

Signatures of Parton Exogamy in $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$

John Ellis^a and Klaus Geiger^b

^a*Theoretical Physics Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland:
John.Ellis@cern.ch*

^b*Physics Department, BNL, Upton, N.Y. 11973, U.S.A.:
klaus@bnl.gov*

Abstract

We propose possible signatures of ‘exogamous’ combinations between partons in the different W^+ and W^- hadron showers in $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ events with purely hadronic final states. Within the space-time model for hadronic shower development that we have proposed previously, we find a possible difference of about 10 % between the mean hadronic multiplicity in such purely hadronic final states and twice the hadronic multiplicity in events in which one W^\pm decays hadronically and the other leptonically, i.e., $\langle N_{had}(2W) \rangle \neq 2 \langle N_{had}(W) \rangle$, associated with the formation of hadronic clusters by ‘exogamous’ pairs of partons. We discuss the dependence of this possible difference in multiplicity on the center-of-mass energy, on the hadron momenta, and on the angular separation between the W^\pm dijets. If it were observed, any such multiplicity difference would indicate that the W^\pm do not hadronize independently, and hence raise questions about the accuracy with which the W^\pm mass could be determined from purely hadronic final states.

One of the central aspects of the experimental programme at LEP 2 [1], is the study of the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$. This will permit more detailed investigation of W^\pm production and decay than has been possible previously, enabling more precise measurements of the three-gauge-boson couplings and the W^\pm mass M_W . There are three main ways in which the latter can be measured at LEP 2: a) using the value of the W^+W^- cross section at an energy close to threshold, b) kinematic fits to higher-energy events in which one W^\pm decays leptonically and the other hadronically, and c) kinematic fits to events in which both W^\pm decay hadronically.

The last of these is promising because of the high statistics that high-energy LEP 2 running is expected to produce, and because of the relative sophistication and accuracy of programs for analyzing events with four hadronic jets. However, concern has been expressed in the literature that these hadronic jet-mass measurements might be vulnerable to shifts in the apparent mass of the W^\pm due to physical interference between the hadronic decay products that emerge from their two initial dijet systems: $W^+ \rightarrow q_1\bar{q}_2$ and $W^- \rightarrow q_3\bar{q}_4$. Since the two initial colour-singlet systems $q_1\bar{q}_2$ and $q_3\bar{q}_4$ are produced essentially on top of each other at LEP 2, and evolve almost simultaneously, it is natural to be concerned that the quarks and gluons from the two sources may cross-talk, thereby altering the naive picture of independent evolution and fragmentation. Indeed, comparison between purely hadronic decays $W^+W^- \rightarrow q_1\bar{q}_2 + q_3\bar{q}_4$ and semileptonic decays $W^+W^- \rightarrow q_1\bar{q}_2 + \ell\nu$ with the same kinematics could be a sensitive probe of the confinement dynamics. However, the current consensus is that large interference effects are unlikely to be generated during the perturbative phase [2, 3, 4] of parton shower development that follows immediately on the electroweak decays of the W^\pm , but the question remains open whether significant interference effects might appear during the subsequent non-perturbative hadronization phase [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. These might result either from effects on the parton-to-hadron conversion process - due to the fact that the decay products of the W^\pm overlap in space and time, hadronic clusters might be formed by coalescence of partons from the W^+ and W^- showers, a possibility we term *exogamy* - or from the statistics of final-state hadrons - most importantly Bose-Einstein correlations among pions.

