$B_s^0 - \overline{B}_s^0$ Mixing in Leptophobic Z' Model

Seungwon Baek^{1*} , Jong Hun Jeon^{1†}, and C. S. Kim^{1,2‡}

1: Department of Physics, Yonsei University, Seoul 120-479, Korea

2: IPPP, Department of Physics, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, England

(Dated: June 9, 2021)

Abstract

Leptophobic Z' gauge boson appears naturally in many grand unified theories, such as flipped $SU(5)$ or string-inspired E_6 models. This elusive particle easily escapes the direct/indirect detections because it does not couple to charged leptons. However, it can generate flavor changing neutral current at tree level. In this letter, we show that the recently measured mass difference, Δm_s , in the $B_s^0 - \overline{B}_s^0$ s_{s} system improves the previous bound of flavor changing effective coupling by about one order of magnitude, *i.e* irrespective of its phase, $|U_{sb}^{Z'}|$ $\left| \frac{Z'}{sb} \right| \leq 0.036$ for $M_{Z'} = 700$ GeV, and $|U_{sb}^{Z'}| \leq 0.051$ for $M_{Z'} = 1$ TeV.

[∗] sbaek@cskim.yonsei.ac.kr

[†] jhjeon@cskim.yonsei.ac.kr

[‡] cskim@yonsei.ac.kr

I. INTRODUCTION

In the standard model (SM), the flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) processes first occur at one-loop diagrams. Its rate is suppressed by small electroweak gauge coupling, CKM matrix elements and loop factors. Therefore, these rare processes are very sensitive probe of new physics (NP) beyond the SM because some of these suppression factors can be lifted in general NP models.

Asymmetric B–factories and Tevatron have produced lots of B-mesons and some rare Bdecays induced by FCNC have been measured with enough precision to probe NP models. Among them, the processes with $b \to s$ transition at quark level, such as $B \to \pi K$ [\[1\]](#page-9-0), $B \to \rho(\phi)K^*$ [\[2](#page-9-1)], $B \to \phi K_S$ [\[3](#page-10-0)], $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ [\[4](#page-10-1)], have attracted much interest because they still allow much room for large NP contributions and some of them show possible deviations from the SM predictions.

Recently DØ [\[5\]](#page-10-2) and CDF [\[6](#page-10-3)] collaborations at Fermilab Tevatron reported the first observation of another $b \to s$ FCNC process, *i.e*, the mass difference Δm_s in the $B_s^0 - \overline{B}_s^0$ s system:

$$
D\emptyset : 17 \text{ ps}^{-1} < \Delta m_s < 21 \text{ ps}^{-1} (90\% \text{ C.L.}),
$$

$$
CDF : \Delta m_s = 17.33^{+0.42}_{-0.21} \text{(stat.)} \pm 0.07 \text{(syst.) ps}^{-1}.
$$
 (1)

Although these measurements are a little bit smaller than the SM expectations, considering large hadronic uncertainties we cannot strongly argue that it is a NP signal at the moment. They, however, may give strong constraints on the NP models, which predict $b \rightarrow s$ FCNC transitions. After the release of these new experimental results, their implications have been considered in many papers both in model independent approach [\[7\]](#page-10-4) and in specific NP models including Z'-model [\[8\]](#page-11-0), minimal supersymmetric standard model (MSSM) [\[9](#page-11-1)] and warped extra dimension model [\[10](#page-11-2)].

In this letter, we consider the implications of Δm_s measurements on leptophobic Z' model. Leptophobic Z' gauge bosons appear naturally in many grand unified theories (GUTs), e.g. flipped SU(5) or string-inspired E_6 GUT models. In some scenarios FCNC occurs at tree-level Z and/or Z' couplings.

