

HIGGS BOSON PRODUCTION AT THE LHC

MICHAEL SPIRA

CERN, Theory Division, CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland

E-mail: spira@cern.ch

ABSTRACT

Recent theoretical progress in the evaluation of Higgs boson production at the LHC within the Standard Model and its minimal supersymmetric extension is reviewed. In particular the two-loop QCD corrections to the squark loop contributions to scalar Higgs production in the MSSM and soft gluon resummation effects in Standard and SUSY Higgs production via the gluon fusion mechanism are discussed.

1. Introduction

The search for Higgs particles ¹ is one of the most important endeavours for future high energy e^+e^- and hadron collider experiments. The Higgs boson is the only particle of the Standard Model (SM) which has not been discovered so far. The direct search at the LEP1 experiments via the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^*H$ yields a lower bound on the Higgs mass of ~ 65.2 GeV ². Theoretical consistency restricts the Higgs mass to be less than ~ 700 GeV ³. The dominant Higgs production mechanism at the LHC, a pp collider with a c.m. energy of 14 TeV, is the gluon fusion process $gg \rightarrow H$, which is mediated by a heavy quark triangle loop at lowest order ⁴. As an important step to increase the theoretical precision, the two-loop QCD corrections have been calculated, resulting in a significant increase of the predicted total cross section by about 50–100% ^{5,6}. The dependence on the unphysical renormalization and factorization scales decreased considerably by including these next-to-leading-order (NLO) corrections, resulting in an estimate of about 15% for the remaining scale sensitivity ⁵. It is important to note that the NLO corrections are dominated by soft gluon radiation effects.

The minimal supersymmetric extension (MSSM) is among the most attractive extensions of the SM. It requires the introduction of two Higgs doublets leading to the existence of five scalar Higgs particles: two scalar CP-even h, H , one pseudoscalar CP-odd A and two charged bosons H^\pm . This Higgs sector can be described by two parameters, which are usually chosen to be $\tan\beta$, the ratio of the two vacuum expectation values, and the pseudoscalar Higgs mass M_A . Including higher-order corrections to the Higgs masses and couplings up to the two-loop level, the mass of the lightest scalar Higgs particle h is restricted to be smaller than ~ 130 GeV ⁷. The direct search at LEP1 sets lower bounds of about 45 GeV on the masses of the MSSM Higgs bosons ². The dominant neutral Higgs production mechanisms at the LHC are the gluon fusion processes $gg \rightarrow h, H, A$ and Higgs-strahlung off bottom quarks, $gg, q\bar{q} \rightarrow b\bar{b}h, b\bar{b}H, b\bar{b}A$, which become important only for large $\tan\beta$ ⁸. The

coupling of the neutral Higgs particles to gluons is again mediated by top and bottom loops, with the latter providing the dominant contribution for large $\text{tg}\beta$, and squark loops, if their masses are smaller than about 400 GeV⁹. The two-loop (NLO) QCD corrections to the quark loop contributions to the gluon fusion mechanism have also been calculated^{5,9}, and conclusions completely analogous to the SM case emerge. Soft gluon radiation effects again provide the dominant contribution to these corrections, for small $\text{tg}\beta$.

2. Gluon Fusion: Squark Loops

Recently the QCD corrections to the squark loop contributions to the cross sections $\sigma(pp \rightarrow \mathcal{H} + X)$ of the fusion processes for the neutral CP-even Higgs particles $\mathcal{H} = h, H$

$$gg \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(g) \quad \text{and} \quad gq \rightarrow \mathcal{H}q, \quad q\bar{q} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}g \quad (1)$$

have been calculated. Because of CP invariance, squark loops do not contribute to the production of the CP-odd Higgs boson in lowest order. The QCD corrections from squark loops were evaluated in the heavy squark limit, where the calculation can be simplified by extending the lowest-order low-energy theorems^{5,6,10} to two loops. This limit should be a good approximation⁵ for the production of Higgs particles with masses smaller than twice the squark masses. For simplicity, we will restrict ourselves to the case of degenerate squarks where mixing effects are absent. Also in this case, scalar squarks do not contribute to the production of the CP-odd Higgs boson A at NLO.

