

# Light quark mass ratio from Dalitz plot of $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ decay

B.V. Martemyanov and V.S. Sopov  
*Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics,  
 B. Chermushkinskaya 25, 117259 Moscow, Russia*

High statistics Dalitz-plot distribution of  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  decay obtained recently by KLOE collaboration [1] is fitted to the results of corresponding theoretical calculations in Chiral Perturbation Theory (ChPT) with unitarity corrections taken into account. The quark mass ratio  $Q = \sqrt{(m_s^2 - (m_d + m_u)^2/4)/(m_d^2 - m_u^2)}$  can be obtained from this analysis. We get  $Q = 22.8 \pm 0.4$  which differs from the value  $Q_{DT} = 24.2$  that follows from Dashen's theorem and agrees with recently calculated electromagnetic kaon mass difference.

PACS numbers: 13.60.Le

The possibility to extract light quark mass difference from  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  decay is known for a long time [2]. In ChPT the decay width  $\Gamma$  depends on quark mass ratios and theoretically calculable factor  $\bar{\Gamma}$  [3]:

$$\Gamma = \left(\frac{Q_{DT}}{Q}\right)^4 \bar{\Gamma}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$Q^{-2} = \frac{m_d^2 - m_u^2}{m_s^2 - \hat{m}^2}, \quad \hat{m} = \frac{m_d + m_u}{2}, \quad (2)$$

$m_u, m_d, m_s$  - are up, down and strange quark masses,

$$Q_{DT}^{-2} = \frac{((m_{K^0}^2 - m_{K^+}^2) - (m_{\pi^0}^2 - m_{\pi^+}^2)) m_{\pi^0}^2}{(m_K^2 - m_{\pi^0}^2) m_K^2} = (24.2)^{-2}, \quad (3)$$

with  $m_K^2 = (m_{K^+}^2 + m_{K^0}^2 - m_{\pi^+}^2 + m_{\pi^0}^2)/2$ .

Note that  $Q_{DT} = Q$  if electromagnetic mass differences of kaons and pions are equal to each other as Dashen's theorem states [4]. Experimental (Particle Data Group) value  $\Gamma = 291 \pm 21$  eV [5] is far from one-loop ChPT value  $\bar{\Gamma} = 167 \pm 50$  eV [3] and from the values  $\bar{\Gamma} = 209 \pm 20$  eV [6] and  $\bar{\Gamma} = 219 \pm 22$  eV [7] obtained with higher order corrections taken into account by dispersion method. In [6] the subtraction polynomial was taken from the decomposition of one-loop order amplitude and had therefore uncertainties connected to higher orders ChPT corrections. These uncertainties were further fixed [8] by fitting the experimental data [9] on Dalitz-plot distribution in the decay considered:  $\bar{\Gamma} = 213_{-12}^{+3}$  eV. There was a conjecture in [8] that new experimental data on Dalitz-plot distribution will give slightly different value of  $\bar{\Gamma}$ . Now these new experimental data (contradicting to the old ones [9]) are available [1]. In what follows we will use them to get new value of  $\bar{\Gamma}$  and new value of quark mass ratio  $Q$ , as a consequence.

We use the method of work [8] and remind it here for completeness. In order to simulate the experimental Dalitz-plot distribution we take it in a form

$$1 + ay + by^2 + cy^3 + dx^2 \quad (4)$$

with  $a = -1.075 \pm 0.008$ ,  $b = 0.118 \pm 0.009$ ,  $c = 0.13 \pm 0.02$ ,  $d = 0.049 \pm 0.008$  [1] and  $y, x$  defined in a standart way

$$y = \frac{3T_0}{Q} - 1, \quad x = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{Q} (T_+ - T_-), \quad Q = T_+ + T_- + T_0,$$

