

DESY 98-061  
hep-ph/9805492

# QCD-Instantons at HERA\*

A. Ringwald and F. Schrempp

Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Hamburg, Germany

## Abstract

A “fiducial” kinematical region for our calculations of instanton ( $I$ )-induced processes at HERA within  $I$ -perturbation theory is extracted from recent lattice simulations of QCD. Moreover, we present the finalized  $I$ -induced cross-sections exhibiting a strongly reduced residual dependence on the renormalization scale.

---

\*Talk presented at the 6th International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and QCD (DIS 98), Brussels, April 1998; to be published in the Proceedings (World Scientific).

# 1 Introduction

In this contribution, we briefly summarize some recent progress in our ongoing systematic study [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] of the discovery potential of DIS events induced by QCD instantons.

Instantons [8] are non-perturbative gauge field fluctuations. They describe *tunnelling* transitions between degenerate ground states (vacua) of *different topology* in non-abelian gauge theories like QCD. Correspondingly, (anti-)instantons carry an *integer topological* charge  $|Q| = 1$ , while the usual perturbation theory resides in the sector  $Q = 0$ . Unlike the latter, instantons violate *chirality* ( $Q_5$ ) in (massless) QCD and the sum of baryon plus lepton number ( $B + L$ ) in QFD, in accord [9] with the general ABJ-anomaly relation. An experimental discovery of instanton ( $I$ )-induced events would clearly be of basic significance.

The deep-inelastic regime is distinguished by the fact that here hard instanton-induced processes may both be *calculated* [10, 5] within instanton-perturbation theory and possibly *detected experimentally* [1, 2, 3, 7]. As a key feature we have recently shown [5], that in DIS the generic hard scale  $Q$  cuts off instantons with *large size*  $\rho \gg Q^{-1}$ , over which we have no control theoretically.

There has been much recent activity in the lattice community to “measure” topological fluctuations in *lattice simulations* [11, 12] of QCD. Being independent of perturbation theory, such simulations provide “snapshots” of the QCD vacuum including all possible non-perturbative features like instantons. They also provide crucial support for important prerequisites of our calculations in DIS, like the validity of  $I$ -perturbation theory and the dilute  $I$ -gas approximation for *small* instantons of size  $\rho \leq Q^{-1}$ . As one main point of this paper (Sect. 2), these lattice constraints will be exploited and translated into a “fiducial” kinematical region for our predictions of the instanton-induced DIS cross-section based on  $I$ -perturbation theory. In Sect. 3 we display the finalized calculations of the various instanton-induced cross-sections [6]. The essential new aspect here is the strong reduction of the residual dependence on the renormalization scale  $\mu_r$  resulting from a recalculation based on improved instanton densities [13], which are renormalization group (RG) invariant at the 2-loop level.




Figure 1: The leading instanton-induced process in the DIS regime of  $e^\pm P$  scattering, violating *chirality* by  $|\Delta Q_5| = 2n_f$ .

## 2 Validity of Instanton Perturbation Theory in DIS

### – Restrictions from Lattice-QCD Simulations

The leading instanton (I)-induced process in the DIS regime of  $e^\pm P$  scattering is displayed in Fig. 1. The non-trivial *topology* of instantons is reflected in a violation of *chirality* by  $|\Delta Q_5| = 2n_f$ , in accord [9] with the general ABJ-anomaly relation (while in pQCD always  $\Delta Q_5 \equiv 0$ ). The dashed box emphasizes the so-called instanton-*subprocess* with its own Bjorken variables,

$$Q'^2 = -q'^2 > 0; \quad 0 \leq x' = \frac{Q'^2}{2p \cdot q'} \leq 1. \quad (1)$$

The cross-section of interest may be shown [1, 3, 6] to exhibit a convolution-type structure, consisting of a smooth, calculable “flux factor”  $P^{(I)}(x', \dots)$  from the the  $\gamma^* \bar{q} q'$  vertex, and the  $I$ -subprocess total cross-section  $\sigma_{q'g}^{(I)}(Q', x')$ , containing the essential instanton dynamics. We have evaluated the latter [6] by means of the optical theorem and the so-called  $I\bar{I}$ -valley approximation [14] for the relevant  $q'g \Rightarrow q'g$  forward elastic scattering amplitude

in the  $I\bar{I}$  background. This method resums the exponentiating final state gluons in form of the known valley action  $S^{I\bar{I}}$  and reproduces standard  $I$ -perturbation theory at larger  $I\bar{I}$  separation  $\sqrt{R^2}$ .

