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#### Abstract

The strong form of the AdS/CFT correspondence implies that the leading $N$ expressions for the connected correlation functions of the gauge invariant operators in the free $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory with the gauge group $\operatorname{SU}(N)$ correspond to the boundary S matrix of the classical interacting theory in the Anti de Sitter space. It was conjectured recently that the theory in the bulk should be a local theory of infinitely many higher spin fields. In this paper we study the free higher spin fields $(N=\infty)$ corresponding to the free scalar fields on the boundary. We explicitly construct the boundary to bulk propagator for the higher spin fields and show that the classical solutions in the bulk are in one to one correspondence with the deformations of the free action on the boundary by the bilinear operators. We also discuss the constraints on the correlation functions following from the higher spin symmetry. We show that the higher spin symmetries fix the correlation functions up to the finite number of parameters. We formulate sufficient conditions for the bulk theory to reproduce the free field correlation functions on the boundary.


[^0]
## 1 Introduction.

### 1.1 The problem of interacting higher spin fields.

In the past few years we have learned a lot about the physics of the strongly coupled supersymmetric gauge theories from the study of their supergravity duals [1], 2, 3]. This progress is based on the AdS/CFT correspondence. In its basic version the AdS/CFT correspondence is the equivalence between the $N=4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory in four dimensions with the gauge group $S U(N)$ and the Type IIB superstring theory on the space $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$. The radius of curvature of $A d S_{5}$ is equal to the radius of curvature of $S^{5}$ and is related to the parameters of the Yang-Mills theory:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{s t r}=g_{Y M}^{2}, \quad\left(\frac{R}{l_{s t r}}\right)^{4}=g_{Y M}^{2} N \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equivalence is usually understood as a strong coupling duality, because the supergravity description of the Type IIB string theory is valid when $R \gg l_{s t r}$, or $g_{Y M}^{2} N \gg 1$. The loop counting parameter on the supergravity side is inversely proportional to the number of colors $N$. Therefore the leading large $N$ computations in the gauge theory correspond to the computations in the classical supergravity in the bulk. In the language of string theory this means that we are considering only the worldsheets with the topology of the sphere.

Suppose that we start decreasing $g_{Y M}^{2}$ keeping $N$ large. What happens on the string theory side? We should still consider only the spherical worldsheets; higher genus surfaces will correspond to $\frac{1}{N}$ corrections. However the worldsheet sigma model becomes strongly interacting. This suggests that from the spacetime point of view we will be dealing with some complicated classical theory.

We can study this classical theory by looking at its boundary S-matrix, which is read from the correlation functions in the free theory on the boundary. This program was initiated in [4]. In the limit $g_{Y M}^{2}=0$ the theory on the boundary becomes the free $\mathcal{N}=4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills. Consider the correlation functions of $2 n$ primary operators in the free field theory. When $N=\infty$ the correlation function factorizes into the product of $n$ two point correlation functions. This means that the theory in the bulk in this limit should be a free theory. The fields of this free theory should correspond to the primary operators in the free theory on the boundary. Since the primary operators on the boundary are in general tensors of the arbitrary rank, in the bulk there should be many tensor fields of the arbitrary rank. Perhaps one should think of these higher spin fields as corresponding to the excited states of the string whose mass in the limit $R \gg l_{\text {str }}$ is determined by the radius of the AdS space rather than the string length.

When $N$ is finite, the correlation functions of the gauge invariant operators on the boundary do not factorize into the product of the two point functions. This corresponds to introducing the interactions in the bulk. It is crucial that the strength of the interaction
depends on $N$ and can be made arbitrary small by making $N$ very large. For finite large $N$, the leading $\frac{1}{N}$ contribution to the connected correlation function is given by the tree diagrams. This means that the correlation functions on the boundary define a classical higher spin theory in the bulk, with the coupling constants the positive powers of $\frac{1}{N}$. One can ask whether this theory has local interactions, that is whether the vertices have a finite number of field derivatives. If this is true, then it should be possible to determine the interaction vertices from the symmetries. The resulting local classical theory would be the effective description of the string theory in the AdS space of the very small radius.

We do not know any clear string theoretic argument showing that the interactions should be local. One can accept this statement as a hypothesis.

It is remarkable that the problem of constructing the local interactions of the higher spin fields with the right symmetries is very old. The question was formulated by C. Fronsdal [5] in 1978. Significant progress was achieved in the works of E.S. Fradkin and M.A. Vasiliev [6] and in the following papers (see [7, 8] and references therein.) However the problem of higher spin interactions remains unsolved.

### 1.2 The plan of this paper.

In our paper we will mostly concentrate on the free higher spin theory corresponding to $N=\infty$ on the boundary.

Massless fields and gauge symmetries. The free field theory has a large group of symmetries involving the higher derivatives of the fields [9]. It is natural to try to find the theory in the bulk which has this large symmetry group as the group of gauge symmetries. This group contains scalar gauge symmetries acting on the fields without derivatives and conformal symmetries acting with one derivative. These two types of symmetries generate two closed subgroups, and these are presumably the only symmetries preserved by the interactions. In the large radius AdS/CFT correspondence, the corresponding gauge fields in the bulk are the vector gauge fields and the spin two gauge field - the graviton. The other symmetries exist only in the free theory. The corresponding gauge fields in the bulk should be the higher spin gauge fields.

In fact, the correlation functions in the field theory are almost fixed by the symmetry (we will discuss "almost" in Section 6). This suggests that if there is a theory in the bulk having this large group of symmetries then this theory should be unique. It would be enough for the purpose of reproducing the correct correlation functions on the boundary to have this higher spin symmetry as a global symmetry group. But we know that it should be a gauge symmetry. The current operators generating the higher spin symmetry on the boundary should correspond to the massless fields in the bulk. For the theory of massless fields to be consistent one needs gauge invariance. The string theory origin of the higher spin gauge symmetries is discussed in 10 . We will discuss the relation between the global higher spin symmetry and the gauge transformations for the massless fields in Section 4.

Consistent truncation. The free supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory with the gauge group $S U(N)$ has $N^{2}-1$ free gauge fields, $6\left(N^{2}-1\right)$ free scalars, and $4\left(N^{2}-1\right)$ free complex fermions. The gauge invariance implies that we have to consider only the operators consisting of the traces of the products of the elementary fields. Consider the operators which are the products of the traces of the bilinears. The set of such operators is closed under the operator product expansion. This suggests that there is a consistent truncation of the theory in the bulk to the fields which correspond to the traces of the bilinears. This statement is a conjecture. For motivation we use the general interpretation of the OPE in the AdS/CFT correspondence [11]. Consider $k$ operators $\mathcal{O}_{1} \ldots, \mathcal{O}_{k}$ inserted at the points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ on the boundary. Consider the diagram with $k$ external lines being boundary to bulk propagators ending on $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ and one external line being the bulk to bulk propagator for the bulk field $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}$ to the point $z$ inside AdS:


Here $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the field in the bulk corresponding to the primary operator $\mathcal{O}$ on the boundary. This diagram defines a function $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}(z)$ in AdS. The projection of $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}(z)$ on the space of zero modes of the kinetic operator for the field $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}$ gives a solution $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}^{(0)}(z)$ of the free equation of motion for $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}$. This solution corresponds to some operator on the boundary. This operator has the following meaning: it is the contribution to the operator product expansion $\mathcal{O}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \mathcal{O}_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)$ of the single trace primary operator $\mathcal{O}$ and its descendants. For the free theory on the boundary, we know that the only single trace primaries in the OPE of the traces of the bilinears are the traces of the bilinears. This implies that if $\mathcal{O}$ is not a bilinear in the free fields, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathcal{O}}^{(0)}(z)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The consistent truncation requires that for $\mathcal{O}(z)$ not a bilinear in the free fields $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}(z)=0$. This is a stronger condition than (2). One could imagine that $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}^{(0)}(z)=0$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}(z) \neq 0$. However this is unlikely if the interactions are local. If $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}^{(0)}(z)=0$ and $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}(z) \neq 0$, this most probably implies that the interaction vertex contains the kinetic operator acting on $\phi_{\mathcal{O}}$. But in this case the interaction vertex can be eliminated by the redefinition of the other interaction vertices.

The existence of the truncation allows us to consider a simplified problem. Before looking for the theory in the bulk reproducing the correlation functions of arbitrary primary operators, one can ask about the theory describing only the traces of the bilinears. In fact, one can truncate the theory even further. Consider the bilinears in the free fields which involve only scalars: $\operatorname{tr} \Phi^{I} \Phi^{J}$. There are also bilinears involving the gauge field and the fermions. But we think that there should be a consistent truncation to the bilinears
involving only the scalars. The reason is again that all the operators in the operator product expansion of the product of the bilinears of scalars involve only scalars.

