

Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal effect in a quark-gluon plasma and the Boltzmann equation

Gordon Baym^a, J.-P. Blaizot^{b,1}, F. Gelis^c, and T. Matsui^d

^a*Department of Physics, University of Illinois, 1110 W. Green St., Urbana, IL, 61801 USA*

^b*ECT*, Villa Tambosi, Strada delle Tabarelle 286, I-38050 Villazzano(TN), Italy*

^c*SPhT, CEA-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette cedex, France*

^d*Institute of Physics, University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo, Japan*

Abstract

We show how the Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal effect on photon production rates in a quark-gluon plasma can be derived via the usual Boltzmann equation. To do this, we first derive the electromagnetic polarization tensor using linear response theory, and then formulate the Boltzmann equation including the collisions mediated by soft gluon exchanges. We then identify the resulting expression for the production rate with that obtained by the field-theoretic formalism recently proposed by Arnold, Moore and Yaffe. To illustrate the LPM effect we solve the Boltzmann equation in the diffusion approximation.

¹ Membre du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), France.

The Landau-Pomeranchuk-Migdal (LPM) effect [1, 2] plays an important role in the diagnostic tools of quark-gluon plasmas formed in ultrarelativistic nucleus-nucleus collisions, both in the energy loss [3], and the emission of photons and dileptons from the plasma, our focus here (for a recent review see [4, 5]). The LPM effect takes into account multiple scatterings of the emitters and subsequent interference of the emitted radiation leading to a suppression of the bremsstrahlung rate from that obtained by Bethe and Heitler [6].

In recent discussions [7], the LPM effect has been calculated by explicitly summing the infinite series of Feynman diagrams that correspond to multiple scatterings. While providing a modern field-theoretical derivation of Migdal's results, such an approach is complicated by the need first to identify the relevant series of diagrams, and then to approximate these diagrams carefully in order to obtain useful expressions. As in the Landau theory of Fermi liquids [8], multiple scattering processes involving a sequence of singular denominators are most effectively dealt with in the framework of the Boltzmann equation: not only does the Boltzmann equation capture the relevant diagrams, it also has the necessary kinematical approximations for small-angle scatterings built in via the gradient expansion of the collision term. However, neither the field theoretic treatment of [7], nor in fact Migdal's original derivation, make manifest the fact that the effect of multiple collisions is entirely captured in the relevant kinematical regime by the usual linearized Boltzmann equation.² Establishing this simple connection is the main purpose

² The first and third references in [7] do note an integral equation analogous in structure to a Boltzmann equation, but this analogy is not explored further. The Boltzmann equation derived here should not be confused with that discussed in [10]: in the latter paper, the LPM effect enters as a correction to the $1 \rightarrow 2$ and $2 \rightarrow 1$ collision terms. Migdal's original derivation describes the propagation of a charged particle interacting with fixed scattering centers at random locations. In a sense, the derivation presented in this paper extends Migdal's work [9] to the case where

of the present Letter; we derive the LPM effect based on a (linearized) Boltzmann equation. While the rates obtained by solving the Boltzmann equation are not different from those obtained by the formalism of [7], the use of the Boltzmann equation significantly simplifies the derivation of the LPM effect and provides a new, more intuitive, perspective on the problem. Moreover, it is also the basis of new tools for addressing this issue in a non-equilibrated plasma.

To leading order in the electromagnetic fine structure constant, α , the photon production rate is [11, 12]:

$$\omega \frac{dN_\gamma}{d^4x d^3\mathbf{k}} = -\frac{g^{\mu\nu}}{2(2\pi)^3} \Pi_{\mu\nu}^<(\omega, \mathbf{k}) . \quad (1)$$

Here $\omega = |\mathbf{k}|$, and $\Pi_{\mu\nu}^<(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ is the Fourier transform of the finite temperature current-current correlation function ($K \equiv (\omega, \mathbf{k})$):

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}^<(\omega, \mathbf{k}) \equiv \int d^4X e^{iK \cdot X} \langle j_\mu(0) j_\nu(X) \rangle , \quad (2)$$

with $j_\mu(X) \equiv e\bar{\psi}(X)\gamma_\mu\psi(X)$ the electromagnetic current and $X \equiv (t, \mathbf{x})$ denotes the space-time coordinates. We use a metric with $g^{00} = 1$. To derive (1) we use the transversality of $\Pi_{\mu\nu}^<(K) : k^\mu \Pi_{\mu\nu}^<(K) = 0$. A similar formula exists for lepton pairs for which $K^2 \equiv \omega^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 > 0$. For real photons, one can replace $g^{\mu\nu} \Pi_{\mu\nu}^<$ by $g^{ij} \Pi_{ij}^<$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ are the two directions transverse to the photon momentum; indeed the transversality of $\Pi_{\mu\nu}^<$ ensures that non-transverse polarizations do not contribute. The brackets in Eq. (2) denote a thermal average. The correlation function $\Pi_{\mu\nu}^<(\omega, \mathbf{k})$ is related to the retarded electromagnetic polarization tensor through (see, e.g., [13, 14]),

