#### **Conformal Phase Transition**

V. A. MIRANSKY Institute for Theoretical Physics 252143 Kiev, Ukraine Abstract

The conception of the conformal phase transiton (CPT), which is relevant for the description of non-perturbative dynamics in gauge theories, is discussed.

#### 1 Introduction

In this talk I will discuss the conception of the conformal phase transition (CPT) which has been recently introduced and elaborated in a work done together with Koichi Yamawaki<sup>1</sup>.As I will try to convince you, this conception is relevant for the description of non-perturbative dynamics in gauge theories.

The standard framework for the description of continuous phase transitions is the Landau-Ginzburg, or  $\sigma$ -model-like, effective action<sup>2</sup>. In particular, in that approach, a phase transition is governed by the parameter

$$M^{(2)} \equiv \frac{d^2 V}{dX^2} \mid_{X=0} , \qquad (1)$$

where V is the effective potential and X in an order parameter connected with the phase transition. When  $M^{(2)} > 0$  ( $M^{(2)} < 0$ ), the symmetric (nonsymmetric) phase is realized. The value  $M^{(2)} = 0$  defines the critical point.

Thus, as  $M^{(2)}$  changes, one phase smoothly transforms into another. In particular, masses of light excitations are continuous (though non-analytic at the critical point) functions of such parameters as coupling constants, temperature, etc. If  $M^{(2)} \equiv 0$ , the parameter  $M^{(4)} \equiv \frac{d^4V}{dX^4} \mid_{X=0}$  plays the role of  $M^{(2)}$ , etc.

In this talk, I will describe a non- $\sigma$ -model-like, though continuous, phase transition, which is relevant for the description of non-perturbative dynamics in gauge field theories. Because, as will become clear below, this phase transition is intimately connected with a nonperturbative breakdown of the conformal symmetry, we will call it the conformal phase transition (CPT).

In a  $\sigma$ -model-like phase transition, around the critical point  $z = z_c$  (where z is a generic notation for parameters of a theory, as the coupling constant  $\alpha$ , number of particle flavors  $N_f$ , etc.), an order parameter X is

$$X = \Lambda f(z) \tag{2}$$

(A is an ultraviolet cutoff), where f(z) has such a non-essential singularity at  $z = z_c$  that  $\lim f(z) = 0$  as z goes to  $z_c$  both in symmetric and non-symmetric phases. The standard form for f(z) is  $f(z) \sim (z - z_c)^{\nu}$ ,  $\nu > 0$ , around  $z = z_c$ .

The CPT is a very different continuous phase transition. We define it as a phase transition in which an order parameter X is given by Eq. (2) where f(z) has such an <u>essential</u> singularity at  $z = z_c$  that while

$$\lim_{z \to z_c} f(z) = 0 \tag{3}$$

as z goes to  $z_c$  from the side of the non-symmetric phase,  $\lim f(z) \neq 0$  as  $z \to z_c$  from the side of the symmetric phase (where  $X \equiv 0$ ). Notice that since

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Strictly speaking, Landau and Ginzburg considered the mean-field phase transition with  $\nu = 1/2$ . By a  $\sigma$ -model like phase transition, we understand a more general class, when fields may have anomalous dimensions <sup>3</sup>.

the relation (3) ensures that the order parameter  $X \to 0$  as  $z \to z_c$ , the phase transition is continuous.

There actually exist well-known models in which such a phase transition is realized. As an example of the CPT is the phase transition at  $\alpha^{(0)} = 0$  $(\alpha^{(0)} = \frac{(g^{(0)})^2}{4\pi}$  is the bare coupling constant) in massless QCD with a small, say,  $N_f \leq 3$ , number of fermion flavors. In this case, the order parameter X, describing chiral symmetry breaking, is  $X \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$  and

$$X \sim \Lambda_{\rm QCD} \sim \Lambda f(\alpha^{(0)}) , \qquad (4)$$

where  $f(\alpha^{(0)}) \simeq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{b\alpha^{(0)}}\right)$  (*b* is the first coefficient of the QCD  $\beta$  function). The function  $f(\alpha^{(0)})$  goes to zero only if  $\alpha^{(0)} \to 0$  from the side of  $Re\alpha^{(0)} > 0$ .

The above example is somewhat degenerate: the critical point  $\alpha_c^{(0)} = 0$  is at the edge of the physical space with  $\alpha^{(0)} \ge 0$ . A more regular example of the CPT is given by the phase transition at  $g^{(0)} = 0$  in the (1+1)-dimensional Gross-Neveu model: in that case both positive and negative values of  $g^{(0)}$  are physical (see Sec.3).

There may exist more sophisticated realizations of the CPT. As is discussed in <sup>1</sup>, an example of the CPT may be provided by the phase transition with respect to the number of fermion flavors  $N_f$  in a  $SU(N_c)$  vector-like gauge theory in (3+1) dimensions, considered by Banks and Zaks long ago<sup>4</sup>. In that case, unlike the phase transition at  $\alpha^{(0)} = 0$  in QCD, the critical value  $N_f^{cr}$ separates two physical phases, with  $N_f < N_f^{cr}$  and  $N_f \ge N_f^{cr}$ .

There may exist other examples of the CPT. Also there may exist phase transitions in (2+1)-dimensional theories which "imitate" the CPT (see Sec.5).

The main goal of this talk is to reveal the main features of the CPT (common for its different realizations).

The CPT is <u>not</u> a  $\sigma$ -model-like phase transition, though it is continuous. In particular, in the CPT, one cannot introduce the parameters  $M^{(2n)} = \frac{d^{2n}V}{dX^{2n}}|_{X=0}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ , governing the phase transition. Another characteristic feature of the CPT is an abrupt change of the number of light excitations as the critical point is crossed (though the phase transition is continuous). While evident in QCD and the Gross-Neveu model, it is realized in a more subtle way in the general case. This feature implies a specific form of the effective action describing light excitations in theories with the CPT, which will be discussed in this talk later.