First, we briefly introduce the leptophobic Z' models which lead to tree level FCNCs in Sec. [II.](#page-2-0) In Sec. [III](#page-4-0) we present the relevant formulas for the $B_s^0 - \overline{B}_s^0$ mixing and perform numerical analysis. Concluding remarks are given also in Sec. [III.](#page-4-0)

II. LEPTOPHOBIC Z' MODEL AND FCNC

Leptophobic Z' gauge boson (leptophobia) occurs naturally in flipped $SU(5)\times U(1)$ sce-nario [\[11](#page-11-3)]. In this model the spinor (16) representation of $SO(10)$ is decomposed under $SU(5)\times U(1)$ as

$$
16 \to (10,1) + (\bar{5}, -3) + (1,5). \tag{2}
$$

The SM particles are contained in

$$
\mathbf{10} = \{Q, d^c, \nu^c\}, \quad \mathbf{\bar{5}} = \{L, u^c\}, \quad \mathbf{1} = \{e^c\}.
$$
 (3)

The Z' becomes leptophobic if $\bar{5}$ and 1 are uncharged under the new U(1)'. It is noted that the $U(1)'$ charges of representation 10 can be generation-dependent in string models. This induces Z ′ -mediated FCNCs at tree level in the down-type quark sector and/or left-handed up-type quark sector.

Another scenario for leptophobia is E_6 model with kinetic mixing. In GUT or stringinspired point of view, the E_6 model is a very plausible extension of the SM [\[12\]](#page-11-4). It is natural that a $U(1)'$ gauge group remains as a low energy effective theory after the symmetry breaking of the E_6 group. We assume that the E_6 group is broken through the following breaking chain

$$
E_6 \to SO(10) \times U(1)_{\psi}
$$

\n
$$
\to SU(5) \times U(1)_{\chi} \times U(1)_{\psi}
$$

\n
$$
\to SU(2)_L \times U(1) \times U(1)',
$$
 (4)

where $U(1)'$ is a linear combination of two additional $U(1)$ gauge groups with

$$
Q' = Q_{\psi} \cos \theta - Q_{\chi} \sin \theta,
$$

where θ is the familiar E_6 mixing angle.

The most general Lagrangian, which is invariant under the SM gauge group with an extra U(1)', allows the kinetic mixing term $\mathcal{L}_{mixing} = \sin \chi$ 2 $\tilde{B}_{\mu\nu}\tilde{Z}'^{\mu\nu}$ between the U(1) and $U(1)'$ gauge boson fields. This off-diagonal term can be removed by the non-unitarity transformation

$$
\tilde{B}_{\mu} = B_{\mu} - \tan \chi Z_{\mu}', \quad \tilde{Z}_{\mu}' = \frac{Z_{\mu}'}{\cos \chi}, \tag{5}
$$

which leads to the possibility of leptophobia of the physical Z' gauge boson with the E_6 mixing. Once all the couplings are GUT normalized, the interaction Lagrangian of fermion fields and Z' gauge boson can be written as

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = -\lambda \frac{g_2}{\cos \theta_W} \sqrt{\frac{5 \sin^2 \theta_W}{3}} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \left(Q' + \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}} \delta Y_{SM} \right) \psi Z'_{\mu} , \qquad (6)
$$

where the ratio of gauge couplings $\lambda = g_{Q'}/g_Y$, and $\delta = -\tan \chi/\lambda$ [\[12\]](#page-11-4). The general fermion-Z' couplings depend on two free parameters, $\tan \theta$ and δ , effectively [\[13](#page-11-5)]. The Z' boson can be leptophobic when $(Q' + \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}})$ $\frac{3}{5}\delta Y_{SM}$ = 0 for L and e^c simultaneously. There are several ways to embed the SM particles and exotic fermions to the fundamental 27 representation of E_6 [\[12,](#page-11-4) [14\]](#page-11-6) while keeping the Z' leptophobic.