Corresponding to the symmetries of the theory, the instanton calculus introduces at the classical level certain (undetermined) “collective coordinates” like the  $I(\bar{I})$ -size parameters  $\rho(\bar{\rho})$  and the  $I\bar{I}$  distance  $\sqrt{R^2/\rho\bar{\rho}}$  (in units of the size). Observables like  $\sigma_{q'g}^{(I)}(Q', x')$ , must be independent thereof and thus involve integrations over all collective coordinates. Hence, denoting the *density* of  $I(\bar{I})$ 's by  $D(\rho(\bar{\rho}))$  (see Eq. (3)), we have generically,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{q'g}^{(I)}(Q', x') &= \int_0^\infty d\rho \int_0^\infty d\bar{\rho} \underbrace{D(\rho)D(\bar{\rho})}_{I,\bar{I}\text{-densities}\Leftarrow\text{Lattice!}} e^{-(\rho+\bar{\rho})Q'} \\ &\times \int d\xi \mathcal{M}(\xi, x', Q', \dots) e^{-\frac{4\pi}{\alpha_s}(S^{I\bar{I}}(\xi)-1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where  $\xi = R^2/\rho\bar{\rho} + \rho/\bar{\rho} + \bar{\rho}/\rho$  is a convenient conformally invariant variable characterizing the  $I\bar{I}$  distance. In Eq.(2), the crucial exponential cut-off [5]  $e^{-(\rho+\bar{\rho})Q'}$  is responsible for the *finiteness* of the  $\rho, \bar{\rho}$  integrations. In addition, it causes the integrals (2) to be dominated by a *single, calculable* (saddle) point ( $\rho^* = \bar{\rho}^* \sim Q'^{-1}, \xi^*(x', Q')$ ), in *one-to-one* relation to the conjugate momentum variables ( $x', Q'$ ). This effective one-to-one mapping of the conjugate  $I$ -variables allows for the following important strategy: We may determine *quantitatively* the range of validity of  $I$ -perturbation theory and the dilute  $I$ -gas approximation in the instanton collective coordinates ( $\rho < \rho_{\max}, R/\rho > (R/\rho)_{\min}$ ) from recent (non-perturbative) lattice simulations of QCD and translate the resulting constraints via the mentioned one-to-one relations into a “fiducial” kinematical region ( $x' > x'_{\min}, Q' > Q'_{\min}$ ) at HERA! Experimentally, these cuts must be implemented via a ( $x', Q'$ ) reconstruction from the final state topology [7], while theoretically, they are incorporated into our  $I$ -event generator [2] “QCDINS 1.6.0” and the resulting prediction of  $\sigma_{\text{HERA}}^{(I)}(x' > x'_{\min}, Q' > Q'_{\min})$  (see Sect. 3).

In lattice simulations 4d-Euclidean space-time is made discrete, e.g. in case of the “data” from the UKQCD collaboration [12] which we shall use here,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lattice spacing: } a &= 0.055 - 0.1 \text{ fm} \\ \text{lattice volume: } V &= l_{\text{space}}^3 \cdot l_{\text{time}} = [16^3 \cdot 48 - 32^3 \cdot 64] a^4 \end{aligned}$$

In principle, such a lattice allows to study the properties of an ensemble of (anti-)instantons with sizes  $a < \rho < V^{1/4}$ . However, in order to make

instanton effects visible, a certain “cooling” procedure has to be applied first. It is designed to filter out (dominating) fluctuations of *short* wavelength  $\mathcal{O}(a)$ , while affecting the instanton fluctuations of much longer wavelength  $\rho \gg a$  comparatively little. For a discussion of lattice-specific caveats, like possible lattice artefacts and the dependence of results on “cooling” etc., see Refs. [11, 12].

