

What can we learn about Gribov copies from a formulation of QCD in terms of gauge-invariant fields?

Kurt Haller

Department of Physics, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269

We review the procedure by which we implemented the non-Abelian Gauss's law and constructed gauge-invariant fields for QCD in the temporal (Weyl) gauge. We point out that the operator-valued transformation that transforms gauge-dependent temporal-gauge fields into gauge-invariant ones has the formal structure of a gauge transformation. We express the "standard" Hamiltonian for temporal-gauge QCD entirely in terms of gauge-invariant fields, calculate the commutation rules for these fields, and compare them to earlier work on Coulomb-gauge QCD. We also discuss multiplicities of gauge-invariant temporal-gauge fields that belong to different topological sectors and that, in previous work, were shown to be based on the same underlying gauge-dependent temporal-gauge fields. We relate these multiplicities of gauge-invariant fields to Gribov copies. We argue that Gribov copies appear in the temporal gauge, but not when the theory is represented in terms of gauge-dependent fields and Gauss's law is left unimplemented. There *are* Gribov copies of the gauge-invariant gauge field, which can be constructed when Gauss's law is implemented.

1 Introduction

Quantum Chromodynamics can be quantized in the temporal (or Weyl) gauge (in which $A_0^a = 0$), in a number of ways. When the gauge-fixing term $\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} = -A_0^a G^a$ is used in the Lagrangian,^a

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{ij}^a F_{ij}^a + \frac{1}{2}F_{i0}^a F_{i0}^a + j_i^a A_i^a - j_0^a A_0^a + \mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge}} - \bar{\psi}(m - i\gamma \cdot \partial)\psi \quad (1)$$

and the Dirac-Bergmann method of constrained quantization is applied,^{1,2} the Lagrange multiplier field G^a is incorporated into the time-derivative of Π_0^a , which, in this case is $D_i \Pi_i^a + j_0^a + G^a$. The presence of the Lagrange multiplier field assures a second-class system of constraints, and also terminates the chain of secondary constraints very quickly. The resulting Dirac commutators differ in only trivial ways from canonical Poisson commutators. Alternatively, it is possible to entirely avoid the need to consider primary constraints in the temporal gauge by using the gauge-fixing term $-\partial_0 A_0^a G^a$ instead of $-A_0^a G^a$, so that $-G^a$ becomes the momentum canonically conjugate to A_0 . The gauge constraint then is $\partial_0 A_0 = 0$, which, with the imposition of $A_0 = 0$ and Gauss's

^awe use nonrelativistic notation, in which all space-time indices are subscripted and designate contravariant components of contravariant quantities such as A_i^a or j_i^a , and covariant components of covariant quantities such as ∂_i . Repeated indices are summed from 1→3.

law at one particular time, implements both, the gauge condition and Gauss's law for all times.³ The same procedure can be extended to all axial gauges for which the gauge condition is $A_0^a + \gamma A_3^a = 0$, where γ is a variable real parameter⁴. Finally, a very direct way of quantizing QCD in the temporal gauge is simply to set $A_0^a = 0$ in the original Lagrangian^{5,6,7}.

In the process of quantizing QCD in the temporal gauge by any of the procedures outlined above, The Gribov ambiguity does not arise. In contrast, the Gribov ambiguity impedes the canonical quantization of QCD in the Coulomb gauge. The inverse of the matrix of constraint commutators — required for the implementation of the Dirac-Bergmann procedure — depends on the inverse of the Faddeev-Popov operator $(D \cdot \partial)^{ab} = (\delta_{ab} \partial^2 + g \epsilon^{abc} A_n^c \partial_n)$. In the Coulomb gauge, the inverse of $(D \cdot \partial)^{ab}$ is not unique, and therefore the inverse of the matrix of constraint commutators does not exist. In this way, the existence of Gribov copies of the Coulomb-gauge field impedes the quantization of QCD in that gauge; but quantization of QCD in the temporal gauge is not similarly affected by this difficulty. It is on this basis that some authors have concluded that there is no Gribov ambiguity in the temporal gauge⁸; a variety of other arguments have also been put forth to support the conclusion that axial gauges have no Gribov copies⁹.