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}^<(\omega, \mathbf{k}) = -\frac{2}{e^{\omega/T} - 1} \text{Im} \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\text{ret}}(\omega, \mathbf{k}) . \quad (3)$$

scatterings are due to two-body collisions. The present generalization focusses on soft photons, while Migdal's approach is valid for arbitrary photon energies.




Fig. 1. Left: quark-quark elastic scattering in the Born approximation via gluon exchange. The straight lines denote quarks, and the curly lines gluons. Dynamical screening corrections, denoted by the thick dot, can be included via the hard thermal loop expansion. Right: the cut on the internal quark loop bubble that is used in connection with Eq. (17) below. Note that the scattering partner, as well the excitation running in the cut loop, can also be a gluon.

For $\omega \ll T$ the photon production rate per unit volume and frequency is thus

$$\frac{dN_\gamma}{d^4x d\omega} = -\frac{T}{2\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \text{Im} \Pi_{ii}^{\text{ret}}(\omega, \mathbf{k}). \quad (4)$$

The main task in estimating the photon production rate is therefore to calculate $\Pi_{ij}^{\text{ret}}(\omega, \mathbf{k})$. Since we can write the self-energy in terms of the response of the electromagnetic current to an external electromagnetic vector potential,

$$\Pi_{\text{ret}}^{ij} = \frac{\delta \langle j^i \rangle}{\delta A_j}, \quad (5)$$

the problem reduces to calculating $\langle j^i \rangle$ in the presence of an external A_j .

As we show below, the physics of the LPM effect is included in a calculation of the response of the current to an external field via the Boltzmann equation, even with the simple collision term describing scatterings at the Born approximation level (see Fig. 1). The solution of the Boltzmann equation takes into account repeated scatterings – processes needed to include the physics of the LPM effect – as illustrated in Fig. 2.

The leading order contributions to the photon rate, of order α_s ($\equiv g^2/4\pi$, with g the strong coupling constant), correspond to real gluon-photon Compton scattering ($qg \rightarrow$




Fig. 2. Resummation of ladder diagrams in the photon polarization tensor taken into account by the Boltzmann equation. The thick dot denotes dynamical screening corrections.

$q\gamma$ or $\bar{q}g \rightarrow \bar{q}\gamma$) and quark-antiquark annihilation ($q\bar{q} \rightarrow g\gamma$). These processes can be calculated by including hard thermal loop (HTL) [15, 16] corrections in the propagators [17, 18], and do not require further resummation. In particular they are not affected by the LPM effect. Since we focus here on the LPM effect we omit out these processes in the following discussion.

The processes shown in Fig. 3, formally of next order in α_s , are collisions involving space-like gluons. The one-loop correction in the gluon propagator shown in these three-loop processes is only the first correction; the full correction should be carried out in practice by an HTL resummation. Naive power counting suggests that these two diagrams contribute in $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$; however, “collinear enhancement” turns the contribution of these diagrams into a contribution of order α_s .




Fig. 3. Higher order processes that are promoted to $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ by collinear singularities.

We illustrate the origin of this enhancement by first studying the extent to which the quark of momentum $P + K$, between the quark-gluon vertex and the photon emission vertex in Fig. 3, is off-shell. On-shell, $P^2 = m_\infty^2$, where $m_\infty \sim gT$ is the thermal mass of a quark of momentum $\sim T$. To estimate the virtuality of the intermediate quark, we work in the frame in which the photon four-momentum is $K = (\omega, 0, 0, k_z = \omega)$; then

$$(P + K)^2 - m_\infty^2 = 2P \cdot K = 2\omega(\sqrt{p_z^2 + m_\perp^2} - p_z) \quad (6)$$

where $m_\perp^2 \equiv p_\perp^2 + m_\infty^2$. The right side of Eq. (6) becomes very small when $m_\perp^2 \ll p_z^2$, as occurs for small mass and emission of the photon in the forward direction, $p_\perp \rightarrow 0$ (collinearity). In this limit, the diagrams in Fig. 3 become singular. The quark thermal mass, which arises from HTL resummations on the quark lines (not explicitly shown in Fig. 3), prevents these diagrams from being truly singular, but the region of phase space where the quark and the photon are nearly collinear leads to a contribution $\sim T^2/m_\infty^2 \sim 1/\alpha_s$. Combining this contribution with the explicit α_s^2 from the vertices, we see that these diagrams become $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$.




