

Hard exclusive electroproduction of two pions

B. Lehmann-Dronke^a, P.V. Pobylitsa^{b,c}, M.V. Polyakov^{b,c}, A. Schäfer^a, and K. Goeke^c

^a *Institut für Theoretische Physik, Regensburg University, 93040 Regensburg, Germany*

^b *Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, 188350, Gatchina, Russia*

^c *Institut für Theoretische Physik II, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany*

Abstract

We have calculated the leading order amplitude of hard exclusive production of two pions in lepton nucleon scattering. At leading twist a pion pair can be produced only in an isospin one or zero state. We have shown that isoscalar states are produced predominantly for $x_{Bj} > 0.3$ and with an invariant mass of the two pions close to the threshold (S-wave) and in the f_2 resonance region (D-wave). These isoscalar pion pairs are mostly produced by two collinear gluons. Hence, comparing the production of charged and neutral pion pairs as a function of x_{Bj} and $m_{\pi\pi}$, one can get information about the gluonic component of two-pion distribution amplitudes.

Introduction

Hard exclusive reactions open a new way to study the partonic structure of hadrons. The factorization theorem [1] states that the amplitude of the reaction:

$$\gamma_L^* + T \rightarrow F + T' \quad (1)$$

at large collision energy $W \rightarrow \infty$, large virtuality of the photon $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$, fixed Q^2/W^2 (Bjorken limit), and with the masses $M_T, M_{T'}, M_F \ll Q$ can be written in the form:

$$\sum_{i,j} \int_0^1 dz \int dx_1 f_{i/T'}^{T'}(x_1, x_1 - x; t, \mu) H_{ij}(Q^2 x_1/x, Q^2, z, \mu) \Phi_j^F(z, \mu) \\ + \text{power-suppressed corrections}, \quad (2)$$

where $f_{i/T'}^{T'}$ is a $T \rightarrow T'$ skewed parton distribution [1, 2, 3, 4] (for a review see [5]), $\Phi_j^F(z, \mu)$ is the distribution amplitude of the hadronic state F (not necessarily a one particle state), and H_{ij} is a hard part computable in pQCD as a series in $\alpha_s(Q^2)$. For the experimentally accessible range of Q^2 and W the significance of this factorization might, however, be limited by the size of higher twist effects [6, 7]. Let us also note that often NLO corrections in hard exclusive reactions are noticeable [8, 9, 10]. Therefore, in principle, reliable NLO calculations of the hard part H_{ij} are desirable.

In the present paper we shall study the hard exclusive electroproduction of two pions to leading order in the strong coupling constant α_s . The related process of photoproduction




Figure 1: *Typical leading order diagrams for hard exclusive pion pair production.*

was studied in Ref. [11]. At leading twist the pion pair can be produced in a state with isospin one or zero. As argued in [12] at small x_{Bj} , i.e. $x_{Bj} < 0.1$, the pions are produced mostly in the isovector state because the dominant reaction mechanism is exchange of two gluons with positive C-parity. Our prime interest will be the production of pions in the valence region of Bjorken x , i.e. $x_{Bj} > 0.3$. In this region (relevant for CEBAF, HERMES, COMPASS) the production of two pions is dominated by $q\bar{q}$ exchange, which leads to a sizeable admixture of pion pairs with isospin zero [13]. We shall compute in the present paper the ratio of the cross sections for $I = 0$ and $I = 1$ pion pairs as a function of Bjorken x and the invariant mass $m_{\pi\pi}$ of the produced pions.

The interesting feature of $I = 0$ production of two pions is that these pions can originate not only from a collinear $q\bar{q}$ pair but also from a pair of collinear gluons, see Fig. 1b). Therefore measurements of $I = 0$ hard pion production could give important information about the gluon content of the two-pion distribution amplitude. We predict the most favorable kinematic range for such measurements.

Amplitude of the hard two-pion production

In this section we compute the leading amplitude in $1/Q^2$ of hard two-pion electroproduction. Due to the factorization theorem for exclusive hard reactions [1] this amplitude can be written as a convolution of the skewed parton distributions in the nucleon (SPD), the distribution amplitudes of the produced pions (2π DA), and a hard part computable in perturbative QCD as a power series in α_s . Hereby we consider the processes:

$$\gamma_L^*(q) + B_1(p) \rightarrow 2\pi(q') + B_2(p + \Delta), \quad (3)$$

where $\Delta = p' - p$ is a four-momentum transfer to a target baryon B_1 , $-q^2 = Q^2$ goes to infinity, and $x_{Bj} = Q^2/2p \cdot q$ is fixed. The state B_2 can be a nucleon or a heavier baryonic state. Let us note that the corresponding amplitude for a transversally polarized virtual photon is $1/Q$ power suppressed. Therefore the considered (sub-) process with the longitudinally polarized photon, for which the factorization theorem holds, is sufficient to calculate the leading contribution of the whole electroproduction process $e^-(k) + B_1(p) \rightarrow 2\pi(q') + e^-(k - q) + B_2(p + \Delta)$.