It is significant that when QCD is quantized in the temporal gauge, Gauss's law is not implemented — the imposition of the non-Abelian Gauss's law and the construction of gauge-invariant fields remains to be addressed. If it were possible to use the Dirac-Bergmann procedure consistently to quantize QCD in the Coulomb gauge, the implementation of Gauss's law would be an inevitable consequence — it would appear as one of the Dirac-Bergmann secondary constraints (specifically from the Poisson bracket of the total Hamiltonian with the gauge condition $\partial_i A_i^a = 0$). The procedure would then lead to a Hamiltonian expressed in terms of unconstrained, independent field variables. As it is, however, the quantization of QCD in the Coulomb gauge is very problematical for a number of reasons — Gribov copies and ordering ambiguities of non-commuting operators, among them.

In our work, we have shown how the temporal-gauge formulation of QCD can be brought into compliance with Gauss's law, by explicitly constructing a set of state vectors that are annihilated by the Gauss's law operator

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}^a(\mathbf{r}) = \overbrace{\partial_i \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) + g f^{abc} A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_i^c(\mathbf{r})}^{D_i \Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{r})} + j_0^a(\mathbf{r}), \quad (2)$$

$J_0^a(\mathbf{r})$

where $j_0^a(\mathbf{r})$ is the quark color-charge density $j_0^a(\mathbf{r}) = g \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \psi(\mathbf{r})$; $J_0^a(\mathbf{r})$ is

the glue color-charge density as well as part of the covariant derivative of Π_i^a , which is the negative chromoelectric field and also is canonically conjugate to the gauge field A_i^a . The state vectors that are annihilated by $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^a$ comprise a space in which the time evolution of the theory takes place. In this way, the validity of Gauss's law within the framework of temporal-gauge QCD is assured.

2 Gauss's Law and Gauge-Invariant Quark and Gluon Fields

Gauss's law in temporal-gauge QCD is implemented by constructing state vectors $\Psi|\phi\rangle$ for which $\{\partial_i\Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) + J_0^a(\mathbf{r})\}\Psi|\phi\rangle = 0$, where $|\phi\rangle$ is the state annihilated by the Abelian part of $D_i\Pi_i^a$, so that $\partial_i\Pi_i^a|\phi\rangle = 0$ and Ψ is an operator that essentially converts $D_i\Pi_i^a$ to $\partial_i\Pi_i^a$ within the space of $|\phi\rangle$ states^{10,11}. Ψ must obey the operator-valued differential equation

$$\{\partial_i\Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}) + J_0^a(\mathbf{r})\}\Psi|\phi\rangle = \Psi\partial_i\Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r})|\phi\rangle \quad (3)$$

$$\text{or } [\partial_i\Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r}), \Psi] = -J_0^a(\mathbf{r})\Psi + B_Q^a(\mathbf{r}), \quad (4)$$

where $B_Q^a(\mathbf{r})$ is an operator that has $\partial_i\Pi_i^a(\mathbf{r})$ on its extreme right so that $B_Q^a(\mathbf{r})|\phi\rangle = 0$. The solution of Eq. (4) has been given as¹¹

$$\Psi = \|\exp(\mathcal{A})\|, \quad (5)$$

in which

$$\mathcal{A} = i \int d\mathbf{r} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_i^\gamma(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_i^\gamma(\mathbf{r}), \quad (6)$$

and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_i^\gamma(\mathbf{r})$ is the Resolvent Field. The resolvent field is central to this work, and largely determines the properties of gauge-invariant fields and of QCD represented in terms of those gauge-invariant fields. The $\|\ \|\$ -ordered product orders Ψ so that all functionals of A_i^a are to the left of all functionals of Π_j^b ; this ordering is important because, in the temporal gauge,

$$[A_i^a(\mathbf{x}), \Pi_j^b(\mathbf{y})] = i\delta_{ab}\delta_{ij}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}). \quad (7)$$