As mentioned above, the $Z(Z')$ couplings to quarks can generate tree-level FCNCs. In general there can be four different types of FCNCs in the down-type quarks as $Z(Z')$ couples to left-(right-)handed down-type quarks. Since the Z-mediating FCNC is too dangerous, we suppress them. This can be achieved as follows; In E_6 model, the exotic fermion h^c has the same $U(1)_Y$ charges with d^c, s^c, b^c , and Z-mediating FCNCs in the right-handed down-type quarks are absent. To suppress Z-mediating FCNCs in the left-handed down-type quarks we assume the unitary matrix V_L^d diagonalizing the down-type mass matrix is an identity matrix. In the flipped SU(5) model, if we assume there is no $Z - Z'$ mixing, the Z-mediating FCNC disappears as in the SM.

Now we turn to Z'-mediating FCNCs: The assumption, $V_L^d = 1$, in the E_6 model automatically suppresses the FCNCs in the left-handed down-type quarks. In flipped SU(5) model we can adopt the same assumption and suppress the FCNCs in the same sector. Then only Z'-mediating FCNCs in the right-handed down-type quarks survive. After integrating out degrees of freedom of heavy exotic fermions and gauge bosons, the FCNC Lagrangian for the $b \to q(q=s, d)$ transition can be parameterized as

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{FCNC}}^{Z'} = -\frac{g_2}{2\cos\theta_W} U_{qb}^{Z'} \bar{q}_R \gamma^\mu b_R Z_\mu',\tag{7}
$$

where all the theoretical uncertainties including the mixing parameters are absorbed into the coupling $U_{qb}^{Z'}$.

The constraints on the $U_{qb}^{Z'}$ were previously considered in [\[14](#page-11-6)] and [\[15\]](#page-11-7). In [15] it was demonstrated that the exclusive semi-leptonic $B \to M \nu_R \bar{\nu}_R$ decays give similar but stronger bounds than those obtained in $[14]$. It should be noted that the leptophobic Z' scenario is not constrained at all by (semi-)leptonic decays, $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ or $B_{(s)} \to \ell^+\ell^-$ which strongly constrains typical NP models such as MSSM. It is simply because leptophobic Z' does not couple to ordinary leptons. This feature also distinguishes leptophobic Z' models from other Z' models [\[8](#page-11-0)].

III. $B_s^0 - \overline{B}_s^0$ MIXING AND CONSTRAINTS ON $U_{qb}^{Z'}$ qb

Within the SM, the mass difference between the mass eigenstates in the $B_s^0 - \overline{B}_s^0$ s system is

$$
\Delta m_s^{\rm SM} = \frac{G_F^2}{6\pi^2} M_W^2 m_{Bs} \eta_B S_0(x_t) \left(f_{Bs} \hat{B}_{Bs}^{1/2} \right)^2 \left(V_{tb} V_{ts}^* \right)^2, \tag{8}
$$

where G_F is the Fermi constant, η_B is a short-distance QCD correction, f_{B_s} is the decay constant for B_s system, and \hat{B}_{B_s} is the bag parameter defined as

$$
\hat{B}_{B_s} = B_{B_s}(\mu_b) \left[\alpha_s^{(5)}(\mu_b) \right]^{-6/23} \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_s^{(5)}(\mu_b)}{4\pi} J_5 \right]. \tag{9}
$$

Main theoretical uncertainties arise from the hadronic parameter $f_{B_s} \hat{B}_{B_s}^{1/2}$ B_s .