In a previous paper [10] we have analyzed possible exogamy effects in the parton-to-hadron conversion process using a model for the space-time development of hadronic showers which is based on perturbative QCD transport theory [11] for the evolution of partons, followed by an ansatz for parton-to-hadron conversion [13] that is based on a spatial criterion for confinement. The latter ansatz rests on the insight that hadronization of parton showers in hard QCD processes appears to be a ‘local’ phenomenon [12], in the sense that it is determined by the flavour and colour degrees of partons which are close-by in phase space. Such nearest-neighbour partons most preferably tend to form colour-singlet pre-hadronic clusters [14, 15] out of which final-state hadrons emerge. A key implication is that details of the space-time structure of the evolving parton ensemble, given by its time-dependent phase-space density, should be reflected in the final hadronic yield; however, the details of the actual parton-hadron conversion mechanism in a given phase-space cell should be local and universal in nature. Consistently with this picture, we incorporated no *a priori* prejudice that hadronization should prefer ‘endogamous’ unions of partons from the same W decay shower, and we found a large fraction of ‘exogamous’ unions between partons from different W showers. The identities of individual W^\pm decay products are therefore not well defined. Moreover, whereas previous analyses of

the possible implications for M_W of colour recombination effects [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] had suggested uncertainties of less than 100 MeV, using standard jet algorithms we found [10] shifts in M_W of several hundred MeV between our favoured model for parton-to-hadron conversion and other scenarios based on our framework for the space-time development of hadronic showers, as well as a hypothetical scenario in which the W^\pm decays are widely separated in space. Our hypothesis that partons are unbiased in the selection of their future hadronization partners is in contrast to the standard string picture, in which the colour charges of the initial quark q_1 and antiquark \bar{q}_2 predetermine at the space-time point of production the colour flow all the way into the remote future when finally hadrons are formed. The provocative question we raise is: *why, or to what extent, should the initial quark q_1 remember at a time several fm/c later that its original colour partner was \bar{q}_2 , and that it is supposed to form a string, or hadron chain, with the latter, when in the meantime plenty of other quark and gluon colour charges may have been produced in the region between the receding q_1 and \bar{q}_2 ?*¹

In view of the potential significance for the LEP 2 experimental programme of such an uncertainty, in this paper we explore in more detail some implications of our space-time picture of hadronization, looking in particular for signatures that might provide ‘early warning’ of possible large interference effects due to such exogamous parton combinations. Specifically, we observe that these may cause a significant difference between the mean multiplicity in a single W^\pm hadronic decay and half the mean multiplicity in events with a W^+W^- pair each of which decays hadronically, i.e., $\langle N_{had}(2W) \rangle \neq 2 \langle N_{had}(W) \rangle$. We also explore the possible distribution of any such multiplicity difference in the final-state hadronic phase space, finding that it is particularly enhanced for small hadron momenta, and that the effect has a strong dependence on the relative angles of the dijets from the W^+ and W^- . The difference in the total mean multiplicity could be as large as 10 %, which might be detectable with relatively small event samples. The effect that we find decreases slowly with the increasing center-of-mass energy, but is still several % even at the highest possible LEP 2 energies.

Referring to [10] for details, we summarize briefly here the essential concepts of our space-time model for parton shower development and hadronization [17]:

The *parton shower dynamics* is described by conventional perturbative QCD evolution Monte Carlo methods, with the added feature that we keep track of the spatial development in a series of small time increments. Our procedure implements perturbative QCD transport theory in a manner consistent with the appropriate quantum-mechanical uncertainty principle, incorporating parton splitting and recombination². In the rest frame of each W^\pm , each off-shell parton i in the shower propagates for a time Δt_i given in the mean by $\langle \Delta t_i \rangle = \gamma_i \tau_i = E_i/k_i^2 = x_i M_W/2k_i^2$, where k_i^2 is the parton’s squared-momentum virtuality, and $x_i = E_i/M_W$ its longitudinal energy fraction, during which it travels a distance $\Delta r_i = \Delta t_i \beta_i$. The n ’th step in the shower cascade is completed after a time $t_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta t_i = \frac{1}{2} M_W \sum_{i=1}^n x_i/k_i^2$. This means that the typical time after which a parton with momentum fraction x reaches a

¹The loss of colour memory has been studied quantitatively for the extreme case of a high-density QCD plasma, in which case it was found that the colour relaxation time during which the parton colour charges are completely randomized was extremely short: $\Delta t \lesssim 0.1 \text{ fm}$ [16].