The LO cross sections for CP-even Higgs production at the LHC are given by

$$\sigma_{LO}(pp \rightarrow \mathcal{H} + X) = \sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}} \tau_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{d\mathcal{L}^{gg}}{d\tau_{\mathcal{H}}} \quad (2)$$

with $d\mathcal{L}^{gg}/d\tau_{\mathcal{H}}$ the gluon luminosity at $\tau_{\mathcal{H}} = M_{\mathcal{H}}^2/s$ and s the total c.m. energy. The parton cross sections are built up from heavy quark and squark amplitudes,

$$\sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{G_F \alpha_s^2}{128\sqrt{2}\pi} \left| \sum_Q g_Q^{\mathcal{H}} \tau_Q [1 + (1 - \tau_Q) f(\tau_Q)] - \sum_{\tilde{Q}} g_{\tilde{Q}}^{\mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{2} \tau_{\tilde{Q}} [1 - \tau_{\tilde{Q}} f(\tau_{\tilde{Q}})] \right|^2 \quad (3)$$

with the scaling variables $\tau_{Q/\tilde{Q}} \equiv 4m_{Q/\tilde{Q}}^2/M_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ and using the scalar triangle integral

$$f(\tau) = \begin{cases} \arcsin^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}}\right) & \tau \geq 1 \\ -\frac{1}{4} \left[\log \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \tau}}{1 - \sqrt{1 - \tau}} - i\pi \right]^2 & \tau < 1 \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The normalized scalar quark and squark couplings to the CP-even Higgs bosons, $g_{Q,\tilde{Q}}^{\mathcal{H}}$, can be found in Ref.⁵. The sums run over t, b quarks and the left- and right-handed squarks \tilde{Q}_L, \tilde{Q}_R , which in the absence of mixing are identical to the mass eigenstates.

The QCD corrections to the gluon fusion process, eq. (1), consist of virtual two-loop corrections and one-loop real corrections due to gluon radiation, as well as contributions from quark–gluon initial states and quark–antiquark annihilation. The renormalization program has been carried out in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme for the strong coupling constant and the parton densities, while the quark and squark masses are defined at the poles of their respective propagators. The result for the cross sections can be cast into the form

$$\sigma(pp \rightarrow \mathcal{H} + X) = \sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}} \left[1 + C_{\mathcal{H}}(\tau_Q, \tau_{\tilde{Q}}) \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right] \tau_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{d\mathcal{L}^{gg}}{d\tau_{\mathcal{H}}} + \Delta\sigma_{gg}^{\mathcal{H}} + \Delta\sigma_{gq}^{\mathcal{H}} + \Delta\sigma_{q\bar{q}}^{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (5)$$

The coefficient $C_{\mathcal{H}}$ denotes the virtual two-loop corrections regularized by the infrared singularities of the real gluon emission. The terms $\Delta\sigma_{ij}^{\mathcal{H}}$ ($i, j = g, q$) denote the finite parts of the real corrections due to gluon radiation and the gq and $q\bar{q}$ initial states. The expressions for the t, b quark contribution can be found in Refs.^{5,6}.

The calculation of the QCD corrections has been performed by extending the low-energy theorems^{5,6,10} to scalar squarks at the two-loop level. For a light CP-even Higgs boson, these theorems relate the matrix elements of the quark and squark contributions to the Higgs–gluon vertex to the gluon two-point function. In the following we consider only the pure gluon exchange contributions, which are expected to be the dominant ones; for heavy enough gluinos, the two-loop corrections due to gluino exchange should be small, since they are suppressed by inverse powers of the gluino mass. The final result for the squark contributions to the \mathcal{H} coupling to gluons can be expressed in terms of the effective Lagrangian [$v = (\sqrt{2}G_F)^{-1/2}$]

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{\tilde{Q}} = \sum_{\tilde{Q}} \frac{g_{\tilde{Q}}^{\mathcal{H}}}{4} \frac{\beta_{\tilde{Q}}(\alpha_s)/\alpha_s}{1 + \gamma_{\tilde{Q}}(\alpha_s)} G^{a\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^a \frac{\mathcal{H}}{v} = \sum_{\tilde{Q}} g_{\tilde{Q}}^{\mathcal{H}} \frac{\alpha_s}{12\pi} G^{a\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^a \frac{\mathcal{H}}{v} \left[1 + \frac{25}{6} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \right], \quad (6)$$

where we have used the anomalous squark mass dimension¹¹, $\gamma_{\tilde{Q}} = 4\alpha_s/(3\pi)$, and the squark contribution to the QCD β function¹², $\beta_{\tilde{Q}}(\alpha_s) = \alpha_s^2/(12\pi)[1 + 11\alpha_s/(2\pi)]$. Starting from the Lagrangian of eq. (6), the effective QCD corrections due to real gluon emission and the $gq/q\bar{q}$ initial states have to be added. These corrections are identical to the corresponding corrections to quark loops⁵ in the heavy quark limit.