## 2 Peculiarities of the Spectrum of Light Excitations in the CPT

As was already pointed out in the Introduction, in the case of the  $\sigma$ -model-like phase transition, masses of light excitations are continuous functions of the parameters z around the critical point  $z = z_c$  (though they are non-analytic at  $z = z_c$ ). Let us show that the situation in the case of the CPT is different: there is an abrupt change of the spectrum of light excitations, as the critical point  $z = z_c$  is crossed.

Let us start from a particular, and important, case of the CPT connected with dynamical chiral symmetry breaking. In this case, in the non-symmetric phase, amongst light (with masses much less than cutoff  $\Lambda$ ) excitations, there are massless Nambu-Goldstone (NG) bosons  $\pi$ , their chiral partners,  $\sigma$  bosons, and light (with  $m_{dyn} \ll \Lambda$ ) fermions. The masses of  $\sigma$  and fermions are given by scaling relations:

$$M_{\sigma}^2 = C_{\sigma} \Lambda^2 f(z) \tag{5}$$

$$m_{dyn}^2 = C_f \Lambda^2 f(z) , \qquad (6)$$

where  $C_{\sigma}$  and  $C_f$  are some positive constants, and f(z) is a universal scaling function. Because of the assumption (3),  $M_{\sigma}^2$  and  $m_{dyn}^2$  are indeed much less than  $\Lambda^2$ , when z is near  $z_c$  from the side of the non-symmetric phase.

Now, are there light  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  resonances in the symmetric phase, with  $m_{dyn} = 0$ ? Since, as was assumed,  $\lim f(z) \neq 0$  as  $z \rightarrow z_c$  in that phase, one should expect that there are no light resonances. Let us show that this is indeed the case.

One might think that in the symmetric phase the mass relation for  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  is yielded by the analytic continuation of the relation (5) for  $M_{\sigma}^2$ . However this is not the case. The point is that while in the non-symmetric phase,  $\pi$ and  $\sigma$  bosons are described by Bethe-Salpeter (BS) equations with a non-zero fermion mass, in the symmetric phase they are described by BS equations with  $m_{dyn} \equiv 0$ . Because of that, BS equations (and, more generally, all the Schwinger-Dyson equations for Green's functions) in the symmetric phase are not yielded by an analytic continuation of the equations in the non-symmetric phase.

To overcome this obstacles, we shall use the following trick. In the nonsymmetric phase, besides the stable solution with  $m_{dyn} \neq 0$ , there is also an unstable solution with  $m_{dyn} = 0$ . In that solution,  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  bosons are tachyons:  $M_{\pi}^2 = M_{\sigma}^2 \equiv M_{tch}^2 < 0$ . Since the replacement of  $m_{dyn} \neq 0$  by  $m_{dyn} = 0$  (at fixed values of the parameters z) does not change the ultraviolet properties of the theory, the scaling relation for the tachyon masses has the same form as that in Eqs. (5) and (6):

$$M_{\pi}^{2} = M_{\sigma}^{2} = M_{tch}^{2} = -C_{tch}\Lambda^{2}f(z), \quad C_{tch} > 0.$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

Since now  $m_{dyn} = 0$ , the BS equations for tachyons have the same form as the BS equations for  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  in the symmetric phase; the difference between these equations is only in the values of z (for convenience, we shall assume that  $z > z_c$  ( $z < z_c$ ) in the non-symmetric (symmetric) phase). Then, in the symmetric phase,

$$M_{\pi}^{2} = M_{\sigma}^{2} = -C_{tch}\Lambda^{2}f(z) ; C_{tch} > 0, \qquad (8)$$

with  $z < z_c$  and  $C_{tch}$  from Eq. (7). Notice that because in the symmetric phase  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  bosons decay to massless fermions and antifermions,  $M_{\pi}^2$  and  $M_{\sigma}^2$  are complex, i.e.  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  are now resonances, if they exist at all.

Since, by definition, in the CPT,  $\lim f(z) \neq 0$  as  $z \to z_c - 0$ , we conclude from Eq. (8) that there are no light resonances near the critical point from the side of the symmetric phase:  $|M_{\pi}^2| = |M_{\sigma}^2| \sim \Lambda^2$  as  $z \to z_c - 0$ .

So far, for concreteness, we have considered the case of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking. But it is clear that (with minor modifications) this consideration can be extended to the general case of the CPT connected with spontaneous breakdown of other symmetries.

Notice also that the relation in Eq. (8) can be useful for general phase transitions and not just for the CPT. The point is that the scaling function f(z) can be determined from the gap equation for the order parameter  $(m_{dyn}^2)$ , in the case of chiral symmetry) which is usually much simpler than the BS equation for massive composites. For example, an abrupt change of the spectrum at the critical point  $z = z_c$  have been revealed in some models: in quenched QED4<sup>5,6</sup> and QED3<sup>7</sup>. This conclusion was based on an analysis of the effective action <sup>5</sup> and the BS equation <sup>6,7</sup>, considered in a rather crude approximation. On the other hand, since the determination of the scaling function f(z) in these models is a much simpler task, this conclusion can be firmly established in the present approach (see Secs.4 and 5). Thus the present consideration yields a simple and general criterion of such a peculiar behavior of the spectrum of light excitations.

It is clear that the abrupt change of the spectrum discussed above implies rather peculiar properties of the effective action for light excitations at the critical point. Below we shall consider this problem in more detail. We shall also reveal an intimate connection between this point and the essential difference of the character of the breakdown of the conformal symmetry in different phases of theories with the CPT.

### 3 D-dimensional Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model. The CPT at D=2

In this section we consider the dynamics in the *D*-dimensional  $(2 \le D < 4)$  Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (Gross-Neveu) model and, in particular, describe the CPT in the Gross-Neveu (GN) model at D = 2. This will allow to illustrate main features of the CPT in a very clear way.