The leading order amplitude corresponding to diagrams of the type as shown in Fig. 1

has the form¹:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle B_2(p'), \pi^a \pi^b(q') | J^{\text{e.m.}} \cdot \varepsilon_L | B_1(p) \rangle = -(e4\pi\alpha_s) \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{N_c^2} \frac{1}{4Q} \int_{-1}^1 d\tau \int_0^1 dz \\
& \times \left[\sum_{f,f'} F_{ff'}(\tau, \xi) \Phi_{ff'}^{ab}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) \left\{ \frac{e_{f'}}{z(\tau + \xi) - i0} + \frac{e_f}{(1-z)(\tau - \xi) + i0} \right\} \right. \\
& - \frac{2N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \sum_f e_f F_{ff}(\tau, \xi) \Phi_G^{ab}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) \frac{1}{z(1-z)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\tau + \xi) - i0} - \frac{1}{(\tau - \xi) + i0} \right\} \\
& \left. + \frac{4N_c}{N_c^2 - 1} \sum_f e_f \tau F_G(\tau, \xi) \Phi_{ff}^{ab}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) \frac{1}{z(1-z)} \frac{1}{[\tau + \xi - i0][\tau - \xi + i0]} \right]. \quad (4)
\end{aligned}$$

Here e_f is the charge of a quark of flavor $f = u, d, s$ in units of the proton charge ($e_u = 2/3$, $e_d = e_s = -1/3$), ξ is the skewedness parameter (see definition below), and ζ is the longitudinal momentum fraction carried by the pion with flavor a . The longitudinal photon polarization vector is chosen as:

$$\varepsilon_L = \frac{1}{Q}(q^3, 0, 0, q^0). \quad (5)$$

The function $F_{ff'}(\tau, \xi)$ is a skewed quark distribution defined as:

$$F_{ff'}(\tau, \xi) = \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda\tau} \langle B_2(p') | T \{ \bar{\psi}_{f'}(-\lambda n/2) \hat{n} \psi_f(\lambda n/2) \} | B_1(p) \rangle, \quad (6)$$

with $\hat{n} = \gamma_\alpha n^\alpha$ and the light cone vector n normalized so that:

$$n^2 = 0, \quad n \cdot (p + p') = 2. \quad (7)$$

The quark two-pion distribution amplitude $\Phi_{ff'}^{ab}(z, \zeta)$ is defined as:

$$\Phi_{ff'}^{ab}(z, \zeta) = \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda z(q' \cdot n^*)} \langle \pi^a \pi^b | T \{ \bar{\psi}_f(\lambda n^*) \hat{n}^* \psi_{f'}(0) \} | 0 \rangle \quad (8)$$

and the two-pion gluon distribution amplitude $\Phi_G^{ab}(z, \zeta)$ as:

$$\Phi_G^{ab}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}^2) = \frac{1}{n^* \cdot q'} \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda z(q' \cdot n^*)} \langle \pi^a \pi^b | \{ n^{*\mu} n^{*\nu} G_{\alpha\mu}^A(\lambda n^*) G_\nu^{A\alpha}(0) \} | 0 \rangle, \quad (9)$$

where for convenience we introduced another light cone vector n^* such that $n \cdot n^* = 1$ and $n^* \cdot n^* = 0$. The variable z is the fraction of the longitudinal (along the vector n) meson pair momentum $q' = q - \Delta$ carried by one of the quarks or gluons respectively. The variable ζ characterizes the distribution of the longitudinal component of q' between the two pions:

$$\zeta = \frac{(k^a \cdot n^*)}{(q' \cdot n^*)}, \quad (10)$$

¹We write the most general result including the contribution of graphs of the type Fig. 1c) which are proportional to the skewed gluon distribution in the nucleon. It contributes only to the production of pions with isospin one and is very small in the valence region. Hence we shall neglect this contribution in the numerical estimates. For the skewed gluon distribution we use $F_G(\tau, \xi)$ in the notation of Ref. [5].

where k^a is the momentum of the pion π^a .

The vectors n and n^* are linear combinations of the photon, initial, and final baryon momenta:

$$\begin{aligned}
p^\mu &= (1 + \xi)n^{*\mu} + (1 - \xi)\frac{\bar{M}^2}{2}n^\mu - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_\perp^\mu \\
p'^\mu &= (1 - \xi)n^{*\mu} + (1 + \xi)\frac{\bar{M}^2}{2}n^\mu + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_\perp^\mu \\
q^\mu &= -2\xi n^{*\mu} + \frac{Q^2}{4\xi}n^\mu \\
\bar{M}^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(M_{B_1}^2 + M_{B_2}^2 - \frac{\Delta^2}{2}).
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The transverse plane is a plane orthogonal to the plane defined by the light-cone vectors n and n^* . The skewedness parameter ξ (the longitudinal component of the momentum transfer) is defined as:

$$\xi = -\frac{1}{2}(n \cdot \Delta). \tag{12}$$

In the Bjorken limit $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$, the skewedness parameter ξ is expressed in terms of Bjorken x as $\xi = \frac{x}{2-x}$.

