

ASYMPTOTICALLY FREE PARTONS AT HIGH ENERGY

Richard D. Ball*

*Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of Edinburgh, EH9 3JZ, Scotland*

and

Stefano Forte

*INFN, Sezione di Torino
via P. Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy***Abstract**

We describe the application of renormalization group improved perturbative QCD to inelastic lepton-hadron scattering at high center-of-mass energy but comparatively low photon virtuality. We construct a high energy factorization theorem which complements the mass factorization theorem used for processes with high virtualities. From it we derive a renormalization group equation which resums all large logarithms at high energy, thereby extending to this regime asymptotic freedom and thus the full range of perturbative computational techniques. We discuss the solution of this equation in various limits, and in particular show that the high energy behaviour of physical cross-sections is consistent with phenomenological expectations and unitarity bounds.

March 1997

* Royal Society University Research Fellow

Asymptotic freedom [1] in deep inelastic processes, derived using mass factorization and the renormalization group, lies at the heart of our understanding of perturbative QCD. Attempts to use perturbative QCD to understand processes with high centre-of-mass energies but relatively low virtualities are as old [2,3], but have never had as firm a theoretical foundation. In these processes distances from the light-cone are no longer short, but longitudinal distances are compressed by the large relative longitudinal momenta of the interacting particles [4]. At leading order in perturbation theory cross-sections are asymptotically flat, while at higher orders logarithms of energy (or rapidity) generate a rise. However these large logarithms eventually spoil the perturbative expansion. Attempts to sum them [5,6] lead to cross-sections which seem to rise too quickly to agree with current data [7], and eventually violate unitarity bounds [8].

Here we describe an approach to these processes based on a high energy factorization theorem for inelastic lepton-hadron scattering which, when combined with the renormalization group, implies that QCD becomes asymptotically free at high energies. The large energy logarithms can then be resummed to give a self-consistent perturbative description of inelastic scattering at high energy. A partonic picture is developed similar in many ways to the conventional one [9] useful at high virtualities. We find that cross-sections then rise only logarithmically, consistent both with phenomenological expectations and the behaviour of unitarity bounds. In this paper we will outline our main results; detailed proofs and a wider range of applications will be presented in a forthcoming publication.

Perturbative logarithmic corrections to cross-sections arise (in physical gauges) from two particle reducible diagrams: two particle irreducible diagrams can be at most constant at high scales in a nonabelian gauge theory because they contain no logarithmic singularities [10]. The two particle reducible contributions to an inelastic virtual photon-hadron cross-section satisfy the fundamental factorization [11]:

$$\bar{\sigma}^{(2)}(x, Q^2/\Lambda^2) = \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} \int_0^{\frac{1}{4}W^2} \frac{dk^2}{k^2} C\left(\frac{y}{x}, \frac{Q^2}{k^2}; \alpha_s(\mu^2)\right) \bar{f}(y, k^2; \mu^2), \quad (1)$$

where, if q and p are the momenta of the virtual photon and hadron, $Q^2 = -q^2$, $x = Q^2/2p \cdot q$, Λ is a fixed scale, μ is the renormalization scale, and parton indices have been suppressed. This factorization may be proven formally in axial gauges by a projection argument [11] which generalises that used to prove mass factorization [10,12]. The content of eq. (1) is that all collinear singularities can be factored into the unintegrated two particle irreducible parton distribution function \bar{f} in such a way that the process-independent two particle reducible coefficient function C only depends on the renormalization scale through the strong coupling. The dependence on the parton and hadron momenta is then fixed by simple kinematics. Here we will take (1) as our starting point, show how to derive mass factorization from it and then, by a parallel argument, derive the energy factorization theorem which will be the basis for our high energy perturbative expansion.

To expose the symmetry implicit in (1), we introduce $S^2 = \Lambda^2/x$, $l^2 = \Lambda^2/y$, so that

$$\sigma^{(2)}\left(\frac{S^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}; \mu^2\right) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dl^2}{l^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} C\left(\frac{S^2}{l^2}, \frac{Q^2}{k^2}; \alpha_s(\mu^2)\right) f\left(\frac{l^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{k^2}{\mu^2}; \mu^2\right), \quad (2)$$

where the full dependence on the renormalization scale μ^2 is displayed explicitly (though that of the cross-section is of course fictitious). The formal extension of the integration limits is of no consequence for the applications we shall consider (provided the definitions of coefficient functions and parton distributions are suitably extended). Just as the high virtuality limit corresponds to $Q^2 \rightarrow \infty$ at fixed S^2 , so the high energy limit is now $S^2 \rightarrow \infty$ at fixed Q^2 . Both the convolutions may be undone by taking Mellin transforms: defining for example

$$\sigma_{NM}^{(2)}(\mu^2) \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{dS^2}{S^2} \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{S^2}\right)^N \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ^2}{Q^2} \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{Q^2}\right)^M \sigma\left(\frac{S^2}{\mu^2}, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}; \mu^2\right), \quad (3)$$