The first important quantity of interest, entering Eq. (2), is the  $I$ -density,  $D(\rho)$  (tunnelling probability!). It has been worked out a long time ago in the framework of  $I$ -perturbation theory: (renormalization scale  $\mu_r$ )

$$D(\rho) \equiv \frac{dn}{d^4x d\rho} = d \left( \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_r)} \right)^6 \exp \left( -\frac{2\pi}{\alpha_s(\mu_r)} \right) \frac{(\rho \mu_r)^b}{\rho^5}. \quad (3)$$

Note the *power law* in the instanton size  $\rho$  with the power  $b$  given in Table 1, in terms of the QCD  $\beta$ -function coefficients:  $\beta_0 = 11 - \frac{2}{3}n_f$ ;  $\beta_1 = 102 - \frac{38}{3}n_f$ .

Table 1: The power  $b$  in Eq. (3) from Ref. [9] and Ref. [13], making the  $I$ -density  $D(\rho)$  RG-group invariant at the 1-loop and 2-loop level, respectively.

$b$	$\frac{1}{D} \frac{dD}{d\mu_r}$	Ref.
$\beta_0$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$	't Hooft [9]
$\beta_0 + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_r)}{4\pi}(\beta_1 - 12\beta_0)$	$\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$	Morris, Ross, & Sachrajda [13]

This power law  $D(\rho)|_{n_f=0} \propto \rho^6$  of  $I$ -perturbation theory is confronted in Fig. 2 (top) with recent lattice “data”, which strongly suggests  $I$ -perturbation theory to be valid for  $\rho \lesssim \rho_{\max} = 0.3$  fm. Next, consider the square of the total topological charge,  $Q^2 = (n \cdot (+1) + \bar{n} \cdot (-1))^2$  along with the total number of charges  $N_{\text{tot}} = n + \bar{n}$ . For a *dilute gas*, the number fluctuations are *poissonian* and correlations among the  $n$  and  $\bar{n}$  distributions absent, implying  $\langle (n - \bar{n})^2 \rangle = \langle n + \bar{n} \rangle$ , or  $\langle \frac{Q^2}{N_{\text{tot}}} \rangle = 1$ . From Fig. 2 (bottom), it is apparent that this relation characterizing the validity of the dilute  $I$ -gas approximation, is well satisfied for sufficiently *small* instantons! Again, we find  $\rho_{\max} \simeq 0.3$  fm, quite independent of the number of cooling sweeps. For increasing  $\rho_{\max} \gtrsim 0.3$  fm, the ratio  $\langle \frac{Q^2}{N_{\text{tot}}} \rangle$  rapidly and strongly deviates from one. Crucial information about a second instanton parameter of interest, the average  $I\bar{I}$  distance  $\langle R \rangle$ , may be obtained as well from the lattice [11, 12]. Actually, the ratio [12]  $\frac{\langle R_{I\bar{I}} \rangle}{\langle \rho \rangle} \simeq 0.83$  has good stability against “cooling”, from





Figure 2: Support for the validity of  $I$ -perturbation theory for the  $I$ -density  $D(\rho)$  (top) and the dilute  $I$ -gas approximation (bottom) for  $\rho < \rho_{\max} \simeq 0.3$  fm from recent lattice data [12].




Figure 3: Renormalization-scale dependence of the  $I$ -subprocess cross-section

which we shall take  $R/\rho \gtrsim 1$  as a reasonable lower limit for our  $I$ -perturbative DIS calculations.