The Lagrangian density of the *D*-dimensional GN model, with the  $U(1)_L \times$ 

 $U(1)_R$  chiral symmetry, is

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \bar{\psi}, (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu})\psi \right] + \frac{G}{2} \left[ (\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 + (\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi)^2 \right], \tag{9}$$

where  $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, D-1$ , and the fermion field carries an additional "color" index  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N_c$ . The theory is equivalent to the theory with the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}' = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \bar{\psi}, (i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu})\psi \right] - \bar{\psi}(\sigma + i\gamma_5\pi)\psi - \frac{1}{2G}(\sigma^2 + \pi^2).$$
(10)

The Euler-Lagrange equations for the auxiliary fields  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  take the form of constraints:

$$\sigma = -G\bar{\psi}\psi , \pi = -G\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi, \qquad (11)$$

and the Lagrangian density (10) reproduces Eq. (9) upon application of the constraints (11). The effective action for the composite fields  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  is obtained by integrating over fermions in the path integral:

$$\Gamma(\sigma,\pi) = -i \operatorname{Tr} \operatorname{Ln} \left[ i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - (\sigma + i \gamma_5 \pi) \right] - \frac{1}{2G} \int d^D x (\sigma^2 + \pi^2).$$
(12)

The low energy dynamics are described by the path integral (with the integrand  $\exp(i\Gamma)$ ) over the fields  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$ . As  $N_c \to \infty$ , the path integral is dominated by the stationary points of the action:  $\frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\sigma} = \frac{\delta\Gamma}{\delta\pi} = 0$ .

Let us look at the effective potential in this theory. It is  $^8$ 

$$V(\sigma,\pi) = \frac{4N_c\Lambda^D}{(4\pi)^{D/2}\Gamma(D/2)} \left[ \left(\frac{1}{g} - \frac{1}{g_{cr}}\right)\frac{\rho^2}{2\Lambda^2} + \frac{2}{4-D}\frac{\xi_D}{D}\left(\frac{\rho}{\Lambda}\right)^D \right] + O(\frac{\rho^4}{\Lambda^4}), \quad (13)$$

where  $\rho = (\sigma^2 + \pi^2)^{1/2}$ ,  $\xi_D = B(D/2 - 1, 3 - D/2)$ , the dimensionless coupling constant g is

$$g = \frac{4N_c \Lambda^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{D/2} \Gamma(D/2)} G,$$
(14)

and the critical coupling  $g_{cr} = \frac{D}{2} - 1$ .

At D > 2, one finds that

$$M^{(2)} \equiv \frac{d^2 V}{d\rho^2} \mid_{\rho=0} \simeq \frac{4N_c \Lambda^{D-2}}{(4\pi)^{D/2} \Gamma(D/2)} \frac{g_{cr} - g}{g_{cr} g}.$$
 (15)

The sign of  $M^{(2)}$  defines two different phases:  $M^{(2)} > 0$   $(g < g_{cr})$  corresponds to the symmetric phase and  $M^{(2)} < 0$   $(g > g_{cr})$  corresponds to the phase with spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking,  $U(1)_L \times U(1)_R \to U(1)_{L+R}$ . The value  $M^{(2)} = 0$  defines the critical point  $g = g_{cr}$ .

Therefore at D > 2, a  $\sigma$ -model-like phase transition is realized. However the case D = 2 is special: now  $g_{cr} \to 0$  and  $\xi_D \to \infty$  as  $D \to 2$ . In this case the effective potential is the well-known potential of the Gross-Neveu model<sup>9</sup>:

$$V(\sigma,\pi) = \frac{N_c}{2\pi g} \rho^2 - \frac{N_c \rho^2}{2\pi} \left[ \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{\rho^2} + 1 \right].$$
 (16)

The parameter  $M^{(2)}$  is now :

$$M^{(2)} = \frac{d^2 V}{d\rho^2} |_{\rho=0} \to +\infty.$$
 (17)

Therefore, in this model, one cannot use  $M^{(2)}$  as a parameter governing the continuous phase transition at  $g = g_{cr} = 0$ : the phase transition is not a  $\sigma$ -model like phase transition in this case. Indeed, as follows from Eq. (16), the order parameter, which is a solution to the gap equation  $\frac{dV}{d\rho} = 0$ , is

$$\bar{\rho} = \Lambda \exp(-\frac{1}{2g}). \tag{18}$$

in this model. The function f(z), defined in Eq. (2), is now  $f(g) = \exp(-\frac{1}{2g})$ , i.e., z = g, and therefore the CPT takes place in this model at g = 0: f(g)goes to zero only if  $g \to 0$  from the side of the non-symmetric phase. Let us discuss this point in more detail.

At  $D \ge 2$ , the spectrum of the  $\sigma$  and  $\pi$  excitations in the symmetric solution, with  $\bar{\rho} = 0$ , is defined by the following equation (in leading order in  $\frac{1}{N_c}$ )<sup>8</sup>:

$$\left(\frac{1}{g} - \frac{1}{g_{cr}}\right)\Lambda^{D-2} + \frac{\xi_D}{2 - D/2} (-M_\pi^2)^{D/2 - 1} = 0.$$
(19)

Therefore at D > 2, there are tachyons with

$$M_{\pi}^{2} = M_{\sigma}^{2} = M_{tch}^{2} = -\Lambda^{2} (\frac{4-D}{2\xi_{D}})^{\frac{2}{D-2}} (\frac{g-g_{cr}}{g_{cr}g})^{\frac{2}{D-2}}$$
(20)

at  $g > g_{cr}$ , and at  $g < g_{cr}$  there are "resonances" with

$$|M_{\pi}^{2}| = |M_{\sigma}^{2}| = \Lambda^{2} \left(\frac{4-D}{2\xi_{D}}\right)^{\frac{2}{D-2}} \left(\frac{g_{cr}-g}{g_{cr}g}\right)^{\frac{2}{D-2}}, \qquad (21)$$

which agrees with Eq. (8). <sup>b</sup> Eq. (21) implies that the limit  $D \rightarrow 2$  is special. One finds from Eq. (19) that at D = 2

$$M_{\pi}^{2} = M_{\sigma}^{2} = M_{tch}^{2} = -\Lambda^{2} \exp(-\frac{1}{g})$$
(22)

at g > 0, and

$$|M_{\pi}^{2}| = |M_{\sigma}^{2}| = \Lambda^{2} \exp(\frac{1}{|g|})$$
(23)

at g < 0, i. e., in agreement with the main feature of the CPT, there are no light resonances in the symmetric phase at D = 2.