The two-pion distribution amplitudes (8,9) can be flavor decomposed as:

$\pi^+\pi^-$ production:

$$\Phi_{\pi^+\pi^-}^{f'f}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) = \delta^{f'f}\Phi^{I=0}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) + \tau_3^{f'f}\Phi^{I=1}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}), \tag{13}$$

$$\Phi_G^{\pi^+\pi^-}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) = \Phi^G(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}), \tag{14}$$

$\pi^0\pi^0$ production:

$$\Phi_{\pi^0\pi^0}^{f'f}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) = \delta^{f'f}\Phi^{I=0}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}), \tag{15}$$

$$\Phi_G^{\pi^0\pi^0}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) = \Phi^G(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}), \tag{16}$$

where Φ^I are the leading twist quark 2π DAs with isospin I . For general properties of the two-pion distribution amplitudes see the next section.

The leading twist skewed quark distribution with $f = f' = q = u, d, s$ and $B_1 = B_2 =$ proton (Eq. (6)) can be decomposed into nucleon spin non-flip and spin flip parts. Here we adopted the notations of Ji [5] for the spin decomposition of the matrix element of a bilocal quark operator between proton states. The spin non-flip part is denoted by H_f , the spin flip part by E_f . They are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda\tau} \langle p' | \bar{\psi}_f(-\lambda n/2) \hat{n} \psi_f(\lambda n/2) | p \rangle &= H_f(\tau, \xi, t) \bar{U}(p') \hat{n} U(p) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2M_N} E_f(\tau, \xi, t) \bar{U}(p') \sigma_{\mu\nu} n^\mu \Delta^\nu U(p).
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The independent variables of the skewed quark distributions $H_q(\tau, \xi, \Delta^2)$ and $E_q(\tau, \xi, \Delta^2)$ are chosen to be τ , related to the fraction of the target momentum carried by the interacting parton x_1 by:

$$\tau = \frac{2x_1 - x}{2 - x},$$

the square of the four-momentum transfer $\Delta^2 = t$, and the skewedness parameter ξ defined in Eq. (12)². In the forward case $p = p'$ both Δ and ξ are zero and the second term on the r.h.s. of Eq. (17) disappears. The function H becomes the usual parton distribution function:

$$H_q(\tau, \xi = 0, t = 0) = q(\tau) = \begin{cases} q(\tau), & \tau > 0, \\ -\bar{q}(-\tau), & \tau < 0. \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Using the symmetry properties for the 2π DAs (22) the leading order amplitude for $\pi^+\pi^-$ production can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle p(p'), \pi^+\pi^-(q') | J^{\text{e.m.}} \cdot \varepsilon_L | p(p) \rangle = -(e4\pi\alpha_s) \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{N_c^2} \frac{1}{24Q} \\ & \times \left[\int_0^1 dz \frac{(1-2z)\Phi^{I=0}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) - \frac{2}{C_F}\Phi^G(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi})}{z(1-z)} \right. \\ & \times \left\{ \bar{U}(p') \hat{n} U(p) \int_{-1}^1 d\tau (2 H_u(\tau, \xi, t) - H_d(\tau, \xi, t)) \alpha^-(\tau) \right. \\ & + \left. \bar{U}(p') \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu} n^\mu \Delta^\nu}{2M_N} U(p) \int_{-1}^1 d\tau (2 E_u(\tau, \xi, t) - E_d(\tau, \xi, t)) \alpha^-(\tau) \right\} \\ & + \int_0^1 dz \frac{\Phi^{I=1}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi})}{z(1-z)} \left\{ \bar{U}(p') \hat{n} U(p) \int_{-1}^1 d\tau (2 H_u(\tau, \xi, t) + H_d(\tau, \xi, t)) \alpha^+(\tau) \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \bar{U}(p') \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu} n^\mu \Delta^\nu}{2M_N} U(p) \int_{-1}^1 d\tau (2 E_u(\tau, \xi, t) + E_d(\tau, \xi, t)) \alpha^+(\tau) \right\} \right], \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha^\pm(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau + \xi - i0} \pm \frac{1}{\tau - \xi + i0}. \quad (20)$$

Let us note that for the production of two pions in an isovector state there is an additional contribution proportional to the skewed gluon distribution in the nucleon, see Fig. 1c). The corresponding expression is given by the last term of Eq. (4) and also can be found in [14, 15]. Such a contribution is absent if the pions are produced in the isoscalar state. In the present paper we are interested in two pion production in the valence region and in particular in the isospin zero state in order to identify the gluons of Fig. 1b). There the contribution from gluons in the nucleon can be safely neglected [16].