Fig. 4. A virtual quark of momentum $P + K$ emitting a real photon ($K^2 = 0$) and an on-shell quark of momentum P ($P^2 = m_\infty^2$).

In fact, a similar collinear enhancement affects an infinite set of processes. The collinear enhancement in the diagrams of Fig. 3, due to the small virtuality of the quark that emits the photon, can be rephrased physically in terms of a large *photon formation time*, or equivalently, the small energy denominators in the intermediate states. For the process in Fig. 4, the formation time is $t_F = 1/\delta E$, with

$$\delta E \equiv \omega + \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}} \approx \frac{m_\perp^2}{2} \frac{\omega}{p_z(p_z + \omega)}, \quad (7)$$

where $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} = \sqrt{m_\infty^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}$, and we assume $m_\perp \ll p_z$. Typically, in a quark-gluon plasma, $m_\perp \sim gT$, while $p_z \sim T$. Thus for a photon of energy $\omega \sim T$, we have $\delta E \sim g^2T$. But g^2T is in fact the characteristic scale of the rate of collisions with small ($\sim gT$) momentum transfer Q . To see this result we write the scattering cross section as $\sigma = \int dQ^2 (d\sigma/dQ^2)$,

where typically $d\sigma/dQ^2 \sim g^4/Q^4$. Thus the collision rate, $\gamma = n\sigma$, is $\sim g^4 T^3 \int dQ^2/Q^4$, where we use $n \sim T^3$. As we verify later (see the discussion after Eq. (22)), the LPM effect regulates the integral in such a way that it becomes infrared finite but remains dominated by the contributions of soft momentum transfers $Q \sim gT$. It is thus of order $1/(gT)^2$, leading to the finite result $\gamma \sim g^4 T^3 / (gT)^2 \sim g^2 T$. The formation time of a photon of energy $\omega \sim T$ is thus of the same order of magnitude as, or larger than the quark mean free path between two soft collisions, i.e., $t_F \sim 1/\gamma$, the collision time. The formation time of soft photons $\omega \sim gT$ is even larger.

Under such conditions, effects of multiple collisions on the production process cannot be ignored. Multiple scattering reduces the rate compared to that were all collisions treated as independent sources of photon production – the LPM effect. The multiple scattering diagrams that must be resummed in the polarization tensor are the ladders in Fig. 2. These processes, together with the self-energy corrections that need to be included on the quark lines, are the typical diagrams taken into account by the Boltzmann equation [13] (see also [23]; for a recent derivation in the context of QCD, see [24]).

We turn then to the explicit formulation of the photon production rate using the linearized Boltzmann equation. The state of the system is described in terms of the distribution functions of charged particles, which we denote by n_f for quarks and \bar{n}_f for antiquarks of flavor f (in order to simplify the discussion we ignore the gluons, on which the charged particle can scatter; including their contribution poses no conceptual problem). Our task is then to determine the n 's for a system initially in equilibrium perturbed by a weak electromagnetic potential, A_j ; then $n_f = n_f^0 + \delta n_f$ where n_f^0 is the equilibrium distribution function for quarks of flavor f ; similarly, $\bar{n}_f = \bar{n}_f^0 + \delta \bar{n}_f = n_f^0 + \delta \bar{n}_f$. We calculate the δn 's explicitly from the linearized Boltzmann equation. For soft photons, $k_0, k \ll T$, this equation takes the form,

$$(v \cdot \partial_X) \delta n_f(\mathbf{p}, X) + e_f \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{E} \frac{dn_f^0}{d\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} = \mathcal{C}[\delta n_f, \delta \bar{n}_f]; \quad (8)$$

here $v^\mu = (1, \mathbf{v})$, with $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}/\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}$, so that $v \cdot \partial_X = \partial_t + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla$. In the force term, $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla A^0 - \partial \mathbf{A}/\partial t$ is the electric field, and e_f is the charge of a quark of flavor f . (For initially isotropic distributions, the magnetic field does not contribute to the force acting on the particles, to lowest order.) The $\delta \bar{n}_f$ are governed by a similar equation with e_f replaced by $-e_f$. The collision term, \mathcal{C} , on the right side of Eq. (8) is linear in the δn 's. The electromagnetic current $\langle j^i \rangle$ is given in terms of the δn 's by

$$\langle j^i \rangle(X) = 2N_c \sum_f e_f \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} v^i (\delta n_f(\mathbf{p}, X) - \delta \bar{n}_f(\mathbf{p}, X)), \quad (9)$$

where $N_c = 3$ is the number of colors, and the factor 2 accounts for the two spin states of the quarks.