In this paper we will concentrate on this simplified theory, which describes only bilinears in scalars. The currents generating the higher spin symmetries are the traces of the expressions bilinear in the free fields. In fact, all the primary fields in the free scalar theory which are bilinears in the free fields are the conserved currents. Therefore all the operators bilinear in fields are either conserved currents or their descendants. The correlation functions of the bilinears have the following dependence on $N$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left[\frac{1}{N} \operatorname{tr} \phi\left(x_{k}\right) \phi\left(y_{k}\right)\right]\right\rangle \simeq \frac{1}{N^{n-2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that in the dual theory, vertices with $k$ lines should count with the coefficient $\frac{1}{N^{k-2}}$ :


Therefore $\frac{1}{N}$ is the coupling constant of the theory in the bulk.
Plan. In this paper we will discuss the free $(N=\infty)$ theory in the bulk. In Section 2 we will review the structure of the higher spin currents in the theory of the free scalar fields. In Section 3 we will study the solutions of the free higher spin equations in AdS space. We explicitly construct the boundary to bulk propagator and show that the solutions of the free field equations are in one to one correspondence with those deformations of the free field action which are bilinear in the free fields. This was already done in [12] but we use a different method. In Section 4 we discuss the relation between the equations of motion in the free field theory and the gauge invariance of the higher spin theory in the bulk. In Sections 5 and 6 we review the algebraic structure of the higher spin symmetries and prove that the higher spin symmetries determine the correlation functions up to the finite number of parameters. This implies that the higher spin theory with the right gauge symmetry will automatically reproduce the correlation functions of the free theory on the boundary.

The group theoretic approach to the theory of free higher spin fields based on the higher spin symmetries was developed in the series of papers by E. Sezgin and P. Sundell [8].

## 2 Primary operators.

Consider the free complex scalar field in $D$ dimensions ${ }^{[1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int d^{D} x \partial_{i} \phi^{*} \partial^{i} \phi \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us fix a point on the boundary, say $x^{i}=0$. The action (母) is invariant under the conformal transformations. The conformal transformations are the Poincare group plus the dilatation and the special conformal transformations. The action of the special conformal transformations on the free field is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{v} \phi=(v \cdot x)(x \cdot \partial) \phi-\frac{1}{2}\|x\|^{2}(v \cdot \partial) \phi+\frac{D-2}{2}(v \cdot x) \phi \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The primaries composed of two scalars are in one to one correspondence with the traceless symmetric tensors $V^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}$, and have the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{O}[V]=V^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}} \sum_{k=0}^{s} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!\left(k+\frac{D-4}{2}\right)!(s-k)!\left(s-k+\frac{D-4}{2}\right)!} \partial_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial_{i_{k}} \phi^{*} \partial_{i_{k+1}} \cdots \partial_{i_{s}} \phi,  \tag{6}\\
& g_{i_{1} i_{2}} V^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}=0
\end{align*}
$$

These primary operators are closely related to the conserved currents. Let us denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}=\sum_{k=0}^{s} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!\left(k+\frac{D-4}{2}\right)!(s-k)!\left(s-k+\frac{D-4}{2}\right)!} \partial_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial_{i_{k}} \phi^{*} \partial_{i_{k+1}} \cdots \partial_{i_{s}} \phi-\text { traces } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $j_{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}$ is a primary tensor with the conformal dimension equal spin plus $D-2$. The special conformal transformation of $j^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{v} j^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}=[D-2+s](v \cdot x) j^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}+\sum_{p=1}^{s}\left(v^{i_{p}} x_{k}-x^{i_{p}} v_{k}\right) j^{i_{1} \ldots i_{p-1} k i_{p+1} \ldots i_{s}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, one can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i_{1}} j^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a consequence of the equations of motion $\partial_{i} \partial^{i} \phi=0$. One can prove (9) without making calculations. For any tensor primary $j^{j_{1} \ldots j_{s}}$ of spin $s$ and conformal dimension $D-2+s$ the divergence $\partial_{i} j^{i i_{2} \ldots i_{s}}$ is again a tensor primary, of dimension $D-1+s$ [13]. But in the free theory, all the primaries bilinear in scalars are given by (6) and they all have conformal dimension equal spin plus $D-2$. Therefore the divergence of the tensor primary with the conformal dimension spin plus $D-2$ in the free theory is zero.

The conserved tensor currents are related to the higher derivative symmetries. A tensor field $\xi^{i_{2} \ldots i_{s}}$ satisfying the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{i_{1}} \xi^{i_{2} \ldots i_{s}}=g^{i_{1} i_{2}} \chi^{i_{3} \ldots i_{s}} \quad\left(\text { symmetrize } i_{1} \ldots i_{s}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]is called a conformal Killing tensor. An example of the conformal Killing tensor is the product of the conformal Killing vectors, which are the generators of the conformal symmetries. Given a conformal Killing tensor, the operator
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{i_{1}}[\xi]=\xi_{i_{2} \ldots i_{s}} j^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

is a conserved current, and generates the symmetry. We see that the free field theory has infinite dimensional algebra of symmetries. We will describe the structure of this algebra for $D=4$ in Section 5 .

## 3 Higher spin fields.

### 3.1 Free equations for the higher spin fields.

Free equations and gauge symmetry. To the primary operators on the boundary correspond the higher spin fields in the bulk. The Lagrangean description of the free higher spin fields was found by C. Fronsdal [5]. Spin $s$ fields in the bulk are rank $s$ tensors, symmetric and double traceless:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}, \quad \text { symmetric in } \mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{s}, g_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}} g_{\mu_{3} \mu_{4}} h^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the gauge transformation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\Lambda} h^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}=\nabla^{\mu_{1}} \Lambda^{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}, \quad g_{\mu_{2} \mu_{3}} \Lambda^{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The free equations for the higher spin fields are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{\rho} \nabla^{\rho} h_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}-s \nabla_{\rho} \nabla_{\mu_{1}} h_{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}^{\rho}+\frac{1}{2} s(s-1) \nabla_{\mu_{1}} \nabla_{\mu_{2}} h_{\rho \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}^{\rho}+  \tag{14}\\
& +2(s-1)(s+d-3) h_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}=0
\end{align*}
$$

The form of the left hand side is fixed by the gauge invariance. The gauge invariant action for the higher spin fields is known [14] but we will not need it here. It is useful to introduce the de Donder gauge condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}[h]=\nabla^{\rho} h_{\rho \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}-\frac{s-1}{2} \nabla_{\mu_{2}} h_{\rho \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}^{\rho}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variation of this gauge condition under the gauge transformation is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\Lambda} F^{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}[h]=\left[\nabla_{\rho} \nabla^{\rho}+(s-1)(s+d-3)\right] \Lambda^{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The free equations (144) are simplified in the de Donder gauge:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\rho} \nabla^{\rho} h^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}+\left[s^{2}+(d-6) s-2(d-3)\right] h^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}+s(s-1) g^{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}} h_{\rho}^{\rho \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Special gauge for on shell fields. Now we want to prove that given a solution $h_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ of the higher spin equations, one can choose such a gauge that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 1) } \nabla^{\rho} h_{\rho \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}=0 \\
& \text { 2) } g^{\rho \sigma} h_{\rho \sigma \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}=0 \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

We start with fixing the de Donder gauge (15). Consider the residual gauge transformations which preserve the de Donder gauge:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{\rho} \nabla^{\rho} \Lambda^{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}+m^{2} \Lambda^{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}=0, \\
& \text { with } m^{2}=(s-1)(s+d-3) \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\nabla_{\rho} \Lambda^{\rho \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla_{\rho} \nabla^{\rho}\left(\nabla_{\sigma} \Lambda^{\sigma \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}\right)+\tilde{m}^{2} \nabla_{\sigma} \Lambda^{\sigma \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}=0 \\
\text { with } \tilde{m}^{2}=s^{2}+(d-2) s-2 \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