the double factorization (2) assumes the simple form

$$\sigma_{NM}^{(2)} = C_{NM}(\alpha_s(\mu^2)) f_{NM}(\mu^2). \quad (4)$$

We next explain how mass factorization can be derived from the double factorization (2). At large Q^2 we may write (2) as

$$\sigma_N^{(2)}(Q^2/\mu^2; \mu^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\epsilon-i\infty}^{\epsilon+i\infty} dM \left(\frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^M C_{NM}(\alpha_s(\mu^2)) f_{NM}(\mu^2), \quad (5)$$

with the integration contour passing to the right of the origin and closed on the left. The singularities in the M -plane at any fixed order in perturbation theory may be found by power-counting arguments [13], since the Mellin transform of $(\Lambda^2/Q^2)^k \ln^n \frac{\Lambda^2}{Q^2}$ is $n!/(M-k)^{n+1}$. It follows that the leading singularity at large Q^2 is at $M=0$, singularities further to the left giving rise to higher twist terms which vanish as powers of $1/Q^2$. Similarly all two particle irreducible contributions to the cross-section are down by powers of $1/Q^2$, and can thus be ignored at leading twist.

Hence at large Q^2 and leading twist we are interested only in the behaviour of C_{NM} and f_{NM} in the neighbourhood of $M=0$. The two particle irreducible unintegrated parton distribution function is regular at $M=0$ (again by power counting [13]), while the (two particle reducible) coefficient function contains logarithmic singularities which correspond to multiple poles at $M=0$. We may thus perform a Laurent expansion of the coefficient function

$$C_{NM}(\alpha_s(\mu^2)) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} C_N^m(\alpha_s(\mu^2)) M^{-m-1}, \quad (6)$$

so that at large Q^2

$$C_N(Q^2/k^2; \mu^2) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} C_N^m(\alpha_s(\mu^2)) (\ln Q^2/k^2)^m + O(k^2/Q^2). \quad (7)$$

Since the logarithmic singularities are generated perturbatively, the coefficient $C_N^m(\alpha_s)$ will begin at $O(\alpha_s^m)$ when $m > 0$. Similarly the unintegrated parton distribution function has a Taylor expansion

$$f_{NM}(\mu^2) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_N^m(\mu^2) M^m, \quad (8)$$

where

$$f_N^m(\mu^2) = \frac{1}{m!} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} (\ln \mu^2/k^2)^m f_N(k^2/\mu^2; \mu^2). \quad (9)$$

Now, contributions to the contour integral in eq. (5) with a given power of $\ln \frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}$ will pick up the coefficients of multiple poles in the product of the expansions (6) and (8). It follows that going beyond leading order in (8) introduces extra powers of M , which must be compensated by extra powers of $1/M$ in (6), and thus extra powers of α_s . Hence in a leading logarithm approximation we need retain only the first term in (9): writing the integrated parton distribution function $F_N(\mu^2) = f_N^0(\mu^2)$ the double factorization (5) of two particle reducible contributions then becomes simply

$$\sigma_N(Q^2/\mu^2; \mu^2) = C_N(Q^2/\mu^2; \alpha_s(\mu^2)) F_N(\mu^2) + O(1/Q^2), \quad (10)$$

i.e. the mass factorization of the complete cross-section at high Q^2 .

Renormalization group invariance (i.e. μ^2 independence) of the cross-section then implies that if

$$\mu^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu^2} F_N(\mu^2) = \gamma_N(\alpha_s(\mu^2)) F_N(\mu^2), \quad (11)$$

the cross-section may be written as

$$\sigma_N(Q^2/\mu^2; \mu^2) = C_N(1; \alpha_s(Q^2)) \Gamma_N(Q^2, \mu^2) F_N(\mu^2) + O(1/Q^2), \quad (12)$$

where $C_N(1; \alpha_s) = C_N^0(\alpha_s)$, and the evolution factor

$$\Gamma_N(Q^2, \mu^2) \equiv \exp \int_{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}^{\alpha_s(Q^2)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \gamma_N(\alpha), \quad (13)$$

with $\beta(\alpha_s(\mu^2)) \equiv \partial \alpha_s(\mu^2) / \partial \ln \mu^2$. All the dependence on μ^2 may then be eliminated explicitly by setting $F_N(Q^2) = \Gamma_N(Q^2, \mu^2) F_N(\mu^2)$.

This derivation of leading-order mass factorization may be extended to all orders in perturbation theory by choosing suitable mass factorization schemes (where, in particular, all terms in the expansion eq. (8) have the same μ^2 dependence). Eqs. (10)-(13) then still hold but with

$$F_N(Q^2) \equiv f_N^0(Q^2) + C_N^0(\alpha_s(Q^2))^{-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_N^m(\alpha_s(Q^2)) f_N^m(Q^2). \quad (14)$$

Beyond leading order this expression involves increasingly higher logarithmic moments (9) of the unintegrated parton distribution function, so that it is in principle not possible to reconstruct the unintegrated parton distribution function from the integrated.