The QCD-corrected squark loop amplitudes have to be added coherently to the corrected t, b loop amplitudes, whose full mass dependence is known. To obtain a more reliable prediction for the total cross sections, the resulting amplitudes for the squark contributions have been normalized to the lowest-order amplitude in the limit of large squark masses. These ratios are then multiplied by the lowest-order amplitude including the full squark mass dependence. The heavy squark limit is then expected to be a very good approximation for Higgs masses below the $\tilde{Q}\tilde{Q}^*$ threshold, as in the corresponding case of top quark contributions⁵. The final results for the partonic cross sections defined in eq. (5) can be found in⁹.




Fig. 1. Ratio of the QCD-corrected cross section to the lowest order result for $\sigma(pp \rightarrow \mathcal{H} + X)$ for $\tan\beta = 1.5$ and 30 . Solid lines include t, b and squark contributions (with $m_{\tilde{Q}} = 200$ GeV); dashed lines include only the t, b contributions. We have taken $m_b = 5$ GeV, $m_t = 176$ GeV and $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.118$. We use next-to-leading order GRV parton densities¹³ and take the renormalization scale μ and the factorization scale M equal to $M_{h/H}$.

In Fig. 1, we present the K -factors for the QCD corrections to the production of the CP-even MSSM Higgs bosons as functions of the \mathcal{H} masses for the LHC at a c.m. energy $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV with (solid lines) and without (dashed lines) the squark contributions. The K -factors are defined as the ratios of the QCD-corrected and lowest-order cross sections, using next-to-leading order α_s and parton densities in both terms. A common value $m_{\tilde{Q}} = 200$ GeV has been used for the left- and right-handed squark masses. This value is identified with the SUSY scale of the MSSM couplings and Higgs masses. The QCD corrections to the squark loops are large, approximately of the same size as the QCD corrections to the quark loops. They enhance the cross sections by a factor between 1.6 and 2.8. However, if the lowest-order cross sections are convoluted with lowest-order α_s and parton densities, the K -factors are reduced to a level between 1 and 2. It can be inferred from Fig. 1 that the inclusion of squark loops in the production of both CP-even Higgs particles h and H does not substantially modify the K -factors compared to the case where squark loops are absent. Thus, to a good approximation, the effect of the squark loops in the gluon fusion mechanism is quantitatively determined by the lowest-order cross section (including squark loop contributions), multiplied by the known K -factors when only the t, b quark contributions⁵ are taken into account.

3. Soft Gluon Resummation

As a next step a resummation of soft gluon radiation effects in Higgs boson pro-

duction via gluon fusion has been performed. If the K -factor, evaluated in the heavy top quark limit, is multiplied by the full massive lowest-order cross section, the result approximates the SM gluon-fusion cross section at NLO within 10% and the MSSM cross sections within 25% for $\text{tg}\beta \lesssim 5$ ¹⁶. In the heavy quark limit the partonic cross sections factorize as

$$\hat{\sigma}_{gg}^\phi = \sigma_0^\phi \kappa_\phi \rho_\phi(z, M_\phi^2/\mu^2, \epsilon) \quad (7)$$

with the coefficient $\sigma_0^{h/H}$ defined in eq. (3) and

$$\sigma_0^A = \frac{G_F \alpha_s^2}{128\sqrt{2}\pi} \left| \sum_Q g_Q^A \tau_Q f(\tau_Q) \right|^2, \quad (8)$$

where g_Q^ϕ ($\phi = h, H, A$) denote the modified top Yukawa couplings normalized to the SM coupling, which are given in⁵. In the following we will neglect the $gq, q\bar{q}$ initial states, which contribute up to $\sim 10\%$ at NLO, and the squark loops, by choosing the common squark mass as $m_{\tilde{Q}} = 1$ TeV. The factor κ_ϕ in eq. (7) stems from the effective coupling of the Higgs boson to gluons in the heavy top quark limit, which can be obtained by means of low-energy theorems^{5,10}. They are given^a by¹⁶

