## Spontaneous Chiral Symmetry Breaking Beyond BCS

Pedro J. de A. Bicudo

<span id="page-0-0"></span>Departamento de Física and Centro de Física das Interacções Fundamentais, Edifício Ciência, Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1096 Lisboa, Portugal

At the BCS level of chiral symmetry breaking, the mass gap equation for quark-antiquark condensation only uses th e kernel of the Bethe-Salpeter equation. We introduce couple d channels with ladder mesons in the mass gap equation. Consistency is insured by the Ward Identity for axial currents, and the  $\pi$  remains a Goldstone boson in the chiral limit. We find that bare mesons with confined quarks do not contribute to the mass gap equation, and estimate that the contribution of full mesons may be large.

11.30.Rd, 74.20.Fg, 24.10.Eq, 14.40.Aq

The studying of dynamical symmetry breaking beyond BCS has never been done before. This amounts to join the BCS mechanism with the mean field expansion of effective bound states. Whenever a field theory produces bound state solutions, one should try to include them at the onset in the self-consistent Schwinger Dyson equations of that theory. The solution of this technical problem is not only relevant from condensed matter physics to particle physics, but it is essential for a correct understanding of hadronic physics.

Strong interactions were observed, at the very beginning of hadronic physics, with large scattering lengths and wide decay widths. The discovery of quarks as the microscopic elements of hadrons, and two new theoretical concepts, confinement and chiral symmetry breaking, eclipsed partially the importance of coupled channels in theoretical hadronic physics. Several different strong or confining, chiral invariant quark-antiquark interactions have been used to condense in the vacuum scalar quark-antiquark pairs, inducing dynamical chiral symmetry breaking, and a Goldstone boson, the  $\pi$  was found [\[1](#page-3-0)], in the case of vanishing quark masses. Recently coupled channel effects have been reevaluated in many hadronic phenomena. A paradigmatic case is the omnipresent nucleon, where the estimated negative mass shift due to the coupling to the channels  $\pi N$ ,  $\pi \Delta$ ,  $\pi$   $N * \ldots$  has increased almost an order of magnitude in the last decade[[2,3\]](#page-3-0). Moreover in the literature only 1 or 2 coupled channels are usually included, and it remains to be proved theoretically that the total mass shift due to the infinite series of coupled channels is finite.

The crucial problem seems to dwell in the pion mass [[4\]](#page-3-0). The pion itself is coupled to the channels  $\pi \rho$ ,  $\rho \rho \cdots$ and his bare mass is believed to suffer a large shift of the  $GeV$  order. This effect is so large [\[5](#page-3-0)], that it disputes with theinstanton  $[6]$  $[6]$  the solution of the  $U(1)$  problem of the

 $\pi$   $\eta$  mass shift. Now suppose that the mass gap equation for chiral symmetry breaking was solved at the BCS level, i.e. without including the coupled channels, then a bare pion with vanishing bare mass would be a solution of the Bethe Salpeter equation. If the coupled channels were then included, at posteriori, in the bound state equation then the pion mass would be the sum of the small bare mass plus a large negative mass shift and thus would have a resulting negative mass, which is absurd. We will have to use[[7\]](#page-3-0) the Ward identities (WI) in order to insure that the bound state equation for the pion -a Bethe Salpeter equation with coupled channels - is consistent with the non linear mass gap equation. A reward of this study is a  $\pi$  with a vanishing positive mass in the chiral limit, and atower of states above the  $\pi$  with higher masses [[4\]](#page-3-0).

The WI were first derived for QED, and concerned the free vector vertex  $\Gamma_f^{\mu} = \gamma^{\mu}$ , and the free Dirac fermion propagator  $S_f(k) = i/k - m + i\epsilon$ . There is also a WI identity for the free axial vector vertex  $\Gamma_f^{\mu 5} = \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^5$  that involves the free pseudoscalar vertex  $\Gamma_f^5 = \gamma^5$ ,

$$
-i(k_{\mu} - k'_{\mu})\mathcal{S}(k)\Gamma^{\mu 5}(k - k')\mathcal{S}(k')
$$
  
+2im $\mathcal{S}(k)\Gamma^{5}(k - k')\mathcal{S}(k') = \mathcal{S}(k)\gamma^{5} + \gamma^{5}\mathcal{S}(k'),$  (1)

where the difference in the right member of the equation extends the identity to renormalized propagators and vertices. The WI are crucial to ensure the consistency between the mass gap equation (MGE) and Bethe-Salpeter (BS) equation. They ensure that the self energy of the MGE is obtained from the BS kernel by closing the fermion line where the vertex is inserted, and that no double counting occurs. Inversely they also ensure that the BS kernel is obtained if one inserts the vertex in all possible propagators of the self energy.

