

March 1997

Are Isocurvature Fluctuations of the M-theory Axion Observable?

M. Kawasaki^{a,*} and T. Yanagida^{b,†}

^a*Institute for Cosmic Ray Research, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 188, Japan*

^b*Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 133, Japan*

^{*}*e-mail:kawasaki@icrr.u-tokyo.ac.jp*

[†]*e-mail:yanagida@kanquero.phys.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp*

Abstract

Banks and Dine have recently shown that the M theory naturally accommodates the Peccei-Quinn axion. Since the decay constant F_a of the axion is large as $F_a \simeq 10^{15} - 10^{16} \text{ GeV}$, the halo axion is hardly detected in coming axion-search experiments. However, we show that isocurvature fluctuations of the M-theory axion produced at the inflationary epoch are most likely detectable in future satellite experiments on anisotropies of the cosmic microwave background radiation.

If the unification scale ($\sim 10^{16}\text{GeV}$) of three known gauge groups corresponds to compactification scale of extra six dimensional space, the string theory is in a strong-coupling regime. It has been argued by Horava and Witten [1] that the strong-coupling heterotic string theory is M theory whose low-energy limit is well described by eleven dimensional supergravity. In this M-theory the fundamental energy scale is the eleven dimensional Planck mass M_{11} ($\simeq 2 \times 10^{16}\text{GeV}$) rather than the four dimensional one M_4 ($\simeq 2 \times 10^{18}\text{GeV}$) [2]. The four dimensional Planck mass M_4 is only an effective parameter at low energies.

This M-theory description of strong-coupling heterotic string theory leads to various interesting new phenomenologies. Recently, it has been pointed out by Banks and Dine [3] that some of string axions survive at low energies, since the world-sheet instanton effects are suppressed owing to the large compactification radius in string tension unit. Thus, they play a role of the Peccei-Quinn axion [4].

The decay constant F_a of the M-theory axion is expected as [3]

$$F_a \simeq 10^{15} - 10^{16}\text{GeV}, \quad (1)$$

which contradicts the constraint, $10^{10}\text{GeV} \lesssim F_a \lesssim 10^{12}\text{GeV}$, derived in the standard cosmology [5]. However, this problem may be solved by late-time entropy production through decays of moduli (or Polonyi) fields [6, 7]. Since the late-time decays produce so many LSP that the universe is overclosed, the LSP must be unstable [8]. This implies rather an interesting case in which axions are the dark matter in the present universe. Unfortunately, the halo axions are hardly detected in near future axion-search experiments, since the decay constant F_a is too large as shown in eq.(1).

In this short letter we point out that inflationary universe produces isocurvature fluctuations of the M-theory axion which are most likely detectable in future satellite experiments on anisotropies of the cosmic microwave background radiation (CMBR).

Let us first discuss inflaton sector. Since the fundamental energy scale is $M_{11} \sim 2 \times 10^{16}\text{GeV}$ in the M theory [2, 3], it is natural to consider that the inflaton takes

a value of the order of M_{11} at the beginning of the universe. Therefore, the most natural inflation model seems to be the hybrid inflation model [9]. In the hybrid inflation model the inflation occurs with a constant vacuum energy density which is quickly eaten by another field when the inflaton reaches a critical value. The first guess of the vacuum energy density may be M_{11}^4 . However, it is too large to have sufficiently long inflationary epoch. This is because the initial value of the inflaton is about the same as the critical value at which the inflation ends. The next choice is $M_{11}^6/M_4^2 \sim (2 \times 10^{15}\text{GeV})^4$ as argued in ref. [7]. This vacuum energy density is also suggested by a recent analysis [10] in a hybrid inflation model.¹ Thus, we assume hereafter the vacuum energy density

$$V \sim (2 \times 10^{15}\text{GeV})^4 \quad (2)$$

during the inflation, which leads to the Hubble constant

$$H_{\text{inf}} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{V}}{\sqrt{3}M_4} \sim 10^{12}\text{GeV}. \quad (3)$$