Using the CKMfitter results presented at FPCP06 [\[16\]](#page-11-8), $|V_{tb}| \simeq 1, |V_{ts}| = 0.04113^{+0.00063}_{-0.00062}$, $M_{B_s} = 5.3696 \text{ GeV}, \eta_B \simeq 0.551, S_0(x_t) = 2.29^{+0.05}_{-0.04} \text{ with } \overline{m}_t(m_t) = 162.3 \pm 2.2 \text{ GeV}$ and the hadronic parameter

$$
f_{B_s} \hat{B}_{B_s}^{1/2} \Big|_{\text{JLQCD}} = 0.245 \pm 0.021^{+0.003}_{-0.002} \text{ GeV},
$$

\n
$$
f_{B_s} \hat{B}_{B_s}^{1/2} \Big|_{\text{(HP+JL)QCD}} = 0.295 \pm 0.036 \text{ GeV},
$$
\n(10)

taken by the lattice calculations [17], we obtain

$$
\Delta m_s^{\text{SM}}\Big|_{\text{JLQCD}} = 15.57^{+2.82}_{-2.60} \text{ ps}^{-1},
$$

$$
\Delta m_s^{\text{SM}}\Big|_{\text{(HP+JL)QCD}} = 22.57^{+5.88}_{-5.22} \text{ ps}^{-1},
$$
 (11)

respectively.

FIG. 1: The allowed region in $(M_{Z'}, |U_{sb}^{Z'}|)$ plane for (a) $\phi_{sb}^{Z'} = 0$ and (b) $\phi_{sb}^{Z'} = \pi/2$.

We will perform the numerical analysis using combined lattice calculations, $(HP + JL)QCD$, for CDF experimental result shown in (1), unless we state otherwise. Now we investigate the effects of the leptophobic Z' gauge boson. In the leptophobic Z' model, we have two parameters, the mass of Z' boson and new FCNC coupling, $U_{sb}^{Z'}$. Since the DØ experiment excludes the mass range 365 GeV $\leq M_{Z'} \leq 615$ GeV [\[18\]](#page-11-9), we take $M_{Z'}$ larger than 700 GeV, which is also consistent with the mass bound of the conventional nonleptophobic Z' model. The coupling $U_{sb}^{Z'}$ has in general CP violating complex phase, which we denote as $\phi_{sb}^{Z'}$.

The Z'-exchanging $\Delta B = \Delta S = 2$ tree diagram contributes to the $B_s^0 - \overline{B}_s^0$ mixing. The mass difference Δm_s of the mixing parameters then read

$$
\Delta m_s = \Delta m_s^{\text{SM}} \left| 1 + R \ e^{2i\phi_{sb}^{Z'}} \right|, \tag{12}
$$

$$
R \equiv \frac{2\sqrt{2}\pi^2}{G_F M_W^2 (V_{tb} V_{ts}^*)^2 S_0(x_t)} \frac{M_Z^2}{M_{Z'}^2} \left| U_{sb}^{Z'} \right|^2 = 1.62 \times 10^3 \left(\frac{700 \text{ GeV}}{M_{Z'}} \right)^2 \left| U_{sb}^{Z'} \right|^2. \tag{13}
$$

In Figs. [1,](#page-5-0) we show the allowed region in $(M_{Z'},|U_{sb}^{Z'}|)$ plane for vanishing (a) and maximal (b) phase. We obtain

$$
|U_{sb}^{Z'}| \le 0.0055 \qquad \text{for } M_{Z'} = 700 \text{ GeV}, \tag{14}
$$

for $\phi_{sb}^{Z'}=0$. This bound is about two orders of magnitude stronger than the one previously obtained from exclusive semileptonic $B \to M\nu\bar{\nu}$ decays, $|U_{sb}^{Z'}| \leq 0.29$ [\[15\]](#page-11-7). This demonstrates the importance of the measurement of $B_s^0 - \overline{B}_s^0$ mixing in constraining NP in the

FIG. 2: The allowed region in $(M_{Z'}, \phi_{sb}^{Z'})$ plane for (a) $|U_{sb}^{Z'}| = 0.025$ and (b) $|U_{sb}^{Z'}| = 0.05$.