For the $\pi^0\pi^0$ production amplitude we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle p(p'), \pi^0\pi^0(q') | J^{\text{e.m.}} \cdot \varepsilon_L | p(p) \rangle = -(e4\pi\alpha_s) \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{N_c^2} \frac{1}{24Q} \\ & \times \int_0^1 dz \frac{(1-2z)\Phi^{I=0}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) - \frac{2}{C_F}\Phi^G(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi})}{z(1-z)} \end{aligned}$$

²The skewed parton distributions and meson distribution amplitudes are scale dependent. The scale is set by the photon virtuality Q^2 . We do not show the scale dependence of these quantities to simplify the notation.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left\{ \bar{U}(p') \hat{n} U(p) \int_{-1}^1 d\tau \left(2 H_u(\tau, \xi, t) - H_d(\tau, \xi, t) \right) \alpha^-(\tau) \right. \\
& \left. + \bar{U}(p') \frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu} n^\mu \Delta^\nu}{2M_N} U(p) \int_{-1}^1 d\tau \left(2 E_u(\tau, \xi, t) - E_d(\tau, \xi, t) \right) \alpha^-(\tau) \right\}. \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

The expression for the ratio of the $I = 0$ and $I = 1$ amplitude was obtained recently by Diehl et al. [13], however, the authors missed the contribution of the gluon 2π DA (Fig. 1b)). Below we shall see that the contribution of this amplitude to the total two-pion amplitude is larger than the contribution of the quark 2π DAs.

Two pion distribution amplitudes

The two-pion distribution amplitudes describe the fragmentation of a pair of collinear partons (quarks or gluons) into the final pion pair [17]. As we shall discuss in the following, they can be related by crossing to the ordinary parton distributions in a pion. From C-parity one can easily derive the following symmetry properties of the 2π DAs:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{I=0}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) &= -\Phi^{I=0}(1-z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) = \Phi^{I=0}(z, 1-\zeta, m_{\pi\pi}), \\
\Phi^{I=1}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) &= \Phi^{I=1}(1-z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) = -\Phi^{I=1}(z, 1-\zeta, m_{\pi\pi}), \\
\Phi^G(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) &= \Phi^G(1-z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) = \Phi^G(z, 1-\zeta, m_{\pi\pi}). \quad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

Following [10, 12] we decompose both quark and gluon two-pion distribution amplitudes in conformal and partial waves. For quark 2π DAs the decomposition reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{I=0}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}^2) &= 6z(1-z) \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ \text{odd}}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{l=0 \\ \text{even}}}^{n+1} B_{nl}^{I=0}(m_{\pi\pi}) C_n^{3/2}(2z-1) P_l(2\zeta-1), \\
\Phi^{I=1}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}^2) &= 6z(1-z) \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ \text{even}}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ \text{odd}}}^{n+1} B_{nl}^{I=1}(m_{\pi\pi}) C_n^{3/2}(2z-1) P_l(2\zeta-1), \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

while for gluon 2π DAs we have:

$$\Phi^G(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}^2) = 30 z^2(1-z)^2 \sum_{\substack{n=0 \\ \text{even}}}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{l=0 \\ \text{even}}}^{n+2} A_{nl}^G(m_{\pi\pi}) C_n^{5/2}(2z-1) P_l(2\zeta-1). \quad (24)$$

Here $P_l(x)$ are the Legendre polynomials and $C_n^\mu(x)$ are Gegenbauer polynomials.

The distribution amplitudes are constrained by soft pion theorems and crossing relations. The soft pion theorems for 2π DAs read [10, 12]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{I=0}(z, \zeta = 1, m_{\pi\pi} = 0) &= \Phi^{I=0}(z, \zeta = 0, m_{\pi\pi} = 0) = 0, \\
\Phi^{I=1}(z, \zeta = 1, m_{\pi\pi} = 0) &= -\Phi^{I=1}(z, \zeta = 0, m_{\pi\pi} = 0) = \varphi(z), \\
\Phi^G(z, \zeta = 1, m_{\pi\pi} = 0) &= \Phi^G(z, \zeta = 0, m_{\pi\pi} = 0) = 0. \quad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\varphi(z)$ is the pion distribution amplitude.

Additional constraints for the quark and gluon 2π DAs are provided by the crossing relations between two-pion distribution amplitudes and the known parton distributions

in the pion. For the derivation of such relations for quark 2π DAs see [12], for the gluon 2π DA see [10]. The crossing relations have the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_{N-1,N}^{I=0}(0) &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{2N+1}{N+1} \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} \frac{1}{N_f} \sum_q (q_\pi(x) + \bar{q}_\pi(x)), \\
B_{N-1,N}^{I=1}(0) &= \frac{2}{3} \frac{2N+1}{N+1} \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} (u_\pi(x) - \bar{u}_\pi(x)),
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$A_{N-2,N}^G(0) = \frac{4}{5} \frac{2N+1}{(N+1)(N+2)} \int_0^1 dx x^{N-1} g_\pi(x),$$

where $q_\pi(x)$, $\bar{q}_\pi(x)$, and $g_\pi(x)$ are the usual quark, antiquark, and gluon distributions in the pion. Using these relations one can easily derive the normalization of the two-pion distribution amplitude (2π DA) at $m_{\pi\pi} = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 dz (2z-1) \Phi^{I=0}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi} = 0) &= -\frac{4}{N_f} M_2^Q \zeta (1-\zeta), \\
\int_0^1 dz \Phi^{I=1}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) &= (2\zeta-1) F_\pi(m_{\pi\pi}), \\
\int_0^1 dz \Phi^G(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi} = 0) &= -2 M_2^G \zeta (1-\zeta).
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Here M_2^Q and M_2^G are momentum fractions carried by quarks or gluons respectively in the pion and $F_\pi(m_{\pi\pi})$ is the pion e.m. form factor. The soft pion theorems and crossing relations constrain $\Phi^{I=0}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi})$ and $\Phi^G(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi})$ only at $m_{\pi\pi} = 0$. For higher $m_{\pi\pi}$ one can apply the dispersion relation analysis of Ref. [12].