We have shown that the resolvent field obeys the integral equation¹¹

$$\int d\mathbf{r} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_j^\gamma(\mathbf{r}) V_j^\gamma(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\eta=1}^{\infty} \frac{iq^\eta}{\eta!} \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \psi_{(\eta)j}^\gamma(\mathbf{r}) + f_{(\eta)}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta\gamma} \mathcal{M}_{(\eta)}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbf{r}) \overline{\mathcal{B}}_{(\eta)j}^\beta(\mathbf{r}) \right\} V_j^\gamma(\mathbf{r}) \quad (8)$$

in which $\mathcal{M}_{(\eta)}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbf{r}) = \prod_{m=1}^{\eta} \overline{\mathcal{Y}^{\alpha[m]}}(\mathbf{r})$, $\overline{\mathcal{Y}^\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \overline{\mathcal{A}}_j^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$, and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}_{(\eta)i}^\beta(\mathbf{r}) = a_i^\beta(\mathbf{r}) + \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{\eta}{(\eta+1)} \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\partial^2} \right) \overline{\mathcal{A}}_i^\beta(\mathbf{r})$, thus producing the nonlinearity in Eq. (8).

$\psi_{(\eta)i}^\gamma(\mathbf{r})$ is taken as the ‘‘source’’ term $\psi_{(\eta)i}^\gamma(\mathbf{r}) = (-1)^{\eta-1} f_{(\eta)}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta\gamma} \mathcal{R}_{(\eta)}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{Q}_{(\eta)i}^\beta(\mathbf{r})$, where $\mathcal{R}_{(\eta)}^{\bar{\alpha}}(\mathbf{r}) = \prod_{m=1}^{\eta} \mathcal{X}^{\alpha[m]}(\mathbf{r})$, $\mathcal{X}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} A_j^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$, and $\mathcal{Q}_{(\eta)i}^\beta(\mathbf{r}) = [a_i^\beta(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\eta}{(\eta+1)} x_i^\beta(\mathbf{r})]$; $a_i^\gamma(\mathbf{r})$ and $x_i^\gamma(\mathbf{r})$ are the transverse and longitudinal parts of the gauge field respectively, and $f_{(\eta)}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta\gamma}$ denotes the chain of structure constants

$$f_{(\eta)}^{\bar{\alpha}\beta\gamma} = f^{\alpha[1]\beta b[1]} f^{b[1]\alpha[2]b[2]} f^{b[2]\alpha[3]b[3]} f^{b[\eta-2]\alpha[\eta-1]b[\eta-1]} f^{b[\eta-1]\alpha[\eta]\gamma}. \quad (9)$$

It is an interesting fact that Eq. (8) for the SU(2) case takes a form strongly reminiscent of a finite gauge transformation for fields in an adjoint representation of SU(2)¹¹.

$\hat{\mathcal{G}}^a$ and $\mathcal{G}^a = D_i \Pi_i^a$ are unitarily equivalent, and are related by

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}^a(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{U}_C \mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{U}_C^{-1} \quad (10)$$

where $\mathcal{U}_C = e^{\mathcal{C}_0} e^{\bar{\mathcal{C}}}$ and where $\mathcal{C}_0 = i \int d\mathbf{r} \mathcal{X}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) j_0^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ and $\bar{\mathcal{C}} = i \int d\mathbf{r} \overline{\mathcal{Y}^\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) j_0^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$. With this unitary equivalence, \mathcal{G}^a can be used to represent $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^a$ in a new representation, which we will call the \mathcal{N} representation. In this representation, the quark field ψ is gauge-invariant because it commutes with \mathcal{G}^a . This unitary equivalence can be used to construct gauge invariant operator-valued quark fields in the original representation (which we call the \mathcal{C} representation):

$$\psi_{\text{GI}}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{U}_C \psi(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{U}_C^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\text{GI}}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{U}_C \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{U}_C^{-1} \quad (11)$$

$$\text{or, equivalently, } \psi_{\text{GI}}(\mathbf{r}) = V_C(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{\text{GI}}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) = \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (12)$$

$$\text{where } V_C(\mathbf{r}) = \exp\left(-ig \overline{\mathcal{Y}^\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2}\right) \exp\left(-ig \mathcal{X}^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2}\right). \quad (13)$$

The transformation of ψ to the gauge-invariant $\psi_{\text{GI}}(\mathbf{r}) = V_C(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r})$ has the formal structure of a gauge transformation, because V_C can be written as

$$V_C = \exp\left[-ig \mathcal{Z}^\alpha \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2}\right] = \exp\left[-ig \overline{\mathcal{Y}^\alpha} \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2}\right] \exp\left[-ig \mathcal{X}^\alpha \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{2}\right]. \quad (14)$$

