

# Study on $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}(2317, 2460)l\bar{\nu}$ Semileptonic Decays in the CQM Model

Shu-Min Zhao<sup>1</sup>, Xiang Liu<sup>2,\*</sup> and Shuang-Jiu Li<sup>1</sup>

1. Department of Physics and Technology, Hebei University, Baoding 071002, China and

2. Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

(Dated: June 21, 2021)

Assuming  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$  to be the  $(0^+, 1^+)$  chiral partners of regular  $D_s(1968)$  and  $D_s^*(2112)$ , we calculate the semileptonic decays of  $B_s$  to  $D_s(1968)$ ,  $D_s^*(2112)$ ,  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$ ,  $D_{sJ}(2460)$  in terms of the Constituent Quark Meson (CQM) model. The large branching ratios of the semileptonic decays of  $B_s$  to  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$  indicate that those two semileptonic decays should be seen in future experiments.

PACS numbers: 12.25.Ft, 12.38.Lg, 12.39.Fe, 12.39.Hg

## I. INTRODUCTION

The discoveries of exotic mesons  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$  [1, 2, 3] whose spin-parity structure are respectively  $0^+$  and  $1^+$ , have attracted great interests of both theorists and experimentalists of high energy physics.  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$  are supposed to be  $(0^+, 1^+)$  chiral partners of  $D_s$  and  $D_s^*$  [4] i.e. p-wave excited states of  $D_s$  and  $D_s^*$  [5]. Beveren and Rupp suggested that  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$  are made up of  $c$  and  $\bar{s}$  by studying the mass spectra [6]. With the QCD spectral sum rules, Narison calculated the masses of  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$  by assuming them as quark-antiquark states and obtained results which are consistent with the experiment data within a wide error range [7]. Very recently, considering the contribution of DK continuum in QCD sum rules, Dai et al. obtained the mass of  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  which is consistent with the mass given by the experiments [8]. Meanwhile, some authors suggested that  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$  may be of four-quark structure [9, 10, 11]. Thus one needs to use various theoretical approaches to clarify the mist of the structures of  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$ . The studies of the productions and decays of  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$  are very interesting topics.

The semileptonic decays of  $B_s$  are one of the ideal platforms to study the productions of  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$ . Especially the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) will be run in the coming 2007, which can produce large amounts of data of  $B_s$ . Thus the measurements of  $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}(2317, 2460)l\bar{\nu}$  would be realistic. In Ref. [12], author calculated  $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}(2317, 2460)l\bar{\nu}$  decays by the QCD sum rules in HQET. Recently, authors of Ref. [13, 14] completed the calculations of  $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}(2317, 2460)l\bar{\nu}$  semileptonic decays in the QCD sum rules and obtained large branching ratios. However, the results obtained

by Ref. [13, 14] are one order smaller than those given by Ref. [12]. Thus studies on  $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}(2317, 2460)l\bar{\nu}$  with other plausible models would be helpful. It not only deepen our understanding about the properties of these states but also test the reliability of models which are applied to calculate the semileptonic decays.

In this work, we study the  $B_s \rightarrow D_s(1968)l\bar{\nu}$ ,  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*(2112)l\bar{\nu}$  and  $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}(2317, 2460)l\bar{\nu}$  semileptonic decays in terms of the Constituent Quark Meson (CQM) model. In order to complete the calculations of the semileptonic decays of  $B_s$ , we firstly base on the assumption that  $(D_s(1968), D_s^*(2112))$  with spin-parity  $(0^-, 1^-)$  and  $(D_{sJ}^*(2317), D_{sJ}(2460))$  with spin-parity  $(0^+, 1^+)$  can be respectively categorized as  $H(0^-, 1^-)$  and  $S(0^+, 1^+)$  doublets in HQET.