One can see from (17) that $h_{\sigma}^{\sigma \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ satisfies the same equation [8] (20):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\rho} \nabla^{\rho} h_{\sigma}^{\sigma \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}+\tilde{m}^{2} h_{\sigma}^{\sigma \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to prove that any solution $f^{\mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}=h_{\rho}^{\rho \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ of (21) is obtained from a solution of (19) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}=\nabla_{\sigma} \Lambda^{\sigma \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $\Lambda$ will be then the residual gauge transformation removing the trace of $h$. We will need first the following statement: for any $f^{\mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ (arbitrary $f^{\mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}$, does not have to satisfy any equation) we can find such a traceless $\Lambda^{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}=\nabla_{\sigma} \Lambda^{\sigma \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is proven in the Appendix. Now suppose that $f$ satisfies the equation (21) and find some $\Lambda$ so that (23). We want to prove that in fact $\Lambda$ satisfies (19). Let us decompose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\Lambda_{0}+\left(\nabla_{\rho} \nabla^{\rho}+m^{2}\right) \Xi \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{0}$ is in the kernel of $\nabla_{\rho} \nabla^{\rho}+m^{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\nabla \cdot \Lambda=\nabla \cdot \Lambda_{0}+\left(\nabla_{\rho} \nabla^{\rho}+\tilde{m}^{2}\right) \nabla \cdot \Xi \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]But $f$ is in the kernel of $\nabla_{\rho} \nabla^{\rho}+\tilde{m}^{2}$, therefore $\nabla \cdot \Xi$ is in the kernel of $\nabla_{\rho} \nabla^{\rho}+\tilde{m}^{2}$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\nabla \cdot \Lambda=\nabla \cdot \Lambda_{0} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is what we wanted to prove.
The action. There is a gauge invariant action for the higher spin fields in AdS space, but we don't need it here. The equation (17) for traceless $h$ can be obtained from the following action:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=(-1)^{s} \int\left(-\nabla^{\mu} h^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}} \nabla_{\mu} h_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}+\left[s^{2}+(d-6) s-2(d-3)\right] h^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}} h_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our convention for the metric is that the signature is mostly minus. In Euclidean AdS, the metric is negative definite. The positivity of the action at least for $s \geq 3$ and $d \geq 3$ can be proven by the following trick. Define $\tilde{h}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ :

$$
h^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}=\phi \tilde{h}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}
$$

Here $\phi$ is a solution to the Laplace equation

$$
\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \phi=\frac{(d-1)^{2}}{4} \phi
$$

In Poincare coordinates, $\phi=z^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$. After integration by parts, the action becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
S=(-1)^{s} \int \phi^{2}\left(-\nabla^{\mu} \tilde{h}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}} \nabla_{\mu} \tilde{h}_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}+\right.  \tag{28}\\
\left.+\left[\frac{(d-1)^{2}}{4}+s^{2}+(d-6) s-2(d-3)\right] \tilde{h}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}} \tilde{h}_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

which is manifestly positive for $s \geq 3, d \geq 3$.

### 3.2 From the boundary to the bulk.

The metric on AdS space has a double pole at the boundary. This means that one can find "defining" function $r$ which has a simple zero at the boundary, so that $\overline{g_{.}}=r^{2} g_{\text {.. }}$ is nonsingular. The boundary of AdS space can be defined as a set of equivalence classes of sequences $\left\{x_{i} \mid i=1, \ldots, \infty\right\}$ which are Cauchy sequences with respect to the metric $\overline{g_{. .}}$and are not convergent to anything inside $A d S$. A vector field in AdS space acts on Cauchy sequences, and therefore on the boundary. This defines a restriction of the vector fields in the bulk to the vector fields on the boundary.

We will find that for any symmetric traceless tensor field $V^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}(x)$ on the boundary there is a solution $h[V]$ of the free higher spin equations in the bulk such that $\frac{1}{r^{2}} h[V]$ has a restriction on the boundary equal to $V^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}$. Schematically,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{v \rightarrow x} \frac{1}{r^{2}} h[V]^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}=V(x)^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will also prove that if the restriction of the solution $\frac{1}{r^{2}} h^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ to the boundary is zero than $h^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ is zero.

These results are expected from the fact that the space of the solutions of the free equations for the higher spin fields in the bulk of the AdS space is the tensor product of the two doubleton representations (12].

Let us think of the AdS space as the hyperboloid embedded into $\mathbf{R}^{2+(d-1)}$. This is the set of vectors $v$ in $\mathbf{R}^{2+(d-1)}$ with the length square $\|v\|^{2}=1$. The quadratic form has the signature 2 pluses and $d-1$ minuses. The boundary of this hyperboloid is the projectivization of the light cone; the point of the boundary is a lightlike vector $l$, modulo the rescaling. We will think of symmetric traceless tensors on the boundary as symmetric traceless tensors in the tangent space to the light cone, modulo the generator of the cone:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
V^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}=V^{\left(I_{1} \ldots I_{s}\right)}, & \left(V \text { is symmetric tensor in } \mathbf{R}^{2+(d-1)}\right) \\
l_{I} V^{I I_{2} \ldots I_{s}}=0, \quad V_{I}^{I I_{3} \ldots I_{s}}=0 & (V \text { is tangent to the light cone at point } l \text { and traceless }) \\
V^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}} \equiv V^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}+l^{I_{1}} W^{I_{2} \ldots I_{s}} & (V \text { is defined modulo the generator of the cone }) \tag{30}
\end{array}
$$

Here the capital latin indices label the tangent space to $\mathbf{R}^{2+(d-1)}$. The space of such $V$ with this equivalence relation is the same as the space of traceless symmetric tensors in the tangent space to the boundary at the point $l$. For each point $v$ on the hyperboloid, we consider a map from the tangent space to $\mathbf{R}^{2+(d-1)}$ to the tangent space of the hyperboloid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\delta}_{I}^{\mu}=\delta_{I}^{\mu}-\frac{l^{\mu} v_{I}}{(v \cdot l)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the greek indices to label the tangent space to $A d S_{d}$. Consider the following tensor field on $A d S_{d}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{V}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}=\frac{1}{(v \cdot l)^{d-3+s}} \hat{\delta}_{I_{1}}^{\mu_{1}} \cdots \hat{\delta}_{I_{s}}^{\mu_{s}} V^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

This tensor field does not change if we add to $V^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}$ the expression proportional to the generator of the light cone; therefore it is correctly defined as a function of $V$ modulo the equivalence relations (30). It transforms with the weight $-(d-3+s)$ under the rescalings of $l$. Notice that $h_{V}$ is invariant under the special conformal transformations preserving the point $l$. One can show that $h_{V}$ satisfies the free equation (14).

Tensor densities of weight $\Delta$ are the quantities which transform as tensors under diffeomorphisms and get rescaled by the factor $\lambda^{\Delta}$ under the rescaling of the metric $g_{i j} \rightarrow \lambda^{2 \Delta} g_{i j}$. We see that $V \mapsto h_{V}$ is a map from the space of symmetric traceless tensor densities $V$ of the weight $d-3+s$ at the point $l$ of the boundary to the space of the solutions of (14) in the bulk. Let us introduce the notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{l}(v)_{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}=\frac{1}{(v \cdot l)^{d-3+s}}\left[\hat{\delta}_{I_{1}}^{\mu_{1}} \cdots \hat{\delta}_{I_{s}}^{\mu_{s}}-\operatorname{traces}_{(I)}\right] \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\operatorname{traces}_{(I)}$ means that we subtract expressions proportional to $g^{I_{j} I_{k}}$ so that the resulting expression is symmetric and traceless in $I_{1} \ldots I_{s}$. This is the boundary to bulk propagator for the higher spin fields. The solution of our problem (29) is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h[V]^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}=\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(s, d)} \int d^{d-1} l G_{l}(v)_{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}} V(l)^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}(s, d)=2^{s} \pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-5}{2}+s\right) \sum_{p=0}^{s}(-1)^{p} \frac{s!(d-4+s)!}{(s-p)!(d-4+s+p)!} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove this statement. Since we study the behaviour of the solution near the boundary, it is useful to introduce the Poincare coordinates. In the Poincare coordinates, the metric has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\frac{1}{\left(z^{0}\right)^{2}}\left(\left(d z^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(d z^{i}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector $v$ on the hyperboloid and the scalar product $(v \cdot l)$ are in Poincare coordinates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\left[\frac{z_{0}^{2}+z_{i}^{2}+1}{2 z_{0}}, \frac{z_{0}^{2}+z_{i}^{2}-1}{2 z_{0}}, \frac{z_{i}}{z_{0}}\right], \quad, l=[1,-1, \overrightarrow{0}], \quad(v \cdot l)=\frac{z_{0}^{2}+z_{i}^{2}}{z_{0}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us write the boundary to bulk propagator in the Poincare coordinates. It is straightforward to compute $\hat{\delta}$ in Poincare coordinates using the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\delta}_{I}^{\mu}=(v \cdot l) \partial^{\mu}\left[\frac{1}{(v \cdot l)} \frac{\partial}{\partial l^{I}}(v \cdot l)\right] \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{l}(v)_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}=2^{s}\left[\frac{z_{0}}{z_{0}^{2}+\vec{z}^{2}}\right]^{d-3}\left[\partial_{\mu_{1}} \frac{z^{i_{1}}}{z_{0}^{2}+\vec{z}^{2}} \cdots \partial_{\mu_{s}} \frac{z^{i_{s}}}{z_{0}^{2}+\vec{z}^{2}}\right] \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tensor field on the boundary $V\left(x^{i}\right)^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}$. depends on $x^{i}$. But at small $z_{0}$ the main contribution to the integral in (34) is from the region close to $z^{i}=x^{i}$. Therefore, one can approximate the integral (34) with the constant $V^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}$. We want to integrate the traceless part:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int d^{d-1} \vec{z} G_{\vec{z}}(v)_{i_{1} \ldots 1}^{j_{1} \ldots j_{s}}= \\
& =2^{s} z_{0}^{d-3} \int d^{d-1} \vec{z} \sum_{p=0}^{s} \frac{C_{s}^{p}}{(d-3+s) \cdots(d-4+p+s)} \delta_{i_{p+1}}^{j_{p+1}} \cdots \delta_{i_{s}}^{j_{s}} z^{j_{1}} \cdots z^{j_{p}} \partial_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial_{i_{p}} \frac{1}{\left(z_{0}^{2}+\vec{z}^{2}\right)^{d-3+s}}= \\
& =2^{s} z_{0}^{d-3} \int d^{d-1} \vec{z} \sum_{p=0}^{s} \frac{(-1)^{p} p!!_{s}^{p}}{(d-3+s) \cdots(d-4+p+s)} \delta_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots \delta_{i_{s}}^{j_{s}} \frac{1}{\left(z_{0}^{2}+\vec{z}^{2}\right)^{d-3+s}}=  \tag{40}\\
& =2^{s} z_{0}^{2-2 s} \pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{d-5}{2}+s\right) \sum_{p=0}^{s}(-1)^{p} \frac{s!(d-4+s)!}{(s-p)!(d-4+s+p)!} \sum_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \cdots \delta_{i_{s}}^{j_{s}}
\end{align*}
$$