The effective potential (16) can be rewritten as

$$V(\sigma,\pi) = \frac{N_c \rho^2}{2\pi} \left[ \ln \frac{\rho^2}{\bar{\rho}^2} - 1 \right]$$
(24)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> For our purposes, it is sufficient to calculate the absolute value of  $M_{\pi}^2$ . Notice that, as follows from Eq. (19), narrow resonances occur near D = 4:  $\frac{\Gamma}{M_R} \simeq \pi \frac{4-D}{D-2}$   $(M_{\pi} = M_R - i\frac{\Gamma}{2})$ 

(with  $\bar{\rho}$  given by Eq. (18)) in the non-symmetric phase. That is, in this phase  $V(\sigma, \pi)$  is finite in the continuum limit  $\Lambda \to \infty$  after the renormalization of the coupling constant,

$$g = \frac{1}{\ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{\bar{\rho}^2}} \tag{25}$$

(see Eq. (18)). But what is the form of the effective potential in the continuum limit in the symmetric phase, with g < 0? As Eq. (16) implies, it is infinite as  $\Lambda \to \infty$ : indeed at g < 0, there is no way to cancel the logarithmic divergence in V.

It is unlike the case with D > 2: in that case, using Eq. (15), the potential (13) can be put in a  $\sigma$ -model-like form :

$$V(\sigma,\pi) = \frac{M^{(2)}}{2}\rho^2 + \frac{8N_c}{(4\pi)^{D/2}\Gamma(D/2)}\frac{\xi_D}{(4-D)D}\rho^D.$$
 (26)

However, since  $M^{(2)} = \infty$  at D = 2, the  $\sigma$ -model like form for the potential is not available in the Gross-Neveu model.

What are physical reasons of such a peculiar behavior of the effective potential at D = 2? Unlike the case with D > 2, at D = 2 the Lagrangian density (9) defines a conformal theory in the classical limit. By using the conventional approach, one can derive the following equation for the conformal anomaly in this model :

$$\partial^{\mu}D_{\mu} = \theta^{\mu}_{\mu} = \frac{\pi}{2N_c}\beta(g)\left[(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 + (\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi)^2\right]$$
(27)

where  $D_{\mu}$  is the dilatation current,  $\theta^{\mu}_{\nu}$  is the energy-momentum tensor, and the  $\beta$  function  $\beta = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \ln \Lambda}$ . It is  $\beta(g) = -g^2$  both in the non-symmetric and symmetric phases. While the non-symmetric phase corresponds to asymptotically free dynamics, the symmetric phase (with g < 0) defines infrared free dynamics : as  $\Lambda \to \infty$ , we are led to a free theory of massless fermions, which is of course conformal invariant.

On the other hand, in the non-symmetric phase the conformal symmetry is broken, even as  $\Lambda \to \infty$ . In particular, Eq. (24) implies that

$$\langle 0|\theta^{\mu}_{\mu}|0\rangle = 4V(\bar{\rho}) = -\frac{2N_c}{\pi}\bar{\rho}^2 \neq 0$$
(28)

in leading order in  $\frac{1}{N_c}$  in that phase.

The physics underlying this difference between the two phases is clear : while negative g correspond to repulsive interactions between fermions, attractive interactions at positive g lead to the formation of bound states, thus breaking the conformal symmetry.

Notice the following interesting point. As follows from Eq. (26), at D > 2the conformal symmetry is broken by a relevant (superrenormalized) mass operator: its dynamical dimension is d = 2 at all  $2 \le D \le 4$ . On the other hand, at D = 2 the symmetry is broken by a marginal (renormalized) operator with the dynamical dimension d = 2. This point is reflected in that while at D = 2 the expression for the order parameter  $\bar{\rho}$  has an essential singularity at the critical point  $g = g_{cr} = 0$ , at D > 2, the singularity at  $g = g_{cr}$  in  $\bar{\rho}$  is not essential : as follows from Eq. (13), the solution to the gap equation  $\frac{dV}{d\rho} = 0$  is  $\bar{\rho} \sim \Lambda (g - g_{cr})^{\frac{1}{D-2}}$  in that case. As is known, the essential singularity implies the absence of of fine tuning for bare parameters. This is another reason why the CPT is so interesting.

Thus the CPT, in accordance with its name, describes the two essentially

different realizations of the conformal symmetry in the symmetric and nonsymmetric phases.

If one adds a fermion mass term,  $m^{(0)}\bar{\psi}\psi$ , in the 2-dimensional GN model, the conformal and chiral symmetries will be of course broken in both phases. However, there remains an essential trace of the CPT also in this case : an abrupt change of the spectrum of light excitations still takes place. While now in the subcritical ( $g < g_{cr} = 0$ ) phase repulsive interactions between massive fermions take place (and there are no light resonances there), in the supercritical ( $g > g_{cr} = 0$ ) phase the PCAC dynamics, describing interactions between fermions and light  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  bosons, is realized. <sup>c</sup>

Besides the point that in the 2-dimensional GN model both subcritical and supercritical phases are physical, this picture is similar to that in QCD. It is hardly surprising: in both models the dynamics in the supercritical phases are asymptotically free. We will however argue that the main features of the CPT found in the GN model will retain valid (with appropriate minor modifications) in the general case.