CQM model was proposed by Polosa et al. [15] and has been well developed later based on the work of Ebert et al. [16] (See the Ref. [15] for a review). The model is based on an effective Lagrangian which incorporates the flavor-spin symmetry for heavy quarks with the chiral symmetry for light quarks. Employing the CQM model to study the phenomenology of heavy meson physics, reasonable results have been achieved [17, 18]. Therefore, we believe that the model is applicable to our processes and expect to get relatively reliable conclusion.

This paper is organized as follow: After the introduction, in Sect. II, we formulate the semileptonic decays of  $B_s$  to  $D_s(1968)$ ,  $D_s^*(2112)$ ,  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$ . The numerical results along with all the input parameters are presented in Sect III. Section IV is devoted to the discussion and the conclusion. Some detailed expressions are collected in the appendix.

## II. FORMULATION

For the convenience of readers, we give a brief introduction of the CQM model [15]. The model is relativistic and based on an effective Lagrangian which combines the

\*Electronic address: xiangliu@pku.edu.cn

HQET and the chiral symmetry for light quarks

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{CQM} = & \bar{\chi}[\gamma \cdot (i\partial + V)]\chi + \bar{\chi}\gamma \cdot A\gamma_5\chi - m_q\bar{\chi}\chi \\ & + \frac{f_\pi^2}{8}Tr[\partial^\mu\Sigma\partial_\mu\Sigma^\dagger] + \bar{h}_v(iv \cdot \partial)h_v \\ & - [\bar{\chi}(\bar{H} + \bar{S} + i\bar{T}^\mu\frac{\partial_\mu}{\Lambda})h_v + h.c.] \\ & + \frac{1}{2G_3}Tr[(\bar{H} + \bar{S})(H - S)] + \frac{1}{2G_4}Tr[\bar{T}^\mu T_\mu]. \end{aligned}$$

where the fifth term is the kinetic term of heavy quarks with  $\not{p}h_v = h_v$ ;  $H$  and  $S$  denote the super-fields corresponding to doublets  $(0^-, 1^-)$  and  $(0^+, 1^+)$  respectively. The explicit matrix representations of  $H$  and  $S$  read as [19]

$$H = \frac{1 + \not{p}}{2}[P_\mu^*\gamma^\mu - P\gamma_5], \quad (1)$$

$$S = \frac{1 + \not{p}}{2}[P_{1\mu}^*\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 - P_0], \quad (2)$$

where  $P$ ,  $P^{*\mu}$ ,  $P_0$  and  $P_1$  are the annihilation operators of pseudoscalar, vector, scalar and axial vector mesons which are normalized as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0|P|M(0^-) \rangle &= \sqrt{M_H}, \quad \langle 0|P^{*\mu}|M(1^-) \rangle = \sqrt{M_H}\epsilon^\mu, \\ \langle 0|P_0|M(0^+) \rangle &= \sqrt{M_S}\gamma_5, \quad \langle 0|P_1^*|M(1^+) \rangle = \sqrt{M_S}\gamma_5\epsilon^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

$T$  is the super-field corresponding to the doublet  $(1^+, 2^+)$

$$T^\mu = \frac{1 + \not{p}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left[ P_2^{*\mu\nu}\gamma_\nu - \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}P_{1\nu}^*\gamma_5 \left( g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{3}\gamma^\nu(\gamma^\mu - v^\mu) \right) \right]. \quad (3)$$

$\chi = \xi q$  ( $q = u, d, s$ ) is the light quark field and  $\xi = e^{\frac{iM}{f_\pi}}$ , and  $M$  is the octet pseudoscalar matrix. We also have

$$V^\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^\dagger\partial^\mu\xi + \xi\partial^\mu\xi^\dagger), \quad (4)$$

$$A^\mu = \frac{-i}{2}(\xi^\dagger\partial^\mu\xi - \xi\partial^\mu\xi^\dagger). \quad (5)$$

Because the spin-parity of  $D_s(1968)$  and  $D_s^*(2112)$  are respectively  $0^-$  and  $1^-$ ,  $D_s(1968)$  and  $D_s^*(2112)$  can be embedded into the  $H$ -type doublet  $(0^-, 1^-)$ , whereas  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$  belong to the  $S$ -type doublet  $(0^+, 1^+)$ . Thus we can calculate the semileptonic decays of  $B_s$  to  $D_s(1968)$ ,  $D_s^*(2112)$ ,  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)$ .