flavor sector. Since for $\phi_{sb}^{Z'}=0$ the Z' contribution is constructive (the same sign) with the SM, the constraint is very strong. This severe constraint can be alleviated significantly by allowing the phase $\phi_{sb}^{Z'}$ to be non-zero. For maximal phase $\phi_{sb}^{Z'} = \pi/2$, the Z' contribution is destructive with the SM one and relatively large value of $|U_{sb}^{Z'}|$ is allowed, as can be seen from Fig. $1(b)$,

$$
|U_{sb}^{Z'}| \le 0.036 \qquad \text{for } M_{Z'} = 700 \text{ GeV}, \tag{15}
$$

irrespective of its phase $\phi_{sb}^{Z'}$ value. The blank region between the two allowed regions is excluded by the lower value of Δm_s^{exp} . This non-vanishing phase can also contribute to other CP violating processes such as CP-asymmetries in non-leptonic B-meson decays with $b \to s$ transition such as $B \to \pi K$ or $B \to \phi K_S$ decays [\[19](#page-11-10), [20](#page-12-0)].

In Figs. [2,](#page-6-0) we present our predictions for the allowed $\phi_{sb}^{Z'}$ in the leptophobic Z' model as a function of $M_{Z'}$ for (a) $|U_{sb}^{Z'}| = 0.025$ and (b) $|U_{sb}^{Z'}| = 0.05$. For the choice of these relatively large couplings the allowed region appears only near maximal CP violating phase reflecting again the destructive interference for these values. We note that for coupling $|U_{sb}^{Z'}|\gtrsim 0.05$ the Z' mass is larger than 1 TeV for which it would be difficult to produce it even at LHC. We also note that for such a large coupling $|U_{sb}^{Z'}| = 0.05$ only very limited parameter space is allowed, such as

$$
M_{Z'} = 980 \sim 1120 \text{ GeV} \qquad \text{for} \qquad \phi_{sb}^{Z'} \sim \pi/2 \ . \tag{16}
$$

FIG. 3: The allowed region in $(|U_{sb}^{Z'}|, \phi_{sb}^{Z'})$ plane for (a) $M_{Z'} = 700$ GeV and (b) $M_{Z'} = 1$ TeV. We used (HP+JL)QCD result in [\(10\)](#page-4-1) for the hadronic parameter. Constant contour lines for the time dependent CP asymmetry $S_{\psi\phi}$ in $B_s \to J/\psi$ ϕ are also shown.

FIG. 4: The allowed region in $(|U_{sb}^{Z'}|, \phi_{sb}^{Z'})$ plane for (a) $M_{Z'} = 700$ GeV and (b) $M_{Z'} = 1$ TeV. We used JLQCD result in [\(10\)](#page-4-1) for the hadronic parameter. Constant contour lines for the time dependent CP asymmetry $S_{\psi\phi}$ in $B_s \to J/\psi$ ϕ are also shown.

In Figs. [3,](#page-7-0) the allowed region in $(|U_{sb}^{Z'}|, \phi_{sb}^{Z'}|)$ plane is shown. The holes again appear because they predict too small Δm_s . For a given $M_{Z'}$ we can see that large CP violating phase can enhance the allowed coupling $|U_{sb}^{Z'}|$ up to almost factor 10. This shows the importance of the role played by CP violating phase even in CP conserving observable such as Δm_s . As can be seen from Fig. 3(b), irrespective of its phase $\phi_{sb}^{Z'}$ value

$$
|U_{sb}^{Z'}| \le 0.051 \qquad \text{for } M_{Z'} = 1 \text{ TeV}.
$$
 (17)

The CP violating phase in $B_s^0 - \overline{B}_s^0$ mixing amplitude can be measured at LHC in near future through the time-dependent CP asymmetry in $B_s \to J/\psi \phi$ decay

$$
\frac{\Gamma\left(\overline{B}_s^0(t) \to J/\psi \phi\right) - \Gamma\left(B_s^0(t) \to J/\psi \phi\right)}{\Gamma\left(\overline{B}_s^0(t) \to J/\psi \phi\right) + \Gamma\left(B_s^0(t) \to J/\psi \phi\right)} \equiv S_{\psi\phi} \sin\left(\Delta m_s t\right). \tag{18}
$$

