consistent and closed system for the fermion and gauge-boson propagators but the local gauge invariance may be lost. The price of ensuring gauge invariance for truncating the infinite set of DSEs is the anomalous breaking of the closed DSE system for the full propagators. This situation seems to be very similar to that for the axial current, in which the price of requiring gauge invariance is the anomalous nonconservation of the axial current. This result may have an interesting implication for the DSE approach. It implies that the quantum anomaly may play a significant role in the nonperturbative studies of gauge theories using Dyson-Schwinger equation formalism, which involves a deeper aspect of the gauge theories

and needs to be studied further.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is very grateful to F.C.Khanna and Y.Takahashi for useful discussions. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos.19835010 and 10075081.

REFERENCES

- [1] F.J.Dyson, Phys.Rev.75(1949)1736; J.Schwinger, Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.37(1951)452.
- [2] A review for the Dyson-Schwinger equations and their application to hadronic physics was given by C.D.Roberts and A.G.Williams, Prog.Part.Nucl.Phys.33(1994)477, and references therein.
- [3] A.Bender, W.Detmold and A.W.Thomas, Phys.Lett.B516(2001)54.
- [4] J.Ward, Phys.Rev.78(1950)182; Y.Takahashi, Nuovo Cimento 6(1957)370.
- [5] J.S.Ball and T.W.Chieu, Phys.Rev.D22(1980)2542; D.C.Curtis and M.R.Pennington, Phys.Rev.D42(1990)4165; F.T.Hawes and A.G.Williams, Phys.Lett.B268(1991)271; Z.Dong, H.J.Munczek and C.D.Roberts, Phys.Lett.B333(1994)544; A.Bashir, A.Kizilersii and M.R.Pennington, Phys.Rev.D57(1998)1242.
- [6] Y.Takahashi, Phys.Rev.D15(1977)1589; also in Quantum Field Theory, F.Mancini(Ed), Elsevier Science Publisher, 1986, p.19.
- [7] K-I.Kondo, Int.J.Mod.Phys.12(1997)5651.
- [8] H.X.He, F.C.Khanna and Y.Takahashi, Phys.Lett.B 480(2000)222.
- [9] H.X.He, Phys.Rev.C63(2001)025207.
- [10] S.L.Adler, Phys.Rev.177(1969)2426; J.S.Bell and R.Jackiw, Nuovo Cimento 60A(1969)47.
- [11] H.X.He, Phys.Lett.B507(2001)351.
- [12] C.Itzykson and J.B.Zuber, Quantum Field Theory, McGraw-Hill, 1980, Chapter 8.