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{h,H} &= 1 + \frac{11}{2} \frac{\alpha_s(m_t^2)}{\pi} + \frac{3830 - 201 n_f}{144} \left(\frac{\alpha_s(m_t^2)}{\pi} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{153 - 19 n_f}{33 - 2 n_f} \frac{\alpha_s(M_{h,H}^2) - \alpha_s(m_t^2)}{\pi} + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\kappa_A = 1, \quad (10)$$

where α_s is the strong coupling constant in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme including $n_f = 5$ flavours in the evolution, i.e. the top quark is decoupled. The scale of the higher-order corrections to this effective coupling has to be identified with the top quark mass m_t ^{5,10}.

We will now construct a resummed expression for the correction factors ρ_ϕ by means of the methods described in Ref.¹⁵. Near the elastic edge of phase space the Higgs cross section in the infinite mass limit may be factorized into hard, soft and jet functions, in complete analogy with the Drell–Yan cross section. Following the arguments of Ref.¹⁵ this leads to the Sudakov evolution equation:

$$M_\phi^2 \frac{d}{dM_\phi^2} \rho_\phi \left(z, \frac{M_\phi^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2) \right) = \int_z^1 \frac{dz'}{z'} W_\phi \left(z', \frac{M_\phi^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2) \right) \rho_\phi \left(\frac{z}{z'}, \frac{M_\phi^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2) \right). \quad (11)$$

The solution to the moments^b of eq. (11) is given by

$$\tilde{\rho}_\phi \left(N, \frac{M_\phi^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2) \right) = \exp \left[\int_0^{M_\phi^2} \frac{d\xi^2}{\xi^2} \tilde{W}_\phi \left(N, \frac{\xi^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2) \right) \right], \quad (12)$$

^aThe factors $\kappa_{h,H}$ include the top quark contribution at *vanishing* momentum transfer, which differs from the top quark contribution to the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ β function by a finite amount at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^4)$ ¹⁷.

^bWe define the Mellin–moments in the usual way as $\tilde{f}(N) = \int_0^1 dz z^{N-1} f(z)$.

which may be expanded perturbatively. In this way the one-loop coefficients $W_\phi^{(1)}$ of the evolution kernel W_ϕ can be straightforwardly determined from the explicit NLO calculation¹⁶. In the following we will define three approximations: scheme α includes only the leading $\log N$ contributions, scheme β all terms of $\mathcal{O}(N^0)$, while scheme γ contains terms of $\mathcal{O}(\log N/N)$ in addition, which arise from collinear gluon–gluon splitting and are thus universal. [However, they are not covered by the present level of factorization theorems¹⁸, which leads to eq. (11).]

In order to obtain a finite expression for the correction factors ρ_ϕ we now have to renormalize the strong coupling constant and perform mass factorization of the gluon distributions. Both objects have been defined in the \overline{MS} scheme. The final results for the moments of the renormalized correction factors can be found in¹⁶. They have been expanded perturbatively up to NLO and NNLO, thus yielding a quantitative estimate of the unknown NNLO corrections to the gluon-fusion mechanism¹⁶.




Fig. 2. a) Exact and approximate two- and three-loop correction factors, convoluted with the NLO gluon–gluon luminosity $d\mathcal{L}_{NLO}^{gg}/d\tau$, in the heavy top-mass limit. The results for the three different schemes are presented as a function of the scalar Higgs mass M_H , using NLO CTEQ4M parton densities¹⁴ and $\alpha_s [\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{(5)} = 202 \text{ MeV}]$. b) Hadronic NLO K-factor using LO CTEQ4L parton densities¹⁴ and $\alpha_s [\Lambda_{LO}^{(5)} = 181 \text{ MeV}]$ for the LO cross section and including the NLO contributions from κ_H .