We note that although the final states are not CP-eigenstates, the time-dependent analysis of the $B^0_s \to J/\psi \phi$ angular distribution allows a clean extraction of $S_{\psi\phi}$ [\[21](#page-12-1)]. In the SM, $S_{\psi\phi}$ is predicted to be very small, $S_{\psi\phi}^{\text{SM}} = -\sin 2\beta_s = 0.038 \pm 0.003 \ (\beta_s \equiv \arg \left[(V_{ts}^* V_{tb})/(V_{cs}^* V_{cb}) \right]).$ If NP has an additional CP violating phase $\phi_{sb}^{Z'}$, however, the experimental value of

$$
S_{\psi\phi} = -\sin\left[2\beta_s + \arg\left(1 + R \ e^{2i\phi_{sb}^{Z'}}\right)\right]
$$
 (19)

would be significantly different from the SM prediction. Constant contour lines for $S_{\psi\phi}$ are also shown in Figs. [3](#page-7-0)[-4.](#page-7-1) We can see that even with the strong constraint from the present Δm_s observation, large $S_{\psi\phi}$ are still allowed.

For comparison, we also show plots similar to Figs. [3](#page-7-0) using other hadronic parameter value (*i.e.* JLQCD only of Eqs. $(10,11)$) in Figs. [4.](#page-7-1) Since the central value of SM prediction (the first line in [\(11\)](#page-4-2)) is lower than the CDF central value, the hole region has been more carved away than in Figs. [3.](#page-7-0) However, we can see that the overall feature is the same as Figs. [3.](#page-7-0)

In this letter we considered leptophobic Z' scenario with flavor changing neutral current couplings at tree-level. This scenario can appear in many GUTs such as string-inspired flipped $SU(5)\times U(1)$ models or nonstandard embedding in E_6 GUTs with kinetic mixing. Since leptophobic Z' does not couple to leptons, the popular processes for NP searches like $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$ or $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ are not affected by this model. We showed that the recently measured mass difference Δm_s of $B_s^0 - \overline{B}_s^0$ $\frac{6}{s}$ system can constrain this kind of models very efficiently. The obtained bound on the coupling becomes about two orders of magnitude stronger than the best known bound. We also pointed out that the constraint is very sensitive to the CP violating phase. Scanning all the possible region of the phase, we obtained $|U_{sb}^{Z'}| \le 0.036$ for $M_{Z'} = 700$ GeV, and $|U_{sb}^{Z'}| \le 0.051$ for $M_{Z'} = 1$ TeV.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Sechul Oh for careful reading of the manuscript and his valuable comments. The work of CSK was supported in part by CHEP-SRC Program, in part by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (MOEHRD) No. KRF-2005-070- C00030. The work of SB was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (MOEHRD) No. KRF-2005-070-C00030. JHJ was supported by BK21 Program of Korean Government.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. J. Buras, R. Fleischer, S. Recksiegel and F. Schwab, Nucl. Phys. B 697, 133 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0402112\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0402112); T. Yoshikawa, Phys. Rev. D 68, 054023 (2003) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0306147\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0306147); V. Barger, C. W. Chiang, P. Langacker and H. S. Lee, Phys. Lett. B 598, 218 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0406126\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0406126). S. Nandi and A. Kundu, [arXiv:hep-ph/0407061;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0407061) S. Mishima and T. Yoshikawa, Phys. Rev. D 70, 094024 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0408090\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0408090); Y. Y. Charng and H. n. Li, Phys. Rev. D 71, 014036 (2005) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0410005\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0410005); X. G. He and B. H. J. McKellar, [arXiv:hep-ph/0410098;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0410098) S. Baek, P. Hamel, D. London, A. Datta and D. A. Suprun, Phys. Rev. D 71, 057502 (2005) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0412086\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0412086); Y. L. Wu and Y. F. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D 72, 034037 (2005) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0503077\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0503077); M. Gronau and J. L. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 71, 074019 (2005) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0503131\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0503131); C. S. Kim, S. Oh and C. Yu, Phys. Rev. D 72, 074005 (2005) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0505060\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0505060); S. Khalil, Phys. Rev. D 72, 035007 (2005) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0505151\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0505151); H. n. Li, S. Mishima and A. I. Sanda, Phys. Rev. D 72, 114005 (2005) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0508041\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0508041); R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta, B. Hu and S. Oh, Phys. Lett. B 633, 748 (2006) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0509233\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0509233); C. W. Bauer, I. Z. Rothstein and I. W. Stewart, [arXiv:hep-ph/0510241;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0510241) W. S. Hou, M. Nagashima, G. Raz and A. Soddu, [arXiv:hep-ph/0603097;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0603097) S. Baek, [arXiv:hep-ph/0605094.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0605094)
- [2] C. Dariescu, M. A. Dariescu, N. G. Deshpande and D. K. Ghosh, Phys. Rev. D 69, 112003 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0308305\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0308305). E. Alvarez, L. N. Epele, D. G. Dumm and A. Szynkman, Phys. Rev. D 70, 115014 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0410096\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0410096). Y. D. Yang, R. M. Wang and G. R. Lu, Phys. Rev. D 72, 015009 (2005) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0411211\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0411211). P. K. Das and K. C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D 71, 094002 (2005)