#### A. The calculations of $B_s \rightarrow D_s(1968)l\bar{\nu}$ and $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*(2112)l\bar{\nu}$ in the CQM model.

The four fermion operator of  $b \rightarrow c + l\bar{\nu}$  which is relevant to the semileptonic decays of  $B_s$  to  $D_s$  mesons reads as [20]

$$\mathcal{O} = \frac{G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{c}\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)b\bar{\nu}\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)l. \quad (6)$$

The transition amplitudes of  $B_s \rightarrow D_s(1968)l\bar{\nu}$  and  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*(2112)l\bar{\nu}$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= \langle D_s^{(*)}l\bar{\nu}|\mathcal{O}|B_s \rangle \\ &= \frac{G_F V_{cb}}{\sqrt{2}} \langle D_s^{(*)}|\bar{c}\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)b|B_s \rangle \langle l\bar{\nu}|\bar{\nu}\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)l|0 \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the hadronic matrix element is related to non-perturbative QCD effects. In the HQET symmetries, the hadronic matrix element can be expressed with the following form

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle D_s(v')|\bar{c}\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)b|B_s(v) \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{M_{B_s}M_{D_s}}(v_\mu + v'_\mu)\xi(\omega), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle D_s^*(v', \epsilon)|\bar{c}\gamma_\mu(1 - \gamma_5)b|B_s(v) \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{M_{B_s}M_{D_s^*}}[i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{*\nu}v'^\alpha v^\beta - (1 + \omega)\epsilon_\mu^* \\ &+ (\epsilon_\mu^* \cdot v)v'_\mu]\xi(\omega), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $\omega = v \cdot v'$ . In HQET,  $\xi(\omega)$  is the Isgur-Wise function which is a dimensionless probability function. In the following, the central task is how to extract the Isgur-Wise function in the calculation of the CQM model.

In the CQM model, the Feynman diagram corresponding to the hadronic matrix element  $\langle D_s^{(*)}|\bar{c}\gamma^\mu(1 - \gamma_5)b|B_s \rangle$  can be depicted in Fig. 1.




FIG. 1: The Feynman diagrams depict the decays of  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)}l\bar{\nu}$ . The thick-line denotes the heavy quark propagator.

According to the CQM model [15], the couplings of  $D_s(1968)$ ,  $D_s^*(2112)$  and  $B_s$  with light and heavy quarks are expressed as

$$\frac{1 + \not{p}}{2}\sqrt{Z_H M_{D_s}}\gamma_5, \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{1 + \not{p}}{2}\sqrt{Z_H M_{D_s^*}}\not{\epsilon}, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{1 + \not{p}}{2}\sqrt{Z_H M_{B_s}}\gamma_5, \quad (12)$$

where  $\epsilon$  denotes the polarization vector of  $D^*(2112)$ . The concrete expression of  $Z_H$  is given in [15] as

$$Z_H^{-1} = (\Delta_H + m_s)\frac{\partial\mathcal{I}_3(\Delta_H)}{\partial\Delta_H} + \mathcal{I}_3(\Delta_H), \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_3(a) &= \frac{iN_c}{16\pi^4} \int_{1/\Lambda^2}^{1/\mu^2} \frac{dy}{y^{3/2}} \exp[-y(m_s^2 - a^2)] \\ &\times (1 + \text{erf}(a\sqrt{y})), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where erf is the error function.  $m_s$  is the mass of the  $s$  quark.