The asymptotic expression for the isovector 2π DA has the form [18]:

$$\Phi_{asy}^{I=1}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) = 6 z(1-z) (2\zeta-1) F_\pi(m_{\pi\pi}). \tag{28}$$

Under evolution Φ^G and $\Phi^{I=0}$ mix with each other [19]. For asymptotically large Q^2 one obtains [10]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{asy}^G(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi} = 0) &= -\frac{240 C_F}{N_f + 4C_F} z^2(1-z)^2 \zeta(1-\zeta), \\
\Phi_{asy}^{I=0}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi} = 0) &= -\frac{120}{N_f + 4C_F} z(1-z) (2z-1) \zeta(1-\zeta).
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

In the following we will use the asymptotic expressions for the 2π DAs.

Numerical results and discussion

Using our results for the production amplitudes discussed in the previous sections we can easily estimate the ratio of the cross sections for hard exclusive two pions production in the isoscalar and isovector states. The amplitudes of physically accessible reactions can be expressed in terms of $I = 0$ and $I = 1$ amplitudes as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{\pi^+\pi^-} &= M^{I=0} + M^{I=1}, \\
M^{\pi^0\pi^0} &= M^{I=0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

For the ratio

$$R(x_{Bj}, m_{\pi\pi}, t) = \frac{|M^{I=0}|^2}{|M^{I=I}|^2} \quad (31)$$

(integrated over the scattering angle of the pions) the x_{Bj} , t , and $m_{\pi\pi}$ dependence factorizes in the leading order:

$$R(x_{Bj}, m_{\pi\pi}, t) = R_{\text{DA}}(m_{\pi\pi}) R_{\text{SPD}}(x_{Bj}, t), \quad (32)$$

where the $m_{\pi\pi}$ dependence is governed by the 2π DAs:

$$R_{\text{DA}}(m_{\pi\pi}) = \frac{D^{I=0}(m_{\pi\pi})}{D^{I=1}(m_{\pi\pi})} \quad (33)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} D^{I=0}(m_{\pi\pi}) &= \beta \int_{-1}^1 d \cos \theta \left| \int_0^1 dz \frac{(1-2z)\Phi^{I=0}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi}) - \frac{2}{C_F}\Phi^G(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi})}{z(1-z)} \right|^2, \\ D^{I=1}(m_{\pi\pi}) &= \beta \int_{-1}^1 d \cos \theta \left| \int_0^1 dz \frac{\Phi^{I=1}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi})}{z(1-z)} \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Here θ is the scattering angle of the pions in their c.m. frame relative to the direction of q' :

$$\beta \cos \theta = 2\zeta - 1 \quad \text{with} \quad \beta = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\pi^2}{m_{\pi\pi}^2}}. \quad (35)$$

The dependence on Bjorken $x = 2\xi/(1+\xi)$ and the squared momentum transfer t is determined by integrals over the skewed parton distributions (SPD):

$$R_{\text{SPD}}(x_{Bj}, t) = \frac{|2I_u^-(\xi, t) - I_d^-(\xi, t)|^2}{|2I_u^+(\xi, t) + I_d^+(\xi, t)|^2} \quad (36)$$

with

$$I_f^\pm(\xi, t) = \int_{-1}^1 d\tau H_f(\tau, \xi, t) \left[\frac{1}{\tau + \xi - i0} \pm \frac{1}{\tau - \xi + i0} \right]. \quad (37)$$

For the quark skewed parton distributions in the integrals $I_f^\pm(\xi, t)$ we used the models resulting from Radyushkin's double distributions [4]. They are obtained assuming $F(x, y) = \pi(x, y) \cdot q(x)$ with the profile function $\pi(x, y) = \frac{6y(1-x-y)}{(1-x)^3}$ suggested in [20] and the MRS(A') parameterizations for the usual (forward) parton distributions $q(x)$ [21]. The SPDs $H_f(\tau, \xi)$ are related to Radyushkin's nonforward parton distributions $\mathcal{F}_\zeta^f(X)$ by:

$$H_f(\tau, \xi) = \frac{1}{1+\xi} \left(\Theta(\tau + \xi) \mathcal{F}_\zeta^f\left(\frac{\tau + \xi}{1 + \xi}\right) - \Theta(\xi - \tau) \mathcal{F}_\zeta^{\bar{f}}\left(\frac{\xi - \tau}{1 + \xi}\right) \right) \quad (38)$$