Our main interest in this derivation is that because of the symmetry between Q^2 and S^2 we may use a very similar argument to derive an energy factorization theorem valid at high energies, i.e. as $S^2/\Lambda^2 \rightarrow \infty$, rather than at high virtualities. In the high energy limit two particle irreducible graphs are no longer power suppressed. However they are

still free of logarithmic singularities [10], at least at a fixed order in perturbation theory, and thus in the ultraviolet they are at most constant. Graphs which grow logarithmically are thus two particle reducible (in either the s or t channel), and in fact, as can be shown by explicit calculation, must be two gluon reducible. This means that we can put all the two quark reducible and two particle irreducible graphs together into a contribution to the cross-section which, though not perturbatively calculable, behaves as a constant in the high energy limit (see fig. 1). The remaining two gluon reducible contributions are then given by

$$\sigma_M^{(2)}(S^2/\mu^2; \mu^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\epsilon-i\infty}^{\epsilon+i\infty} dN \left(\frac{S^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^N C_{NM}(\alpha_s(\mu^2)) f_{NM}(\mu^2), \quad (15)$$

where again the contour passes just to the right of the origin and is closed on the left, and the rightmost singularity is that at $N = 0$, the remaining singularities giving contributions which are power suppressed as $S^2/\Lambda^2 \rightarrow \infty$.

It follows that the leading behaviour at high energies is found expanding C_{NM} and f_{NM} in the neighbourhood of $N = 0$. All the logarithmic singularities are again in the coefficient function: the parton distribution function is two particle irreducible and thus has at most a simple pole at $N = 0$, so we expand it as

$$f_{NM}(\mu^2) = N^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_M^n(\mu^2) N^n, \quad (16)$$

so that

$$f_M^n(\mu^2) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty \frac{dl^2}{l^2} (\ln \mu^2/l^2)^n l^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial l^2} f_M(l^2/\mu^2; \mu^2) = -\frac{1}{n!} \int_0^1 dy (\ln y)^n \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \bar{f}_M(y; \mu^2). \quad (17)$$

and in particular for $n = 0$ we have simply

$$f_M^0(\mu^2) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \bar{f}_M(y; \mu^2). \quad (18)$$

The coefficient function admits an expansion analogous to (6):

$$C_{NM}(\alpha_s(\mu^2)) = N \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} C_M^n(\alpha_s(\mu^2)) N^{-n-1}, \quad (19)$$

so that

$$C_M(S^2/l^2; \mu^2) \underset{s^2/l^2 \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} C_M^{n+1}(\alpha_s(\mu^2)) (\ln S^2/l^2)^n + O(l^2/S^2), \quad (20)$$

where $C_M^n(\alpha_s)$ begins at $O(\alpha_s^n)$ when $n > 0$. Because the top rung is necessarily a quark, which cannot generate a logarithm of S^2 , there is one more power of α_s in this expansion than in the similar expansion (6).

Energy factorization now follows in precisely the same way as mass factorization: in the leading logarithm approximation we need retain only the first term in (16): calling this $F_M(\mu^2)$ we immediately get

$$\sigma_M(S^2/\mu^2; \mu^2) = C_M(S^2/\mu^2; \alpha_s(\mu^2))F_M(\mu^2) + \sigma_M^q(S^2/\mu^2; \mu^2) + O(1/S^2), \quad (21)$$

where σ_M^q contains the two gluon irreducible terms [terms (b) and (c) in fig. 1] and approaches a constant in the high energy limit, while the first term [term(a) in fig. 1] grows logarithmically with S^2 .

We may now again use renormalization group invariance of the cross-section to derive the longitudinal evolution equation

$$\mu^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu^2} F_M(\mu^2) = \gamma_M(\alpha_s(\mu^2))F_M(\mu^2), \quad (22)$$

where $\gamma_M(\alpha_s(\mu^2))$ is a new longitudinal anomalous dimension (as opposed to the conventional transverse anomalous dimension $\gamma_N(\alpha_s(\mu^2))$ defined in (11)). The energy factorization (21) then becomes

$$\sigma_M(S^2/\mu^2; \mu^2) = C_M(1; \alpha_s(S^2))\Gamma_M(S^2, \mu^2)F_N(\mu^2) + \sigma_M^q(S^2/\mu^2; \mu^2) + O(1/S^2), \quad (23)$$

where $C_M(1; \alpha_s) = C_M^0(\alpha_s)$, and the evolution factor

$$\Gamma_M(S^2, \mu^2) \equiv \exp \int_{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}^{\alpha_s(S^2)} \frac{d\alpha}{\beta(\alpha)} \gamma_M(\alpha). \quad (24)$$

Again these results may be extended to all orders in perturbation theory by choosing a suitable class of factorization schemes: the longitudinal integrated parton distribution function is then given by

$$F_M(S^2) \equiv f_M^0(S^2) + C_M^0(\alpha_s(S^2))^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_M^n(\alpha_s(S^2)) f_M^n(S^2), \quad (25)$$

so that again the unintegrated parton distribution function cannot be reconstructed from it. It follows that the two integrated parton distribution functions $F_N(\mu^2)$ and $F_M(\mu^2)$ are not directly related to one another.

