Ferromagnetism of Axion Domain Wall

Aiichi Iwazaki

Department of Physics, Nishogakusha University, Shonan Ohi Chiba 277, Japan.

(January 29, 1997)

Abstract

We show that axion domain wall is ferromagnetic in the universe with nonvanishing baryon number or lepton number. It is caused by protons and electrons bounded to the domain wall with their spins aligned. These bound states arise due to attractive potentials generated through pseudo-vector couplings between the fermions and the axion. Using a model of hadronic axions we predict existence of a primordial magnetic field with strength 10^{-13} Gauss at recombination.

14.80.Mz, 98.80.Cq, 11.30.Er

Typeset using $\text{REVT}_{\text{E}}X$

The axion [1] is a Nambu-Goldstone mode associated with Peccei-Quinn symmetry [2] which leads to a possible solution of strong CP problem [3]. The axion gains a mass through QCD instanton interactions. These interactions give rise to several possible vacuum expectation values of the axion field. This fact in general leads to axion domain walls [4,5] in cosmology. Namely in the early universe the axion field can take different vacuum expectation values in different causally distinct regions when the temperature of the universe cools down to below QCD transition temperature. Thus the domain walls appear between these regions. However the energy of the domain walls dominates the energy of the universe so quickly that the standard scenario of the early universe is invalidated [6,5]. In order to solve the problem it is helpful to find new properties of the domain wall.

In this paper we reveal a new feature of the axion domain wall: The domain wall is ferromagnetic. This property is caused by polarized neucleons and leptons bounded to the wall. We will show that the domain wall produces a potential in which only the particles polarized perpendicular to the wall are bounded. The potential has the width of m_a^{-1} and the depth of m_a approximately where m_a is the axion mass. Thus the binding energy is the order of the axion mass ($10^{-3} \text{ eV} \sim 10^{-5} \text{ eV}$). As we consider a period when the temperature of the universe is higher than that of neucleosynthesis, but lower than the temperature (150 MeV~ 100 MeV) of QCD transition, relevant particles to the property of the wall are protons, electrons, and their anti-particles. These particles form bound states in the potential where the spins of the states are aligned in the identical direction perpendicular to the wall. Thus as far as the baryon number (the lepton number) of the universe is non-zero, the wall possesses magnetization just as ferromagnetics of ordinary matter does. This is a mechanism of ferromagnetism of the axion domain wall, which is different from a mechanism we have recently discussed [7] in domain walls with fermion zero modes.

Now we show that the attractive potential for neucleons or leptons is produced by the axion domain wall. For the purpose we note that axion interaction [8] with these particles is specified as follow,

$$L_{int} = g\partial_{\mu}a\bar{\psi}\gamma_{5}\gamma^{\mu}\psi + h.c. \tag{1}$$

where a is the axion field and ψ is the fermion field (for our purpose we suppose that the fermions are protons or electrons). g is the coupling constant whose value depends on models [9,10] of the axion. We assume that for both hadrons and leptons it is the order of f_{PQ}^{-1} , the breaking scale of Peccei-Quinn symmetry ($f_{PQ} = 10^{10} \text{ GeV} \sim 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$) [5]. When we consider a model of hadronic axion, the coupling constant with leptons is the order of αf_{PQ}^{-1} ; $\alpha = 1/137$.

Suppose that the domain wall is located at $x_3 = 0$ and is extending in x_1 and x_2 directions. Then the nonvanishing component of $\partial_{\mu}a$ is ∂_3a . Dirac equation $(i\gamma_{\mu}\partial^{\mu} - m + ga\gamma_3\gamma_5)\psi = 0$ is reduced to

$$((E-m)\sigma_3 - g\partial_3 a)u + i\partial_3 v = 0, \quad (-(E+m)\sigma_3 + g\partial_3 a)v - i\partial_3 u = 0$$

$$(2)$$

where E(m) is the energy (mass) of the fermion and we have set $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$, assuming fermions with no momenta in x_1 and x_2 directions. We have used a convention in the Bjorken-Drell text for gamma metrices (σ_3 is Pauli metrics). Taking account of smallness of $g\partial a$ in comparison with the fermion mass, we obtain the Schrödinger equation for the large component u(v) in the nonrelativistic limit,

$$\varepsilon u = \left(-\frac{\partial_3^2}{2m} + g\partial_3 a\sigma_3\right) u \quad \left(\varepsilon v = \left(-\frac{\partial_3^2}{2m} - g\partial_3 a\sigma_3\right) v\right) \tag{3}$$

with $E = m + \varepsilon$ ($E = -m - \varepsilon$) where small component $v = -i\partial_3 u/2m$ ($u = i\partial_3 v/2m$).