The solution of the linearized kinetic equation is proportional to the forcing term $\sim \mathbf{E}$ on the left. It is convenient to write the δn 's in the form,

$$\delta n_f(\mathbf{p}, X) \equiv -e_f W(\mathbf{p}, X) \frac{dn_f^0}{d\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}} = \frac{e_f}{T} W(\mathbf{p}, X) n_f^0(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}) (1 - n_f^0(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}})), \quad (10)$$

with the same equation for $\delta \bar{n}_f(\mathbf{p}, X)$ with $e_f \rightarrow -e_f$. The deviation W , the same for quarks and antiquarks, can be interpreted in terms of a distortion of the local momentum distribution caused by the shift $\delta \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} = -e_f W(\mathbf{p}, X)$ of the single particle energies [19]: $n_f(\mathbf{p}, X) = n_f^0(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}) + \delta n_f(\mathbf{p}, X) = n_f^0(\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} - e_f W)$. In terms of $W(\mathbf{p}, X)$, the kinetic equation reads

$$v \cdot \partial_X W(\mathbf{p}, X) - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{E} = \mathcal{C}'[W], \quad (11)$$

with

$$\mathcal{C} \equiv -e_f (dn_f^0/d\epsilon_p) \mathcal{C}'. \quad (12)$$

Fourier transforming with respect to the spatial coordinates we rewrite the kinetic equation as

$$iv \cdot K W(\mathbf{p}, K) + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{E}(K) = -\mathcal{C}'[W], \quad (13)$$

where K is the four-momentum of the produced photon, and we use the same symbol for a function and its Fourier transform, e.g., $W(\mathbf{p}, X)$ and $W(\mathbf{p}, K)$. Using Eq. (10) in (9) we find, after Fourier transforming,

$$\langle j^i \rangle(K) = -\bar{e}^2 \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} v^i W(\mathbf{p}, K) \frac{dn_f^0}{d\epsilon_p}, \quad (14)$$

where $\bar{e}^2 \equiv 4N_c \sum_f e_f^2$. The extra factor 2 in Eq. (14), as compared to (9), accounts for the equal contributions of quarks and antiquarks. We calculate the polarization tensor from Eqs. (14) and (5) below.

The linearized collision term, with Eq. (10), reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} = & -\frac{e_f}{T} \sum_{f'} \int_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P + P_1 - P_2 - P_3) \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_2}|^2}{16\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}_2}\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}_3}} \\ & \times n_f^0(\mathbf{p})(1 - n_f^0(\mathbf{p}_3))n_{f'}^0(\mathbf{p}_1)(1 - n_{f'}^0(\mathbf{p}_2)) [W(\mathbf{p}, K) - W(\mathbf{p}_3, K)]. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Here all quarks are on their mass shells, and $\int_{\mathbf{p}_i} \equiv \int d^3 \mathbf{p}_i / (2\pi)^3$. The matrix element squared, $|\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{p}_2}|^2$, is that for one-gluon exchange, as depicted in Fig. 1; it is averaged over the spin and color states of the incoming particle (of momentum P) and summed over the spin and color states of the other particles. To obtain (15) we also use the fact that the terms involving $\delta n_{f'}(\mathbf{p}_1, K)$ and $\delta n_{f'}(\mathbf{p}_2, K)$ cancel when summed over quarks and antiquarks (e.g., $\delta n_{f'}(\mathbf{p}_1, K) + \delta \bar{n}_{f'}(\mathbf{p}_1, K) = 0$).

At this point, it is convenient to use the four-momentum transfer $Q \equiv P_2 - P_1$ as an integration variable. One can then perform the integrations over \mathbf{p}_2 and \mathbf{p}_3 , and obtain the scaled collision term (12) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}' &= - \sum_{f'} \int \frac{d^4 Q}{(2\pi)^4} 2\pi \delta(q_0 - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{q}) [W(\mathbf{p}, K) - W(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}, K)] \\
&\times \int_{\mathbf{p}_1} 2\pi \delta(q_0 - \mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}) n_{f'}^0(\mathbf{p}_1) (1 - n_{f'}^0(\mathbf{p}_1)) \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{q}}|^2}{16 \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{q}}}, \tag{16}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{p}/\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{p}_1/\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}_1}$, and we have used the fact that the momentum transfer is small ($q \ll p_i$) in order to simplify the delta functions and the statistical factors, e.g., writing $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{q}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \approx \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{q}$, and $n_f^0(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}) \approx n_f^0(\mathbf{p})$. After these simplifications, the integral over \mathbf{p}_1 can be done and the contribution of the matrix element expressed in terms of the spectral density $\rho_{\mu\nu}^{HTL}(Q)$ of the gluon propagator in the HTL approximation (see Fig. 1, and Ref. [20] for details). One then arrives at the scaled collision term

$$\mathcal{C}' = -g^2 C_f \int \frac{d^4 Q}{(2\pi)^3} \delta(q_0 - \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \frac{T}{q_0} v^\mu v^\nu \rho_{\mu\nu}^{HTL}(Q) [W(\mathbf{p}, K) - W(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}, K)], \tag{17}$$

where $C_f = (N_c^2 - 1)/2N_c$.