Now we can write out the hadronic transition matrix element

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle D_s^{(*)} | \bar{c} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | B_s \rangle \\ &= \frac{(i^5)}{4} \sqrt{M_{B_s} M_{D_s^{(*)}}} Z_H N_c \\ & \times \int^{reg} \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\text{Tr}[(\not{k} + m) \Gamma (1 + \not{\epsilon}') \gamma^\mu (1 + \not{\epsilon}) \gamma^5]}{(k^2 - m_s^2)(v' \cdot k + \Delta_H)(v \cdot k + \Delta_H)} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

with  $N_c = 3$ , where  $\Gamma$  should be taken as  $\gamma_5$  and  $\not{\epsilon}$  corresponding to  $D_s$  and  $D_s^*$  respectively.

One omits the technical details in the text for saving space and finally gets the Isgur-Wise function  $\xi(\omega)$  by comparing the results of eq. (15) to eqs. (8) and (9)

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\omega) &= Z_H \left[ \frac{2}{1 + \omega} \mathcal{I}_3(\Delta_H) + \left( m_s + \frac{2\Delta_H}{1 + \omega} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \times \mathcal{I}_5(\Delta_H, \Delta_H, \omega) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where the definitions of  $\mathcal{I}_{1,5}$  are listed in the appendix.

By eqs. (7)-(9), we obtain the explicit expressions of the semileptonic decays  $B_s \rightarrow D_s(1968)l\bar{\nu}$  and  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*(2112)l\bar{\nu}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & d\Gamma(B_s \rightarrow D_s(1968)l\bar{\nu}) \\ &= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2}{48\pi^3} (M_{B_s} + M_{D_s})^2 M_{D_s}^3 (\omega^2 - 1)^{3/2} \xi^2(\omega) d\omega, \\ & d\Gamma(B_s \rightarrow D_s^*(2112)l\bar{\nu}) \\ &= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2}{48\pi^3} (M_{B_s} - M_{D_s}^*)^2 M_{D_s}^{*3} \sqrt{\omega^2 - 1} (\omega + 1)^2 \\ & \left[ 1 + \frac{4\omega}{(1 + \omega)} \frac{q^2}{(M_{B_s} - M_{D_s}^*)^2} \right] \xi(\omega)^2 d\omega, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $q^2 = M_B^2 + M_D^2 - 2M_B M_D \omega$ .

### B. The calculations of $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}^*(2317)l\bar{\nu}$ and $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}(2460)l\bar{\nu}$ in the CQM model

The hadronic matrix elements of the decays  $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}^*(2317)l\bar{\nu}$  and  $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}(2460)l\bar{\nu}$  in HQET read as [21]

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle D_{sJ}^*(2317) | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | B_s(v) \rangle \\ &= 2\sqrt{M_{B_s} M_{D_{sJ}^*(2317)}} (v'_\mu - v_\mu) \zeta(\omega), \quad (18) \\ & \langle D_{sJ}(2460) | (v', \epsilon') | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | B_s(v) \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{M_{B_s} M_{D_{sJ}(2460)}} \left\{ 2i\varepsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon^{*\alpha} v'^\beta v^\gamma \right. \\ & \left. + 2[(1 - \omega)\epsilon_\mu^* + (\epsilon^* \cdot v)v'_\mu] \right\} \zeta(\omega), \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta(\omega)$  denote the the Isgur-Wise function.

We use the same treatment in subsection A to get  $\zeta(\omega)$ . In the CQM model, the couplings of  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)(0^+)$  and  $D_{sJ}(2460)(1^+)$  with light and heavy quarks are respectively

$$\frac{1 + \not{\epsilon}}{2} \sqrt{Z_S M_{D_{sJ}^*(2317)}}, \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{1 + \not{\epsilon}}{2} \sqrt{Z_S M_{D_{sJ}(2460)}} \not{\epsilon}' \gamma_5 \quad (21)$$

with

$$Z_S^{-1} = (\Delta_S + m_s) \frac{\partial \mathcal{I}_3(\Delta_S)}{\partial \Delta_S} + \mathcal{I}_3(\Delta_S), \quad (22)$$

where  $\epsilon'$  is the polarization vector of  $D_{sJ}(2460)$ .