Just as the usual evolution eq. (12) resums logarithms of the transverse scale Q^2 , the evolution equation (23) resums logarithms of the large longitudinal scale S^2 . Thus while the former evolution is asymptotically free at high virtualities, the latter is asymptotically free at high energies, i.e. at small x . In both cases, the resummation follows directly from renormalization group improvement of the dependence on the unphysical variable μ^2 : the scale of this dependence is then necessarily the large physical scale which underpins the appropriate factorization formula, eq. (10) and (21) respectively. An inappropriate choice of renormalization scale in either case, such as for example Q^2 in a high energy process, results in a badly behaved perturbative approximation, signalled by a large scheme

dependence of the results, which is due to the fact that large logarithms are not being resummed. Both evolutions require nonperturbative input: in the former case a starting distribution $F_N(Q_0^2)$, and in the latter case a starting distribution $F_M(S_0^2)$. However, the renormalization group improved factorizations (12) and (23) imply that the final results are independent of the starting scales, Q_0^2 and $S_0^2 \equiv \Lambda^2/x_0$ respectively.

Before we can consider perturbative evolution in the longitudinal case we must first know the longitudinal anomalous dimension $\gamma_M(\alpha_s)$, or, rewriting (22) as

$$S^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial S^2} F(Q^2, S^2) = \frac{\alpha_s(S^2)}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dk^2}{k^2} P(Q^2/k^2; \alpha_s(S^2)) F(k^2, S^2), \quad (26)$$

the splitting function $P(\kappa; \alpha_s(S^2))$ given by the inversion of the Mellin transform

$$\gamma_M(\alpha_s) = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\kappa}{\kappa} \kappa^M P(\kappa; \alpha_s). \quad (27)$$

The splitting function may be calculated in the Weizsäcker–Williams approximation by considering the emission of quasi-collinear partons in the infinite momentum frame (so that the transverse momenta k are much smaller than the longitudinal momenta p), and picking out the coefficient of whichever large logarithm we are interested in. In the transverse case [9] this is related to the collinear divergence of a parton propagating in the t -channel with small transverse momenta k^2 , while in the longitudinal case it is the infrared divergence due to the emission of a soft parton of longitudinal momentum yp .

When computing the transverse splitting function, it is sufficient to consider t -channel emissions, since emission in the s -channel produces no extra collinear logarithms. However, for the longitudinal splitting function, both s and t channel emissions can contribute: for purely gluonic processes the sum of the amplitudes for emission of t and s channel gluons (see fig. 2) is (suppressing colour factors for simplicity)

$$A_{++}^{(1)}(k, k') = 2g^2 \left(\frac{(k' - k)^*}{|k - k'|^2} \frac{1}{y} \frac{(-k^*)}{z} - \frac{y}{|k'|^2} \left(\frac{-k^*}{x} \right) \frac{k'^*}{y} \right) \quad (28)$$

where $k = k_x + ik_y$ and the subscript $++$ denotes the helicities of the emitted and final-state gluons: amplitudes for other helicity combinations are found by complex conjugation of the pertinent momenta. Evaluating the photon-parton cross section in the Weizsäcker–Williams approximation in terms of the spin and colour averaged square of the amplitude (28) and the total inclusive cross section for the photon to scatter off parton C

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma^{(1)} &= \frac{d^3 p_B}{(2\pi)^3 2E_B} \frac{d^3 p_{B'}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{B'}} |A^{(1)}(k, k')|^2 \frac{1}{p_C^2} x\sigma(\gamma^* C \rightarrow X) \\ &= d\sigma^{(0)} \frac{d^2 k'}{\pi} \frac{dy}{y} 2C_A \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{|k|^2}{|k'|^2} \frac{1}{|k - k'|^2} \\ &= d\sigma^{(0)} \frac{dy}{y} \frac{d\kappa}{\kappa} 2C_A \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \frac{1}{|1 - \kappa|}, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $\kappa = |k'|^2/|k|^2$. The photon-parton cross section $d\sigma^{(0)}$ thus acquires an extra energy logarithm due to the extra emission. The real contribution to the splitting function may thus be simply identified with the coefficient $2C_A/|1 - \kappa|$ of this logarithm. Virtual gluon emission produces a contribution to the splitting function proportional to $\delta(1 - \kappa)$ (because it leaves the momentum of the propagating parton unchanged), and regularises the singularity at $\kappa = 1$, to give

$$P(\kappa) = 2C_A \left[2 \frac{1}{1 - \kappa} \Big|_+ \Theta(1 - \kappa) - \text{P} \frac{1}{1 - \kappa} + c\delta(1 - \kappa) \right], \quad (30)$$

where Θ is the step function, P indicates the principal part, + is the plus prescription defined in ref. [9], and c is a finite real number, which may be determined to be zero by explicit calculation of the one loop virtual corrections, or from the knowledge of the two-loop transverse splitting function, as described below.