#### 4 The CPT in quenched QED4

Another interesting example of the CPT is realized in quenched QED4. The dynamics in this model is relevant for some scenarios of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking and has been intensively discussed in the literature (for a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> We are of course aware that the exact solution in the non-symmetric phase of the 2dimensional GN model yields a realization of the Berezinsky-Kosterlitz-Thouless(BKT) phase : though chiral symmetry is unbroken, the parameter  $\bar{\rho}$  still defines the fermion dynamical mass, and the would-be NG boson  $\pi$  transforms into a BKT gapless excitation <sup>10</sup>.

review see Ref. <sup>11</sup>). In the present consideration the emphasis of the discussion will be on the points relevant for the general CPT in gauge theories.

We shall consider the ladder (rainbow) approximation in massless QED4. Since the contribution of fermion loops is omitted, the perturbative  $\beta$ -function equals zero in this approximation. However, as is well known <sup>11,12,13</sup>, beyond the critical value  $\alpha = \alpha_c \sim 1$ , there are nonperturbative divergences which break the conformal symmetry in the model. Moreover, since at  $\alpha = \alpha_c$ , the anomalous dimension  $\gamma_m$  of the chiral operators  $\bar{\psi}\psi$  and  $\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi$  is  $\gamma_m = 1^{13,14}$ , the four-fermion operators  $(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2$  and  $\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi)^2$  become (marginally) relevant: their dynamical dimension d is  $d = d_c - 2\gamma_m = 4$ , where  $d_c = 6$  is their canonical dimension.

Therefore, it is appropriate to include these four-fermion operators in the QED action. This leads to the gauged Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model<sup>14</sup>:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \left( F_{\mu\nu} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \bar{\psi}, (i\gamma^{\mu}D_{\mu})\psi \right] + \frac{G}{2} \left[ (\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 + (\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi)^2 \right], \quad (29)$$

where  $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ieA_{\mu}$  (for simplicity, we consider the chiral symmetry  $U_L(1) \times U_R(1)$ ). In this model, the gauge interactions are treated in the ladder approximation and the four-fermion interactions are treated in the Hartree-Fock (mean field) approximation.

Since the coupling constant G is dimensional, one may think that the fourfermion interactions in Eq. (29) explicitly break the conformal symmetry. The real situation is however more subtle. The critical line in this model, dividing the symmetric phase, with the unbroken  $U_L(1) \times U_R(1)$ , and the phase with the spontaneously broken chiral symmetry  $(U_L(1) \times U_R(1) \rightarrow U_{L+R}(1))$ , was defined in Ref. <sup>15</sup>. Each point of the critical line corresponds to a continuous phase transition. We distinguish two parts of the critical line:

$$g \equiv \frac{G\Lambda^2}{4\pi^2} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_c} \right)^{1/2} \right]^2, \ \alpha_c = \frac{\pi}{3}, \tag{30}$$

at  $g > \frac{1}{4}$ , and

$$\alpha = \alpha_c \tag{31}$$

at  $g < \frac{1}{4}$ . The anomalous dimension  $\gamma_m$  of the operators  $\bar{\psi}\psi$  and  $\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi$  along the critical line is <sup>16</sup>

$$\gamma_m = 1 + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_c}\right)^{1/2}.$$
(32)

In this approximation, the anomalous dimension of the four-fermion operator  $[(\bar{\psi}\psi)^2 + (\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\psi)^2]$  equals  $2\gamma_m$ . Therefore while this operator indeed breaks the conformal symmetry along the part (30) of the critical line, it is a marginal (scale invariant) operator along the part of the critical line with  $\alpha = \alpha_c$ : its dynamical dimension is  $d_{\bar{\psi}\psi} = 6 - 2\gamma_m = 4$  there.

Thus the part (31) of the critical line with  $\alpha = \alpha_c$  is special. In this case the symmetric phase is not only chiral invariant but also conformal invariant. On the other hand, in the non-symmetric phase, both these symmetries are broken: while the chiral symmetry is broken spontaneously, the conformal symmetry is broken explicitly <sup>14,15</sup>.

The effective action in this model is described in detail in Refs. <sup>1,5</sup>. There is a similarity between the dynamics in quenched QED4 and *D*-dimensional GN model considered in Sec. 3. At  $\alpha < \alpha_c = \frac{\pi}{3}$  (D > 2) a  $\sigma$ -model-like phase transition is realized in quenched QED4 (GN model); at  $\alpha = \alpha_c$  (D = 2) the CPT takes place in these models. However, there is an essential difference between the CPT phase transitions in these two models. While in the GN model, the symmetric phase, with g < 0, is infrared free, the symmetric phase in quenched QED is a Coulomb phase, describing conformal invariant interactions between massless fermions and photons.

As was indicated in Sec. 3, a marginal operator is responsible for the breakdown of the conformal symmetry in the non-symmetric phase in the 2dimensional GN model (see Eq. (27)). This leads to an essential singularity in the expression for the order parameter  $\bar{\rho}$  (18). This in turn cures fine tuning problem which takes place at D > 2, where relevant (superrenormalized) operators break the conformal symmetry.

A similar situation takes place in quenched QED4. While at  $\alpha < \alpha_c$ , the (relevant) mass operator breaks the conformal symmetry, at  $\alpha = \alpha_c$ , it is broken (in non-symmetric) phase by a marginal operator<sup>1</sup>. In the next section, we shall summarize the main features of the CPT. We shall also discuss the phase transition in QED3.

#### 5 General Features of the CPT. A pseudo-CPT in QED3.

Now we are ready to summarize the main features of the CPT.