²The latter is not significant during the perturbative phase of the hadron shower in e^+e^- annihilation.

low virtuality $k_0^2 = \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{QCD}^2)$ is $< t(x, M_W^2) > \sim (xM_W/k_0^2) \exp(-b\sqrt{\ln x})$. Thus, soft partons are expected to hadronize first, in a conventional inside-outside cascade [15].

The *parton-hadron conversion*, on the other hand, is handled using a *strictly spatial* criterion for confinement, with a simple field-theoretical ansatz used to estimate the probability $P(R)$ that a spatial region of given size R will make a transition from the parton phase to the hadron phase. At each time step in the shower development, every parton that is further from its neighbours than a certain critical distance R_c estimated using a simple field-theoretic model for parton-hadron conversion [18], is assigned the corresponding probability $P(R)$, estimated within the same model, to combine with its nearest-neighbour parton to form a hadronic cluster, possibly accompanied (in our favoured ‘colour-full’ hadronization scenario) by one or more partons to take correct account of the colour flow. The resulting hadronic clusters are then allowed to decay into stable hadrons according to the particle data tables.

In the application to $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ that we pursue here, it is important to stress again that at no moment in this shower development do we make any distinction between the decay products of the W^+ and W^- . In any given e^+e^- annihilation event, an exogamous pair of partons from different W^\pm decays have the same probability of conversion to hadrons as do an endogamous pair of partons from the same W^\pm decay, at the same spatial separation. This philosophy of parton-hadron conversion may be distinguished from the mainstream approach in which each W^\pm decay is assumed *a priori* to form a string, the criterion for cluster formation is formulated essentially in momentum space, and there are a limited number of cross connections between the different strings [1]. This difference in philosophy is not of academic interest, since, for $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ production at LEP 2, the partonic showers of W^\pm decays are superposed. Before decay, each W^\pm travels a distance r^\pm given in the mean by $r^\pm = \gamma^\pm \tau^\pm \beta^\pm$, where $\tau^\pm = 1/\Gamma_W$. Numerically, this distance is very short at LEP 2, namely, of order 0.05 fm (0.1 fm) at a center-of-mass energy $E_{CM} = 170$ (200) GeV, and the boost of the W^\pm at higher energies will not be sufficient to separate their decays by more than 1 fm at least until $E_{CM} > 1$ TeV. Although the leading high-momentum partons separate rapidly, in general, because the directions of the $W^\pm \rightarrow q_i \bar{q}_j$ decay axes are different, the two clouds of low-momentum partons can be expected to overlap, leading to a substantial number of exogamous unions, as seen clearly in Fig. 18 of Ref. [10]. These may also occur among higher-momentum partons, if the angles between the W^\pm dijets decay axes are sufficiently small, as we discuss below.

In our previous paper [10], we analyzed the potential significance of such exogamous unions for the experimental determination of M_W from purely hadronic final states. We applied standard jet-finding and dijet mass-estimation algorithms to hadronic final states obtained using three different variants of our space-time model for parton-to-hadron conversion, finding mass shifts δM_W of up to several hundred MeV, compared to independent W^\pm decays. For example, in our ‘colour-full’ scenario in which partons in any colour combination can coalesce to colour-singlet clusters through additional non-perturbative gluon emission, we found a shift of 0.27 GeV in $\langle M_W \rangle$ between realistic overlapping W^+W^- decays as compared to hypothetical independent W^+W^- decays.

In the following we will focus on different kinematical signatures of cross-talk between

the W^\pm decay products, in particular the multiplicity dependence and the shape of particle spectra. The results that we will discuss were obtained from simulations with the Monte Carlo implementation [10, 17] of our model. For the full range of LEP 2 energies, $E_{cm} = 162 - 200$ GeV, we have studied two distinct situations: first, the physically *realistic* overlapping evolution of cross-talking parton showers as they occur in events where both W 's decay hadronically via $W^+W^- \rightarrow q_1\bar{q}_2 + q_3\bar{q}_4$, and, secondly, the non-interfering *independent* evolution of superposed dijets from decays of W^+ and W^- , mimicking events where one of the W 's decays hadronically and the other one semi-leptonically. Technically, the latter case is implemented by separating physically the two W^\pm decay vertices by a large distance $\gg 1$ fm, and should resemble two superposed $W^+W^- \rightarrow q_1\bar{q}_2 + \ell\nu$ decays. In the following we use the terms $1 \times 2W$ for *purely hadronic* decays and $2 \times 1W$ for *superposed semileptonic* decays.