Note that a is given by $f_{PQ}\theta$ (θ is angle variable changing from 0 to 2π around the domain wall) and that the typical scale of spatial variation of θ is given by the axion mass m_a . Thus it turns out that the potential $g\partial_3 a\sigma_3$ in eq(3) for both of the fermion and the antifermion is attractive for the state with spin down, $u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and repulsive for the state with spin up, $u = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. It has approximately the width of m_a^{-1} and the depth of m_a . m_a is about $10^{-3} \text{eV} \sim 10^{-5} \text{eV}$. Hence the potential depth is quite shallow but the width is so large (the order of 1 cm) that the potential can accommodate bound states for protons and electrons. In such the wide potential the particles move almost freely in x_3 direction. Obviously, spins

of these particles bounded to the wall are aligned. This causes the ferromagnetism of the axion domain wall.

We may take the energies of the bound states approximately such that $\varepsilon = -m_a + k_3^2/2m$ where k_3 is the momentum in x_3 direction. These bound states move also in x_1 and x_2 directions. Then the energies of the bound states are specified with their momenta \vec{k} ,

$$\varepsilon = -m_a + \frac{\vec{k}^2}{2m} \tag{4}$$

In order to calculate the magnetization we need energy spectra of these states in external magnetic field, B perpendicular to the wall. It is easy to derive the energies of the fermions and antifermions in the nonrelativistic limit,

$$\varepsilon_{k,n+1} = -m_a + \frac{k_3^2}{2m} + \omega(n+1) \quad \text{for fermion}$$

$$\varepsilon_{k,n} = -m_a + \frac{k_3^2}{2m} + \omega n \quad \text{for antifermion}$$
(5)

where n is integer (≥ 0) and ω is the cyclotron frequency ($\omega = eB/m$). The difference in the energy spectra between the fermions and the antifermions comes from the difference in the directions of the magnetic moments of these particles bounded to the wall.

Now we calculate a free energy of these particles bounded to the wall,

$$\Omega = -\beta^{-1} \sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n+1} \le 0} \log\left(1 + e^{(\mu - m - \varepsilon_{k,n+1})\beta}\right) - \beta^{-1} \sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n} \le 0} \log\left(1 + e^{-(\mu + m + \varepsilon_{k,n})\beta}\right) \tag{6}$$

where the first term represents contributions of fermions, while second one does those of anti-fermions. μ is the chemical potential of the baryon number or the lepton number of the universe. $\sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n+1}\leq 0} (\sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n}\leq 0})$ implies $N_d \sum_{n=0} \int m_a^{-1} dk_3/(2\pi)$ with the condition that $\varepsilon_{k,n+1} \leq 0$ ($\varepsilon_{k,n} \leq 0$); we sum up only contributions from the bound states. (N_d is the degeneracy given by $eBL^2/2\pi$ where L^2 is the surface area of the wall).

The magnetization, M per unit volume of the wall is then given by,

$$M = -\frac{1}{lL^2} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial B} \tag{7}$$

where l is the width of the wall ($l = m_a^{-1}$).

Let us first calculate the free energy contributed by protons and the corresponding magnetization in the case of the temperature being much lower than the proton mass. Because we consider the case that the energy ε is much lower than the temperature, we expand Ω in terms of $e^{-m_p\beta}$ and $\varepsilon\beta$ and only take the leading term,

$$\Omega = -\beta^{-1} e^{-m_p \beta} (\cosh \mu \beta (\sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n+1} \le 0} + \sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n} \le 0}) + \sinh \mu \beta (\sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n+1} \le 0} - \sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n} \le 0}))$$
(8)

where m_p is proton mass. The summation is performed as follows,

$$\sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n+1} \le 0} = N_d \sum_{n=0} \int \frac{l dk_3}{2\pi} = \frac{N_d l \sqrt{2m_p \omega}}{\pi} (\sum_{n=0}^{n=F} \sqrt{\frac{m_a}{\omega} - n} - \sqrt{\frac{m_a}{\omega}})$$
$$= \frac{N_d l \sqrt{2m_p \omega}}{\pi} (\frac{2F^{3/2}}{3} + \frac{1}{2}F^{1/2} + O(F^0) - \sqrt{\frac{m_a}{\omega}})$$
(9)

$$\sum_{\epsilon_{k,n} \le 0} = \frac{N_d l \sqrt{2m_p \omega}}{\pi} \left(\frac{2F^{3/2}}{3} + \frac{1}{2}F^{1/2} + O(F^0)\right)$$
(10)

where we have set $F = m_a/\omega$ and taken the limit of the small magnetic field, $F \to \infty$. Note that a linear term in B arises only from the combination, $\sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n+1} \leq 0} - \sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n} \leq 0}$; this term determines the magnetization.