In order to proceed further, we examine the specific angular dependence of the fluctuation $W(\mathbf{p}, K)$ involved in the emission of soft real photons (typically with $\omega \sim gT \ll T$). We first note that by symmetry the solution of Eq. (13) with (17) must be of the form

$$W(\mathbf{p}, K) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}} f(\hat{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}, p), \tag{18}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{E}}$ is the unit vector along the direction of the electric field. This angular structure is illustrated by the collisionless Boltzmann equation, (13) with $\mathcal{C}' = 0$, which has the solution

$$W^{(0)}(\mathbf{p}, K) = - \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{E}(K)}{iv \cdot K}. \tag{19}$$

For real photons, $v \cdot K = \omega(1 - \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}})$, with $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}/\omega$. In the case of massless particles, $v \cdot K$ vanishes when \mathbf{p} is parallel to \mathbf{k} , leading to a diverging $W^{(0)}(\mathbf{p}, K)$ – the ‘‘collinear enhancement’’ discussed earlier. Indeed, for soft photons the drift term is simply the

energy difference δE defined in Eq. (7), i.e., $v \cdot K \approx \omega m_\perp^2/2p^2$ (with $m_\perp^2 = \mathbf{p}_\perp^2 + m_\infty^2$, $\mathbf{p}_\perp \perp \mathbf{k}$, and $\mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}} \gg p_\perp, m_\infty$). Thus, noting that $\mathbf{E}(K) = i\omega \mathbf{A}(K)$, we have:

$$W^{(0)}(\mathbf{p}, K) = -\frac{A \sin \theta \cos \phi}{2(1 - \cos \theta) + m_\infty^2/p^2} \simeq -A \frac{\theta \cos \phi}{\theta^2 + m_\infty^2/p^2}, \quad (20)$$

for small θ , where θ is the angle between \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{k} , and ϕ the angle between \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{p} . In the case of massless particles, Eq. (20) exhibits the small angle divergence $W^{(0)} \sim 1/\theta$ mentioned above. For massive particles, $W^{(0)}$ vanishes at $\theta = 0$, but remains peaked at small $\theta \sim \theta_0 = m_\infty/p \sim g$.

Collisions, dominated by small angle scattering, maintain the peaking of the LPM fluctuations $W(\mathbf{p}, K)$ at small forward angles. Accordingly the solutions $W(\mathbf{p}, K)$ of the linearized Boltzmann equation are of the form $W(\mathbf{p}, K) = \mathbf{v} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}} h(\theta, p)$, with h strongly peaked at small θ . This structure simplifies the calculation of the collision term, as we now show, and confirms the kinematical approximations that we made in deriving Eq. (17). Since in a collision $|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}|$ differs from $|\mathbf{p}|$ by subleading terms, the magnitude of \mathbf{p} remains basically constant during collisions, with the direction of the dominant \mathbf{p} remaining approximately aligned with the momentum of the photon. Because \mathbf{q} is primarily transverse to \mathbf{p} , we can neglect the dependence of W on q_z . Thus $\mathbf{q} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} \approx q_z$, which allows us to integrate over q_0 and q_z in Eq. (16). Using the sum rule in [22] we find,

$$\int \frac{dq_0 dq_z}{2\pi} \delta(q_0 - q_z) \frac{v^\mu v^\nu}{q_0} \rho_{\mu\nu}^{HTL}(Q) = \frac{1}{q_\perp^2} - \frac{1}{q_\perp^2 + m_D^2}, \quad (21)$$

from which we obtain,

$$\mathcal{C}' = -g^2 C_f T \int \frac{d^2 q_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{m_D^2}{q_\perp^2 (q_\perp^2 + m_D^2)} [W(\mathbf{p}) - \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}_\perp)]. \quad (22)$$

We do not explicitly indicate the dependence of W on K . Since $W(\mathbf{p}) - \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})$ vanishes smoothly as $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0$, the integral in Eq. (22) is infrared convergent. We expect $W(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})$ to decrease rapidly when $|p_\perp - q_\perp| \gg m_\infty$, as in the collisionless case, Eq. (20). Thus the

integrand in Eq. (22) is dominated by momenta of order $m_D \sim m_\infty \sim gT$, and expect the integral to remain of order g^2T .³