Finally, the “fiducial” kinematical region for our cross-section predictions in DIS is found from lattice constraints and the discussed saddle-point translation as

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \rho \lesssim 0.3 \text{ fm;} \\ \frac{R}{\rho} \gtrsim 1 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Q' \gtrsim Q'_{\min} \simeq 8 \text{ GeV;} \\ x' \gtrsim x'_{\min}(Q'_{\min}) \simeq 0.35. \end{array} \right. \quad (4)$$

### 3 $I$ -Induced Cross-Sections for HERA

We have achieved great progress in stability by using the  $2$ -loop  $RG$  invariant form of the  $I$ -density  $D(\rho)$  from Eq. (3) and Table 1 in a recalculation of the  $I$ -subprocess cross-sections [6]: The residual dependence on the renormalization scale  $\mu_r$  turns out to be *strongly reduced* (Fig. 3). As “best” scheme we use  $\mu_r = 0.15 Q'$  throughout, for which  $\partial\sigma_{qg}^{(I)}/\partial\mu_r \simeq 0$ . The quantitative calculations of  $\sigma_{qg}^{(I)}$  (Fig. 4) nicely illustrate the qualitative arguments from Sect. 2, that the  $Q'$  dependence probes the effective instanton *size*  $\rho$  (top),

while the  $x'$  dependence maps the  $I\bar{I}$  distance  $R$  in units of the  $I$ -size  $\rho$  (bottom).




Figure 4: Instanton-induced cross-sections

Fig. 5 displays the finalized  $I$ -induced cross-section at HERA, as function of the cuts  $x'_{\min}$  and  $Q'_{\min}$  in leading semi-classical approximation, as obtained with the new release “QCDINS 1.6.0” of our  $I$ -event generator. For the minimal cuts (4) extracted from lattice simulations, we specifically obtain

$$\sigma_{\text{HERA}}^{(I)}(x' \geq 0.35, Q' \geq 8 \text{ GeV}) \simeq 126 \text{ pb}; \quad x_{\text{Bj}} \geq 10^{-3}; \quad 0.9 \geq y_{\text{Bj}} \geq 0.1. \quad (5)$$






Figure 5: Instanton-induced cross-section at HERA

In view of the fact that the cross-section varies strongly as a function of the  $(x', Q')$  cuts, the constraints from lattice simulations are extremely valuable for making concrete predictions.

## References

- [1] A. Ringwald and F. Schrempp, hep-ph/9411217, in: Proc. *Quarks '94*, Vladimir, Russia, May 1994, eds. D. Gligoriev et al., pp. 170-193.
- [2] M. Gibbs, A. Ringwald and F. Schrempp, DESY 95-119, hep-ph/9506392, in: *Proc. DIS 95*, Paris, France, April 1995, eds. J.-F. Laporte and Y. Sirois, pp. 341-344.

- [3] A. Ringwald and F. Schrempp, DESY 97-115, hep-ph/9706399, in: *Proc. DIS 97*, Chicago, IL, April 1997, eds. J. Repond and D. Krakauer, pp. 781-786.
- [4] S. Moch, A. Ringwald and F. Schrempp, DESY 97-114, hep-ph/9706400, in: *Proc. DIS 97*, Chicago, IL, April 1997, eds. J. Repond and D. Krakauer, pp. 1007-1013.
- [5] S. Moch, A. Ringwald and F. Schrempp, *Nucl. Phys. B* **507**, 134 (1997).
- [6] S. Moch, A. Ringwald and F. Schrempp, to be published.
- [7] T. Carli, A. Ringwald and F. Schrempp, in preparation.
- [8] A. Belavin, A. Polyakov, A. Schwarz and Yu. Tyupkin, *Phys. Lett. B* **59**, 85 (1975).
- [9] G. 't Hooft, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37**, 8 (1976); *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 3432 (1976); *Phys. Rev. D* **18**, 2199 (1978) (Erratum).
- [10] I. Balitsky and V. Braun, *Phys. Lett. B* **314**, 237 (1993).
- [11] For a recent review, see: P. van Baal, INLO-PUB-7/97, hep-lat/9709066, Review at Lattice '97, Edinburgh.
- [12] D. Smith and M. Teper, Edinburgh preprint 98-1, hep-lat/9801008.
- [13] T. Morris, D. Ross and C. Sachrajda, *Nucl. Phys. B* **255**, 115 (1985).
- [14] A. Yung, *Nucl. Phys. B* **297**, 47 (1988).  
V.V. Khoze and A. Ringwald, *Phys. Lett. B* **259**, 106 (1991).