Fig. 2a shows the NLO and NNLO expansions of the scalar correction factor, convoluted with NLO gluon densities, as a function of the Higgs mass. A similar picture emerges for the pseudoscalar Higgs boson. The NLO correction factor in scheme γ coincides with the exact NLO result within less than 5%, while schemes α and β fail to approximate the exact NLO calculation reliably. From an analogous analysis of the Drell–Yan process we get strong confidence that scheme γ also approximates the NNLO expansion with reliable accuracy¹⁶. Thus it might be expected that the curve labelled γ_2 yields a reasonable approximation of the unknown NNLO corrections to the gluon-fusion process. The NNLO correction factor amounts to 2.7–3.5 in

the relevant Higgs mass range, which is very large. However, the physical K -factor requires the LO result to be convoluted with LO parton densities and strong coupling and the NNLO expansion with NNLO quantities. This leads to a strong reduction of the NLO K -factor in comparison to the naive correction factor, as can be inferred from Fig. 2b. Due to the lack of NNLO parton densities no physical prediction of the Higgs production cross section at NNLO can be made. From the situation at NLO one might expect a significant decrease of the NNLO K -factor compared to the result of Fig. 2a.

An alternative way to reach a consistent prediction of the gluon-fusion cross section at NNLO may be provided by extracting the gluon luminosity from another production cross section at the LHC, e.g. $gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}$, the resummed expression of which also has to be expanded up to NNLO. This result may then be inserted in the convolution integral for the Higgs production cross section. This method would thus allow us to obtain approximate NNLO parton densities for physical predictions of production cross sections at the LHC. In the same way we could also perform predictions of the full resummed results. This, however, requires a prescription, how to regularize the infrared renormalon singularity¹⁹, which appears in the integrals of the running strong coupling constant.




Fig. 3. Scale dependence of the Higgs production cross section for two values of the Higgs mass M_H . NLO CTEQ4M parton densities¹⁴ and strong coupling [$\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{(5)} = 202 \text{ MeV}$] have been used in all expressions, so that the NNLO results do not correspond to the physical NNLO cross sections.

Fig. 3 presents the scalar Higgs production cross section at LO, NLO and NNLO as a function of the renormalization/factorization scale in units of the Higgs mass for $M_H = 500 \text{ GeV}$. Again, all orders of the cross section have been evaluated with

NLO parton densities and strong coupling, and thus the NNLO curves do not correspond to the physical cross section at NNLO. The dashed lines present the NLO and NNLO results of scheme γ , where the NNLO contribution has been added to the exact NLO result. The solid NLO curve corresponds to the exact NLO cross section in the heavy top quark limit, while the solid NNLO line includes the exact scale dependence at NNLO, which has been obtained from the exact NLO result by means of renormalization group methods¹⁶. The full NNLO curve has been normalized to the γ_2 curve at $\mu = M = M_H$. Fig. 3 clearly indicates a strong stabilization of the scale dependence at NNLO, which develops a broad maximum around the natural scale $\mu = M \sim M_H$ in contrast with the NLO curve, which is a monotonic function of the scales. Thus with NNLO parton densities it would be possible to predict the Higgs production cross section at the LHC accurately with small theoretical uncertainties from the remaining scale dependence. However, the non-perturbative uncertainties related to the renormalon singularity of the full resummed result may be sizeable.

4. Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the organizers, especially Bernd Kniehl, for the encouraging atmosphere at the workshop. Moreover, it is a pleasure to thank my collaborators Abdelhak Djouadi, Sally Dawson, Eric Laenen and Michael Krämer in the new work presented here.

5. References

1. P.W. Higgs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **12** (1964) 132 and Phys. Rev. **145** (1966) 1156; F. Englert and R. Brout, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13** (1964) 321; G.S. Guralnik, C.R. Hagen and T.W. Kibble, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13** (1964) 585.
2. J.-F. Grivaz, Proceedings, International Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics, Brussels, 1995.
3. N. Cabibbo, L. Maiani, G. Parisi and R. Petronzio, Nucl. Phys. **B158** (1979) 295; M. Chanowitz, M. Furman and I. Hinchliffe, Phys. Lett. **B78** (1978) 285; R.A. Flores and M. Sher, Phys. Rev. **D27** (1983) 1679; M. Lindner, Z. Phys. **C31** (1986) 295; M. Sher, Phys. Rep. **179** (1989) 273; A. Hasenfratz, K. Jansen, C. Lang, T. Neuhaus and H. Yoneyama, Phys. Lett. **B199** (1987) 531; J. Kuti, L. Liu and Y. Shen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61** (1988) 678; M. Lüscher and P. Weisz, Nucl. Phys. **B318** (1989) 705.
4. H. Georgi, S. Glashow, M. Machacek and D.V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40** (1978) 692.
5. D. Graudenz, M. Spira and P. M. Zerwas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 1372; M. Spira, A. Djouadi, D. Graudenz and P. M. Zerwas, Phys. Lett. **B318** (1993) 347 and Nucl. Phys. **B453** (1995) 17.