This will now be illustrated at the BCS level. In this case the mass gap equation and the BS equation are,

$$
\leftarrow^{-1} = \mathcal{S}_0^{-1} - \overbrace{\cdot}^{...} \tag{2}
$$

$$
\bullet = \Gamma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \bullet
$$
 (3)

where the full propagator is denoted by  $-\leftarrow$  and the vertex will be denoted by  $\bullet$ . The effective quark-quark 2-body interaction, a chiral invariant and color dependent interaction, is represented with a dotted line . . . . . .. With the WI, it is possible to check directly that these eqs. are equivalent, both for dressed and for free propagators and vertices. Nevertheless we will now illustrate explicitly how the free WI recovers the MGE from the BS equation. <span id="page-1-0"></span>Up to second order in the potential insertions, the vertex obtained from eq. [\(3](#page-0-0)) is,

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\overset{k}{\bullet} \bullet &= \Gamma_0 + \overset{k}{\underset{k'}{\bullet}} \frac{\overbrace{\updownarrow \circlearrowright}}{\frac{\bullet}{0}} \Gamma_0 + \overset{k}{\underset{k'}{\bullet}} \frac{\overbrace{\updownarrow \circlearrowright}}{\frac{\bullet}{0}} \frac{\overbrace{\updownarrow \circlearrowright}}{\frac{\bullet}{0}} \Gamma_0 \\
&+ \overset{k}{\underset{k'}{\bullet}} \frac{\overbrace{\updownarrow \circlearrowright}}{\frac{\bullet}{0}} \frac{\overbrace{\updownarrow \circlearrowright}}{\frac{\bullet}{0}} \Gamma_0 + \overset{k}{\underset{k'}{\bullet}} \frac{\overbrace{\downarrow \circlearrowright}}{\frac{\bullet}{0}} \frac{\overbrace{\updownarrow \circlearrowright}}{\frac{\bullet}{0}} \Gamma_0 + \dots \qquad (4)\n\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the WI in  $eq(4)$ , we get,

$$
\gamma_5 \mathcal{S}^{-1}(k') + \mathcal{S}^{-1}(k) \gamma_5 = \gamma_5 \mathcal{S}_0^{-1}(k') + \mathcal{S}_0^{-1}(k) \gamma_5 + \frac{\gamma_5}{k_0} + \frac{\gamma_5}{k_0
$$

where the Dirac  $\gamma_5$  was represented in the diagrams with an ∗, the loop variables were omitted and we only showed the  $k$  or  $k'$  dependence of the propagators. In the case of a chiral invariant interaction, the vertices anticommute with  $\gamma_5$ , which cancels exactly the spurious diagrams depending on both  $k$  and  $k'$ , and we recover the propagator of eq. [\(2](#page-0-0)) up to second order in the potential insertions.

A key product of the WI is the proof that a pseudoscalar Goldstone boson exists when current quark masses vanish. We will follow a variant of Pagel's proof [[8\]](#page-3-0) which also yields the BS amplitude itself. When dynamical symmetry breaking occurs, the full propagator is renormalized and the self-<br>consistent equation for the selfenergy  $\Sigma$  has a solution with a finite dynamical quark mass,

$$
S^{-1}(k) = S_0^{-1}(k) - \Sigma(k) , i\Sigma = A(k) - kB(k) .
$$
 (6)

If we substitute this propagator in the WI, we find the solution for the pseudoscalar vertex  $\Gamma^5$  with a vanishing  $k - k'$ ,

$$
\Gamma^5(k = k') = \frac{A(k) + m}{m} \gamma^5 \tag{7}
$$

which diverges for a vanishing quark mass  $m$  and shows that the pole of a massless pseudoscalar meson appears in the axial vector vertex, with a boundstate amplitude  $A(p)\gamma^5$  $\frac{p}{f_{\pi}}$  where  $f_{\pi}$  is a norm. Because of the axial anomaly, the identity [\(1](#page-0-0)) for flavour singlet currents is not verified in this form, and it is necessary to extend (trivially) this relation to flavoured currents in order to keep track of the Goldstone boson.