However, in fact, under a gauge transformation, V_C and ψ both are transformed, so that

$$\psi \rightarrow \exp\left(-i\omega^\gamma \frac{\lambda^\gamma}{2}\right) \psi \quad \text{and} \quad V_C \rightarrow V_C \exp\left(i\omega^\gamma \frac{\lambda^\gamma}{2}\right) \quad (15)$$

and $\psi_{\text{GI}}(\mathbf{r})$ remains strictly gauge invariant. Furthermore, the formal similarity of V_C to a gauge transformation enables us to construct gauge-invariant gluon fields

$$A_{\text{GI}i}^b(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^b}{2} = V_C(\mathbf{r}) \left[A_i^b(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^b}{2} \right] V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{i}{g} V_C(\mathbf{r}) \partial_i V_C^{-1}(\mathbf{r}), \quad (16)$$

$$\text{which is equivalent to } A_{\text{Gl}i}^b(\mathbf{r}) = A_{T i}^b(\mathbf{r}) + [\delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial_i \partial_j}{\partial^2}] \overline{\mathcal{A}}_i^b(\mathbf{r}), \quad (17)$$

so that $A_{\text{Gl}i}^b$ turns out to be transverse — the sum of the transverse parts of the gauge field and the resolvent field.^b

We have been able to express the QCD Hamiltonian entirely in terms of gauge-invariant field operators^{14,15,16}. For that purpose, we have defined gauge-invariant versions of Π_i^a and of the Gauss's law operator. These quantities are $\Pi_{\text{Gl}i}^b = \mathcal{R}_{bd} \Pi_i^d$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\text{Gl}}^b = \mathcal{R}_{bd} \mathcal{G}^d$ respectively, where $\mathcal{R}_{bd} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[V_C^{-1} \lambda^b V_C \lambda^d]$. This QCD Hamiltonian (in the \mathcal{N} representation, in which ψ is the gauge-invariant form of the quark field) is

$$H_{\mathcal{N}} = \int d\mathbf{r} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \Pi_{\text{Gl}i}^a(\mathbf{r}) \Pi_{\text{Gl}i}^a(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{4} F_{\text{Gl}ij}^a(\mathbf{r}) F_{\text{Gl}ij}^a(\mathbf{r}) + \right. \\ \left. \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \left[\beta m - i\alpha_i \left(\partial_i - ig A_{\text{Gl}i}^a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \right) \right] \psi(\mathbf{r}) \right\} + \tilde{H}_{LR} + \tilde{H}_{\mathcal{G}} \quad (18)$$

where

$$\tilde{H}_{LR} = \int d\mathbf{r} \left(\frac{1}{2} J_{0(\text{Gl})}^{a\dagger} \frac{1}{\partial^2} \mathcal{K}_0^a(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}_0^a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{1}{\partial^2} J_{0(\text{Gl})}^a - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{K}_0^a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{1}{\partial^2} \mathcal{K}_0^a(\mathbf{r}) \right) \quad (19)$$

$$\text{and } H_{\mathcal{G}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{r} \left[\mathcal{G}_{\text{Gl}}^a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{1}{\partial^2} \mathcal{K}_0^a(\mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{K}_0^a(\mathbf{r}) \frac{1}{\partial^2} \mathcal{G}_{\text{Gl}}^a(\mathbf{r}) \right]. \quad (20)$$

$J_{0(\text{Gl})}^a$ is the gauge-invariant glue color charge density $J_{0(\text{Gl})}^a = g f^{abc} A_{\text{Gl}i}^b \Pi_{\text{Gl}i}^c$, $F_{\text{Gl}ij}^a$ is the gauge-invariant chromomagnetic field in which $A_{\text{Gl}i}^a$ replaces the gauge-dependent A_i^a , and \mathcal{K}_0^a is a gauge-invariant effective quark color charge density, given by

$$\left(\delta_{ab} + g f^{aub} A_{\text{Gl}i}^u \frac{\partial_i}{\partial^2} \right) \mathcal{K}_0^b = -j_0^a \text{ for } j_0^a = g \psi^\dagger \frac{\lambda^a}{2} \psi. \quad (21)$$