There is an abrupt change of the spectrum of light excitations, as the critical point  $z = z_c$  is crossed, in the CPT. As was shown in Sec. 2, this property is general and reflects the presence of an essential singularity at  $z = z_c$  in the scaling function f(z). This point is connected with the properties of  $\beta$  function at  $z = z_c$ . In the GN model, while  $g_c = 0$  is an ultraviolet stable

fixed point as  $g \to g_c + 0$  from the side of non-symmetric phase, it is an infrared stable fixed point as  $g \to g_c - 0$  from the side of the symmetric phase. In quenched QED4, the  $\beta$  function  $\beta(\alpha) = -\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_c} - 1\right)^{3/2}$  has a singularity at the critical point<sup>1</sup>. We believe that these two possibilities are typical for the CPT in general. (Recall that the critical point  $z = z_c$ ° is an ultraviolet stable fixed point in both symmetric and non-symmetric phases in the case of a  $\sigma$ -model-like phase transition).

The CPT is (though continuous) a non- $\sigma$ -model-like phase transition. This implies a specific form of the effective action, in particular, the effective potential, for the light excitation near  $z = z_c$ . While the potential does not exist in the continuum limit in the symmetric phase, it has infrared singularities at  $\rho = 0$  in the non-symmetric phase ( $\rho$  is a generic notation for fields describing the light excitations). As a result, unlike the  $\sigma$ -model-like phase transition, one cannot introduce parameters  $M^{(2n)} = \frac{d^{2n}V}{d\rho^{2n}} |_{\rho=0}$  which would govern the phase transition: all of them are equal either to zero or to infinity.

The infrared singularities in the effective potential imply the presence of long range interactions. This is turn connected with an important role of the conformal symmetry in the CPT. In the examples considered in Sec. 3 and 4, while the symmetric phase is conformal invariant, there is a conformal anomaly in the non-symmetric phase: the conformal symmetry is broken by a marginal operator. The latter allows to get rid of the fine tuning problem in such a dynamics and provides a rich spectrum of light excitations in the non-symmetric phase<sup>17</sup>. We shall return to the problem of the effective action in the CPT in the next section.

Because of the abrupt changing the spectrum of light excitations at z = $z_c$ , the very notion of the universality class for the dynamics with the CPT seems rather delicate. For example, in both GN model and QCD, at the critical point (g = 0 and  $\alpha^{(0)} = 0$ , respectively), and at finite cutoff  $\Lambda$ , the theories are free and their infrared dynamics are very different from the infrared dynamics in the non-symmetric phases of these theories (at g > 0 and  $\alpha^{(0)} > 0$ , respectively). This is a common feature of the CPT: around the critical point, the infrared dynamics in the symmetric and non-symmetric phases are very different. However, in the non-symmetric phase, the hypothesis of universality has to be applied to the region of momenta p satisfying  $\bar{\rho} \ll p \ll \Lambda$ , where  $\bar{\rho}$ is an order parameter. In that region, critical indices (anomalous dimensions) of both elementary and composite local operators in near-critical regions of symmetric and non-symmetric phases are nearly the same: the critical indices are continuous functions of z around  $z = z_c^{d}$ . On the other hand, since the infrared dynamics (with  $p \sim \bar{\rho}$  and  $p \ll \bar{\rho}$ ) abruptly changes as the critical point  $z = z_c$  is crossed, the low energy effective actions in the symmetric and non-symmetric phases are different.

One can consider deformations of theories with the CPT, by adding relevant operators in their Lagrangians, such as fermion mass terms, which break explicitly the conformal symmetry. Also if there is a perturbative running of the coupling in the symmetric phase, it will lead to perturbative violation of the conformal symmetry. In many cases, the deformations do not change the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> However, because of explicit conformal symmetry breaking in the non-symmetric phase, there are additional logarithmic factors (such as  $(\ln \frac{p}{\bar{\rho}})^c$ ) in Green's functions in that phase.

most characteristic point of the CPT: the abrupt change of the spectrum of light excitations at  $z = z_c$  discussed above. The reason is that there is an additional, nonperturbative, source of the breakdown of the conformal symmetry in the non-symmetric phase, which provides the creation of light composites.

The conception of the CPT, in a slightly modified form, can be also useful for a different type of dynamics. As an example, let us consider QED3 with massless four-component fermions<sup>18</sup>. It is a superrenormalizable theory where ultraviolet dynamics plays rather a minor role. As was shown in Refs. <sup>19,20</sup>, when the number of fermion flavors  $N_f$  is less than  $N_{cr}$ , with  $3 < N_{cr} < 4$ , there is dynamical breakdown of the flavor  $U(2N_f)$  symmetry in the model, and fermions acquire a dynamical mass <sup>e</sup>:

$$m_{dyn} \sim \alpha_3 \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{N_{cr}/N_f - 1}}\right],$$
(33)

where the coupling constant  $\alpha_3 = e^2/4\pi$  is dimensional in QED3.

Though this expression resembles the expression for the dynamical mass in quenched QED4 <sup>13</sup>, where  $\Lambda$  plays the role of  $\alpha_3$  and  $\alpha$  plays the role of  $N_f$ , the phase transition at  $N_f = N_{cr}$  is, strictly speaking, not the CPT. Indeed, because of superrenormalizability of QED3, the ultraviolet cutoff  $\Lambda$  is irrelevant for the dynamics leading to relation (33). Also, since  $\alpha_3$  is dimensional, the conformal symmetry is broken in both symmetric ( $N_f > N_{cr}$ ) and non-symmetric ( $N_f < N_{cr}$ ) phases.

Nevertheless, the consideration of the spectrum of light (with  $M^2 \ll$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> We are aware that there is still a controversy concerning this result: some authors argue that the generation of a fermion mass occurs at all values of  $N_f^{21}$ . For a recent discussion supporting the relation (33), see Ref. <sup>22</sup>.

 $\alpha_3^2$ ) excitations in this model can be done along the lines used in Sec. 2. In agreement with the result of Ref.<sup>7</sup>, where the BS equation was used, one concludes that there are no light resonances (with  $M^2 << \alpha_3^2$ ) in the symmetric phase of QED3 and that there is an abrupt change of the spectrum of light excitations at  $N_f = N_{cr}$ .