Fig. 1 displays potential gross signatures of cross-talk between the W^\pm hadronic decay showers in the energy range $E_{cm} = 162 - 200$ GeV: the top part exhibits a significant difference between the mean hadronic multiplicities $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ in *realistic* overlapping and *independent* separated W^\pm decays of about 10 %, which persists with very weak E_{cm} -dependence throughout the entire LEP 2 energy range. The hadronic decays of both W^+ and W^- (the $1 \times 2W$ case) yield generally about 10% lower charged multiplicity than twice the case when one W^\pm decays semi-leptonically (the $2 \times 1W$ case). The slight increase with E_{cm} of $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ originates from a weak growth of $\langle M_W \rangle$ which sets the initial evolution scale for the parton showers. This is due to the gradual relaxation of the kinematical constraint that favours the low-mass side of the W^\pm Breit-Wigner close to the nominal W^+W^- threshold. The bottom part of Fig. 1 displays the difference in the mean transverse momenta $\langle p_{T\ ch} \rangle$ of charged particles, measured with respect to the thrust axis ³, between the realistic $1 \times 2W$ and independent $2 \times 1W$ cases. The purely hadronic events give larger mean transverse momenta to the final-state particles than do events with one semi-leptonic decay, mainly because the total transverse momentum is of similar magnitude in each case, but is distributed among fewer particles in the lower-multiplicity $1 \times 2W$ case.

We see that these possible differences persist throughout the LEP 2 energy range between 162 and 200 GeV, consistent with our earlier suggestion that these effects may not disappear before $E_{cm} \sim \text{TeV}$. The plots in Fig. 1 (and in the following figures) are for our preferred ‘colour-full’ hadronization scenario [10], in which we take account of both the spatial separation and the colour matching of partons coalescing to form a pre-hadronic colour-singlet cluster. For comparison, we have also studied the naive ‘colour-blind’ scenario, which is based solely on the spatial nearest-neighbour criterion for parton coalescence, irrespective of the colour degrees of freedom. The two prescriptions, ‘colour-full’ and ‘colour-blind’, are confronted in Table 1, where we see that some difference between the $1 \times 2W$ and $2 \times 1W$ values of $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ is always present at the several % level, despite detailed differences between the hadronization scenarios.

Since it is not evident that a 10% effect in the mean charged multiplicity can be measured with limited statistics, we have analyzed, within our model, the statistical fluctuations to be

³Thrust is defined as usual, $T = \max_{|\vec{n}|} \sum_i \vec{n} \cdot \vec{p}_i / \sum_i |\vec{p}_i|$ with respect to all particles i in an event (not within the 2 dijets individually), and the thrust axis is given by the unit vector \vec{n} for which the maximum is attained.




Figure 1: Energy-dependences ($E_{cm} = s^{1/2}$) of the average charged multiplicity and the mean transverse momentum per charged particle in realistic cross-talking W^+W^- decay events ($1 \times 2W$), compared with independent W^\pm decay events ($2 \times 1W$).

mode	$\langle N_{ch} \rangle$, all		$\langle N_{ch} \rangle$, $ \vec{p} \leq 1$ GeV		$\langle N_{ch} \rangle$, $ \vec{p} \leq 0.5$ GeV	
	tot	$ y \leq 1$	tot	$ y \leq 1$	tot	$ y \leq 1$
a)	31.6	24.8	13.7	11.9	7.5	6.6
b)	28.9	22.7	12.4	10.7	7.0	6.1
c)	26.8	21.3	10.2	8.6	4.9	4.3
d)	24.7	19.8	9.3	7.8	4.6	4.0

mode	$\langle p_{\perp ch} \rangle$	$\langle p_{\perp ch}^2 \rangle$	$\langle E_{ch} \rangle$	$\langle p_{z ch} \rangle$
	(GeV)	(GeV ²)	(GeV)	(GeV)
a)	1.89	12.9	2.51	1.25
b)	1.96	13.9	2.58	1.26
c)	2.26	17.3	3.01	1.52
d)	2.40	19.5	3.15	1.57