The relation of $H_{\mathcal{N}}$ to the Coulomb-gauge formulation of QCD is of particular interest. We first note the following commutation relations:¹⁶

$$[\Pi_{\text{Gl}j}^b(\mathbf{y}), A_{\text{Gl}i}^a(\mathbf{x})] = -i \{ \delta_{ab} \delta(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) - \partial_j \mathcal{D}^{bh}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \overleftarrow{D}_i^{ha}(\mathbf{x}) \} \quad (22)$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{bh}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ designates the formal series representation of the inverse of the Faddeev-Popov operator, and the arrow indicates action to the left; and

$$[\Pi_{\text{Gl}i}^\alpha(\mathbf{x}), \Pi_{\text{Gl}j}^\beta(\mathbf{y})] = ig \{ \partial_i \mathcal{D}^{\alpha h}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \epsilon^{h\gamma\beta} \Pi_{\text{Gl}j}^\gamma(\mathbf{y}) - \partial_j \mathcal{D}^{\beta h}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \epsilon^{h\gamma\alpha} \Pi_{\text{Gl}i}^\gamma(\mathbf{x}) \}. \quad (23)$$

^bIterative expansions of these gauge-invariant quark and gluon fields agree with the iterative representations of these fields given by Lavelle and McMullan^{12,13}.

These commutation rules agree with those given by Schwinger for QCD in the Coulomb gauge, *modulo* operator order in corresponding expressions¹⁷. The Hamiltonian ($H_{\mathcal{N}} - H_{\mathcal{G}}$) also has many features in common with the Hamiltonian in earlier work on Coulomb-gauge QCD by Christ and Lee¹⁸, by Creutz *et. al.*¹⁹, and by Gervais and Sakita²⁰.^c $H_{\mathcal{N}}$ however is not the Coulomb-gauge Hamiltonian. $H_{\mathcal{G}}$ “remembers” that the Hamiltonian is in the temporal gauge; but, as we have shown elsewhere¹⁶, $H_{\mathcal{G}}$ can neither affect the time evolution of state vectors within the space of states annihilated by the Gauss’s law operator, nor can time evolution transport state vectors out of that space. The situation in QCD is, therefore, remarkably like that in QED. When Gauss’s law is imposed and the Hamiltonian is expressed in terms of physical variables, the time-evolution mediated by the temporal-gauge Hamiltonian is essentially that expected in the Coulomb-gauge (with the proviso that the latter is well-defined for QED but much less well-defined for QCD), but, because of the inclusion of $H_{\mathcal{G}}$ (or its QED equivalent), the Hamiltonian remains in its original (in this case, temporal) gauge^{21,22}.

Even though $H_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a functional of gauge-invariant — physical — operator-valued variables only, complete control over its properties is retained, because all gauge-invariant quantities are defined explicitly in terms of the gauge-dependent A_i^a and Π_j^b , whose commutation rules are the canonical ones given in Eq. (7). The suggestive position in Eq. (19) occupied by the effective color-charge density \mathcal{K}_0^a makes it important to investigate its properties in depth.

3 Topology and the Gribov Ambiguity

In earlier work, we have represented SU(2) versions of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_i^\gamma(\mathbf{r})$ and $A_i^\gamma(\mathbf{r})$ as c -number functions of spatial variables — as second-rank tensors in the combined spatial and SU(2) indices i and γ respectively, so that, except in so far as the forms of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}_i^\gamma(\mathbf{r})$ and $A_i^\gamma(\mathbf{r})$ must reflect this second-rank tensor structure, they are isotropic functions of the position²³. With this representation we obtain

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}_i^\gamma L(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{g} \left[\left(\delta_{i\gamma} - \frac{r_i r_\gamma}{r^2} \right) \frac{\overline{\mathcal{N}}(r)}{r} + \frac{r_i r_\gamma}{r^2} \overline{\mathcal{N}}'(r) \right], \quad (24)$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}_i^\gamma T(\mathbf{r}) = \delta_{i\gamma} \varphi_A(r) + \frac{r_i r_\gamma}{r^2} \varphi_B(r) + \epsilon_{i\gamma n} \frac{r_n}{r} \varphi_C(r), \quad \text{as well as} \quad (25)$$

$$A_i^\gamma L(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{g} \left[\left(\delta_{i\gamma} - \frac{r_i r_\gamma}{r^2} \right) \frac{\mathcal{N}(r)}{r} + \frac{r_i r_\gamma}{r^2} \mathcal{N}'(r) \right] \quad \text{and} \quad (26)$$