It is appropriate to call the phase transition in QED3 a pseudo-CPT: in the non-symmetric phase, at  $N_f < N_{cr}$ , a new, nonperturbative, source of the breakdown of the conformal symmetry occurs.

# 6 The Effective Action in Theories with the CPT and the Dynamics of the Partially Conserved Dilatation Current

In this section we shall discuss the properties of the effective action in theories with the CPT in more detail. In particular we shall consider a connection of the dynamics of the CPT with the hypothesis of the partially conserved dilatation current (PCDC)  $^{23,24,25,26}$ .

The effective potentials derived in the 2-dimensional GN model (see Eqs. (16)) and (24)) and in quenched QED4 with  $(\alpha, g) = (\alpha_c, \frac{1}{4})^{1}$  have a similar form.

Moreover, one can show that the kinetic term and terms with higher number of derivatives in both the GN model and quenched QED4 are conformal invariant <sup>1,9</sup>. In other words, the conformal anomaly comes only from the effective potential in both these models.

This point is intimately connected with the PCDC dynamics. In order to see this, let us determine the divergence of the dilatation current in these models. Eq. (24) implies that

$$\partial^{\mu}D_{\mu} = \theta^{\mu}_{\mu} = -\frac{2N_c}{\pi}\rho^2 \tag{34}$$

in the GN model, and

$$\partial^{\mu}D_{\mu} = \theta^{\mu}_{\mu} = -\frac{\tilde{A}^2}{4\pi^2}m_{dyn}^2\rho^2 \tag{35}$$

in quenched QED4 with  $\alpha = \alpha_c$ , where the CPT takes place  $(m_{dyn} \equiv \bar{\Sigma}_0)^{-1}$ . Now, recall that the dynamical dimension  $d_{\rho}$  of the field  $\rho$  is  $d_{\rho} = 1$  and  $d_{\rho} = 2$  in the GN model and in quenched QED4 (with  $\alpha = \alpha_c$ ), respectively. Therefore Eqs. (34) and (35) assure that the dynamical dimension of the operator  $\theta^{\mu}_{\mu}$  coincides with its canonical dimension:  $d_{\theta} = 2$  and  $d_{\theta} = 4$  in the 2-dimensional GN model and quenched QED4, respectively. This implies the realization of the PCDC hypothethis in these models <sup>23,24,25,26</sup>: the operator  $\theta^{\mu}_{\mu}$  has the correct transformation properties under dilatation transformations.

In the renormalization group language, this means that the conformal symmetry in these models is broken by marginal (renormalized) operators and not by relevant (superrenormalized) ones (irrelevant (nonrenormalized) operators contribute only small corrections in the infrared dynamics).

Though these two models are very special, one may expect that at least some features of this picture will survive in the general case of theories with the CPT. In particular, one may expect that in the general case the effective potential has the form

$$V(\rho) = C\bar{\rho}^D \left(\frac{\rho}{\bar{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{D}{d_{\rho}}} F(\ln\frac{\rho}{\bar{\rho}})$$
(36)

where C is a dimensionless constant and F(x) is a (presumably) smooth function.

The contribution of  $V(\rho)$  (36) into the conformal anomaly is of the form

$$\theta^{\mu}_{\mu} \sim \bar{\rho}^{D} \left(\frac{\rho}{\bar{\rho}}\right)^{\frac{D}{d_{\rho}}} F'(\ln\frac{\rho}{\bar{\rho}}), \tag{37}$$

where  $F'(x) = \frac{dF}{dx}$ , i.e., in the general case, logarithmic factors may destroy the covariance (with respect to dilatation transformations) of the relation for the conformal anomaly<sup>1</sup>.

Also, one should expect that the conformal invariance of the kinetic term and terms with higher number of derivatives may also be destroyed by logarithmic terms.

It is clear that the effective action in theories with the CPT are very different from that in the 4-dimensional linear  $\sigma$ -model and Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model, where the conformal symmetry is broken by relevant operators and the chiral phase transition is a mean-field one.

This point can be relevant for the description of the low energy dynamics in QCD and in models of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking. In particular, as was already pointed out in Ref. <sup>25</sup>, the low energy dynamics are very sensitive to the value of the dynamical dimension  $d_{\rho}$ .

## 7 Conclusion

In this talk I discussed the conception of the conformal phase transition (CPT) which provides a useful framework for studying nonperturbative dynamics in gauge (and also other) field theories. We described the general features of this

phase transition.

The CPT is intimately connected with the nonperturbative breakdown of the conformal symmetry, in particular, with the PCDC dynamics. In the nonsymmetric phase the conformal symmetry is broken by marginal operators. This in turn yields a constraint on the form of the effective action in theories with the CPT.

In all the examples of the CPT considered in this paper, the conformal symmetry was explicitly broken by the conformal anomaly in the phase with spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking. Is it possible to realize dynamics with both chiral and conformal symmetries being broken spontaneously? Although at present this question is still open, we would like to note that long ago arguments had been given against the realization of such a possibility <sup>27</sup>.

The conception of the CPT can be useful for strong-coupling gauge theories, in particular, for QCD and models of dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking. In connection with that, we note that the effective action considered in Sec. 6 may be relevant for the description of  $\sigma$  meson  $(f^0(400 - 1200))^{28,29}$ . If it is rather light (with  $M_{\sigma} \simeq 600$  MeV) as some authors conclude<sup>29</sup>, it can dominate in the matrix elements of the operator  $\theta^{\mu}_{\mu}$  in low energy dynamics, i. e., it can be considered as a massive dilaton, as was already suggested some time ago<sup>23,25</sup>.

It is also clear that the conception of PCDC and massive dilaton can be useful for the description of the dynamics of composite Higgs boson.