6. A. Djouadi, M. Spira and P.M. Zerwas, Phys. Lett. **B264** (1991) 440; S. Dawson, Nucl. Phys. **B359** (1991) 283; R.P. Kauffman and W. Schaffer, Phys. Rev. **D49** (1994) 551; S. Dawson and R.P. Kauffman, Phys. Rev. **D49** (1994) 2298.
7. Y. Okada, M. Yamaguchi and T. Yanagida, Prog. Theor. Phys. **85** (1991) 1; H. Haber and R. Hempfling, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** (1991) 1815; J. Ellis, G. Ridolfi and F. Zwirner, Phys. Lett. **B257** (1991) 83; R. Barbieri, F. Caravaglios and M. Frigeni, Phys. Lett. **B258** (1991) 167; M. Carena, J. Espinosa, M. Quiros and C.E.M. Wagner, Phys. Lett. **B355** (1995) 209; M. Carena, M. Quiros and C.E.M. Wagner, Nucl. Phys. **B461** (1996) 407.
8. Z. Kunszt and F. Zwirner, Nucl. Phys. **B385** (1992) 3; V. Barger, M. Berger, S. Stange and R. Phillips, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992) 4128; H. Baer, M. Bisset, C. Kao and X. Tata, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 1067; J. F. Gunion and L. Orr, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 2052; J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber and C. Kao, Phys. Rev. **D46** (1992) 2907.
9. S. Dawson, A. Djouadi and M. Spira, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 16.
10. J. Ellis, M.K. Gaillard and D.V. Nanopoulos, Nucl. Phys. **B106** (1976) 292; A. Vainshtein, M. Voloshin, V. Zakharov and M. Shifman, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **30** (1979) 711; B. Kniehl and M. Spira, Z. Phys. **C69** (1995) 77.
11. L. Alvarez-Gaumé, J. Polchinski, and M. Wise, Nucl. Phys. **B221** (1983) 495; S. Martin and M. Vaughn, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994) 2282; J. Derendinger and C. Savoy, Nucl. Phys. **B237** (1984) 307.
12. W. Caswell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **33** (1974) 244; D.R.T. Jones, Phys. Rev. **D25** (1982) 581; M. Einhorn and D.R.T. Jones, Nucl. Phys. **B196** (1982) 475.
13. M. Glück, E. Reya and A. Vogt, Z. Phys. **C53** (1992) 127.
14. H.L. Lai et al. (CTEQ Collab.), Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997) 1280.
15. H. Contopanagos, E. Laenen and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. **B484** (1997) 303.
16. E. Laenen, M. Krämer and M. Spira, Report CERN-TH/96-231, hep-ph/9611272.
17. W. Bernreuther and W. Wetzel, Nucl. Phys. **B197** (1982) 228; W. Bernreuther, Ann. Phys. **151** (1983) 127; S.A. Larin, T. van Ritbergen and J.A.M. Vermaseren, Nucl. Phys. **B438** (1995) 278.
18. G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. **B281** (1987) 310.
19. D. Appell, P. Mackenzie and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. **B309** (1988) 259; H. Contopanagos and G. Sterman, Nucl. Phys. **B419** (1994) 77; Y. Dokshitzer, G. Marchesini and B. Webber, Report CERN-TH/95-281, hep-ph/9512336; S. Catani, M. Mangano, P. Nason and L. Trentadue, Nucl. Phys. **B478** (1996) 273.

HIGGS BOSON PRODUCTION AT THE LHC*

MICHAEL SPIRA

CERN, TH Division, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Abstract

Recent theoretical progress in the evaluation of Higgs boson production at the LHC within the Standard Model and its minimal supersymmetric extension is reviewed. In particular the two-loop QCD corrections to the squark loop contributions to scalar Higgs production in the MSSM and soft gluon resummation effects in Standard and SUSY Higgs production via the gluon fusion mechanism are discussed.

CERN-TH/97-35
hep-ph/9703355
March 1997

*Invited talk presented at the Ringberg Workshop "The Higgs Puzzle - What can we learn from LEP2, LHC, NLC and FMC?", December 8-13, 1996.