^cNote, however, that the chromoelectric field in these works are taken to be purely transverse.

$$A_i^{\gamma T}(\mathbf{r}) = \delta_{i\gamma} \mathcal{T}_A(r) + \frac{r_i r_\gamma}{r^2} \mathcal{T}_B(r) + \epsilon_{i\gamma n} \frac{r_n}{r} \mathcal{T}_C(r), \quad (27)$$

where, to preserve transversality in the transverse fields,

$$(r^2 \varphi_B)' + r^2 (\varphi_A)' = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (r^2 \mathcal{T}_B)' + r^2 (\mathcal{T}_A)' = 0.$$

With this representation, $A_{\mathbf{G}1_i}^\gamma(\mathbf{r})$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\mathbf{G}1_i}^\gamma(\mathbf{r}) &= \frac{1}{gr} \left\{ \epsilon_{i\gamma n} \frac{r_n}{r} [\cos(\overline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{N}) - 1 + \mathcal{N} \sin(\overline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{N})] + \left(\delta_{i\gamma} - \frac{r_i r_\gamma}{r^2} \right) \times \right. \\ &\times \left. [\mathcal{N} \cos(\overline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{N}) - \sin(\overline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{N})] - \frac{r_i r_\gamma}{r} \frac{d\overline{\mathcal{N}}}{dr} \right\} \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_A \left\{ \left(\delta_{i\gamma} - \frac{r_i r_\gamma}{r^2} \right) \cos(\overline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{N}) + \epsilon_{i\gamma n} \frac{r_n}{r} \sin(\overline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{N}) \right\} + \frac{r_i r_\gamma}{r^2} (\mathcal{T}_A + \mathcal{T}_B) \\ &+ \mathcal{T}_C \left\{ \epsilon_{i\gamma n} \frac{r_n}{r} \cos(\overline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{N}) - \left(\delta_{i\gamma} - \frac{r_i r_\gamma}{r^2} \right) \sin(\overline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{N}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

When Eqs. (24-27) are substituted into the integral equation — Eq. (8) — for the SU(2) case, it becomes a nonlinear differential equation in which $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(r)$ is the dependent function of r , and the other functions are source terms. When the independent variable is changed to $u = \ln(\frac{r}{r_0})$, this equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \overline{\mathcal{N}}}{du^2} + \frac{d\overline{\mathcal{N}}}{du} + 2 [\mathcal{N} \cos(\overline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{N}) - \sin(\overline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{N})] \\ + 2gr_0 \exp(u) \{ \mathcal{T}_A [\cos(\overline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{N}) - 1] - \mathcal{T}_C \sin(\overline{\mathcal{N}} + \mathcal{N}) \} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Since $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(r)$ must be bounded everywhere in $0 \leq r < \infty$, $\overline{\mathcal{N}}(u)$ must be bounded everywhere in $-\infty < r < \infty$. Studies have shown that, for the identical source terms \mathcal{N} , \mathcal{T}_A , and \mathcal{T}_C , there are a number of different solutions of this equation, and they define different topological sectors of the gauge theory²³. Although $A_{\mathbf{G}1_i}^\gamma(\mathbf{r})$ is invariant to “small” gauge transformations, which are continuously deformable to the identity, they can exist in different topological sectors which are connected by “large” gauge transformations. The different topological sectors manifested when Eq. (29) is solved, reflect the existence of these large gauge transformations. When the gauge field vanishes, *i.e.*, $A_i^\gamma(\mathbf{r}) = 0$, the differential equation reduces to the autonomous equation

$$\frac{d^2 \overline{\mathcal{N}}}{du^2} + \frac{d\overline{\mathcal{N}}}{du} - 2\sin(\overline{\mathcal{N}}) = 0, \quad (30)$$

which is the equation for a damped pendulum with large amplitude vibrations (also: Gribov equation) with the following caveat: For the pendulum, u is the

time, and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ for that case is bounded for $0 \leq u < \infty$. The equation then has multiple solutions, corresponding to multiple turns and swings executed before the pendulum comes to rest at equilibrium. For the gauge theory, $u = \ln(\frac{r}{r_0})$, and $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ for that case is bounded for $-\infty < u < \infty$. The equation now does not have multiple solutions and there are no Gribov copies²⁴.