The longitudinal anomalous dimension may then be determined from the splitting function (30) by taking Mellin moments (27):

$$\gamma_M(\alpha_s) = C_A \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \chi(M) + O(\alpha_s^2), \quad (31)$$

where

$$\chi(M) = \int_0^1 \frac{d\kappa}{1 - \kappa} (\kappa^{M-1} + \kappa^{-M} - 2) = 2\psi(1) - \psi(M) - \psi(1 - M), \quad (32)$$

and $\psi(z)$ is the digamma function.

Solving the evolution equation (22) with the anomalous dimension (31) corresponds to iterating the s- and t-channel emissions of fig. 2, with the kinematic constraint of strong ordering of longitudinal momenta $1/y_1 \ll \dots \ll 1/y_n \ll 1/x$. The iteration may now be checked by explicit computation: this is one of the advantages of using the Weizsäcker-Williams approximation. The diagrams generated in this way are then all (cut) gluon ladders with rungs dressed by rainbow self-energy corrections (plus virtual corrections). These are the same leading logarithmic corrections as those to the unintegrated parton distribution function summed at fixed coupling by the BFKL equation [5,6,14]: $\chi(M)$ is the Lipatov characteristic function and thus the longitudinal splitting function $P(\kappa)$ coincides with the kernel of the (forward) BFKL equation. The evolution equation (26), because it has been derived from the appropriate factorization theorem (21), performs instead a renormalization group resummation of these logarithms which drive the evolution of the integrated parton distribution function $F_M(S^2)$. The perturbative approach is then justified self consistently because the coupling becomes asymptotically free in the high energy limit.

When quarks are included, it is easy to check by a similar calculation that only the process of gluon emission from a quark can generate energy logarithms. Thus at the level of leading logarithms any initial quark must immediately turn into a gluon, in which case its contribution may be absorbed into the initial gluon distribution, or else be struck by the virtual photon, in which case it contributes to the asymptotically flat term σ_M^q in (23). It follows that at the leading order there is only one type of evolving parton, namely the

gluon. It is not difficult to see that one can choose factorization schemes in which this remains true to all orders.

Finally, coefficient functions $C_M(1; \alpha_s)$ may be calculated at leading order by evaluating the quark box for an incoming off-shell gluon, taking Mellin transforms and setting $N = 0$. For the contributions of light quarks to F_2 and F_L this gives [11,15]

$$\begin{aligned} C_M^2(1; \alpha_s) &= \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} T_R \frac{2(2 + 3M - 3M^2)}{M(3 - 2M)} \frac{\Gamma^3(1 - M)\Gamma^3(1 + M)}{\Gamma(2 + 2M)\Gamma(2 - 2M)} + O(\alpha_s^2), \\ C_M^L(1; \alpha_s) &= \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} T_R \frac{4(1 - M)}{3 - 2M} \frac{\Gamma^3(1 - M)\Gamma^3(1 + M)}{\Gamma(2 + 2M)\Gamma(2 - 2M)} + O(\alpha_s^2); \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

coefficient functions for heavy quark production may be deduced from the results in ref. [16,17]. Whereas at leading order the M -dependence of any particular coefficient function can be absorbed in a redefinition of the starting gluon distribution $G_M(S_0^2)$, and has thus no physical meaning, ratios of coefficient functions are physically meaningful.

The complementary role of the longitudinal and transverse renormalization group equations (22) and (11) may be further elucidated noting that they are connected by a duality relation. If for simplicity the coupling is held fixed and the equations are truncated at leading order, they take the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln S^2} \sigma_M(S^2) = \bar{\alpha}_s \chi(M) \sigma_M(S^2), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \ln Q^2} \sigma_N(Q^2) = \bar{\alpha}_s \phi(N) \sigma_N(Q^2), \quad (34)$$

where the leading order pure gluon transverse anomalous dimension $\gamma_N^{gg}(\alpha_s) \equiv \bar{\alpha}_s \phi(N)$, with $\bar{\alpha}_s \equiv \frac{C_A}{\pi} \alpha_s$, while the corresponding longitudinal anomalous dimension is given by (31). Taking a second Mellin transform of both equations, we then find that

$$N \sigma_{NM} = \bar{\alpha}_s \chi(M) \sigma_{NM}, \quad M \sigma_{NM} = \bar{\alpha}_s \phi(N) \sigma_{NM}, \quad (35)$$

respectively. So both equations can be viewed as defining a trajectory in the N - M plane, each of which approximates at leading order in $\bar{\alpha}_s$ the ‘‘true’’ trajectory (as given by a hypothetical all-order computation), the former when N is small, the latter when M is small. Both equations will only be valid together if both N and M are small: since both χ and ϕ have a simple pole with unit residue at the origin, both equations then reduce to $NM = \bar{\alpha}_s$, which corresponds (at fixed coupling) to the double logarithmic or double scaling approximation [18,19].