We now show that the present Boltzmann equation, with the collision term (22), leads to the formulation of the LPM effect of Arnold et al. [7]. We first observe that the kinetic equation that appears in [7] is for a vertex function rather than for a particle distribution. The quantity \mathbf{f}^* of [7] is related to the present W by

$$\frac{\delta W(\mathbf{p}_\perp)}{\delta A_j(Q)} \equiv -i \frac{\omega}{2p} f^{j*}(\mathbf{p}_\perp), \quad (23)$$

where $*$ denotes the complex conjugate. In terms of f ,

$$\text{Im } \Pi_{\text{ret}}^{ij}(Q) = \frac{\bar{e}^2}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty d\epsilon_p \frac{dn_f^0}{d\epsilon_p} \text{Re} \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{p}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \omega \frac{\mathbf{v}^i}{2p_z} f^{*j}, \quad (24)$$

which coincides with Eq. (2.1) of the second of Refs. [7]. Furthermore, the function \mathbf{f}^* obeys the kinetic equation we obtain by taking the functional derivative of the linearized Boltzmann equation with respect to A_j . This equation is identical to Eq. (2.2) of the second of Refs. [7], after identification of the energy difference δE with the drift term in

³ Although the electrical conductivity can be obtained as the limit of the polarization tensor as $\omega, \mathbf{k} \rightarrow 0$, we cannot directly use Eq. (22) to derive this limit. The peaking at small angles in the LPM effect is in contrast to that in transport calculations [21], such as the electrical conductivity [25, 26]. There, a similar cancellation of the small \mathbf{q}^2 contributions in the term $W(\mathbf{p}) - W(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q})$ makes the collision integral converge in the infrared, but the angular dependence of the fluctuation $W(\mathbf{p})$ induced by a uniform electric field does not constrain the momentum transfer to be soft, and we may not neglect the q_z dependence of W as in deriving Eq. (22). The collision term involved in the conductivity is proportional to $g^4T \ln(T/gT)$, where the upper cutoff in the logarithm comes from the limit of validity of the soft momentum approximation ($q \lesssim T$), while the lower one originates from screening [25]. We defer discussion of the transport solutions of the Boltzmann equation to a future publication.

our Boltzmann equation (see the discussion below Eq. (19)).

As an illustration of how the LPM effect emerges from the present Boltzmann equation, we write, following Migdal [2], a diffusion approximation for the collision term (22). This approximation is not quantitatively useful for describing quark-gluon plasmas, since, as we shall see shortly, it requires $m_\infty \gg m_D$, a condition which is not realized in quark-gluon plasmas where rather $m_\infty \lesssim m_D$ ($m_\infty^2 = g^2 T^2 C_f / 4$, and $m_D^2 = (2N_c + N_f) g^2 T^2 / 6$). However, it yields simple analytical expressions which allow us to illustrate certain of the physical points made in the preceding discussion. The diffusion approximation is derived by expanding $W(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}_\perp)$ to second order in \mathbf{q}_\perp :

$$\mathcal{C}' = g^2 C_f T \int \frac{d^2 q_\perp}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{m_D^2}{q_\perp^2 (q_\perp^2 + m_D^2)} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{q}_\perp \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{p}})^2 W(\mathbf{p}) = p^2 D \nabla_{p_\perp}^2 W(\mathbf{p}), \quad (25)$$

where the diffusion constant is $D = (g^2 C_f T m_D^2 / 8\pi p^2) \ln(q_{max}/m_D)$, and $q_{max} \sim m_\infty$. That m_∞ is the appropriate upper cutoff can be understood from the following argument. Since $W(\mathbf{p})$ decreases rapidly when $p_\perp \gg m_\infty$ (cf. Eq. (20)), we conclude that the integrand in \mathcal{C}' in Eq. (22) is approximately constant when $q_\perp \lesssim m_D$ and it behaves as $1/q_\perp^2$ for $m_D \ll q_\perp \ll m_\infty$, and as $1/q_\perp^4$ for $q_\perp \gg m_\infty$. Thus $q_\perp \simeq m_\infty$ is the appropriate upper bound for the integration over q_\perp , and the expansion of the collision term involved in the diffusion approximation may be viewed as an expansion in m_D/m_∞ . Note that the assumption $m_D \ll m_\infty$ justifies the leading log approximation used in estimating D .