Table 1: Summary of some simulation results at $E_{cm} = 162$ GeV. The numbers for particle multiplicities and momenta refer to the following cases: a) $2 \times 1W$ - colour-full, b) $1 \times 2W$ - colour-full, c) $2 \times 1W$ - colour-blind, d) $1 \times 2W$ - colour-blind. Here, ‘colour-full’ is our preferred hadronization scenario, which accounts for both the spatial separation and the colour matching of partons coalescing to form a pre-hadronic colour-singlet cluster, whereas ‘colour-blind’ is a naive hadronization scenario, based solely on the spatial nearest-neighbour criterion for parton coalescence, irrespective of the colour degrees of freedom: see [10] for details. Since we have not attempted to fine-tune either of our colour-full and colour-blind hadronization scenarios, the absolute values of the multiplicities shown are not to be taken as precise predictions: the significance lies in the differences between the $2 \times 1W$ and $1 \times 2W$ cases for the two scenarios, *i.e.*, the differences a) - b) and c) - d).

expected in small samples of W^+W^- events. Specifically, we find that the charged particle multiplicity averaged over event samples of 100 events each, shows a strongly peaked distribution around $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ averaged over all event samples, with a small width corresponding to fluctuations of $\delta < N_{ch} > \lesssim \pm 2$. This indicates that the effects in Fig. 1 are only marginal with the present statistics from $E_{CM} = 161, 172$ GeV, but should be resolvable with the experimental data obtained during 1997.

As originally suggested in Ref. [5], and in line with the previous qualitative arguments, one would expect the bulk of any difference in charged multiplicity to appear in kinematical configurations where exogamy is most prevalent, namely (i) when the two dijets from the W^- and W^+ decays are produced in an ‘anti-collinear’ configuration with the initial q_1 and \bar{q}_4 emerging with a small relative angle (and similarly for \bar{q}_2 and q_3), and (ii) in the central rapidity region of small particle rapidities (momenta), where most of the gluonic off-spring is produced. In order to investigate these expectations, we measure momenta with respect to the axis \tilde{z} that cuts the two dijet axes in half. The axis \tilde{z} is constructed, as illustrated in Fig. 2, from the the knowledge of the initial two jet axes of $q_1\bar{q}_2$ and $q_3\bar{q}_4$ (not from the final hadronic jets), where the relative angle *theta* is defined as the angle between the quark of one dijet and the antiquark of the other dijet, i.e., between q_1 and \bar{q}_4 , or equivalently \bar{q}_2 and q_3 .

Fig. 3 shows that the mean charged multiplicity depends strongly on the relative angle θ between the cross-talking dijets in the $1 \times 2W$ case, shown by the solid line. For comparison, the dashed line is for the $2 \times 1W$ case of independent dijet evolution. This is flat to within our statistical errors, indicating that there is no angular dependence in this case, as expected. The wiggles in this line serve to emphasize the significance of the variation seen in the cross-talking $1 \times 2W$ case. Experimentally, it is not feasible to separate efficiently the kinematical configuration of anti-collinear dijets from that of collinear dijets, in which $q_{1,3}$ emerge in similar directions, as do $\bar{q}_{2,4}$. Therefore the effect seen in Fig. 3 will be diluted experimentally by folding together the configurations θ and $180^\circ - \theta$.