Gribov discussed the case of a transverse SU(2) gauge field

$$A_i = A_i^c \frac{\vec{\tau}^c}{2} \quad \text{with} \quad A_i^c = \epsilon^{ijc} \frac{r_j}{r^2} f(r), \quad (31)$$

gauge-transformed to

$$A'_i = U A_i U^{-1} + i U \partial_i U^{-1} \quad \text{where} \quad U = \exp\left(-i\phi(r) \frac{\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\tau}}{2r}\right). \quad (32)$$

The condition that A'_i is also transverse, leads to

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{du^2} + \frac{d\phi}{du} - 2\sin(\phi) (1 - f(u)) = 0. \quad (33)$$

$f(u)$ keeps Eq. (33) from being autonomous, and it has multiple solutions for the same $f(u)$, as does our Eq. (29). We draw the following conclusions from these observations:

- When QCD is quantized in the Coulomb gauge, the quantization procedure is impeded by the existence of Gribov copies, because the non-uniqueness of the inverse of the Faddeev-Popov operator prevents the inversion of the Dirac constrained commutator matrix.
- When the quantization is carried out in the temporal gauge, no impediments to the inversion of the commutator matrix arise, and the procedure can easily be carried out in a variety of ways. But, in contrast to the Coulomb gauge, Gauss's law is not implemented in the process.
- Gribov copies arise in the temporal gauge when Gauss's law is implemented. It is the imposition of gauge invariance and the imposition of Gauss's law that generates Gribov copies in QCD. This is consistent with the theorem proven by Singer²⁵.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-92ER40716.00.

References

1. P. A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics* (Yeshiva University Press, New York, 1964); also see P. A. M. Dirac, *Can. J. Math.* **2** (1950) 129.
2. P. G. Bergmann and I. Goldberg, *Phys. Rev.* **98** (1955) 531.
3. K. Haller, *Phys. Rev.* **D 36** (1987) 1839.
4. K. Haller, *Phys. Lett.* **B251** (1990) 575.
5. J. Goldstone and R. Jackiw, *Phys. Lett.* **B74** (1978) 81.
6. R. Jackiw, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52** (1980) 661.
7. G. C. Rossi and M. Testa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B163** (1980) 109;
8. For example, S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields* Vol. II (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1995), Section 15.4.
9. For example, A. Bassetto, G. Nardelli and R. Soldati, *Yang-Mills Theories in Algebraic Non-Covariant Gauges* (World Scientific, Singapore 1991).
10. M. Belloni, L. Chen and K. Haller, *Phys. Lett.* **B373** (1996) 185.
11. L. Chen, M. Belloni and K. Haller, *Phys. Rev.* **D 55**, 2347 (1997).
12. M. Lavelle and D. McMullan, *Phys. Lett.* **B329** (1994) 68.
13. M. Lavelle and D. McMullan, *Phys. Rept.* **279** (1997) 1.
14. M. Belloni, L. Chen and K. Haller, *Phys. Lett.* **B403** (1997) 316.
15. L. Chen and K. Haller, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A14** (1999) 2745.
16. K. Haller, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A16** (2001) 2789.
17. J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **125** (1962) 1043.
18. N. H. Christ and T. D. Lee, *Phys. Rev.* **D 22** (1980) 939.
19. M. Creutz, I. J. Muzinich, and T. N. Tudron, *Phys. Rev.* **D 19** (1979) 531.
20. J. L. Gervais and B. Sakita, *Phys. Rev.* **D 18** (1978) 453.
21. K. Haller, *Phys. Rev.* **D 36** (1987) 1830.
22. K. Haller and E. Lim-Lombridas, *Foundations of Physics* **24** (1994) 217.
23. K. Haller, L. Chen and Y. S. Choi, *Phys. Rev.* **D 60** (1999) 125010.
24. V. N. Gribov, *Instability of non-Abelian gauge theories and impossibility of choice of Coulomb gauge*, Lecture at the 12th Winter School of the Leningrad Nuclear Physics Institute (unpublished).
25. I. M. Singer, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **60** (1978) 7.