This however also implies that we may turn the longitudinal renormalization group equation into a transverse renormalization group equation, and vice versa, by defining leading singularity anomalous dimensions implicitly as

$$1 = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{N} \chi\left(\gamma_T^{LS}\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{N}\right)\right), \quad 1 = \frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{M} \phi\left(\gamma_L^{LS}\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{M}\right)\right), \quad (36)$$

in terms of which the two evolution equations (35) may be written as

$$M \sigma_{NM} = \gamma_T^{LS}\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{N}\right) \sigma_{NM}, \quad N \sigma_{NM} = \gamma_L^{LS}\left(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{M}\right) \sigma_{NM}, \quad (37)$$

respectively. Thus the leading singularities in N can also be summed up explicitly using a transverse renormalization group equation, provided the anomalous dimension $\gamma_N(\alpha_s) = \gamma_T^{LS}(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{N})$ is used, which adds up the leading singularities in N in the transverse anomalous dimension to all orders [11,20,21]; conversely the M singularities may be summed up using a longitudinal renormalization group equation.

This duality can thus be used to provide powerful cross-checks on the transverse and longitudinal anomalous dimensions, since knowledge of the leading order transverse anomalous dimension determines the leading singularities of the longitudinal anomalous dimension to all orders, and conversely. For example the result $c = 0$ in (30) is equivalent to the vanishing of the leading singularity at NLO (i.e. the $O(\alpha_s^2/N^2)$ term in the transverse anomalous dimension [12]). It is now also clear that the failure of attempts [22] to extend the reach of the transverse evolution equations to high energies (11) by simply adding the leading singularities $\gamma_T^{LS}(\frac{\bar{\alpha}_s}{N})$ to a fixed order truncation of $\gamma_N(\alpha_s)$ is due to the fact that while the mass singularities are being resummed (because the coupling runs with Q^2), the energy singularities are merely summed [21]. This explains the large scheme dependence in such calculations [23], and ultimately their failure to agree with experiment [7,24].

We can now discuss some of the consequences of our results for the behaviour of cross-sections at asymptotically high energies. The structure function at leading order is determined by solving the evolution equation (23) with the anomalous dimension (31), and combining with the appropriate coefficient function (33):

$$F_2(x, Q^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\epsilon-i\infty}^{\epsilon+i\infty} dM \left(\frac{Q^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^M \frac{1}{2} \langle e^2 \rangle C_M^2(1; \alpha_s(S^2)) \left(\frac{\ln S^2/\Lambda^2}{\ln S_0^2/\Lambda^2}\right)^{\gamma^2 \chi(M)} G_M^0 + F_2^q(Q^2), \quad (38)$$

where $\langle e^2 \rangle$ is the average of the light quark charges, $\gamma \equiv \sqrt{4C_A/\beta_0}$, and β_0 is the leading coefficient of the β -function. The a priori unknown inputs at the starting scale S_0 are the initial integrated gluon distribution $G^0(Q^2)$ (the only evolving longitudinal parton distribution) and the unevolving quark piece $F_2^q(Q^2)$. To determine the asymptotic behaviour at high energy we may take a dipole expression $Q^2/(Q^2 + \Lambda_0^2)$ for $G^0(Q^2)$, which has the correct behaviour at both large and small Q^2 . Its Mellin transform is then

$$G_M^0 = \frac{G_0 \pi}{\sin \pi M} \left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda_0^2}\right)^M. \quad (39)$$

At high energies (and thus large η) the integral (38) will be dominated by the contribution from the saddle point at M_s , where

$$t + \gamma^2 \chi'(M_s) \eta = 0, \quad (40)$$

where $t \equiv \ln Q^2/\Lambda_0^2$ (note that the nonperturbative input sets the scale for Q^2) while $\eta \equiv \ln \frac{\ln S^2/\Lambda^2}{\ln S_0^2/\Lambda^2} = \ln \frac{\ln x}{\ln x_0}$. Since $\chi'(M)$ increases monotonically as M increases from zero to one, the precise position of the saddle point will depend on the ratio t/η .

Consider first the high energy limit $\eta \rightarrow \infty$, $t/\eta \rightarrow 0$ (when t is fixed this is the so-called Regge limit – see fig. 3). Then expanding $\chi'(M)$ about $M = \frac{1}{2}$, we have $M_s = \frac{1}{2} - t/28\zeta_3\gamma^2\eta + \dots$, whence the asymptotic behaviour

$$F_2(x, Q^2) \underset{x \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \mathcal{N}_0 \left(\frac{Q^2}{\Lambda_0^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\ln \frac{1}{x} \right)^{4 \ln 2 \gamma^2 - 1} + F_2^q(Q^2), \quad (41)$$

valid in the region $\eta \gg |t|/28\zeta_3\gamma^2$. Subleading corrections to this behaviour are down by powers of $|t|/\eta$, while corrections due to higher orders in perturbation theory are suppressed by powers of $\ln 1/x$.