The first step to go beyond BCS is to include a ladder exchange potential in the self energy  $\Sigma$ , which ladder includes a series of meson exchange interactions. We also include an extra effective interaction, which simplifies the the energy dependence of  $\Sigma$ , and limits the meson couplings in the bound state interactions to vertices of 3 mesons. The mass gap equation is now,

$$
\Sigma = \frac{\cdots}{\Delta} + \frac{\cdots}{\Delta} \sum_{s} \frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{s} \sum_{s} \frac{1}{s} \sum_{s} \sum_{s} \frac{1}{s} \sum_{s} \sum_{s} \frac{1}{s} \sum_{s} \sum_{s} \frac{1}{s} \sum_{s} \frac{1
$$

where  $\leftarrow$  represents a ladder of effective potential insertions in a pair of quark and antiquark lines. Using the prescription of inserting the vertex in all possible propagators we arrive at the corresponding bound state equation for the vertex,



where the first line corresponds to the BS equation at the BCS level. The last line, which includes the meson exchange interactions, has a null effect in flavour vectors. It is relevant only for flavour singlets, and may include the axial anomaly.

The quantitative results of this paper will be obtained with a particular chiral invariant strong potential, which is an extended version of the Nambu and Jona-Lasinio potential [\[1](#page-3-0)]. In Hadronic Physics the effective interaction should be simultaneously confining, in the Minkowsky space, local, and Lorentz invariant, but unfortunately no interaction which complies with all these constraints has yet been used to study chiral symmetry breaking. Our choice is to relax the last constraint, and use an instantaneous approximation which simplifies the energy dependence of the interaction. This approximation also has the advantage to allow a straightforward application to condensed matter physics or low energy nuclear physics. We use[[9\]](#page-3-0) a 2-body potential for Dirac quarks,  $\left(-\frac{3}{4}\frac{\vec{\lambda}}{2}\cdot\frac{\vec{\lambda}}{2}\right)(\gamma_0\cdot\gamma_0)[K_0^3(x-y)^2-U]\delta(t_x-t_y)$  where U is an arbitrarily large constant and  $\lambda$  are the Gell-Mann matrices. The infinite  $U$  reappears in the self energy, and for color singlet channels this cancels the infinitely attractive potential. Any colored state will have a mass proportional to U and will thus be confined. The choice of an harmonic potential is not crucial, a linear or funnel potential have been also used, it simply has a suitable Fourier transform  $-(2\pi)^3 \Delta_k$ . Excellent results are obtained in a very broad range of hadronic phenomena, with  $K_0 \simeq 250 MeV$ , excepting  $f_\pi$  and  $\langle \psi \psi \rangle$  which turn out $[9]$  $[9]$  to be underestimated by factors of 4.5 and  $2^3$ . With an instantaneous interaction, we prefer to substitute the Dirac fermions in terms of Weyl fermions, with propagators,

$$
S_q(w, \vec{k}) = S_{\bar{q}}(w, \vec{k}) = \frac{i}{w - E(k) + i\epsilon}
$$
(10)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>which will be represented with an arrow pointing forward in the case of a quark, and an arrow pointing backward in the case of an antiquark moving forward in the time direction. This formalism is convenient to simplify the BS equation into the Salpeter equation, in a form which is as close as possible to the simpler Schrödinger equation. When Weyl propagators are used, the vertices of the effective potential are redefined, they include the spinors u and v. The Dirac vertex  $\gamma_0$  is now replaced by  $u^{\dagger}u$ ,  $u^{\dagger}v$ ,  $v^{\dagger}u$  or  $v^{\dagger}v$  when the vertex is respectively connected to a quark, a pair creation, a pair annihilation or an antiquark.

Using the Weyl fermions, we expand the ladder in meson propagators  $\implies$ , in Salpeter amplitudes  $\phi^+$ ,  $\phi^-$  and in Bethe-Salpeter truncated amplitudes  $\chi = \int V(u\phi^+v^\dagger + v\phi^-u^\dagger)$ . We find that the self energy of the quark has a diagonal component  $\Sigma_d$  which contributes to the dynamical mass of the quark,

$$
\Sigma_d = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 +
$$

and the self energy of the antiquark is exactly the same. In eq.(11) we only included the nonvanishing diagrams, of order U or 1. The BCS quark propagators are proportional to  $U^{-1}$ . The interactions without a pair creation or annihilation are proportional to the infinite infrared constant U, while the remaining interactions are finite. It turns out that the diagrams with no creation or annihilation vertices are of order  $U$  while the diagrams with one finite interaction are finite. The self energy also has antidiagonal components  $\Sigma_a$  which must vanish for the sake of vacuum stability. These components would either create or annihilate scalar mesons in the vacuum. The diagrams that contribute to the antidiagonal component of the self energy in the mass gap equation are now,