Thus, in the CQM model, the hadronic transition matrix elements of  $\langle D_{sJ}^*(2317) | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | B_s(v) \rangle$  and  $\langle D_{sJ}(2460)(v', \epsilon') | \bar{c} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) b | B_s(v) \rangle$  can be obtained by replacing  $\Gamma$  in eq. (15) with 1 and  $\not{\epsilon}' \gamma_5$  respectively. Meanwhile,  $Z_H$  should be replaced by  $\sqrt{Z_H Z_S}$ .

Finally we get the Isgur-Wise function  $\zeta(\omega)$  with the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\omega) &= \frac{\sqrt{Z_H Z_S}}{2(1 - \omega)} [\mathcal{I}_3(\Delta_S) - \mathcal{I}_3(\Delta_H) \\ & + (\Delta_H - \Delta_S + m_s(1 - \omega)) \mathcal{I}_5(\Delta_H, \Delta_S)]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Using eqs. (7), (18) and (19), we deduce the decay widths of  $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}^*(2317)l\bar{\nu}$  and  $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}(2460)l\bar{\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned} & d\Gamma(B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}^*(2317)l\bar{\nu}) \\ &= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2}{12\pi^3} M_{D_{sJ}^*(2317)}^3 (M_{B_s} - M_{D_{sJ}^*(2317)})^2 \\ & \times (\omega^2 - 1)^{3/2} \zeta^2(\omega) d\omega, \quad (24) \\ & d\Gamma(B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}(2460)l\bar{\nu}) \\ &= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2}{12\pi^3} M_{D_{sJ}(2460)}^3 \sqrt{(\omega^2 - 1)} \zeta^2(\omega) \\ & \times \left[ (M_{B_s}^2 + M_{D_{sJ}(2460)}^2)(5\omega^2 - 6\omega + 1) \right. \\ & \left. - 2M_{B_s} M_{D_{sJ}(2460)}(4\omega^3 - 5\omega^2 + 2\omega - 1) \right] d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The semileptonic decays  $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^{(*)0} l^+ \nu$  and  $B^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)-} l^+ \nu$  are measured well [22]. The results calculated by the CQM model [15] and measured by the experiments are collected in Table I. By comparing the theoretical results with that measured by the experiments, one believes that the CQM model is applicable to our processes and expects to get relatively reliable result.

	CQM model[15]	Experiment [22]
$BR[B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 l^+ \nu]$	(2.2~3.0)%	$(2.15 \pm 0.22)\%$
$BR[B^0 \rightarrow D^- l^+ \nu]$		$(2.12 \pm 0.20)\%$
$BR[B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} l^+ \nu]$	(5.9~7.6)%	$(6.5 \pm 0.5)\%$
$BR[B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} l^+ \nu]$		$(5.35 \pm 0.20)\%$

TABLE I: The numerical results are taken from Ref. [15].

With the formulation derived from the last section, one numerically evaluate the corresponding decay rates.

The input parameters include:  $G_F = 1.1664 \times 10^{-5}$   $\text{GeV}^{-2}$ ,  $V_{cb} = 0.043$ ,  $M_{B_s} = 5.3696$   $\text{GeV}$ ,  $M_{D_s} = 1.968$   $\text{GeV}$ ,  $M_{D_s^*} = 2.112$   $\text{GeV}$ ,  $M_{D_{s,J}^*(2317)} = 2.317$   $\text{GeV}$ ,  $M_{D_{s,J}(2460)} = 2.46$   $\text{GeV}$  [22].  $m_s = 0.5$   $\text{GeV}$ ,  $\Lambda = 1.25$   $\text{GeV}$ , the infrared cutoff  $\mu = 0.593$   $\text{GeV}$  and  $\Delta_S - \Delta_H = 335 \pm 35$   $\text{MeV}$  [18].

We present the branching ratios of  $B_s \rightarrow D_s(1968)l\bar{\nu}$ ,  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*(2112)l\bar{\nu}$ ,  $B_s \rightarrow D_{s,J}^*(2317)l\bar{\nu}$  and  $B_s \rightarrow D_{s,J}(2460)l\bar{\nu}$  in Table II.