As it is discussed in detail in Ref.<sup>1</sup>, a very interesting example of the CPT may be provided by the phase transition with respect to the number of fermion flavors in a  $SU(N_c)$  vector-like gauge theory in 3+1 dimensions <sup>4,6</sup>.

Another application of the CPT (or pseudo-CPT) may be connected with non-perturbative dynamics in condensed matter. Here we only mention the dynamics of non-fermi liquid which might be relevant for high-temperature surperconductivity: some authors have suggested that QED3 may serve as an effective theory of such a dynamics<sup>30</sup>.

There has been recently a breakthrough in understanding non-perturbative infrared dynamics in supersymmetric (SUSY) theories (for a review see Ref. <sup>31</sup>). It would be worth considering the realization of the CPT, if any, in SUSY theories, thus possibly establishing a connection between SUSY and non-SUSY dynamics.

- V. A. Miransky and K.Yamawaki, Nagoya Preprint DPNU-96-58; hepth/9611142 (to appear in Phys.Rev. D55 (1997) N8).
- L. D. Landau, Phys. Z. Sowjet. 11 (1937)26; V. L. Ginzburg and L. D. Landau, JETP 20 (1950)1064; J. Zinn Justin, "Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena" (Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1989)).
- K. G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. B4 (1971)3174; K. G. Wilson and J. B. Kogut, Phys. Rep. 12 (1974)75.
- 4. T. Banks and A. Zaks, Nucl. Phys. B196 (1982)189.
- 5. V. A. Miransky, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A8 (1993)135.
- T. Appelquist, J. Terning, and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996)1214.
- 7. T. Appelquist, J. Terning, and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett.

75 (1995)2081.

- K.-I. Kondo, M. Tanabashi, and K. Yamawaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 89 (1993)1249.
- 9. D. Gross and A. Neveu, Phys. Rev. D10 (1974)3235.
- 10. E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B145 (1978)110.
- Dynamical Symmetry Breaking, Proceedings of the 1991 Nagoya Spring School, ed. K. Yamawaki (World Scientific Co, Singapore, 1992); V. A. Miransky, Dynamical Symmetry Breaking in Quantum Field Theories (World Scientific Co, Singapore, 1993).
- T. Maskawa and H. Nakajima, Prog. Theor. Phys. **52** (1974)1326; P. I.
   Fomin and V. A. Miransky, Phys. Lett. **B64** (1976)166; R. Fukuda and
   T. Kugo, Nucl. Phys. **B117** (1976)250.
- P. I. Fomin, V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Miransky, and Yu. A. Sitenko, Rivista del Nuovo Cimento, 6 N5 (1983)1; V. A. Miransky, Nuovo Cimento, 90A (1985)149.
- W. A. Bardeen, C. N. Leung, and S. T. Love, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 (1986)1230; C. N. Leung, S. T. Love, and W. A. Bardeen, Nucl. Phys. B273 (1986)649.
- K.-I. Kondo, H. Mino, and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rev. D39 (1989)2430;
   T. Appelquist, M. Soldate, T. Takeuchi, and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, In Proceedings of John Hopkins Workshop On Current Problems in Particle Theory, eds. G. Domokos and S. Kovesi-Domokos (World Scientific Co, Singapore, 1988).
- 16. V. A. Miransky and K. Yamawaki, Mod. Phys. Lett. A4 (1989)129.

- 17. R.S. Chivukula, Preprint BUHEP-96-45; hep-ph/9612267.
- R. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. **D29** (1984)2423; T. Appelquist, M. Bowick. D. Karabali, and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. **B33** (1986)3704.
- T. Appelquist, D. Nash, and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett.
   60 (1988)2575; D. Nash, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62 (1989)3024.
- E. Dagotto, J. B. Kogut, and A. Kocić, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62** (1989) 1083;
   E. Dagotto, A. Kocić, and J. B. Kogut, Nucl. Phys. **B344** (1990)279; S. Hands and J. B. Kogut, Nucl. Phys. **B335** (1990)455.
- M. R. Pennington and D. Walsh, Phys. Lett. **B253** (1991)246; D. C.
   Curtis, M. R. Pennington, and D. Walsh, Phys. Lett. **B295** (1992)313;
   R. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991)1866.
- V. P. Gusynin, A. H. Hams, and M. Reenders, Phys. Rev. D53 (1996)2227; P. Maris, Phys. Rev. D54 (1996)4049.
- R. J. Crewther, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28 (1972)1421; M. S. Chanowitz and J. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D7 (1973)2490.
- J. Schechter, Phys. Rev. D21 (1980)3393; A. A. Migdal and M. A. Shifman, Phys. Lett. B114 (1982)445.
- V. A. Miransky and V. P. Gusynin, Prog. Theor. Phys. 81 (1989)426;
   V. A. Miransky and M. D. Scadron, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 49 (1989)922.
- S. Shuto, M. Tanabashi, and K. Yamawaki, In Proceedings of 1989 Workshop on Dynamical Symmetry Breaking, Nagoya, 1989 (Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya, 1989).
- 27. R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D3 (1971)1347; *ibid.* D3 (1971)1356; V. P. Gusynin, V. A. Kushnir, and V. A. Miransky, Phys. Lett. B213

(1988)177.

- 28. R. M. Barnett et al., (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. D54 (1996)1.
- N. A. Törnqvist and M. Roos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76** (1996)1575; M. Harada, F. Sannino, and J. Schechter, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996)1991; S. Ishida et al., Prog. Theor. Phys. **95** (1996)745.
- A. Kovner and B. Rosenstein, Phys.Rev. B42 (1990)4748; N. Dorey and
   N. E. Mavromatos, Nucl. Phys. B368 (1992)614; I. J. R. Aitchison and
   N. E. Mavromatos, Phys. Rev. B53 (1996)9321.
- K. Intriligator and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 45B,C (1996)1.