We now look into more details of the hadron distributions in W^\pm final states. We see in the top panel of Fig. 4 that the full multiplicity distributions have similar shapes in the $1 \times 2W$ and the $2 \times 1W$ cases, though with different mean values of 28.9 and 31.6, respectively, in our preferred colour-full hadronization scenario. However, we also see in Fig. 4 that most of the hadronic multiplicity differences between the cross-talking evolution ($1 \times 2W$) and the independent evolution ($2 \times 1W$) indeed occur (i) at small relative angles $\theta \lesssim 30^\circ$ as already seen in Fig. 3, and (ii) for particles with $|y| \leq 1$ (corresponding mostly to small momenta $|\vec{p}| \lesssim 1$ GeV). Specifically, as seen in the top panel of Fig. 4, small-angle events with $\theta < 30^\circ$ yield a shifted multiplicity distribution with the much lower mean multiplicity of 21.5. The rapidity spectra of charged particles shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 4 diagnoses these differences, showing that, in the average over all angular configurations, the $1 \times 2W$ and the $2 \times 1W$ rapidity distributions have characteristically different shapes. The $2 \times 1W$ case has the typical humped shape of ordinary $q\bar{q}$ jet events, whereas the $1 \times 2W$ case exhibits more of a plateau in the central rapidity region, indicating a significant suppression of soft gluon emission. This effect is particularly marked in small-angle events, where the depletion in the region $|y| \leq 1$ is very prominent. Notwithstanding the suggestive spectra in Fig. 4, we emphasize that there are




Figure 2: Illustration of the definitions of the axis \tilde{z} and the dijet separation angle θ between q_1 and \bar{q}_4 (equivalently, between \bar{q}_2 and q_3) from the decays $W^+ \rightarrow q_1 \bar{q}_2$ and $W^- \rightarrow q_3 \bar{q}_4$. Small- and large-angle configurations are shown.




Figure 3: Mean charged-particle multiplicity as a function of the relative angle θ between the two initial dijets defined in Fig. 2. The solid (dotted) curve refers to the cross-talking (independent) evolution of the W^+ - and W^- -initiated parton showers.

significant fractional differences in the rapidity distributions even when $|y| > 1$, as also shown in Table 1. Indeed, although the fractional difference in multiplicity may be enhanced in the central region, it does not seem that this enhancement is large enough to improve noticeably the measurability of such a difference in an experiment with limited statistics, such as are available from the LEP runs at 162 and 172 GeV.

Why is the effect on the charged multiplicity a *reduction*, rather than a *increase*? The results shown in Figs. 1, 3 and 4 could be explained by the production either of lower-mass hadronic clusters, and/or of fewer clusters, the effect becoming stronger for small-angle, anti-collinear dijets, and for softer clusters. In some loose sense, such an effect may be thought of as reflecting increased “efficiency” in the hadronization process, possibly along the lines of the basic quantum-mechanical principle of choosing the state of lowest possible energy (or invariant mass). Perhaps the presence of two cross-talking dijets in the $1 \times 2W$ case, with their spatially-overlapping offspring, allows the evolving particle system to reorganize itself more favorably in the cluster-hadronization process, and to pick a state with smaller invariant mass than in the $2 \times 1W$ case corresponding to independent dijets with no cross-talk. Indeed, Fig. 5 shows that the mass spectrum of pre-hadronic clusters from coalescing partons is in fact softer in the $1 \times 2W$ case, reflecting the fact that the availability of more partons enables clusters to form from configurations with lower invariant mass than in the $2 \times 1W$ case. Fig. 5 shows that this feature is also enhanced at small relative angles $\theta < 30^\circ$ of the two evolving dijets. On the other hand, we have found that there is no significant difference in the number of hadronic clusters produced in the $1 \times 2W$ and $2 \times 1W$ cases ⁴.