where the lines <u>only</u> mean the presence of a spinor  $u, v, u^{\dagger}$  or  $v^{\dagger}$  and don't include the quark or anti-quark Weyl propagator. The first pair of diagrams in eqs. (11), (12) are BCS diagrams. We will now concentrate on the new diagrams which depend on the Coupled Channels. We will for instance consider the third diagram of eq. (11). The integration of the quark propagators, which are functions of the relative energies yields,

$$
\int \frac{dw}{2\pi} \frac{i}{w - T_1 + i\epsilon} \frac{i}{E - w - T_2 + i\epsilon} \frac{i}{w - T_{1'} + i\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{i}{E - T_1 - T_2 + i\epsilon} \frac{i}{E - T_{1'} - T_2 + i\epsilon},\tag{13}
$$

and we obtain a product of propagators  $G_0$  of quarkantiquark pairs. The right  $G_0$  is in fact part of the ladder and should be absorbed in the ket  $\phi^-$ . We now integrate the remaining  $G_0$ , together with the propagator of the ladder boundstate with energy  $M_l(P)$ ,

$$
\int \frac{dE}{2\pi} \frac{i}{-E - M_l + i\epsilon} \frac{i}{E - T_1 - T_2 + i\epsilon}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{i}{-M_l - T_1 - T_2 + i\epsilon} \tag{14}
$$

which cancels the potential  $-iV \equiv (M_l - T_1 - T_2)/i$  that comes from the Salpeter equation([3\)](#page-0-0). After removing the poles in the propagators with this integration in the loop energies, we get the quark energy  $T = u^{\dagger} k u - \Sigma_d$ where,

$$
\Sigma_d = u^{\dagger} \int \frac{d^3k}{2\pi^3} V[\frac{uu^{\dagger} - vv^{\dagger}}{2} + u(\int \frac{d^3P}{2\pi^3} \sum \phi^{-} \phi^{-1})u^{\dagger} - v(\int \frac{d^3P}{2\pi^3} \sum \phi^{-} \phi^{-1})v^{\dagger}]u
$$
\n(15)

where  $P$  is the center of mass momentum of the coupled meson. The mass gap equation  $0 = u^{\dagger} k v - \Sigma_a$  with,

$$
\Sigma_a = u^\dagger \int \frac{d^3k}{2\pi^3} V\left[\frac{uu^\dagger - vv^\dagger}{2} + u\left(\int \frac{d^3P}{2\pi^3} \sum \phi^- \phi^{-\dagger}\right) u^\dagger - v\left(\int \frac{d^3P}{2\pi^3} \sum \phi^- \phi^{-\dagger}\right) v^\dagger\right] v + \phi^- v^\dagger \chi v + u^\dagger \chi u \phi^{-\dagger}.
$$
 (16)

Only the small component  $\phi^-$  contributes to the result, and this shows that the effect of the infinite tower of coupled channels is finite. In fact only the pion yields a sizeable contribution. The contribution of the remaining infinite series of mesons, which are excited states and are not Goldstone bosons, is negligible because their  $\phi^- \alpha M_l^{-1}$ decrease, and because their average momentum increase.

In eq. (15), the infrared divergent kinetic energy,

$$
T = U\left(\frac{1}{2} + \int \frac{d^3P}{(2\pi)^3} \phi^- \phi^{-\dagger}\right) + finite \ terms. \tag{17}
$$

is now larger than in the BCS case, where it was simply  $U/2$ . We will now concentrate on the second line of eq.[\(9](#page-1-0)), and analyze the terms proportional to the infrared divergent U. The diagrams with  $\Sigma_s$  are clearly in that class, and the last diagram also contributes in a subtle way. This diagram can be divided in 4 different diagrams, which have a different structure in the vertices of the interaction and in the quark propagators. In Dirac notation, and skipping the arrows in the propagators for simplicity, we can sketch,

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \
$$

and we find that the first diagram vanishes, the second is finite and corresponds to the typical coupled channel diagram, and the last two ones are of order U. Bringing together the dominant diagrams, we find for the flavour vector channels, with the Weyl notation,



and the dominant terms are,

$$
(T_1 + T_2)G_0\Gamma = U\left[1 + 2\frac{T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4 - M_l - U}{T_3 + T_4}\right] \times \int \frac{d^3P}{(2\pi)^3} \phi^- \phi^{-\dagger}\Big] G_0\Gamma, \qquad (20)
$$

which is correct at order U, where  $M_l = T_1 + T_2 + (-U)$ . Thus we find a finite solution, with an exact cancelation of the terms proportional to  $U$ , although the infrared divergent part of the kinetic energy is no longer  $U/2$ . A cancelation also happens in flavour singlet channels.