$\Delta_H$ (GeV)	$\Delta_S$ (GeV)	$Z_H$ (GeV) $^{-1}$	$Z_S$ (GeV) $^{-1}$	$BR_1$	$BR_2$	$BR_3$	$BR_4$
0.5	0.86	3.99	2.02	2.95%	7.66%	$5.71 \times 10^{-3}$	$8.69 \times 10^{-3}$
0.6	0.91	2.69	1.47	2.86%	7.53%	$5.25 \times 10^{-3}$	$7.91 \times 10^{-3}$
0.7	0.97	1.74	0.98	2.73%	7.49%	$4.90 \times 10^{-3}$	$7.52 \times 10^{-3}$

TABLE II: The values of  $\Delta_S$  and  $\Delta_H$  are taken from [18]. According to eqs. (13) and (22), one gets the values of  $Z_S$  and  $Z_H$ .  $BR_1$ ,  $BR_2$ ,  $BR_3$  and  $BR_4$  respectively denote the the branching ratios of  $B_s \rightarrow D_s(1968)l\bar{\nu}$ ,  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*(2112)l\bar{\nu}$ ,  $B_s \rightarrow D_{s,J}^*(2317)l\bar{\nu}$  and  $B_s \rightarrow D_{s,J}(2460)l\bar{\nu}$ .

In Fig. 2, we show the dependence of Isgur-Wise function  $\xi(\omega)$  on  $\omega$  in  $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}l\nu$  and  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)0}l\nu$  decays. The dependence of  $\zeta(\omega)$  on  $\omega$  is shown in Fig. 3

For a comparison, we also put the values of the branching ratios of  $B_s \rightarrow D_s(1968)l\bar{\nu}$ ,  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*(2112)l\bar{\nu}$ ,

$B_s \rightarrow D_{s,J}^*(2317)l\bar{\nu}$  and  $B_s \rightarrow D_{s,J}(2460)l\bar{\nu}$ , which are calculated in Ref. [13, 14], in Table III.

processes	CQM	QSR in HQET ( $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$ )[12]	QSR
$BR[B_s \rightarrow D_s(1968)l\bar{\nu}]$	(2.73 ~ 3.00)%	-	-
$BR[B_s \rightarrow D_s^*(2112)l\bar{\nu}]$	(7.49 ~ 7.66)%	-	-
$BR[B_s \rightarrow D_{s,J}^*(2317)l\bar{\nu}]$	$(4.90 \sim 5.71) \times 10^{-3}$	0.09	$\sim 10^{-3}$ [13]
$BR[B_s \rightarrow D_{s,J}(2460)l\bar{\nu}]$	$(7.52 \sim 8.69) \times 10^{-3}$	0.08	$4.9 \times 10^{-3}$ [14]

TABLE III: In this table, we list our results of the semileptonic decays of  $B_s$  to  $D_s(1968)$ ,  $D_s^*(2112)$ ,  $D_{s,J}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{s,J}(2460)$  and that obtained by other approaches [12, 13, 14].

#### IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

By the comparison between the theoretical results and experimental results listed in Table I, we have reason to believe that the CQM model can be applied well to study these semileptonic decays related to this work. In this work, we study the semileptonic decays of  $B_s$  to  $D_s(1968)$ ,  $D_s^*(2112)$ ,  $D_{s,J}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{s,J}(2460)$ . In the

HQET,  $D_s(1968)$  and  $D_s^*(2112)$  can be well categorized as  $H$  doublet ( $0^-, 1^-$ ). To calculate the semileptonic decays relevant to  $D_{s,J}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{s,J}(2460)$ , we make an assumption that  $D_{s,J}^*(2317)$  and  $D_{s,J}(2460)$  belong to  $S$  doublet ( $0^+, 1^+$ ).