The Boltzmann equation in the diffusion approximation then reads

$$\frac{i\omega}{2} (\mathbf{v}_\perp^2 + m_\infty^2/p^2) W(\mathbf{p}) + D \nabla_{v_\perp}^2 W(\mathbf{p}) = -i\omega \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{A}. \quad (26)$$

Again, by symmetry the solution is of the form $W(\mathbf{p}_\perp) = \mathbf{v}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{A} \varphi(s)/s$, where $s \equiv \mathbf{v}_\perp^2/2 \simeq (\sin^2 \theta)/2$. The equation for $\varphi(s)$ is

$$i\omega(s + s_0)\varphi(s) + 2sD\frac{d^2}{ds^2}\varphi(s) = -i\omega s, \quad (27)$$

with $s_0 \equiv m_\infty^2/2p^2$. In terms of φ , the current (14) is

$$j^i = -\bar{e}^2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{dn^0}{d\epsilon_p} \varphi(s) A^i = -\frac{\bar{e}^2}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty p^2 dp \frac{dn^0}{d\epsilon_p} \int_0^\infty ds \varphi(s) A^i, \quad (28)$$

where we use $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$, and the fact that $\varphi(s)$ is a rapidly decreasing function of s , in order to extend the range of the s -integration to $+\infty$. From Eqs. (28) and (5) (with $g_{ij} = -\delta_{ij}$) we derive

$$\text{Im} \Pi_{\text{ret}}^{ii}(Q) \approx \frac{\bar{e}^2}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dp \frac{dn_0}{dp} p^2 \Phi(\omega; p), \quad (29)$$

where $\Phi(\omega; p) \equiv \int_0^\infty ds \text{Im}\varphi(s)$; the dependence of φ on p (not indicated explicitly) comes from the diffusion constant $D \sim 1/p^2$ and $s_0 = m_\infty^2/2p^2$ in Eq. (27).

Solving Eq. (27) by iteration, we derive the solution as an expansion in powers of D , i.e., in the number of collisions. In zeroth order (no collisions), $\varphi^{(0)} = -s/(s + s_0)$, which is real and does not contribute to Φ : there is no radiation in the absence of collisions. Substituting the lowest order result into the collision term, we find the single collision contribution, $\varphi^{(1)} = 4iDss_0/(\omega(s + s_0)^4)$, which is imaginary and yields $\Phi^{(1)} = 2D/3\omega s_0$, independent of p . Note the role of the fermion mass, entering through the factor s_0 ; as $m_\infty \rightarrow 0$, $\Phi^{(1)}$ diverges – the collinear divergence discussed earlier. Using this expression for $\Phi^{(1)}$ in Eq. (29), we recover the low frequency Bethe-Heitler rate from Eq. (4):

$$\frac{dN_\gamma^{BH}}{d^4x} = \frac{\bar{e}^2 T^3}{12\pi^2} \Phi^{(1)} d\omega = C_{BH} \frac{d\omega}{\omega}, \quad C_{BH} = \frac{\bar{e}^2 g^2 C_f T^4}{72\pi^3} \frac{m_D^2}{m_\infty^2} \ln \frac{m_\infty}{m_D}. \quad (30)$$

It is easily verified that this expression agrees with that obtained from the formulae in Sec. 4.2 of Ref. [22] (in the appropriate limit, $m_\infty \gg m_D$), an *a posteriori* justification for our use of $q_{max} = m_\infty$ in Eq. (25). The emission rate is of order g^2 and falls as $1/m_\infty^2$.

Proceeding further, we find that $\Phi^{(2)} = 0$ and $\Phi^{(3)} \sim D^3/(\omega^3 s_0^5)$. The iterative solution breaks down when $\Phi^{(3)} \simeq \Phi^{(1)}$, which occurs when $\omega \lesssim D/s_0^2$. In the small ω regime, we must solve Eq. (27) more accurately. The exact solution is in fact known; since Eq. (27) is identical to Eq. (44) of Ref. [2], we exploit the analysis there write the exact solution in the form $\Phi(\omega) = (2D/3s_0\omega)\phi(\tau)$, where $\tau \equiv (s_0/4)\sqrt{\omega/D}$. The function $\phi(\tau)$ satisfies $\phi(\tau \rightarrow 0) \approx 6\tau$ and $\phi(\tau \rightarrow \infty) = 1$. Thus, when $\omega \gg D/s_0^2 = D2p^2/m_\infty^2$, $\Phi(\omega) \approx 2D/3s_0\omega$, and one recovers the Bethe-Heitler limit. On the other hand, as $\omega \rightarrow 0$, $\Phi(\omega) \sim \sqrt{D/\omega}$, and we see that the rate is suppressed by a factor $\sim \sqrt{\omega}$, the LPM effect. Then

$$\frac{dN_\gamma^{LPM}}{d^4x} = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\omega_c}} \frac{dN_\gamma^{BH}}{d^4x} = C_{BH} \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{\omega\omega_c}}, \quad (31)$$

where

$$\omega_c = \frac{\pi^3 g^2 C_f T^3 m_D^2}{162 (\ln 2)^2 m_\infty^4} \ln \left(\frac{m_\infty}{m_D} \right). \quad (32)$$

Here we obtain the particular form of the spectrum $\sim d\omega/\sqrt{\omega}$ from the diffusion approximation. However, the same form of the spectrum emerges, as we find, from a numerical solution of the Boltzmann equation in the regime, $m_\infty \approx m_D$, where the diffusion approximation is no longer valid. The diffusion approximation primarily affects the overall normalization, and has little effect on the shape of the spectrum for small photon energies.