Figure 2: *The shape of two-pion mass $m_{\pi\pi}$ (GeV) distributions for pions with isospin one (dashed curve) and isospin zero (solid curves). The isospin zero distributions are plotted for Bjorken $x = 0.3, 0.4, 0.5$. (The larger x_B the more enhanced is the distribution.)*

with $\zeta = \frac{2\xi}{1+\xi}$, while the nonforward parton distributions are obtained from the double distributions by the integral

$$\mathcal{F}_\zeta(X) = \int_0^{\min\{\frac{X}{\zeta}, \frac{(1-X)}{(1-\zeta)}\}} F(X - \zeta y, y) dy. \quad (39)$$

For the t -dependence we use the factorized ansatz $H(\tau, \xi, t) = H(\tau, \xi)F_1(t)$ with the proton form factor $F_1(t)$. For simplicity we do not consider the specific contributions to quark SPDs which are not taken into account by double distributions. These contributions were observed first in chiral quark soliton model calculations [22] but actually they are of quite general nature as was shown in [23]. Also we neglected the contribution of the nucleon spin flip skewed parton distributions E . The complete studies, involving all these refinements, will be published elsewhere.

Using the asymptotic expressions for the 2π DAs (28,29) we can compute the integrals over z entering Eq. (34):

$$\int_0^1 dz \frac{(1-2z)\Phi^{I=0}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi})}{z(1-z)} = \frac{40}{N_f + 4C_F} \left\{ \frac{3C - \beta^2}{12} f_0(m_{\pi\pi}) P_0(\cos\theta) - \frac{\beta^2}{6} f_2(m_{\pi\pi}) P_2(\cos\theta) \right\}, \quad (40)$$

$$-\frac{2}{C_F} \int_0^1 dz \frac{\Phi^G(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi})}{z(1-z)} = \frac{80}{N_f + 4C_F} \left\{ \frac{3C - \beta^2}{12} f_0(m_{\pi\pi}) P_0(\cos\theta) - \frac{\beta^2}{6} f_2(m_{\pi\pi}) P_2(\cos\theta) \right\}, \quad (41)$$

$$\int_0^1 dz \frac{\Phi^{I=1}(z, \zeta, m_{\pi\pi})}{z(1-z)} = 6\beta F_\pi(m_{\pi\pi}) P_1(\cos\theta). \quad (42)$$

(The effects due to deviations from the asymptotic forms were considered in [12, 24]). We see that for the production of the pion pair in the isoscalar state the contribution of the gluon 2π DA is two times larger than the contribution of the quark 2π DAs. Therefore the hard production of the pions in the isoscalar state mainly probes the gluon structure of the pion.

For our estimates we use the parameterization of the pion electromagnetic form factor $F_\pi(m_{\pi\pi})$ given in Ref. [25]. This parameterization reproduces the results of two-loop chiral perturbation theory at small $m_{\pi\pi}$ and is in very good agreement with experimental data [26].

The functions $f_{0,2}(W)$, the so-called Omnès functions [27], can be related to the $\pi\pi$ phase shifts $\delta_0^0(W)$ and $\delta_2^0(W)$ using Watson's theorem [28] and the dispersion relations derived in [12]:

$$f_l(m_{\pi\pi}) = \exp \left[i\delta_l^0(m_{\pi\pi}) + \frac{m_{\pi\pi}^2}{\pi} \text{Re} \int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\infty} ds \frac{\delta_l^0(s)}{s(s - m_{\pi\pi}^2 - i0)} \right]. \quad (43)$$

The Omnès functions were analyzed in detail in Ref. [29] and for the estimates of the cross sections one can use the results of that work.

The constant C in Eqs. (40) plays the role of an integration constant in the Omnès solution to the corresponding dispersion relation. From the soft pion theorem it follows that $C = 1 + O(m_\pi^2)$. Using the instanton model for calculations of $B_{nl}(m_{\pi\pi})$ at low energies [12, 18] one finds [10] the constant C to be equal to:

$$C = 1 + b m_\pi^2 + O(m_\pi^4) \quad \text{with} \quad b \approx -1.7 \text{ GeV}^{-2}.$$

The Omnès functions contain information about the $\pi\pi$ resonances as well as about the nonresonant background. Due to the $f_2(1270)$ resonance the $f_2(m_{\pi\pi})$ has a peak at $m_{\pi\pi} = 1.275$ GeV. For the numerical calculation we use the Padé approximation for the Omnès function $f_0(m_{\pi\pi})$ suggested in Ref. [30]. For estimates of $f_2(m_{\pi\pi})$ we used the expression (43) where the D-wave pion scattering phase shift we saturated by the contribution of the $f_2(1270)$ resonance.

In Fig. 2 we plot the two-pion mass distributions in the isoscalar and isovector channel at different values of Bjorken x .