(we have taken the derivatives and neglected the terms proportional to $g_{i_{p} i_{q}}$ and $g_{j_{p} j_{q}}$.) In this formula the upper indices are in the tangent space to the boundary and the lower indices are in the tangent space to the AdS (indices parallel to the boundary in the Poincare coordinates). Rising indices with the inverse metric tensor proportional to $z_{0}^{2}$ we get the right asymptotic behaviour (29).

We have proven that $G_{l}(v)_{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ is the boundary to bulk propagator for the higher spin fields. It is divergenceless and traceless:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla^{\rho} G_{\rho \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}^{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}=0  \tag{41}\\
& g^{\rho \sigma} h_{\rho \sigma \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}^{i_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}=0
\end{align*}
$$

These conditions are the special gauge conditions (18) for the on shell fields.

### 3.3 From the bulk to the boundary.

For the symmetric tensor field on the boundary we have constructed the symmetric traceless field in the bulk which is the solution to the free higher spin equations of motion. Here we will describe the inverse map.

The inverse map is the restriction of the tensor field in the bulk to the boundary. This restriction is defined (in the Poincare coordinates) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow b n d .} r^{-2} h^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}(x) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The restriction to the boundary is traceless. Let us consider the divergence of $h$ with the indices parallel to the boundary:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\nabla_{\rho} h^{\rho I_{2} \ldots I_{s}}= \\
& =z^{d+2(s-1)} \partial_{\rho}\left(z^{-d-2(s-1)} h^{\rho I_{2} \ldots I_{s}}\right)=\partial_{z} h^{z I_{2} \ldots I_{s}}+\partial_{I} h^{I I_{2} \ldots I_{s}}-\frac{d+2(s-1)}{z} h^{z I_{2} \ldots I_{s}} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{z I_{2} \ldots I_{s}}=-z^{d+2 s-2} \int_{z_{0}(x)}^{z} d \tilde{z} \tilde{z}^{-(d+2 s-2)} \partial_{I} h^{I I_{2} \ldots I_{s}}(\tilde{z}) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

When we go to the boundary $h^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}$ behaves like $z^{2}$, then (44) tells us that $h^{z I_{2} \ldots I_{s}}$ goes like $z^{3}$. Now let us use the equation for $(\nabla \cdot h)^{z \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}=0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\nabla_{\rho} h^{\rho z I_{3} \ldots I_{s}}= \\
& =\partial_{z} h^{z z I_{3} \ldots I_{s}}+\partial_{I} h^{z I I_{2} \ldots I_{s}}-\frac{1}{z}[d+2 s-2] h^{z z I_{3} \ldots I_{s}} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

We have used the tracelessness of $h$. Therefore $h^{z z I_{3} \ldots I_{s}}$ goes as $z^{4}$. Since $h^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}$ goes as $z^{2}$ and $h^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ is traceless, this implies that the restriction of $h$ to the boundary is traceless.

The restriction of the nonzero solution is nonzero. Let us prove it. First let us notice that $h$ cannot vanish at the infinity as fast or faster than $z^{d-2+2 s}$. Indeed, if it vanished
that fast we could integrate by parts in the action and prove that the action is zero. Which contradicts to the positivity of the action. If $h$ vanishes slower than $z^{d-2+2 s}$ then the $h^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}$ component of $h$ is much larger near the boundary than the other components. The action (27) near the boundary is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=\int d^{d-1} \vec{z} \int \frac{d z}{z^{d}}\left[z^{2} \partial_{z}\left(z^{-s} h^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}\right) \partial_{z}\left(z^{-s} h^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+\left[s^{2}+(d-5) s-2(d-3)\right] z^{-2 s} h^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}} h^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}\right] \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

The resulting equations of motion have two solutions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& h^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}(z)=z^{2} V^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}, \\
& h^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}(z)=z^{2 s+d-3} V^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

This means that if the leading component of $h^{I_{1} \ldots I_{s}}$ decreases near the boundary faster than $z^{2}$ than it decreases as fast as $z^{2 s+d-3}$. But if it decreases as fast as $z^{2 s+d-3}$ then it is zero (because we can integrate by parts in the action and prove that the action is zero; but the action is positive definite.)

Therefore the correspondence between the traceless tensor fields on the boundary and the solutions of the massless higher spin equations in the bulk is one to one.

## 4 More on gauge transformations.

### 4.1 The divergence of the current on the boundary is the gauge transformation in the bulk.

The equations of motion in the free theory imply that the primary currents are conserved. In this section we will show that the divergence of the boundary to bulk propagator is a pure gauge in the bulk:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i} G_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}^{i i_{2} \ldots i_{s}}=\nabla_{\mu_{1}} \Lambda_{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}^{i_{2} \ldots \ldots i_{s}} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

(latin indices are in the tangent space to the boundary, and greek indices in the bulk; symmetrization of the greek indices). We will use the Poincare coordinates (36), (37). Let us denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi:=\frac{1}{(v \cdot l)^{2}}=\frac{z_{0}^{2}}{\left(z_{0}^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{2}}  \tag{49}\\
& J_{i}=\Phi^{-1} \partial_{i} \Phi
\end{align*}
$$

The boundary to bulk propagator is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{l}(v)_{i_{1} \ldots i_{s}}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}=\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{s} \Phi \partial^{\mu_{1}} J_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial^{\mu_{s}} J_{i_{s}}-\text { traces } \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $\partial_{i} J_{j}=-4 \delta_{i j} \Phi+\frac{1}{2} J_{i} J_{j}-\frac{1}{4} \delta_{i j} J_{k}^{2}$ one can see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial^{i}\left(\Phi^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \partial^{\mu_{1}} J_{i} \partial^{\mu_{2}} J_{i_{2}} \cdots \partial^{\mu_{s}} J_{i_{s}}-\operatorname{traces}_{(i)}\right)=  \tag{51}\\
& =-4 \frac{2 s^{2}+(3 d-11) s+\left(d^{2}-7 d+12\right)}{2 s+d-5}\left(\Phi^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \partial^{\mu_{1}} \Phi \partial^{\mu_{2}} J_{i_{2}} \cdots \partial^{\mu_{s}} J_{i_{s}}-\operatorname{traces}_{(i)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

It is convenient to rewrite the right hand side in terms of the Killing vector fields. The Killing vector fields corresponding to the special conformal transformations leaving the point $l$ on the boundary can be expressed through $\Phi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{V}_{i}^{\mu}=(v \cdot l) \hat{\delta}_{i}^{\mu}=\frac{1}{\Phi} \partial^{\mu} J_{i} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $i$ going from 1 to 4 these vector fields generate the special conformal transformations preserving the point $l$ on the boundary. With the help of these Killing vector fields we can show that the right hand side of (51) is a pure gauge:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi^{\frac{d-3}{2}} \partial^{\mu_{1}} \Phi \Phi^{s-1} \mathbf{V}_{i_{2}}^{\mu_{2}} \cdots \mathbf{V}_{i_{s}}^{\mu_{s}}-\operatorname{traces}_{(i)}=  \tag{53}\\
& =\frac{2}{2 s+d-3} \nabla^{\mu_{1}}\left(\Phi^{s+\frac{d-3}{2}} \mathbf{V}_{i_{2}}^{\mu_{2}} \cdots \mathbf{V}_{i_{s}}^{\mu_{s}}-\operatorname{traces}_{(i)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We see that the divergence of the current on the boundary corresponds to the total gauge in the bulk:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial^{i} G_{i i_{2} \ldots i_{s}}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s}}=2\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{s-1} \frac{s+d-4}{2 s+d-5} \times \\
& \times \nabla^{\mu_{1}}\left(\Phi^{s+\frac{d-3}{2}} \mathbf{V}_{i_{2}}^{\mu_{2}} \cdots \mathbf{V}_{i_{s}}^{\mu_{s}}-\text { traces }\right)=  \tag{54}\\
& =2\left(-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{s-1} \frac{s+d-4}{2 s+d-5} \nabla^{\mu_{1}}\left[\frac{1}{(v \cdot l)^{s+d-2}}\left(\hat{\delta}_{i_{2}}^{\mu_{2}} \cdots \hat{\delta}_{i_{s}}^{\mu_{s}}-\text { traces }\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