$T_+, T_-, T_0$  are the kinetic energies of pions in the rest frame  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  decay. We divide the Dalitz plot in  $10 \times 10$  bins ( $x \times y$ ) that have equal number of events for the distribution considered. Then the number of events in each bin ( $n$ ) is simulated by Gaussian distribution with variance equal to  $n$ . We used  $n = 10000$  to get the full statistics  $N = 100n = 1000000$  like in the experiment [1]. From theoretical point of view the amplitude of  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$  decay have an approximate solution from Eq.(5.28) of [6]. It contains the subtraction polinomial

$$P(s) = \alpha + \beta s_a + \gamma s_a^2 + \delta (s_b - s_c)^2, \quad (5)$$

where  $s_a, s_b$  and  $s_c$  are invariant masses squared of  $\pi^+\pi^-, \pi^+\pi^0$  and  $\pi^-\pi^0$  pairs, respectively. For the values of parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\delta$  within the regions

$$\alpha = -1.28 \pm 0.14, \quad \beta = 21.81 \pm 1.52 \text{ GeV}^{-2} \\ \gamma = 4.09 \pm 3.18 \text{ GeV}^{-4}, \quad \delta = 4.19 \pm 1.08 \text{ GeV}^{-4} \quad (6)$$

(the case of zero subtraction points [6]) the "Minuit" fit of above simulated experimental Dalitz-plot distribution has terminated on the values

$$\alpha_0 = -1.14, \quad \beta_0 = 23.33 \text{ GeV}^{-2} \\ \gamma_0 = 1.03q \text{ GeV}^{-4}, \quad \delta_0 = 5.27 \text{ GeV}^{-4} \quad (7)$$

with  $\chi^2/Nd.o.f. = 152/(100 - 4)$ .

Three from four parameters are at the boundary of allowed region (6). This probably means that the guess [6] of the size of allowed region should be changed. Equally possible is the fit with the scaled values of parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\delta$  because the normalization factor of the amplitude is not defined by the Dalitz-plot distribution. In our case the scaling of parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  and  $\delta$  puts them outside the allowed region (6) and no freedom in the scaling (no error in  $\bar{\Gamma}$ ) is possible. This way we get  $\bar{\Gamma} = 229$  eV what corresponds according to eqs. (1)-(3)

to the light quark mass ratio  $Q = 22.8 \pm 0.4$ . The errors here are due to the errors in the experimental value of the width  $\Gamma$ . So, we conclude, high statistics Dalitz-plot distribution gives the value of light quark mass ratio  $Q$  slightly lower than that from the assumption of equality of kaon and pion electromagnetic mass differences ( $Q_{DT} = 24.2$ ). This is in agreement with calculations of electromagnetic mass differences for pions and

kaons [10, 11] which find large violations to Dashen's theorem ( $Q = 22.0 \pm 0.6$ ). Our result agrees also very well with that of works [6] and [7], where the values  $Q = 22.4 \pm 0.9$  and  $Q = 22.7 \pm 0.8$  were obtained, correspondingly.

This work was partially supported by RFBR grant No.02-02-16957.

- 
- [1] B. Di Micco *et al* [KLOE collaboration], Light Meson Spectroscopy with the Kloe Experiment, hep-ex/0410072.
- [2] H.Leutwyler, hep-ph/9609467.
- [3] J.Gasser and H.Leutwyler, Nucl.Phys. **B250**, 539 (1985).
- [4] R. Dashen, Phys. Rev.**183**, 1245 (1969)
- [5] S. Eidelman *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B592**, 1 (2004).
- [6] J.Kambor, C.Wiesendanger and D.Wyler, Nucl.Phys. **B465**, 215 (1996).
- [7] A.V.Anisovich and H.Leutwyler, Phys.Lett. **B375**, 335 (1996).
- [8] B.V. Martemyanov and V.S. Sopov, Phys.Atom.Nucl.**67**, 424 (2004).
- [9] M.Gormley *et al.*, Phys.Rev. **D2**, 501 (1970).
- [10] J. Donoghue and A. Perez, Phys. Rev. **D55**, 7075 (1997).
- [11] J. Bijnens and J. Prades, Nucl. Phys. **B490**,239 (1997).