It follows that in the high energy limit F_2 grows logarithmically at a universal rate, quite independently of the starting conditions, since eventually the first term in (41) must always overcome the second. Furthermore, in this limit the Q^2 dependence is also universal. The universality is a direct consequence of the fact that there is only one evolving parton at high energies. This parton can be viewed as playing the role of the pomeron: it generates a universal rise in the high energy limit. However the rise is only logarithmic, rather than powerlike, which is compatible with the logarithmic nature of unitarity bounds [8].

Several asymptotic predictions can be derived from this universality, and its applicability to other structure functions. For example using (33) we see that asymptotically F_2 and F_L grow in the same way, and in fact

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{F_L(x, Q^2)}{F_2(x, Q^2)} = \frac{2}{11}. \quad (42)$$

More generally we can derive sum rules

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dQ^2}{Q^2} (Q^2)^{-M} F_2(x, Q^2) = \frac{2 + 3M(1 - M)}{2M(1 - M)} \int_0^\infty \frac{dQ^2}{Q^2} (Q^2)^{-M} F_L(x, Q^2), \quad (43)$$

valid for $0 < M < 1$. Similar sum rules may be derived for other inclusive structure functions. Corrections are now down by powers of $\alpha_s(S^2)$, rather than powers of η .

Let us now turn to the opposite limit, i.e. that in which as $\eta \rightarrow \infty$, $|t|/\eta \rightarrow \infty$. In the high virtuality limit $t/\eta \rightarrow +\infty$ the position of the saddle point shifts to just above the origin: $M_s = \frac{\gamma}{\rho} - 2\zeta_3 \frac{\gamma^4}{\rho^4} + \dots$, where we write $\sigma \equiv \sqrt{|t|\eta}$ and $\rho \equiv \sqrt{|t|/\eta}$, (similarly to [19], but with the roles of $\frac{1}{x}$ and Q^2 interchanged). We then find that asymptotically

$$F_2(x, Q^2) \underset{Q^2 \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \mathcal{N}_+ \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho e^{2\gamma\sigma - \eta} + F_2^q(Q^2), \quad (44)$$

valid whenever $\rho \gg (2\zeta_3)^{\frac{1}{3}} \gamma$. This is even softer than the universal high energy behaviour (41). Similarly in the low virtuality limit $t/\eta \rightarrow -\infty$, i.e. the photoproduction limit (see fig. 3), the saddle point shifts to just below unity: $M_s = 1 - \frac{\gamma}{\rho} + 2\zeta_3 \frac{\gamma^4}{\rho^4} + \dots$, and asymptotically

$$F_2(x, Q^2) \underset{Q^2 \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \mathcal{N}_- (Q^2/\Lambda_0^2) \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho^2 e^{2\gamma\sigma - \eta} + F_2^q(Q^2). \quad (45)$$

The extra factor of Q^2 now ensures the finiteness of the perturbative contribution to the photo-production cross-section, while the growth with energy is softer than any power of $\ln S^2$, and thus inside the Froissart bound [8], as it should be. For F_L an extra power of Q^2 is generated by the coefficient function provided that the quark mass is kept finite.

Both the results (44) and (45) must however be treated with caution, since whenever $|t|$ becomes large we start to leave the the region of validity of the longitudinal renormalization group equation: logarithms of Q^2 become as important as logarithms of S^2 . This can be seen in the perturbative expansion of the anomalous dimension $\gamma_M(\alpha_s)$: as $M \rightarrow 0$ higher order terms behave as $(\alpha_s/M)^m$, generating large ultraviolet logarithms, while as $M \rightarrow 1$ they behave as $(\alpha_s/(1-M))^m$, generating large infrared logarithms, and in both cases leading to the breakdown of the perturbation series. This is precisely analogous to the breakdown of the transverse renormalization group equation as $x \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow 1$ respectively.

The contributions from the infrared regions (i.e. the Sudakov region and the photoproduction region) are in both cases suppressed by the fact that they are kinematic boundaries, and thus the parton distribution functions are small. The ultraviolet regions instead are complementary, since the behaviour (44) matches smoothly into the double scaling behaviour [18,19]. In particular the asymptotic behaviours (41) and (44) provide a soft boundary condition to the transverse renormalization group equation at small x (i.e. rising more slowly than any power of $1/x$), so as Q^2 increases the double scaling rise eventually dominates. This explains why double scaling is so successful phenomenologically [7,25].

In conclusion, we have discussed a new factorization theorem, valid at asymptotically high energies but moderate values of Q^2 , which correctly resums all high energy logarithms. We found that there is then a consistent partonic picture of high energy scattering in which the partons are asymptotically free. There is only one type of evolving parton, which may be thought of as a gluon. As it evolves it generates a universal growth of structure functions at small x reminiscent of that traditionally described by the pomeron, but much softer (logarithmic), and thus consistent with known unitarity limits. This might have far reaching implications not only for our understanding of other inelastic lepton-hadron processes (such as diffractive and rapidity gap events), but also for photon-photon and purely hadronic processes.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank S. Catani, V. Del Duca and E. Predazzi for various discussions during the course of this work. We also thank the theory division at CERN where part of this work was done, and the PPARC for a Visiting Fellowship award.