This formula provides us with the correspondence between the conformal Killing tensors on the boundary and the traceless Killing tensors in the bulk. Let us consider the conformal Killing tensor on the boundary, which is a tensor field $\xi_{i_{2} \ldots i_{s}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i_{1}} \xi_{i_{2} \ldots i_{s}}=g_{i_{1} i_{2}} \eta_{i_{3} \ldots i_{s}} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating by parts and using the definition of the conformal Killing tensor we find:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d^{d-1} l \xi^{i_{2} \ldots i_{s}} \partial^{i} G_{i i_{2} \ldots i_{s}}^{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}=0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing this to (54) we find that for any conformal Killing tensor $\xi$ on the boundary the tensor field $\Lambda[\xi]$ in the bulk defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda[\xi]^{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}:=\int d^{d-1} l \xi(x)^{i_{2} \ldots i_{s}} \frac{1}{(v \cdot l)^{s+d-2}}\left(\hat{\delta}_{i_{2}}^{\mu_{2}} \cdots \hat{\delta}_{i_{s}}^{\mu_{s}}-\operatorname{traces}_{(i)}\right) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a traceless Killing tensor field. The integral (57) is the correspondence between the conformal Killing tensors on the boundary and the traceless Killing tensors in the bulk. The inverse map is, in Poincare coordinates (up to coefficient):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}} \rightarrow \lim _{z_{0} \rightarrow 0} \Lambda^{i_{2} \ldots i_{s}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The higher derivative symmetries on the boundary correspond to the global gauge symmetries in the bulk.

### 4.2 Triple interactions and the deformations of the gauge transformations.

Suppose that we have constructed such a triple interaction that it is invariant on shell under the gauge transformations, and reproduces the right correlation functions on the boundary. In the theory we are looking for such a triple interaction cannot possibly be invariant off shell. The variation of the triple interaction under the gauge transformation will be proportional to the action of the kinetic operator on the external line, so that it vanishes on shell. This is compensated by the appropriate deformation of the gauge transformation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\Lambda}=\delta_{\Lambda}^{(0)}+\lambda \delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}+\ldots \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=\frac{1}{N}$ is the coupling constant. We should be able to choose $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)} h$ a linear operator acting on $h$ so that the variation of the kinetic term in the action under $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}$ compensates for the variation of the triple interaction vertex under $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(0)}$. We cannot say much about $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}$ because we have not solved the problem of interactions. Here we will explain a general property of $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}$ : for $\Lambda$ the Killing tensor, the action of $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}$ on the solutions of the free equation of motion corresponds to the action of the corresponding higher derivative symmetry on the operators on the boundary. This, in particular, shows that $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}$ cannot be zero.

Let us formulate this more precisely. Notice that for $\Lambda$ being a Killing tensor, the triple interaction is invariant under $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(0)}$ (just because $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(0)}$ is zero for Killing $\Lambda$.) Therefore $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}$ for Killing $\Lambda$ should be a symmetry of the free action. In particular, it should transform the solutions of the free equations into the solutions. The solutions of the free equations correspond to the primary operators on the boundary. Given the primary operator $\mathcal{O}$ we will denote $h_{\mathcal{O}}$ the corresponding solution of the higher spin equations. We want to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\Lambda[\xi]}^{(1)} h_{\mathcal{O}}=h_{\delta_{\xi} \mathcal{O}} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Lambda[\xi]$ is the traceless Killing tensor field in the bulk corresponding to the conformal Killing tensor field $\xi$ on the boundary, and $\delta_{\xi} \mathcal{O}$ is the transformation of the boundary operator $\mathcal{O}$ under the higher derivative symmetry corresponding to the conformal Killing
tensor $\xi$. Indeed, let us consider the following correlation function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \oint_{C} *\left(\xi_{i_{2} \ldots i_{s}} j^{i i_{2} \ldots i_{s}}\right) \mathcal{O}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\rangle \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the contour $C$ is around the point $x_{2}$. Naively this integral is zero because the divergence of the current is zero and we can contract the contour. But we know it is not zero because of the singularity in the OPE of $j$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2}$. In fact, it is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \delta_{\xi} \mathcal{O}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\rangle \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us see what happens when we compute this correlator on the AdS side. Let us use the Stokes theorem

$$
\oint_{C} *\left(\xi_{i_{2} \ldots i_{s}} j^{i i_{2} \ldots i_{s}}\right)=\int_{D} d^{4} x \partial_{i} j^{i i_{2} \ldots i_{s}} \xi_{i_{2} \ldots i_{s}}
$$

The divergence of the current on the boundary gives pure gauge in the bulk. If the triple interaction was invariant under the gauge transformation, then the correlation function would be zero. But in fact the gauge variation gives the expression proportional to the equation of motion for $h_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}$ plus the term proportional to the equation of motion for $h_{\mathcal{O}_{2}}$. The first term gives zero contrubution because $\Lambda$ goes to zero near the point of the boundary where $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ is inserted. But the term proportional to $h_{\mathcal{O}_{2}}$ gives nonzero result because of the boundary term.

Let us see how this boundary term is compenstated by the variation of the free action. Notice that the variation of the free action under $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(0)}$ is zero, because $\Lambda$ goes either to zero or to the Killing vector near the insertion points. Therefore we have to consider only the variation under $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}$. It consists of two terms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)} S_{0}\left[h_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}, h_{\mathcal{O}_{2}}\right]=S_{0}\left[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)} h_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}, h_{\mathcal{O}_{2}}\right]+S_{0}\left[h_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}, \delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)} h_{\mathcal{O}_{2}}\right] \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find it very convenient for this type of calculations to replace the insertion of the operator on the boundary by some source in the bulk, localized near the boundary. Let us consider the boundary to bulk propagator $G_{l}(v)$ and modify it by multiplying by the step function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{l}(v)_{r e g}=\theta[(v \cdot l)>\epsilon] G_{l}(v) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

This modification corresponds to replacing the boundary to bulk propagator with the bulk to bulk propagator contracted with the "double layer" source localized at $(v \cdot l)=\epsilon$. When $\epsilon$ goes to zero we return to the ordinary boundary to bulk propagator.


The second term in (63) is zero. Indeed, we can integrate by parts and use the $h_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}$ equation of motion; there is no boundary term because $\Lambda$ goes to zero near the source of $h_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}$. The first term after integration by parts gives nonzero result from the source of $h_{\mathcal{O}_{2}}$. It depends on $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)} h_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}$ near the source of $h_{\mathcal{O}_{2}}$. We are assuming that the variation $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)} h_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}$ is given by a local expression in $h_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}$ and $\Lambda$. But near the insertion point of $\mathcal{O}_{2} \Lambda$ becomes a Killing tensor. Therefore the boundary term is equal to the variation of the free action $S_{0}\left[h_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}, h_{\mathcal{O}_{2}}\right]$ under the transformation of $h_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}$ by the Killing $\Lambda$.

Comparing (62) and (63) we see that the free action plus the cubic interaction is invariant only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0}\left[\delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)} h_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}, h_{\mathcal{O}_{2}}\right]+\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \delta_{\xi} \mathcal{O}_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\rangle=0 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2}$, which implies (60).
Assume that the following is true:

1. The higher spin theory in the bulk gives the three point functions on the boundary equal to the three point functions of the free field theory.
2. For Killing $\Lambda, \delta_{\Lambda}^{(1)}$ is the symmetry of the action. In other words, $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(2)}=\delta_{\Lambda}^{(3)}=\ldots=$ $\delta_{\Lambda}^{(n>1)}=0$ for Killing $\Lambda$.

Then the higher spin symmetries of the free theory are the symmetries of the boundary S-matrix in the higher spin theory in AdS, and the action of these symmetries on the asymptotic states agrees with the action on the primary operators on the boundary, equation (60). We will show in the next section that at least for the four dimensional boundary the higher spin symmetries fix the correlation functions up to a finite number of parameters (corresponding to the permutations of the doubletons). These parameters will presumably be fixed by the positions of the singularities in the correlation functions. This means that if the singularities are correctly reproduced, then the theory in the bulk will give the correct $n$-point functions of the free theory on the boundary.