In this Letter we have considered only the case of soft photons. More generally – and in particular for hard photons with $\omega \sim T$ – neither the energy difference δE , nor the coupling between the quarks and the applied electromagnetic field, can be approximated in a gradient expansion. However the kinematical conditions that allow one to obtain the linearized collision term from the quantum field equations still hold. The resulting Boltzmann equation takes a similar form, but with more accurate drift and Vlasov terms (see, e.g., [13]).

Authors GB and TM are grateful for the hospitality of the Aspen Center for Physics, and GB, FG and TM to the ECT* in Trento, where part of this work was carried out.

Authors GB and JPB thank the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for grants that further enabled the present research. Grants-in-Aid of the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology No. 13440067 supported TM, and No. 15740137 to Professor Tetsuo Hatsuda of University of Tokyo supported GB. This research was supported in part by U.S. NSF Grant PHY03-55014.

References

- [1] L. D. Landau and I. J. Pomeranchuk, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **92** (1953) 535; *ibid.* 735.
- [2] A. B. Migdal, Phys. Rev. **103** (1956) 1811.
- [3] M. Gyulassy and X.-N. Wang, Nucl. Phys. **B420** (1994) 583; R. Baier, Y.I. Dokshitzer, S. Peigné and D. Schiff, Phys. Lett. **B 345** (1995) 277; R. Baier, Y.I. Dokshitzer, A.H. Mueller, S. Peigné and D. Schiff, Nucl. Phys. **B 483** (1997) 291.
- [4] F. Gelis, Nucl. Phys. **A 715** (2003) 329.
- [5] J.P. Blaizot and F. Gelis, Eur. Phys. J. C **43** (2005) 375.
- [6] H. A. Bethe and W. Heitler, Proc. Roy. Soc. **A146** (1934) 83; W. Heitler, *The Quantum Theory of Radiation* (3rd edition) Oxford Univ. Press (1954); Y.-S. Tsai, Rev. Mod. Phys. **46** (1974) 815.
- [7] P. Arnold, G.D. Moore, and L.G. Yaffe, JHEP **0111** (2001) 057; JHEP **0112** (2001) 009; JHEP **0206** (2002) 030.
- [8] G. Baym and C.J. Pethick, *Landau Fermi Liquid Theory: Concepts and Applications*, J. Wiley and Sons (1991).
- [9] A. B. Migdal, Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR **105** (1955) 77.
- [10] P. Arnold, G.D. Moore and L.G. Yaffe, JHEP **0112** (2001) 009.

- [11] H.A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. D **28** (1983) 2007.
- [12] C. Gale and J.I. Kapusta, Nucl. Phys. **B 357** (1991) 65.
- [13] L. P. Kadanoff and G. Baym, *Quantum Statistical Mechanics*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc. (1962).
- [14] M. Le Bellac, *Thermal Field Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1996).
- [15] E. Braaten and R.D. Pisarski, Nucl. Phys. **B 337** (1990) 569.
- [16] J. Frenkel and J.C. Taylor, Nucl. Phys. **B 334** (1990) 199.
- [17] J.I. Kapusta, P. Lichard, D. Seibert, Phys. Rev. **D 44** (1991) 2774.
- [18] R. Baier, H. Nakkagawa, A. Niegawa, K. Redlich, Z. Phys. **53** (1992) 433.
- [19] J.P. Blaizot, E. Iancu, Phys. Rept. **359** (2002) 355.
- [20] J. P. Blaizot and E. Iancu, Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 973.
- [21] G. Baym, H. Monien, C.J. Pethick, and D.G. Ravenhall, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990) 1867.
- [22] P. Aurenche, F. Gelis, and H. Zaraket, JHEP **0205** (2002) 043.
- [23] S. Jeon, Phys. Rev. D **52** (3591) 1995.
- [24] J.P. Blaizot and E. Iancu, Nucl. Phys. **B 557** (1999) 183.
- [25] G. Baym and H. Heiselberg, Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997) 5254.
- [26] P. Arnold, G. D. Moore and L. G. Yaffe, JHEP **0011** (2000) 001.