Thus M is given such that

$$M = \beta^{-1} \sinh \mu \beta e^{-m_p \beta} \frac{e(2m_p m_a)^{1/2}}{2\pi^2}$$
(11)

in the limit of the small magnetic field. Here we rewrite the chemical potential μ in terms of the ratio, N_B of the baryon number density to the entropy density of the universe [5]; $N_B = n_B/s$ where $n_B = 8e^{-m_p\beta}\sinh\mu\beta(m_p/2\pi\beta)^{3/2}$ is the baryon number density and $s = 2\pi^2 g_*/45\beta^3$ is the entropy density. Then it follows that

$$M_p = \frac{e\pi^{3/2}g_*}{90} \frac{\sqrt{m_a}N_B}{\beta^{5/2}m_p}$$
(12)

where g_* is the number of massless degrees of freedom at the temperature β^{-1} (= 1 ~ 100 MeV).

Similarly we calculate the contribution from electrons to the magnetization of the domain wall. In the case the mass, m_e of electron is much lower than the temperature under consideration. Thus we expand the free energy in terms of $\mu\beta$, $m_e\beta$ and $\varepsilon\beta$,

$$\Omega = -\beta^{-1} (\log 2 - \frac{m_e \beta}{2}) (\sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n+1} \le 0} + \sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n} \le 0}) - \frac{\mu}{2} (\sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n+1} \le 0} - \sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n} \le 0}) + \frac{(\sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n+1} \le 0} + \sum_{\varepsilon_{k,n} \le 0})\varepsilon_{k,n+1}}{2}$$
(13)

where we have taken the leading term of the order of β^{-1} and the next leading term of the order of μ and ε . We have also taken the limit of the small magnetic field; m_e is electron mass. Then, it follows that $M_e = e\mu\sqrt{2m_em_a}/4\pi^2$. Rewriting the chemical potential in terms of the ratio $N_L = 15\mu\beta/2\pi^2g_*$ of the lepton number density to the entropy density, we obtain

$$M_e = \frac{e\sqrt{2m_e m_a}g_*N_L}{30\beta} \tag{14}$$

We find that both of the magnetization M_p and M_e are proportional to the baryon number N_B and the lepton number N_e , respectively. This is resulted from the fact that the magnetization arises owing to polarized particles and antiparticles bounded to the wall; their spins are aligned in the identical direction so that the sum of their magnetic moments are proportional to N_B or N_L .

We see that the magnetization M_p decreases much faster with the temperature than the magnetization M_e does. Thus as far as we consider the temperature below 100MeV, the magnetization of the axion domain wall is mainly determined by the effect of electrons if $N_B = N_L$. Numerically we find that

$$M \sim 10^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{m_a}{10^{-4} \text{eV}}} \frac{\beta^{-1}}{100 \text{MeV}} \frac{N_L}{10^{-10}} \text{Gauss}$$
 (15)

with $g_* \sim 10$. This formula holds in the temperature below 100MeV and above 1MeV. (When we consider the hadronic axion, magnetization due to the effect of electron bound states is smaller than M_e by a factor of $\sqrt{\alpha}$.)

We have discussed the magnetization of the axion domain wall. The origin of the phenomena is in the axion-neucleon (lepton) coupling which produces the attractive potential around the wall. Owing to the potential the fermions are bounded to the wall with their spins aligned in the direction perpendicular to the wall. This dynamical mechanism has been revealed by the detail calculation. But as we will show, the existence of a magnetic field associated with the axion domain wall can be inferred from the coupling of the axion and electromagnetic field, $g_{\gamma}a\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$, where g_{γ} is a coupling constant. Let us rewrite the coupling in the following,

$$\int g_{\gamma} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} = \int g_{\gamma} \epsilon_{kji} \partial_j a E_i A_k \tag{16}$$

where we have taken the static limit, $\partial B/\partial t = 0$; ϵ_{kji} is the antisymmetric tensor and A_k is electromagnetic potential. Then we may identify the electric current J_k associated with the domain wall,

$$J_k = g_\gamma \epsilon_{kji} \partial_j a E_i = g_\gamma \epsilon_{3ik} \partial_3 a E_i \tag{17}$$