## 5 Algebraic structure of the higher spin symmetries.

### 5.1 Oscillator representation of $s u(2,2)$.

The higher spin symmetry algebra is an infinite dimensional extension of the conformal algebra $s u(2,2)[9]$. The simplest description of this algebra is in terms of oscillators [15]. The construction is based on the embedding of the unitary algebras into symplectic algebras. For example $s u(2,2)$ is a subalgebra of $S p(4, \mathbf{R})$. Let us review the construction of the embedding.

Let $V$ be the 8-dimensional $\mathbf{R}$-linear space with the symplectic structure, that is with the nondegenerate two-form $\omega$. The quadratic hamiltonians generate $s p(4, \mathbf{R})$. Suppose that $V$ is also equipped with the complex structure $I$, so that $\omega$ is of the type $(1,1)$. The
action of the complex structure on $V$ is generated by the quadratic hamiltonian which we will call $H_{c}$. Let us consider those quadratic hamiltonians which commute with $H_{c}$. These hamiltonians form an algebra, which is in fact $u(M, 4-M)$. Here $M$ depends on how we choose the complex structure. It is the number of positive eigenvalues of the symmetric form $g\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\omega\left(v_{1}, I . v_{2}\right)$. Let us choose such a complex structure that $M=2$. This choice is characterized by the existence of the holomorphic Lagrangean subspaces in $V$. In other words, in the complexification of $V$ we can choose coordinates $Q^{I}$ and $P_{I}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H_{c}, P^{I}\right]=i P^{I},\left[H_{c}, Q^{I}\right]=i Q^{I} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[P^{I}, Q^{J}\right]=\left[P^{I}, P^{J}\right]=\left[Q^{I}, Q^{J}\right]=0,} \\
& {\left[P^{I}, \bar{P}^{J}\right]=\left[Q^{I}, \bar{Q}^{J}\right]=0,}  \tag{67}\\
& {\left[P^{I}, \bar{Q}^{J}\right]=\delta^{I J}}
\end{align*}
$$

There is an invariant bilinear form on $u(M, 4-M)$ which comes from the invariant bilinear form on $s p(4, \mathbf{R})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H\|^{2}=\left(H_{W e y l}\right)^{2}-\left(H^{2}\right)_{W e y l} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript means the Weyl ordering of the oscillators (the sum over all the permutations.) The algebra $u(2,2)$ has a center which is generated by $H_{c}$. The orthogonal complement to this center with respect to the invariant bilinear form is $s u(2,2)$.

### 5.2 Higher Spin Algebra.

The algebra $h s(2,2)$ is the infinite dimensional algebra generated by all the hamiltonians which commute with $H_{I}$ (not only quadratic). This is an associative algebra, but we will need it as a Lie algebra. The generic element of $h s(2,2)$ has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{h s(2,2)}: f(a, \bar{a})=\alpha+\alpha_{i \bar{j}} a^{i} \bar{a}^{\bar{j}}+\alpha_{i_{1} i_{2} \bar{j}_{1} \bar{j}_{2}} a^{i_{1}} a^{i_{2}} \bar{a}^{\bar{j}_{1}} \bar{a}^{\bar{j}_{2}}+\ldots \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $\bar{a}$ are elements of $V_{\mathbf{C}}^{(1,0)}$ and $V_{\mathbf{C}}^{(0,1)}$, respectively. We use complex notations for oscillators, but we should stress that this is a real algebra. All the terms in (69) are manifestly real if we use real oscillators. As a Lie algebra this algebra has an outer $\mathbf{Z}_{2}$-automorphism:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { i. } f(a, \bar{a})=-f(-a, \bar{a}) \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this formula we assume the Weyl ordering of the oscillators. This is an automorphism of the Lie algebra because the symplectic structure is of the type $(1,1)$. The subalgebra of $h s(2,2)$ invariant under this automorphism will be denoted $h s_{\mathbf{R}}(2,2)$. This subalgebra contains $s u(2,2)$. Its generic element has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{h s_{\mathbf{R}}(2,2)}: \quad f=\alpha_{i \bar{j}} a^{i} \bar{a}^{\bar{j}}+\alpha_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3} \bar{j}_{1} \bar{j}_{2} \bar{j}_{3}} a^{i_{1}} a^{i_{2}} a^{i_{3}} \bar{a}^{\bar{j}_{1}} \bar{a}^{\bar{j}_{2}} \bar{a}^{\bar{j}_{3}}+\ldots \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not an associative algebra.
Let us consider the complexification $h s(2,2, \mathbf{C})=\mathbf{C} \otimes h s(2,2)$. Consider in $h s(2,2, \mathbf{C})$ the following reality condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { i. } f=\bar{f} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The real subalgebra will be denoted $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$. It consists of the following elements:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)}: \quad f=i \alpha+\alpha_{i \bar{j}} a^{i} \bar{a}^{\bar{j}}+i \alpha_{i_{1} i_{2} \bar{j}_{1} \bar{j}_{2}} a^{i_{1}} a^{i_{2}} \bar{a}^{\bar{j}_{1}} \bar{a}^{\bar{j}_{2}}+\ldots \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all the coefficients $\alpha$ are hermitean matrices. Again, this $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$ is not an associative algebra, only a Lie algebra.

We will see that $h s_{\mathbf{R}}(2,2)$ is the algebra of symmetries of the free real scalar fields, and $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$ is the algebra of symmetries of the free complex field which respect the complex structure (defined over C.)

## 6 Free fields as doubletons.

### 6.1 Definition.

Consider the operators in the free scalar field theory (on the boundary) which are linear in the free field. For example, $\partial_{i} \partial_{j} \phi(x)$ is such an operator. The space of all such operators is the representation of the higher spin symmetry algebra. It is called "doubleton representation". It was introduced (as a representation of the conformal algebra) in [16, (17]. In this section we will review the construction of this representation.

Doubleton representation of $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$. Let us consider the representation of the oscillators on the space of complex functions $\psi(q, \bar{q})$ where $P$ and $Q$ act in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{I} \cdot \psi(q, \bar{q})=q^{I} \psi(q, \bar{q}), \quad \bar{P}^{I} \cdot \psi(q, \bar{q})=\frac{\partial}{\partial q^{I}} \psi(q, \bar{q}) \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives a representation of the associative algebra $h s(2,2)$. As a representation of $h s(2,2)$ it contains an invariant subspace consisting of those functions which are invariant under $(q, \bar{q}) \rightarrow\left(e^{i \theta} q, e^{-i \theta} \bar{q}\right)$. This invariant subspace is the doubleton representation of $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$, we will call it $\mathcal{F}$. We will consider this $\mathcal{F}$ as a representation of the Lie algebra $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$.
Doubleton representation of $h s_{\mathbf{R}}(2,2)$. Let us now consider this representation as the representation of the subalgebra $h s_{\mathbf{R}}(2,2) \subset h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$. As a representation of $h s_{\mathbf{R}}(2,2)$ it has an invariant subspace consisting of the real functions $\psi(q, \bar{q})$. This subspace is an irreducible representation of $h s_{\mathbf{R}}(2,2)$.

### 6.2 Doubletons as free fields. Scalar product.

The doubleton representation $\mathcal{F}$ of $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$ has an invariant hermitean scalar product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)=\int d^{4} q \overline{\psi_{1}(-q, \bar{q})} \psi_{2}(q, \bar{q}) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression is somewhat formal, because we did not specify which functions we need, and there is no guarantee that the integral will converge. Let us consider a special class of functions, of the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(q, \bar{q})=\int d^{4} x \exp \left(-x_{I \bar{J}} q^{I} q^{\bar{J}}\right) \phi(x) \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(x)$ is some function of $x$, say with compact support. This formula tells us that the doubleton representation is the space of functions (rapidly decreasing, or with compact support) $\phi(x)$ modulo those functions which are laplacians of the function with the compact support:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x) \sim \phi(x)+\Delta \chi(x) \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that we have two functions $\phi_{1}(x)$ and $\phi_{2}(x)$ such that the support of $\phi_{2}(x)$ is in the future of the support of $\phi_{1}(x)$. Then the integral in (75) converges and is equal to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)=\int d^{4} x_{1} d^{4} x_{2} \frac{\phi_{1}^{*}\left(x_{1}\right) \phi_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)}{\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|^{2}} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the invariant hermitean scalar product of $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$. It is equal to the correlation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\int d^{4} x \phi_{1}^{*}(x) \varphi(x) \int d^{4} y \phi_{2}(x) \varphi^{*}(x)\right\rangle \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is the free scalar field with the lagrangian $\int d^{4} x \partial_{\mu} \varphi^{*}(x) \partial^{\mu} \varphi(x)$.
The doubleton representation of $h s_{\mathbf{R}}(2,2)$ also has an orthogonal scalar product defined by the same formula (78) but with the real functions $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$.