References

- [1] F. Wilczek hep-th/9609099, and ref. therein.
- [2] H. Cheng and T.T. Wu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **24** (1970) 759, 1456; *Phys. Rev.* **D1** (1970) 2775;
G.V. Frolov, V.N. Gribov and L.N. Lipatov, *Phys. Lett.* **B31** (1970) 34.
- [3] H. Cheng and T.T. Wu, “Expanding Protons: Scattering at High Energies” (MIT Press, 1987) and ref. therein.
- [4] G. 't Hooft, *Phys. Lett.* **B198** (1987) 61;
R. Jackiw, D. Kabat and M. Ortiz, *Phys. Lett.* **B277** (1992) 148;
H. Verlinde and E. Verlinde, hep-th/9302104.
- [5] V.S. Fadin, E.A. Kuraev and L.N. Lipatov, *Phys. Lett.* **B60** (1975) 50;
Sov. Phys. J.E.T.P. **44** (1976) 443; **45** (1977) 199.
- [6] L.N. Lipatov, in “Perturbative QCD”, ed. A.H. Mueller (World Scientific, 1989) and ref. therein.
- [7] R.D. Ball and A. DeRoeck, hep-ph/9609309, and ref. therein.
- [8] M. Froissart, *Phys. Rev.* **123** (1961) 1053;
A. Martin, *Nuov. Cim.* **42** (1966) 930; **44** (1966) 1219.
- [9] G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, *Nucl. Phys.* **B126** (1977) 298.
- [10] R.K. Ellis et al, *Phys. Lett.* **78B** (1978) 281; *Nucl. Phys.* **B152** (1979) 285.
- [11] S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni and F. Hautmann, *Phys. Lett.* **B307** (1993) 147;
S. Catani and F. Hautmann, *Phys. Lett.* **B315** (1993) 157; *Nucl. Phys.* **B427** (1994) 475.
- [12] G. Curci, W. Furmanski and R. Petronzio, *Nucl. Phys.* **B175** (1980) 27.
- [13] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* **118** (1960) 838, and in “The Quantum Theory of Fields” (Cambridge, 1996).
- [14] S. Catani et al, *Nucl. Phys.* **B336** (1990) 18; **B361** (1991) 645;
A.H. Mueller, *Nucl. Phys.* **B415** (1994) 373;
V. Del Duca, *Scientifica Acta* **10** (1995) 91 (hep-ph/9503226);
I. Balitskii, *Nucl. Phys.* **B463** (1996) 99;
J. Jalilian-Marian et al., hep-ph/9701284 .
- [15] M. Ciafaloni, *Phys. Lett.* **B356** (1995) 74.
- [16] S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni and F. Hautmann, *Phys. Lett.* **B242** (1990) 97;
Nucl. Phys. **B366** (1991) 135.
- [17] S. Catani, hep-ph/9608310.
- [18] A. De Rújula et al., *Phys. Rev.* **10** (1974) 1649.
- [19] R.D. Ball and S. Forte, *Phys. Lett.* **B335** (1994) 77; **B336** (1994) 77.
- [20] T. Jaroszewicz, *Phys. Lett.* **116B** (1982) 291.
- [21] R.D. Ball and S. Forte, *Phys. Lett.* **B351** (1995) 313; **B359** (1995) 362.

- [22] R.K. Ellis et al, *Phys. Lett.* **B348** (1995) 582;
R.D. Ball and S. Forte, *Phys. Lett.* **B358** (1995) 365;
J. Blümlein et al, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* **51C** (1996) 30;
R.S. Thorne, *Phys. Lett.* **B392** (1997) 463; hep-ph/9701241.
- [23] S. Forte and R.D. Ball, hep-ph/9507211.
- [24] R.D. Ball and S. Forte, hep-ph/9607291;
I. Bojak and M. Ernst, hep-ph/9702282.
- [25] S. Forte and R.D. Ball, hep-ph/9610268;
L. Mankiewicz, A. Saalfeld and T. Weigl, hep-ph/9612297.




Figure 1: Contributions to the forward amplitude: a) two gluon reducible, b) two gluon irreducible but two quark reducible c) two particle irreducible. At high Q^2 a) and b) are leading twist and evolve, while c) is higher twist (power suppressed). At high S^2 none of them are power suppressed, but only a) evolves, while b) and c) are asymptotically constant.




Figure 2: Diagrams for (a) t-channel and (b) s-channel gluon emission contributing to the splitting function. For each line the parton's energy (in units of p) and transverse momentum are indicated.




Figure 3: Regions and limits in the $(Q^2, \frac{1}{x})$ plane. The shaded area denotes the region of nonperturbative dynamics where there is no large scale.