The two-pion mass distribution in the isovector channel at large Q^2 is determined by the pion e.m. form factor [12]:

$$\frac{dN^{I=1}}{dm_{\pi\pi}} \propto 36 \beta^3 m_{\pi\pi} |F_\pi(m_{\pi\pi})|^2, \quad (44)$$

which is plotted in Fig. 2 as a dashed curve. For the two-pion mass distribution in the isoscalar channel (for $t = t_{\min}$) we can use:

$$\frac{dN^{I=0}}{dm_{\pi\pi}} = R(x_{Bj}, m_{\pi\pi}, t_{\min}) \frac{dN^{I=1}}{dm_{\pi\pi}}, \quad (45)$$

where $R(x_{Bj}, m_{\pi\pi}, t)$ is given by Eq. (31). In Fig. 2 we plot $\frac{dN^{I=0}}{dm_{\pi\pi}}$ (solid lines) for $x_{Bj} = 0.3, 0.4, 0.5$. We see that this distribution is enhanced around $m_{\pi\pi} = 0.4$ GeV due to




Figure 3: The ratio $\frac{dN^{I=0}}{dm_{\pi\pi}} / \frac{dN^{I=1}}{dm_{\pi\pi}}$ in two regions of $m_{\pi\pi}$, around 0.4 GeV (left panel) and around 1.3 GeV (right panel).

the S-wave contribution and around $m_{\pi\pi} = 1.3$ GeV due to D-waves. To illustrate the enhancements in these regions we plot in Fig. 3 the ratio $\frac{dN^{I=0}}{dm_{\pi\pi}} / \frac{dN^{I=1}}{dm_{\pi\pi}}$ at different values of Bjorken x . From these figures we see that the most favorable regions to observe isoscalar channel in $\pi^+\pi^-$ productions (and hence the gluonic component of 2π DA) are $x_{Bj} > 0.3$ and $m_{\pi\pi}$ around 0.4 GeV (S-wave) and 1.3 GeV (D-wave).

On Fig. 4 we show the estimates of the ratio of $\frac{d\sigma^{\pi^0\pi^0}}{dt}|_{t=t_{\min}}$ to $\frac{d\sigma^{\pi^+\pi^-}}{dt}|_{t=t_{\min}}$ integrated over $m_{\pi\pi}$ from the threshold $m_{\pi\pi} = 2m_\pi$ to $m_{\pi\pi} = 1.4$ GeV. We see that in the valence region $x_{Bj} = 0.1 - 0.4$ the cross section for $\pi^0\pi^0$ production is a few percent compared to that for $\pi^+\pi^-$ production (which is usually called ρ production).

Let us note that the most promising way to determine the $I = 0$ production amplitude is through its interference with the $I = 1$ amplitude. Experimentally this can be done studying the angular distributions of the produced $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs. For example, the intensity density $\langle \cos\theta \rangle$ (θ is the polar angle of the pion momentum in the CM frame with respect to the direction of the pion pair momentum q') directly probes the interference of the $I = 0$ and the $I = 1$ channel. It would be zero if the $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs were produced purely in the $I = 1$ state. So the observation of a nonzero $\langle \cos\theta \rangle$ would clearly indicate the production of pion pairs in the isoscalar state. The corresponding expressions can be obtained easily using Eqs. (19,40). The full expression is rather tedious, here we give the simplified expression for the intensity density $\langle \cos\theta \rangle$ at $m_{\pi\pi}$ close to the mass of the ρ meson:

$$\langle \cos\theta \rangle \approx \frac{4}{3|F_\pi(m_\rho)|} \frac{1}{N_f + 4 C_F} \text{Im} \left[\frac{2I_u^- - I_d^-}{2I_u^+ + I_d^+} \left(5f_0(m_\rho) - 2f_2(m_\rho) \right) \right]. \quad (46)$$

Numerically we get for $\langle \cos\theta \rangle$ values of about -4%, -7%, and -10% at $m_{\pi\pi} = m_\rho$ and $x_{Bj} = 0.1, 0.2$, and 0.3 respectively. At lower invariant masses $\langle \cos\theta \rangle$ is larger. At $m_{\pi\pi} =$




Figure 4: *The ratio of $\frac{d\sigma^{\pi^0\pi^0}}{dt}\big|_{t=t_{\min}}$ to $\frac{d\sigma^{\pi^+\pi^-}}{dt}\big|_{t=t_{\min}}$ integrated over $m_{\pi\pi}$ from the threshold to 1.4 GeV as a function of Bjorken x .*

0.5 GeV for example the values of $\langle \cos \theta \rangle$ are -18%, -27% and -33% for $x_{Bj} = 0.1, 0.2,$ and 0.3 respectively. Detailed estimates of various intensity densities will be done elsewhere.