The action of $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$ on $\phi(x)$ can be read from the action of $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$ on $\psi(q, \bar{q})$. One can see that $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$ acts on $\phi(x)$ by the differential operators with the coefficients depending on $x$. In particular, all the differential operators with constant coefficients are elements of $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$. (They are the polynomials of $Q_{I} Q_{\bar{J}}$.)

The doubleton representation has a simple geometrical meaning. The points of the four dimensional Minkowski space may be thought of as holomorphic Lagrangean planes in the space of oscillators. The insertion of the operator $\phi$ at the point $x$ corresponds to the vector of the Fock space annihilated by all the oscillators belonging to the Lagrangean plane which corresponds to the point $x$. For example to the point $x=0$ corresponds the wave function $\psi(q, \bar{q})=1$ which is annihilated by all the momenta $P^{I}$. The correlation functions $<\phi^{*}(x) \phi(y)>$ corresponds to the scalar product of the vectors corresponding to the points $x$ and $y$.
$h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$ is the algebra of conformal Killing tensors. Suppose that we act on $\phi$ by the differential operator, polynomial in $x$ and $\partial_{x}$. Integrating by parts in (76) we see that the action on $\exp \left(x_{I \bar{J}} q^{I} q^{\bar{J}}\right)$ is by the multiplication by the polynomial in $\mathcal{P}(x, q \bar{q})$. Our differential operator is a symmetry, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}}\left(\mathcal{P}(x, q \bar{q}) \exp \left(x_{I \bar{J}} q^{I} q^{\bar{J}}\right)\right)=0 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}(x, q \bar{q}) \exp \left(x_{I \bar{J}} q^{I} q^{\bar{J}}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{\prime}\left(q, \bar{q}, \partial_{q}, \partial_{\bar{q}}\right) \exp \left(x_{I \bar{J}} q^{I} q^{\bar{J}}\right) \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is some polynomial. We give the prove of this statement in the Appendix.
A higher derivative symmetry of the Laplace equation $\Delta \phi=0$ is related to some conformal Killing tensor. Indeed, for the differential operator to be a symmetry of the Laplace equation $\Delta \phi=0$ it is necessary that the leading symbol of the operator (which is the coefficient of the highest power of the derivative) is a conformal Killing tensor. Therefore we have proven that $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$ is the algebra of conformal Killing tensors.

Conformal Killing tensors are the linear combinations of the products of the conformal Killing vectors. Indeed, we have established the correspondence between the conformal Killing vectors and $I$-invaiant polynomials of oscillators. But any $I$-invariant polynomial of oscillators can be rewritten as the linear combination of the products of the bilinears. This implies that any symmetry is a linear combination of the products of the conformal trnasformations.

It is unusual that the product of the symmetry generators is again a generator of the symmetry. This happens because we are dealing with the free fields. The differential operator $L$ being a symmetry means that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \partial_{\mu} \phi^{*} \partial^{\mu}(L . \phi)=-\int \partial_{\mu}(L . \phi)^{*} \partial^{\mu} \phi \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $k$ such symmetries the operator $i^{k+1}\left(L_{1} \cdots L_{k}+L_{k} \cdots L_{1}\right)$ is again a symmetry:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \partial_{\mu} \phi^{*} \partial^{\mu}\left(i\left(L_{1} \cdots L_{k}+L_{k} \cdots L_{1}\right) \cdot \phi\right)= \\
& =-\int \partial_{\mu}\left(i\left(L_{1} \cdots L_{k}+L_{k} \cdots L_{1}\right) \cdot \phi\right)^{*} \partial^{\mu} \phi \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore there is a multiplication on the space of conformal Killing tensors. This multiplication is, of course, just a tensor product of two conformal Killing tensors. Notice that in the bulk, there is no obvious multiplicative structure on the traceless Killing tensors (the product of two traceless Killing tensors is again a Killing tensor, but not traceless.)

Nonlocal symmetries. All the higher spin symmetries can be obtained from some nonlocal symmetries. Let us fix a pair of points $(z, w)$ in $\mathbf{R}^{4}$. To every such pair corresponds a nonlocal symmetry of the free action which acts on the free fields as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{(z, w)} \phi(x)=\frac{1}{\|x-w\|^{2}} \phi(z)  \tag{84}\\
& \delta_{(z, w)} \phi^{*}(x)=-\frac{1}{\|x-w\|^{2}} \phi^{*}(w)
\end{align*}
$$

One can see that this is a symmetry of the free action. This transformation as we defined it does not respect $\phi^{*}$ being complex conjugate to $\phi$. But this can be fixed by considering the linear combinations $\delta_{(z, w)}-\delta_{(w, z)}$ and $i\left(\delta_{(z, w)}+\delta_{(w, z)}\right)$ instead of $\delta_{(z, w)}$. These nonlocal symmetries may be thought of as infinite linear combinations of the higher spin symmetries.

### 6.3 Constraints imposed on the correlation functions by the higher spin symmetries.

Let us consider the tensor product $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes n}$. All the operators in $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes n}$ commuting with $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$ are linear combinations of the permutations.

To explain why, we need the following fact: all the linear operators in $\mathcal{F}$ are $\mathbf{C}$ linear combinations of the generators of $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$. We are including infinite sums and integrals over continuous parameters into the notion of "linear combinations. We will not address the questions of convergence. The space $\mathcal{F}$ is the space of all the functions $\psi\left(q^{i}\right)$, $i \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$ invariant under $I$. We will restrict ourselves to the space of polynomials $\psi\left(q^{i}\right)$. Let us introduce the "multiindex" notation for the monomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{q}^{\vec{m}}=\left(q^{1}\right)^{m_{1}}\left(q^{2}\right)^{m_{2}}\left(\bar{q}^{1}\right)^{m_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\bar{q}^{2}\right)^{m_{2}^{\prime}}, \quad m_{1}+m_{2}=m_{1}^{\prime}+m_{2}^{\prime} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
X\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\exp \left[i \alpha_{1} q^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}+i \alpha_{2} q^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}}+i \alpha_{1}^{\prime} \bar{q}^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{q}^{1}}+i \alpha_{2}^{\prime} \bar{q}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{q}^{2}}\right] \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we decompose this $X$ in powers of $\alpha$ we see that it is an infinite series of the generators of the higher spin algebra acting as in (74). Then, for the two given multiindices $\vec{a}$ and $\vec{b}$ the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\vec{b}}^{\vec{a}}:=\frac{1}{b_{1}!b_{2}!b_{1}^{\prime}!b_{2}^{\prime}!} \int d^{4} \alpha e^{-i \sum \alpha_{j} b_{j}} q^{\vec{a}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\right)^{\vec{b}} X\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

is manifestly a linear combination (in fact, a continuous integral) with the complex coefficients of the generators of $h s_{\mathbf{C}}(2,2)$. On the other hand, its action on monomials is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\vec{b}}^{\vec{a}} \cdot q^{\vec{c}}=\delta_{\vec{b}}^{\vec{c}} q^{\vec{a}} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

An arbitrary linear operator acting on the space of polynomials can be represented as a linear combination of such operators.

This means that in the tensor product $\mathcal{F} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{F}$ any linear operator $X: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ acting as

$$
\begin{align*}
& X .\left(v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}\right)= \\
& =X v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}+v_{1} \otimes X v_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}+\ldots+v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes X v_{n} \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

is a linear combination of the generators of the higher spin algebra. Therefore the operator commuting with the higher spin symmetries should commute with any linear operator acting as (89). But if the operator in the tensor product space commutes with any linear operator acting as (89), then it is a permutation operator.