At present the experiments only give  $BR[B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- l^+ \nu \text{ anything}] = (7.9 \pm 2.4)\%$  [22] and the information of  $B_s \rightarrow D_s(1968)l\bar{\nu}$  is still absent. Thus the re-




FIG. 2: The dependence of Isgur-Wise function  $\xi(\omega)$  on  $\omega$  with the typical values of  $\Delta_H=0.3$  GeV and  $\Delta_H = 0.5$  GeV corresponding to  $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}l\nu$  and  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)0}l\nu$  decays respectively.




FIG. 3: The dependence of  $\zeta(\omega)$  on  $\omega$  with the typical values of  $\Delta_H=0.5$  GeV and  $\Delta_S = 0.86$  GeV corresponding to  $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}(2317, 2460)l\bar{\nu}$  decays.

sult of  $B_s \rightarrow D_s(1968)l\bar{\nu}$  by the CQM model does not contradict this experimental value. Meanwhile one predicts the branching ratio of  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*(2112)l\bar{\nu}$  whose order of magnitude is same as that of  $B_s \rightarrow D_s(1968)l\bar{\nu}$ .  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^*(2112)l\bar{\nu}$  semileptonic decay should be seen in future experiments. In Fig. 2, the dependence of Isgur-Wise function of  $B_s \rightarrow D_s^{(*)0}l\nu$  on  $\omega$  is very similar to that of  $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}l\nu$ . The difference between them comes from the breakings of SU(3) symmetry and HQET symmetry. Thus we believe that the model is applicable to our processes and expect to obtain relatively reliable results.

The branching ratios of  $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}(2317, 2460)l\bar{\nu}$  from our calculations and that obtained by QCD sum rules [13, 14] are of the same order of magnitude. However, our

predictions are far smaller than those given by Ref. [12]. Anyway, at present both our calculations and the analyses from other groups all indicate that the semileptonic decays  $B_s \rightarrow D_{sJ}^*(2317, 2460)l\bar{\nu}$  own large branching ratios. Therefore we urge our experimental colleagues to measure those semileptonic channels in the CDF experiment and in the future LHCb experiment. It will help us to further understand the nature of those exotic  $D_{sJ}$  mesons. And more future experiments will also improve our understanding about the models applied to calculate the semileptonic decays of  $B_s$  to  $D_{sJ}$  mesons.

### Acknowledgment

One of the authors, X.L., is indebted to Prof. Shi-Lin Zhu who brought this topic to our attentions. We thank Prof. Xue-Qian Li for useful discussions. X.L. was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants 10375003 and 10421503, Key Grant Project of Chinese Ministry of Education (No. 305001) and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 20060400376). S.M.Z. was supported by the Research Fund for the Doctoral Programs of Hebei University.

### Appendix

The explicit expressions of  $\mathcal{I}_{1,5}$  which are related to our calculation are listed

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &= \frac{iN_c}{16\pi^4} \int^{reg} \frac{d^4k}{k^2 - m_s^2} = \frac{N_c m_s^2}{16\pi^2} \Gamma\left(-1, \frac{m_s^2}{\Lambda^2}, \frac{m_s^2}{\mu^2}\right) \quad (26) \\ \mathcal{I}_5(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \omega) &= \frac{iN_c}{16\pi^4} \int^{reg} \frac{d^4k}{(k^2 - m^2)(v \cdot k + \alpha_1 + i\epsilon)(v' \cdot k + \alpha_2 + i\epsilon)} \\ &= \int_0^1 dx \frac{1}{1 + 2x^2(1 - \omega) + 2x(\omega - 1)} \\ &\times \left[ \frac{6}{16\pi^{3/2}} \int_{1/\Lambda^2}^{1/\mu^2} ds \varrho e^{-s(m_s^2 - \varrho^2)} s^{-1/2} (1 + \text{erf}(\varrho\sqrt{s})) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{6}{16\pi^2} \int_{1/\Lambda^2}^{1/\mu^2} ds e^{-s(m_s^2 - 2\varrho^2)} s^{-1} \right], \quad (27) \end{aligned}$$

where the definitions of  $\text{erf}(z)$  and  $\varrho$  are

$$\text{erf}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^z dx e^{-x^2}, \quad (28)$$

$$\varrho = \frac{\alpha_1(1-x) + \alpha_2 x}{\sqrt{1 + 2(\omega-1)x + 2(1-\omega)x^2}}. \quad (29)$$