To render these differences between the overlapping $1 \times 2W$ events and the independent $2 \times 1W$ events more plausible, let us consider in more detail an idealized configuration in which the second W decays along an axis parallel to that of the first W decay, with q_1 accompanying \bar{q}_4 , *i.e.*, $\theta = 0$ in Fig. 2. In such a case, one would expect strong interference between the comoving \bar{q} and q jets. Indeed, if they were sufficiently close, the parton showers would have many coherent features. Consider, for example, the case in which \bar{q}_4 and q_1 happen to form a colour singlet: the hadronic final state should then tend to consist of two small groups of high-momentum hadrons at each end of the common jet axis, with a large intermediate rapidity gap. Conversely, if the comoving \bar{q}_4 and q_1 form a colour octet, there could be an octet colour “string” between the two ends of the rapidity distribution along the common jet axis. Asymptotically, this should yield a higher rapidity plateau. However, it is known from studies of gluon jets at accessible energies that any such enhancement is not as large as the factor of 9/4 that would be required to counterbalance the (idealized) rapidity-gap events ⁵ This argument points in the

⁴For completeness, we report that in the $1 \times 2W$ case at $E_{cm} = 162$ (200) GeV an average total of $N_{clus} = 24.8$ (26.2) pre-hadronic clusters are produced, out of which $N_{clus}^{(ex)} = 14.1$ (16.8) are exogamous coalescence products.

⁵In reality, the emission of additional gluons in the process of shower evolution complicates this simple-minded picture. The emitted gluons, most of which carry small energy fractions and populate the central rapidity region, have - as discussed in Ref. [10] - substantial space-time overlap, and are, in our model, unbiased in their choices of coalescence partners, not caring which W decay they emerge from. These low-momentum gluons populate mostly the spatial region of the W^+ and W^- decay vertices, particularly if E_{cm} is close to the W^+W^- threshold, and therefore increase the probability for exogamous reorganization of colour flow between




Figure 4: Charged-particle multiplicity distributions and spectra of rapidities with respect to the \tilde{z} axis defined in Fig. 2. The full (dotted) curves are for cross-talking (independent) evolution of the W^+ - and W^- -initiated parton showers. The dashed lines show the corresponding distributions in small-angle events, where exogamy effects are enhanced.




Figure 5: Mass spectra of pre-hadronic colour-singlet clusters formed from coalescing partons during hadronization. The solid (dotted) curves refer to cross-talking (independent) evolution of the W^+ and W^- initiated parton showers. The dashed lines shows the distribution for small-angle events, where there is a more marked reduction in the fraction of high-mass clusters. The mean values $\langle M \rangle$ are 1.70 GeV for the small-angle case, 1.85 GeV for the cross-talking $1 \times 2W$ case averaged over all angles, and 2.2 GeV for the case of independent evolution.

direction of an overall multiplicity reduction, as seen in Figs. 1, which should become more marked if the W^\pm dijet axes are closer together, as seen in Fig. 5. Moreover, this simplified picture suggests the appearance of a double hump in the rapidity distribution for small-angle events, with a suppressed plateau, as seen in the bottom panel of Fig. 3. This picture is also consistent with the enhanced number of events with a very low number of charged particles, seen in the top panel of Fig. 3. Confirmation of this picture could be provided by the observation of a significant number of large-rapidity-gap events, which are known to be very rare in Z^0 decays [19].

In conclusion, this analysis has shown that exogamy between the partons from different W^\pm hadronic showers may have as an observable signature differences between the hadron distributions in $(W^+ \rightarrow q_1 \bar{q}_2)$ ($W^- \rightarrow q_3 \bar{q}_4$) events and independent $W \rightarrow q \bar{q}$ decays, as observable in $(W^\pm \rightarrow q_1 \bar{q}_2)$ ($W^\mp \rightarrow \ell \nu$) events. These differences may become apparent even before any possible difference in the W^\pm masses extracted from these different classes of events. Any observed difference should certainly put one on guard concerning the interpretation of the W^\pm mass extracted from purely hadronic final states in $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$, in the absence of any better understanding of the hadronization process.