We are now at the point to estimate isocurvature fluctuations of the M-theory axion. It is known [11] that in the deSitter universe massless fields φ have quantum fluctuations $\delta\varphi$ which are given by

$$\delta\varphi \simeq \frac{H_{\text{inf}}}{2\pi}. \quad (4)$$

Since the axion does not have potential energy during inflation, its fluctuations do not give any contribution to those of the total energy density of the universe. Thus the axion fluctuations are of isocurvature type. The isocurvature fluctuations of the dark matter density (provided that the axion is the dark matter) are given by

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho_a}{\rho_a}\right)_{\text{iso}} \simeq \frac{H_{\text{inf}}}{\pi F_a} \simeq (3 - 0.3) \times 10^{-4}. \quad (5)$$

¹Linde and Riotto [10] have recently proposed a hybrid inflation model based on supergravity. From COBE data they have derived the vacuum energy density $V \sim (2 \times 10^{15}\text{GeV})^4$.

Here we have used eqs.(1) and (3). On the other hand, the inflaton itself produces adiabatic fluctuations as usual. Thus we have a mixture model of adiabatic and isocurvature fluctuations of the dark matter (axion) density.

The observation of the anisotropies of CMBR by COBE [12] gives a constraint on the isocurvature fluctuations as

$$\left(\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho}\right)_{\text{iso}} \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-5}. \quad (6)$$

The prediction in eq.(5) already violates this constraint. However, we should not take eq.(5) at the face value, since our estimation of H_{inf} and F_a may contain various ambiguities. The above analysis, nevertheless, shows that the isocurvature fluctuations of the M-theory axion most likely give a non-negligible contribution to CMBR.²

If $(\delta\rho_a/\rho_a)_{\text{iso}} \simeq (1 - 2) \times 10^{-5}$, anisotropies of CMBR induced by isocurvature fluctuations can be distinguished from those produced by pure adiabatic fluctuations [13] because the shapes of power spectrum of CMBR anisotropies are quite different from each other at small angular scales. For example, there will be no large Doppler peak in the spectrum of CMBR as pointed out in ref. [13], while the pure adiabatic fluctuation models predict a significant peak at degree scales. Since direct searches for the M-theory axion seem impossible, the future satellite experiments on CMBR anisotropies is crucial to test the M-theory axion hypothesis.

²Interestingly, it has been pointed out [13] that if isocurvature fluctuations are comparably mixed with adiabatic ones, the matter power spectrum normalized by COBE data give a better fit to the data of observations of the large scale structure of the universe than in the case of pure adiabatic fluctuations. In the standard cold dark matter scenario with pure adiabatic fluctuations, the density fluctuations at scales of galaxies and clusters are too large if the power spectrum $P(k)$ is normalized by COBE data. However, since isocurvature fluctuations give a six times larger contribution to CMBR anisotropies at COBE scales, the mixture of isocurvature fluctuations decreases the amplitude of the matter fluctuations at scales of galaxies and clusters, which leads to a better fit to the observations.

Acknowledgment

One of the authors (T.Y.) thanks M. Hashimoto and K.-I. Izawa for useful discussions.

References

- [1] P. Horava and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B460** (1996) 506, hep-th/9510209; Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 7561, hep-th/9603142.
- [2] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B471** (1996) 135, hep-th/9602070.
- [3] T. Banks and M. Dine, hep-th/9605136.
- [4] R.D. Peccei and H.R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 1440.
- [5] E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner, *The Early Universe*, Addison-Wesley, (1990).
- [6] M. Kawasaki, T. Moroi and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **B383** (1996) 313.
- [7] T. Banks and M. Dine, hep-th/9608197.
- [8] M. Kawasaki, T. Moroi and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **B370** (1996) 52.
- [9] A. Linde, Phys. Lett. **B259** (1991) 38.
- [10] A. Linde and A. Riotto, hep-ph/9703209.
- [11] A. Linde, *Particle Physics and Inflationary Universe* (1990) Harwood Academic Publisher.
- [12] G.F. Smoot *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **396** (1992) L1.
- [13] M. Kawasaki, N. Sugiyama and T. Yanagida, Phys. Rev. **D 54** (1996) 2442.