Operators in $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes n}$ commuting with the higher spin algebra are the same as invariants of the higher spin symmetry in the space $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{* \otimes n}$. We see that all such invariants are parameterized by the permutations $\sigma \in S_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle v_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{n}, w_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes w_{n}\right\rangle_{\sigma}=\left(v_{1} \cdot w_{\sigma_{1}}\right) \cdots\left(v_{n} \cdot w_{\sigma_{n}}\right) \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

This statement may be reformulated as follows. Suppose that we are given a four dimensional theory which enjoys the higher spin symmetry $h s(2,2)_{\mathbf{C}}$. Suppose that the local operators in this theory can be described as tensors $T^{i_{1} \ldots i_{p} ; j_{1} \ldots j_{q}}(x)$ traceless and symmetric in both $i$ indices and $j$ indices and the transformation of this tensors under the higher spin symmetry is the same as the transformation of the operator

$$
T^{i_{1} \ldots i_{p} ; j_{1} \ldots j_{q}} \partial_{i_{1}} \cdots \partial_{i_{p}} \phi(x) \partial_{j_{1}} \cdots \partial_{j_{q}} \phi^{*}(x)
$$

in the theory of the free complex scalar $\phi(x)$. (For example, the translation acts as follows. The action of the translation $P_{k}$ on the tensor $T^{i_{1} \ldots i_{p} ; j_{1} \ldots j_{q}}$ is the sum of the two tensors of the rank $(p+1, q)$ and $(p, q+1): \delta_{k}^{i_{1}} T^{i_{2} \ldots i_{p+1} ; j_{1} \ldots j_{q}}+\delta_{k}^{j_{1}} T^{i_{1} \ldots i_{p} ; j_{2} \ldots j_{q+1}}$.) Then, the most general form of the correlation functions of these operators compatible with the higher spin symmetries is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle T_{i_{1,1} \ldots i_{1, p} ; j_{1,1} \ldots j_{1, q}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots T_{i_{n, 1} \ldots i_{n, p} ; j_{n, 1} \ldots j_{n, q}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle= \\
& =T_{i_{1,1} \ldots i_{1, p} ; j_{1} ; 1 \ldots j_{1, q_{1}}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots T_{i_{n, 1} \ldots i_{n, p_{n}} ; j_{n, 1} \ldots j_{n, q_{n}}}\left(x_{n}\right) \times  \tag{91}\\
& \times \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} A_{\sigma} \prod_{k=1}^{n}<\partial_{j_{\sigma(k), 1}} \cdots \partial_{j_{\sigma(k), q_{\sigma(k)}}} \phi^{*}\left(x_{\sigma(k)}\right) \partial_{i_{k, 1}} \cdots \partial_{i_{k, p}} \phi\left(x_{k}\right)>_{\text {free }}
\end{align*}
$$

where the correlators on the right hand side are taken in the free scalar field theory. All the ambiguity not fixed by the higher spin symmetries is in $A_{\sigma}$.

We have seen in Section 3 that the free higher spin fields in the bulk correspond to the primary fields on the boundary which are the traceless tensors with zero divergence. Adding the descendants, we get tensors $T^{i_{1} \ldots i_{p} ; j_{1} \ldots j_{q}}$ which are traceless and symmetric in both $i$ and $j$. If the two conditions formulated in Section 4.2 are satisfied then the action of the higher spin symmetries on $T^{i_{1} \ldots i_{p} ; j_{1} \ldots j_{q}}$ agrees with the action on the free fields. Then the general $n$-point function (given by the complicated multiple integrals over the AdS space) will necessarily be of the general form (91). In particular, it is a rational function of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. All the ambiguity for the $n$ point function is in $n!$ coefficients $A_{\sigma}$, and it is presumably fixed by the positions of the singularities.

## Acknowledgements

I want to thank E. Witten for drawing my attention to the problem of higher spin interactions and for discussions. I enjoyed discussions with M. Berkooz, D. Gross, A. Jevicki, S. Minwalla, A.M. Polyakov, E. Sezgin, K. Skenderis and N. Toumbas. I want to thank the Theory Groups of the University of Amsterdam, Harvard University and the Institute for Advanced Study for their hospitality. This work was supported in part by the NSF Grant No. PHY99-07949, and in part by RFBR Grant No. 00-02-16477 and by the Russian grant for the support of the scientific schools No. 00-15-96557.

## A Technical lemma.

We want to prove that for any symmetric traceless tensor $f^{\mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ there is a symmetric traceless $\Lambda^{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}=\nabla_{\rho} \Lambda^{\rho \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

The AdS space is conformally flat, which means that the metric can be written as $g_{\mu \nu}=$ $\phi^{2} \delta_{\mu \nu}$. In flat coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\rho} \Lambda^{\rho \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}=\phi^{\frac{d+2(s-2)}{2}} \partial_{\rho}\left(\phi^{-\frac{d+2(s-2)}{2}} \Lambda^{\rho \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}\right) \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore it is enough to prove (92) in flat space. In flat space we use the Fourier transform:

$$
f^{\mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}(x)=\int d^{d} k e^{i k x} \hat{f}^{\mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}(k)
$$

We have to prove that for any $\hat{f}^{\mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ there is $\hat{\Lambda}^{\mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{s}}$ symmetric and traceless, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\rho} \hat{\Lambda}^{\rho \mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}}=\hat{f}^{\mu_{3} \ldots \mu_{s}} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is an obvious correspondence between the rank $r$ symmetric tensors in $d$ dimensions and the degree $r$ monomials in $d$ variables $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}$. Traceless symmetric tensors correspond to harmonic polynomials. If $P_{f}$ is the monomial corresponding to $f$ and $P_{\Lambda}$ is the monomial corresponding to $\Lambda$ then (94) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{f}(u)=k_{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{\rho}} P_{\Lambda}(u) \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the change of variables we can have $k_{1}=k$ and $k_{\mu}=0$ for $\mu>1$. Then, a solution for (95) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\Lambda}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)=\frac{1}{k}\left[\int_{0}^{u_{1}} d v P_{f}\left(v, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)-Q\left(u_{2}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)\right] \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q\left(u_{2}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)$ is a solution to the equation:
$\left(\partial_{u_{2}}^{2}+\ldots+\partial_{u_{d}}^{2}\right) Q\left(u_{2}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)=\partial_{u_{1}} P_{f}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)+\int_{0}^{u_{1}} d v\left(\partial_{u_{2}}^{2}+\ldots+\partial_{u_{d}}^{2}\right) P_{f}\left(v, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{d}\right)$
Notice that the right hand side of this equation does not depend on $u_{1}$ (because $P_{f}(u)$ is a harmonic polynomial.)

## B Another technical lemma.

Suppose that $P(q, \bar{q}, x)$ is a polynomial (of finite degree) in all its variables, and the function $P(q, \bar{q}, x) e^{q \bar{q} x}$ is harmonic:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(P(q, \bar{q}, x) e^{q \bar{q} x}\right)=0 \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there is a polynomial $\tilde{P}\left(q, \bar{q}, \partial_{q}, \partial_{\bar{q}}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(q, \bar{q}, x) e^{q \bar{q} x}=\tilde{P}\left(q, \bar{q}, \partial_{q}, \partial_{\bar{q}}\right) e^{q \bar{q} x} \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove it. We will need the equation for $P$ which is equivalent to the Laplace equation for $P \exp (q \bar{q} x)$ (98):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta+\epsilon_{I I^{\prime}} \epsilon_{\bar{J} \overline{J^{\prime}}} q^{I^{\prime}} \bar{q}^{\bar{J}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{I \bar{J}}}\right) P(q, \bar{q}, x)=0 \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us take the terms in $P$ which are of the highest degree in $q \bar{q}$. We will call these terms $P_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=P_{1}+\text { lower powers of } q \bar{q} \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (100) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{I I^{\prime}} \epsilon_{\bar{J} \bar{J}^{\prime}} q^{I^{\prime}} \bar{q}^{\bar{J}^{\prime}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{I \bar{J}}} P_{1}(q, \bar{q}, x)=0 \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}(q, \bar{q}, x)=f\left(q, \bar{q}, q^{I} x_{I \bar{J}}, \bar{q}^{\bar{J}} x_{I \bar{J}}\right) \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some polynomial $f$. Let us define the polynomial $\tilde{P}$ from the formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(q, \bar{q}, x) \exp (q \bar{q} x)=f\left(q, \tilde{q}, \partial_{\bar{q}}, \partial_{q}\right) \cdot \exp (q \bar{q} x)+\tilde{P}(q, \bar{q}, x) \exp (q \bar{q} x) \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\tilde{P}$ has lower degree in $q \tilde{q}$ than $P$. Also, $\tilde{P} \exp (q \bar{q} x)$ satisfies the Laplace equation just as $P \exp (q \bar{q} x)$. Now we can play the same game with $\tilde{P}$ : take the part of $\tilde{P}$ which has the highest degree in $q \bar{q}$, represent it in the form (103) with some $\tilde{f}$, and then define $\tilde{\tilde{P}}$, which has smaller degree in $q \bar{q}$ than $\tilde{P}$. After repeating this procedure finitely many times, we arrive at the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(q, \bar{q}, x) \exp (q \bar{q} x)=\left(f\left(q, \bar{q}, \partial_{\bar{q}}, \partial_{q}\right)+\tilde{f}\left(q, \bar{q}, \partial_{\bar{q}}, \partial_{q}\right)+\ldots\right) \exp (q \bar{q} x) \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is what we need.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In this paper we use $d$ for the dimension of the AdS space and $D$ for the dimension of the boundary.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ One can see it without making any calculations. The equation for $\Lambda$ preserving the de Donder gauge condition is a second order differential equation. From this equation follows the equation for the divergence of $\Lambda$ which is again a second order differential equation. But the divergence of $\Lambda$ will automatically satisfy the equation of motion for the trace of $h$.