Summary

We have calculated hard exclusive two-pion production at the leading twist level to leading order in α_s . The amplitude is expressed in terms of skewed parton distributions (SPD) and two-pion distribution amplitudes (2π DA). For the 2π DAs we used the asymptotic expressions. The comparison of the cross sections for the production of isospin one and isospin zero pion pairs shows that for small Bjorken x ($x_{Bj} < 0.1$) the isospin-one channel dominates, whereas in the valence region ($x_{Bj} > 0.3$) at certain invariant two-pion masses the contribution of isospin zero production is also sizeable. We showed that the isospin zero amplitude is dominated by the gluon 2π DA. Hence the cross section for isospin zero pion pairs gives access to the gluon distribution in the pions. To extract the isospin zero channel measurements in the quark valence region are needed. This extraction is facilitated if $\pi^0\pi^0$ pairs can be detected since the isospin one channel contributes only to $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs.

Let us note that the general expression for the leading twist amplitude Eq. (4) can be used to study other channels like $K\bar{K}$, πK , etc. By crossing symmetries this allows to obtain information on the partonic distributions of the produced particles. This is particularly important when the particles are not available as targets in deep inelastic reactions. The exclusive two-particle production can be accessible for measurements e.g. in the COMPASS experiment [31].

We are aware of the fact that higher twist corrections could be large. They have not been analyzed yet but there is some hope that they cancel at least partially in the ratios of cross sections we discussed.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to B. Clerbaux, N. Kivel, L. Mankiewicz, M. Penttinen, E. Stein, M. Vanderhaeghen, and C. Weiss for useful discussions. Special thanks are due to L. Frankfurt and M. Strikman for encouragement to look into the problem and for numerous discussions. The work has been partially supported by the Russian-German exchange program, Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes, DFG, BMBF, and COSY-Juelich.

References

- [1] J. Collins, L. Frankfurt, and M. Strikman, Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997) 2982.
- [2] D. Müller et al., Fort. Phys. **42** (1994) 101.
- [3] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 7114.
- [4] A.V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997) 5524.
- [5] X. Ji, J. Phys. **G24** (1998) 1181.
- [6] A.V. Belitsky, and A. Schäfer, Nucl. Phys. **B527** (1998) 235.
- [7] M. Vanttinen, L. Mankiewicz, and E. Stein, hep-ph/9810527.
- [8] A.V. Belitsky, B. Geyer, D. Müller, and A. Schäfer, Phys. Lett. **B421** (1998) 312; A.V. Belitsky, D. Müller, L. Niedermeier, and A. Schäfer, Phys. Lett. **B437** (1998) 160; Nucl. Phys. **B546** (1999); hep-ph/9908337.
- [9] L. Mankiewicz, G. Piller, E. Stein, M. Vanttinen, and T. Weigl, Phys. Lett. **B425** (1998) 186.
- [10] N. Kivel, L. Mankiewicz, and M.V. Polyakov, hep-ph/9908334.
- [11] B. Lehmann-Dronke, M. Maul, S. Schaefer, E. Stein, and A. Schäfer, Phys. Lett. **B457** (1999) 207.
- [12] M.V. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. **B555** (1999) 231; hep-ph/9809483.
- [13] M. Diehl, T. Gousset, B. Pire, hep-ph/9909445, Talk given at 6th INT / Jlab Workshop on Exclusive and Semiexclusive Processes at High Momentum Transfer, Newport News, VA, 19-23 May 1999.
- [14] A.V. Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. **B385** (1996) 333.
- [15] L. Mankiewicz, G. Piller, and T. Weigl, Eur. Phys. J. **C5** (1998) 119.
- [16] M. Vanderhaeghen, P.A.M. Guichon, and M. Guidal, hep-ph/9905372; M. Vanderhaeghen, P.A.M. Guichon, and M. Guidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 5064.

- [17] M. Diehl, T. Gousset, B. Pire, and O. Teryaev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** (1998) 1782.
- [18] M.V. Polyakov and C. Weiss, Phys. Rev. **D59**(1999) 091502.
- [19] M.K. Chase, Nucl. Phys. **B174** (1980) 109;
Th. Ohrndorf, Nucl. Phys. **B186** (1981) 153;
M.V. Terent'ev, JETP Lett. **33** (1981) 71;
V.N. Baier and A.G. Grozin, Nucl. Phys. **B192** (1981) 476.
- [20] A.V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999) 014030.
- [21] A.D. Martin, R.G. Roberts, and W.J. Stirling, Phys. Lett. **B354** (1995) 155.
- [22] V.Yu. Petrov et al., Phys. Rev. **D57** (1998) 4325.
- [23] M.V. Polyakov and C. Weiss, hep-ph/9902451.
- [24] M.V. Polyakov, hep-ph/9906261, Talk given at 7th International Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering and QCD (DIS99), Zeuthen, April 19-23.
- [25] F. Guerrero and A. Pich, Phys. Lett. **B412** (1997) 382.
- [26] L.M. Barkov et al., Nucl. Phys. **B256** (1985) 365.
- [27] R. Omnès, Nuovo Cim. **8** (1958) 316.
- [28] K.M. Watson, Phys. Rev. **95** (1955) 228.
- [29] J.F. Donoghue, J. Gasser, and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. **B343** (1990) 341.
- [30] J. Donoghue, B. Holstein, Phys. Rev. **D48** (1993) 137.
- [31] J. Pochodzalla et al., hep-ph/9909534.