[\[arXiv:hep-ph/0412313\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0412313). C. S. Kim and Y. D. Yang, [arXiv:hep-ph/0412364.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0412364) S. Baek, A. Datta, P. Hamel, O. F. Hernandez and D. London, Phys. Rev. D 72, 094008 (2005) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0508149\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0508149). C. S. Huang, P. Ko, X. H. Wu and Y. D. Yang, Phys. Rev. D 73, 034026 (2006) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0511129\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0511129).

- [3] B. Dutta, C. S. Kim and S. Oh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 011801 (2003) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0208226\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0208226). G. L. Kane, P. Ko, H. b. Wang, C. Kolda, J. h. Park and L. T. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 70, 035015 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0212092\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0212092); S. Baek, Phys. Rev. D 67, 096004 (2003) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0301269\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0301269); B. Dutta, C. S. Kim, S. Oh and G. h. Zhu, Phys. Lett. B 601, 144 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0312389\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0312389); M. Endo, M. Kakizaki and M. Yamaguchi, Phys. Lett. B 594, 205 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0403260\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0403260); J. F. Cheng, C. S. Huang and X. H. Wu, Nucl. Phys. B 701 , 54 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0404055\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0404055); S. Khalil, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 19, 2745 (2004) [Afr. J. Math. Phys. 1, 101 (2004)] [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0411151\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0411151).
- [4] C. S. Huang and Q. S. Yan, Phys. Lett. B 442, 209 (1998) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9803366\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9803366); K. S. Babu and C. F. Kolda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 228 (2000) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9909476\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9909476); P. H. Chankowski and L. Slawianowska, Phys. Rev. D 63, 054012 (2001) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0008046\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0008046); G. Isidori and A. Retico, JHEP 0111, 001 (2001) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0110121\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0110121); C. Bobeth, T. Ewerth, F. Kruger and J. Urban, Phys. Rev. D 64, 074014 (2001) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0104284\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0104284); S. Baek, P. Ko and W. Y. Song, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 271801 (2002) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0205259\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0205259); JHEP 0303, 054 (2003) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0208112\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0208112); S. Baek, Phys. Lett. B 595, 461 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0406007\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0406007).
- [5] V. Abazov [D0 Collaboration], [arXiv:hep-ex/0603029.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0603029)
- [6] G. Gomez-Ceballos [CDF Collaboration], Talk at FPCP 2006, [http://fpcp2006.triumf.ca/agenda.php.](http://fpcp2006.triumf.ca/agenda.php)
- [7] M. Blanke, A. J. Buras, D. Guadagnoli and C. Tarantino, [arXiv:hep-ph/0604057;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0604057) Z. Ligeti, M. Papucci and G. Perez, [arXiv:hep-ph/0604112;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0604112) P. Ball and R. Fleischer, [arXiv:hep-ph/0604249;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0604249) Y. Grossman, Y. Nir and G. Raz, [arXiv:hep-ph/0605028;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0605028) A. Datta, [arXiv:hep-ph/0605039.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0605039)