We now study the finite effects of coupled channels. Using the  $\phi^-$  BCS amplitude of the  $\pi$ , we can estimate,

$$
\int \frac{d^3 P}{(2\pi)^3} \phi^- \phi^{-\dagger} \simeq 2.5 N_f \ , \ N_f \simeq 3 \ , \tag{21}
$$

which is large, due [9] to the small  $f_{\pi}$ , but finite. However if one goes beyond BCS, the ladder mesons pick up a large infinite mass according to Eq.([17\)](#page-2-0) , and this integral disappears because  $\phi^{-} \alpha M_l^{-1} \to 0$ . If one then tries to solve the mass gap equation iteratively, starting from the BCS solution, one finds a pair of accumulation points. The first is similar to the BCS solution, and the second has a coupled channel contribution which renormalizes the interaction,

$$
T = \frac{1}{2}U, \ M_l = 0U, \ \int \phi^{-} \phi^{-} = \frac{\epsilon}{2}
$$
  

$$
T = \frac{1 + \epsilon}{2}U, \ M_l = \epsilon U, \ \int \frac{\phi^{-} \phi^{-} \dfrac{1}{M_l^2}}{(1 + 2\epsilon)^2} \alpha \frac{1}{M_l^2} = 0
$$
 (22)

The stable intermediate solution is close to the BCS one, it is obtained when  $\epsilon = (c/U)^{2/3}$  is a function of U,

$$
M_l = \sqrt[3]{c^2 U}, \ T = \frac{U + \sqrt[3]{c^2 U}}{2}, \ \int \phi^- \phi^{-\dagger} = \frac{c^2}{2M_l^2}.
$$
 (23)

In this case the  $\phi^{-} \alpha U^{-\frac{1}{3}}$  is suppressed, and the Salpeter equation for the ladder reduces essentially to a Schrödinger equation for the  $\phi^+$  component. The coupled channel contribution to  $\Sigma_a$  is vanishing, of order  $U^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ , and thus the mass gap equation is unchanged. The remaining contributions from the coupled channels to the bound state equation are of order  $M_l^{-1}$ , and disappear in the same way. As we predicted the mass of the bare (ladder)  $\pi$  meson - which is now proportional to  $\sqrt[3]{U}$  and infinite - is exactly canceled by an opposite mass shift which is induced by the coupled channels, and the physical  $\pi$  remains a Goldstone meson in the chiral limit.

We developed an example of a finite renormalizable field theory, and there are good perspectives to apply this method to solid state physics. The net result of including the (bare) coupled channels in eqs. [\(8,9](#page-1-0)) is that no physical deviation from the BCS case is found. In order to get the finite contribution from coupled channels we must either use a finite  $U$  (nonconfining models), or replace the bare (ladder) meson exchange in the mass gap equation [\(8](#page-1-0)) by full meson exchange. It is possible to anticipate that in this model the effect would be very large, in view of the result of eq (21) which would increase the quark condensate by a factor of  $\simeq 2.5^3$ . In principle  $f_{\pi}$ might also increase, thus improving the physical results.

I am very grateful to Prof. J. Emilio Ribeiro for many long discussions and, in particular, for pointing the relevance of the  $\pi$  mass problem [4].

- [1] Y. Nambu, G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. 122, 345 (1961); 124, 246 (1961). Any of the extended versions of this potential can also be used.
- [2] A. W. Thomas, G. A. Miller, Phys. Rev. D. 43, 288 (1991).
- [3] S. Pepin, F. Stancu, M. Genovese, J.M. Richard, preprint [nucl-th/9608058](http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/9608058) (Jun. 1996).
- [4] This was pointed to us by Prof. J. Emilio Ribeiro(1990)
- [5] N. A. Törnqvist, preprint [hep-ph/9610440,](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9610440) (Oct 1996); N. A. Törnqvist, preprint [hep-ph/9612238](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9612238), (Nov 1996).
- [6] G. 't Hooft Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 8 (1976); and Phys. Rev. D. 14, 3432 (1976).
- [7] J. R. Schrieffer, " Theory of Superconductivity", W. A. Benjamin (1964); S. L. Adler and A. C. Davis, Nucl. Phys. B 244, 469 (1984)
- [8] H. Pagels, Phys. Rev. D14, 2747 (1976)
- [9] A. le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, S. Ono, O. Pène, J.-C. Raynal, Phys. Rev. D31, 137 (1985); P. Bicudo, J. Ribeiro, to be published in Phys. Rev. C (Feb. 1997)