This implies a Hall effect; the electric current flows on the wall in the direction perpendicular to the electric field. The Hall conductivity [11], σ_{xy} is given by

$$\sigma_{xy} = g_{\gamma} \int \partial_3 a dx_3 = g_{\gamma} f_{PQ} 2\pi n \tag{18}$$

where n is integer. By noting that $g_{\gamma} = \text{constant} \times f_{PQ}^{-1} \times e^2/2\pi$ we find that the Hall conductivity is quantized; it reminds us quantum Hall effect [11]. This argument strongly suggests the existence of a magnetic field perpendicular to the wall.

Finally as a phenomenological application we point out existence of a possible candidate of a primordial magnetic field [12,7] generated by the domain walls. For the purpose we take an axion model [9] with a heavy charged fermion and with no domain wall problem; the walls decay quickly. The model has axion closed strings which surround axion domain walls produced after QCD transition. Since the heavy fermion has zero modes [13] on the strings, the strings are superconducting [14]. These strings begin to carry a current $J_0 = Ml$ associated with magnetization M, when the walls are produced; $J_0 \sim 1A$ at $\beta = 100$ MeV with $m_a = 10^{-5} eV$. This current generates a magnetic field with strength J_0/R_0 and with length of coherence R_0 ; R_0 is the radius of the loop which is the order of the size of holizen ($R_0 = 10^6$ cm at temperature 100MeV). The current increases with the string loop shrinking in such a way that $JR = J_0R_0$ because the number of the fermions ($\propto JR$) on the loop is conserved. Then the magnetic field B = J/R becomes stronger as the radius R of the loop becomes smaller. We may estimate explicitly the strength of the magnetic field with a critical size of the coherence 10 cm [15] at the temperature 100 MeV; magnetic fields with smaller sizes of coherence are dissipated due to finite conductivity of the universe and do not remain at recombination of photons and electrons. We find that $B = 10^3$ Gauss with the size of coherence, 10 cm. This magnetic field leads to a magnetic field with strength 10^{-13} Gauss and size of coherence 10^9 cm at the recombination ($\sim 1 \text{ eV}$). This is sufficiently strong to be a possible candidate of a primordial magnetic field leading to galactic magnetic fields in the present universe.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Prof. M. Kawasaki for useful discussions and staff members of theory division in Institute for Nuclear Study, University of Tokyo for their hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40 (1978) 223,
 F. Wilczeck, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40 (1978) 279.
- [2] R.D. Peccei and H.R. Quinn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 1440.
- [3] G. t'Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 8.
- [4] P. Sikivie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48 (1982) 1156.
- [5] E.W. Kolb and M.S. Turner, The Early Universe, Addison-Wesley, (1990).
- [6] Ya.B. Zel'dovich, I.Yu. Kobzarev and L.B. Okun, Sov. Phys. JETT 40 (1975) 1.
- [7] A. Iwazaki, hep-ph/9608448.
- [8] J.E. Kim, Phys. Rep. 150 (1987) 1.
- [9] J.E. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 43 (1979) 103,
 M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B166 (1980) 493.
- [10] M. Dine, W. Fischler and M. Srednicki, Phys. Lett. B104 (1981) 199.
- [11] S. Girvin and R. Prange, The Quantum Hall Effect 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, (1990),
 Z.F. Ezawa and A. Iwazaki Phys. Rev. B47 (1993) 7295
- [12] C.J. Hogan, Phys. Rev. Lett 51 (1983) 1488; M.S. Turner and L.M. Widrow, Phys. Rev. D30 (1988) 2743; T. Vaschaspati, Phys. Lett. B265 (1991) 258; B. Ratra, Phys. Rev. D45 (1992) 1913; B. Cheng and A.V. Olinto, Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 2421; M. Gasperini, M. Grovannini and G. Veneziano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 3796; F.D. Mazzitelli and F.M. Spedalieri, Phys.Rev. D52 (1995) 6694; A. Hosoya and S. Kobayasi, preprint TIT/HEP-298/COSMO-57, 1995.
- [13] R. Jackiw and P. Rossi, Nucl. Phys. B190 (1981) 681.
- [14] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B249 (1985) 557.

 $\left[15\right]$ K. Enqvist, A.I. Rez and V.B. Semikov, Nucl Phys. B436 (1995) 49.