- [8] K. Cheung, C. W. Chiang, N. G. Deshpande and J. Jiang, [arXiv:hep-ph/0604223;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0604223) X. G. He and G. Valencia, [arXiv:hep-ph/0605202.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0605202)
- [9] M. Ciuchini and L. Silvestrini, [arXiv:hep-ph/0603114;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0603114) M. Endo and S. Mishima, [arXiv:hep-ph/0603251.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0603251) J. Foster, K. i. Okumura and L. Roszkowski, [arXiv:hep-ph/0604121;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0604121) G. Isidori and P. Paradisi, [arXiv:hep-ph/0605012.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0605012) S. Khalil, [arXiv:hep-ph/0605021;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0605021) S. Baek, [arXiv:hep-ph/0605182;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0605182) R. Arnowitt, B. Dutta, B. Hu and S. Oh, [arXiv:hep-ph/0606130.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0606130)
- [10] S. Chang, C. S. Kim and J. Song, [arXiv:hep-ph/0607313.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0607313)
- [11] J. L. Lopez and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Rev. D 55, 397 (1997) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9605359\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9605359).
- [12] T. G. Rizzo, Phys. Rev. D 59, 015020 (1999) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9806397\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9806397).
- [13] K. S. Babu, C. F. Kolda and J. March-Russell, Phys. Rev. D 54, 4635 (1996) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9603212\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9603212); ibid. 57, 6788 (1998) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9710441\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9710441).
- [14] K. Leroux and D. London, Phys. Lett. B 526, 97 (2002) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0111246\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0111246).
- [15] J. H. Jeon, C. S. Kim, J. Lee and C. Yu, Phys. Lett. B 636, 270 (2006) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0602156\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0602156).
- [16] J. Charles et al. [CKMfitter Group], Eur. Phys. J. C 41, 1 (2005) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0406184\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0406184).
- [17] S. Aoki et al. [JLQCD Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 212001 (2003) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0307039\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0307039); A. Gray et al. [HPQCD Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 212001 (2005) [\[arXiv:hep-lat/0507015\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-lat/0507015); M. Okamoto, PoS LAT2005, 013 (2006) [\[arXiv:hep-lat/0510113\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-lat/0510113); P. Ball and R. Fleischer, [arXiv:hep-ph/0604249.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0604249)
- [18] B. Abbott et al. [D0 Collaboration], FERMILAB-CONF-97-356-E Presented at 18th International Symposium on Lepton and Photon Interactions (LP 97), Hamburg, Germany, 28 Jul - 1 Aug 1997, and Presented at International Europhysics Conference on High-Energy Physics (HEP 97), Jerusalem, Israel, 19-26 Aug 1997
- [19] V. Barger, C. W. Chiang, P. Langacker and H. S. Lee, Phys. Lett. B 598, 218 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0406126\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0406126).
- [20] S. Baek, J. H. Jeon and C. S. Kim, work in preparation.
- [21] A. S. Dighe, I. Dunietz and R. Fleischer, Eur. Phys. J. C 6, 647 (1999) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9804253\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9804253); I. Dunietz, R. Fleischer and U. Nierste, Phys. Rev. D 63, 114015 (2001) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0012219\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0012219).