- 
- [1] The Babar Collaboration, B. Aubert et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 242001 (2003); F. Porter, Eur. Phys. J. **C33**, 219 (2004).
- [2] The Belle Collaboration, P. Krokovny et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262002 (2003).
- [3] The CLEO Collaboration, D. Besson et al., Phys. Rev. **D 68**, 032002 (2003).
- [4] W.A. Bardeen, E.J. Eichten and C.T. Hill, Phys. Rev. **D 68**, 054024 (2003); M.A. Nowak, M. Rho and I. Zahed, Acta Phys. Polon. **B 35**, 2377 (2004); E. Kolomeitsev and M. Lutz, Phys. Lett. **B 582**, 39 (2004).
- [5] K.D. Chao, Phys. Lett. **B 599**, 43 (2004).
- [6] E.V. Beveren and G. Rupp, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 012003 (2003); E.V. Bevern and G. Rupp, Eur. Phys. J. **C 32**, 493 (2004).
- [7] S. Narison, Phys. Lett. **B 605**, 319 (2005).
- [8] Y.B. Dai, S.L. Zhu and Y.B. Zou, arXiv: hep-ph/0610327.
- [9] Y.Q. Chen and X.Q. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 232001 (2004); A.P. Szczepaniak, Phys. Lett. **B 567**, 23 (2003); T.E. Browder, S. Pakvasa and A.A. Petrov, Phys. Lett. **B 578**, 365 (2004).
- [10] H.Y. Cheng and W.S. Hou, Phys. Lett. **B 566**, 193 (2003).
- [11] T. Barnes, F. Close and H. Lipkin, Phys. Rev. **D 68** 054006 (2003); A.P. Szczepaniak, Phys. Lett. **B 567**, 23 (2003).
- [12] M.Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. **D 69**, 114015 (2004).
- [13] T.M. Aliev and M. Savci, Phys. Rev. **D 73** 114010 (2006).
- [14] T.M. Aliev, K. Azizi and A. Ozpineci, arXiv: hep-ph/0608264.
- [15] A.D. Polosa, Riv. Nuovo Cim. **23N11**, 1, 2000.
- [16] D. Ebert, T. Feldmann and H. Reinhardt, Phys. Lett. **B 388**, 154 (1996); T. Feldmann, arXiv: hep-ph/9606451; D. Ebert, T. Feldmann, R. Friedrich and H. Reinhardt, Nucl. Phys. **B 434** 619 (1995).
- [17] A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, G. Nardulli and A.D. Polosa, Phys. Rev. **D 58**, 034004 (1998); A. Deandrea, R. Gatto, G. Nardulli and A.D. Polosa, Phys. Rev. **D 59**, 074012 (1999); A. Deandrea, R. Gatto, G. Nardulli and A.D. Polosa, J. High Energy Phys. **02**, 021 (1999); A. Deandrea, R. Gatto, G. Nardulli and A.D. Polosa, Phys. Rev. **D 61**, 017502 (2000); A.D. Polosa, arXiv: hep-ex/9909371; X. Liu, Y.M. Yu, S.M. Zhao and X.Q. Li, Eur. Phys. J. **C 47**, 445 (2006).
- [18] A. Deandrea, G. Nardulli and A.D. Polosa, Phys. Rev. **D 68**, 097501 (2003).
- [19] A.F. Falk and M.E. Luke, Phys. Lett. **B 292**, 119 (1992).
- [20] H.N. Li Phys. Rev. **D 52**, 3958 (1995).
- [21] N. Isgur and M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. **D 43**, 819 (1991).
- [22] W.M. Yao et al., Particle Data Group, J. Phys. G **33**, 1 (2006).