As a final general remark, we note that $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ provides a uniquely clean environment for probing the development of hadronization in time and space. Other measurements, the initial dijets.

notably those in $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z^0 \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$, only observe the final state at distances $|r| \gg 1$ fm and times $t \gg 1$ fm/c. On the other hand, as we have emphasized, the second W decay occurs in the heart of the “hot spot” produced by the first $W^\pm \rightarrow \bar{q}q$ decay, and hadronization may provide a sensitive probe of the details of the core of the parton-shower development. There are other unstable particles which might also provide tools for such studies, notably the t quark. The process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \bar{t}t$ may exhibit many of the features discussed here, and this reaction is on the agenda of future e^+e^- colliders. However, there the main emphasis on measuring m_t will be using total cross-section measurements, so the implications of exogamy for mass reconstruction will be less crucial than for $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$. Currently, m_t is being extracted from jet measurements in $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{t}tX$. The estimated experimental error in m_t is relatively large, but exogamous hadronization effects might also be significant in this process, and merit study.

At the moment, given the inevitable model-dependence of treatments of hadronization, including that presented here, we think that the possible effects of exogamy are currently an area where experiment must lead the way. However, we have provided in this paper indications of some possible signatures that experiment might seek. However, we should repeat one cautionary remark: the numbers and particle distributions given in this paper are estimates obtained within our model, that has not been tuned to fit $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ data, or even details of event-shape variables in $Z^0 \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ decay. We do not claim high accuracy for our estimates: rather, the intent of our paper has to provide qualitative suggestions for possible interesting physical effects, and to motivate further analysis by both theorists and experimentalists. In particular, we hope that forthcoming results from LEP 2 will soon cast light on the issues raised in this paper.

This work was supported in part by the D.O.E. under contract no. DE-AC02-76H00016.

References

- [1] *Proceedings of the Workshop “Physics at LEP2”*, CERN Yellow Report. 96-01, Vol. 1, 2 (1996).
- [2] V. A. Khoze, L. H. Orr, and W. J. Stirling, Nucl. Phys. **B378**, 413 (1992).
- [3] Yu. L. Dokshitzer, V. A. Khoze, L. H. Orr, and W. J. Stirling, Nucl. Phys. **B403**, 65 (1993).
- [4] V. S. Fadin, V. A. Khoze, and A. D. Martin, Phys. Lett. **B320**, 141 (1994); Phys. Rev. **D49**, 2247 (1994).
- [5] G. Gustafson, U. Petterson, and P. Zerwas, Phys. Lett. **B209**, 90 (1988).
- [6] T. Sjöstrand and V. A. Khoze, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 28 (1994); Z. Phys. **C62**, 281 (1994).
- [7] G. Gustafson and J. Häkkinen, Z. Phys. **C64**, 659 (1994).

- [8] B. Webber, in *QCD Event Generators*, ed. I. G. Knowles and T. Sjöstrand, Ref. [1].
- [9] L. Lönnblad, CERN-TH. 95-218 (1995).
- [10] J. Ellis and K. Geiger, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 1755 (1996).
- [11] K. Geiger, Phys. Rev. **D54**, 949 (1996); preprint BNL-63087, hep-ph/9611400.
- [12] Ya. I. Azimov, Yu. L. Dokshitzer, V. A. Khoze and S. I. Troyan, Z. Phys. **C27**, 65 (1985); Yu. L. Dokshitzer, V. A. Khoze and S. I. Troyan, Z. Phys. **C55**, 107 (1992).
- [13] J. Ellis and K. Geiger, Phys. Rev. **D52**, 1500 (1995); Nucl. Phys. **A590**, 609 (1995).
- [14] D. Amati and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. **B83**, 87 (1979); D. Amati, A. Bassetto, M. Ciafaloni, G. Marchesini, and G. Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. **B173**, 429 (1980).
- [15] K. Konishi, CERN-TH. 2853 (1980); G. Marchesini, L. Trentadue and G. Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. **B181**, 335 (1981).
- [16] A. Selikov and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Lett. **B316**, 373 (1993).
- [17] K. Geiger, preprint BNL-63632, hep-ph/9701226.
- [18] B.A. Campbell, J. Ellis and K.A. Olive, Phys. Lett. **B235**, 325 (1990) and Nucl. Phys. **B345**, 57 (1990).
- [19] SLD Collaboration, K. Abe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4886 (1996).