

Dark Matter at Colliders: a Model-Independent Approach

Andreas Birkedal^{1,2}, Konstantin Matchev^{1,2}, and Maxim Perelstein¹

¹ *Institute for High-Energy Phenomenology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853*

² *Physics Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611*

Assuming that cosmological dark matter consists of weakly interacting massive particles, we use the recent precise measurement of cosmological parameters to predict the guaranteed rates of production of such particles in association with soft photons or jets at accelerators. Our approach is based on general physical principles such as detailed balancing and soft factorization. It leads to predictions that are valid across a broad range of models containing WIMPs, including supersymmetry, universal extra dimensions, and many others. We also discuss the discovery prospects for the predicted experimental signatures.

Introduction — A variety of astrophysical and cosmological observations indicate that a substantial fraction (perhaps as much as 30%) of the energy density in the Universe is due to non-relativistic, non-baryonic, non-luminous matter. The microscopic nature of this “dark” matter is at present unknown. Perhaps the most attractive explanation is provided by the “*WIMP hypothesis*”: dark matter is assumed to consist of hypothetical stable particles with masses around the scale of electroweak symmetry breaking, in the 10 GeV – 1 TeV range, whose interactions with other elementary particles are of the strength and range similar to the familiar weak interactions of the Standard Model. Such weakly interacting massive particles (WIMPs) naturally have a relic abundance of the correct order of magnitude to account for the observed dark matter, making them appealing from the theoretical point of view. Moreover, many extensions of the Standard Model contain particles which can be identified as WIMP dark matter candidates. Examples include supersymmetric models [1], models with “universal” extra dimensions [2], little Higgs theories [3], etc.

Particle accelerators of the next generation, such as the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN and the proposed linear electron-positron collider, will have enough energy to produce WIMPs. Once produced, WIMPs escape the detector without interactions, leading to an apparent energy imbalance, or “missing energy” signature. In this letter, we will use the known cosmological abundance of WIMPs to predict the rate of such events at future colliders. In sharp contrast to all existing studies, we will do so without making *any* assumptions about the details of the particle physics model responsible for the WIMP: our results are equally valid in all theories listed in the previous paragraph, as well as in any other model containing WIMPs. In our approach, all model uncertainties are reduced to a single continuous parameter with a transparent physical meaning, plus a small number of discrete choices such as the spin of the WIMP.

WIMP Annihilation Cross Sections from Cosmology — We assume that the observed dark matter is entirely due to a single WIMP particle, χ . This particle carries a new conserved quantum number which prevents it from

decaying into lighter Standard Model particles, making it stable. At the same time, two WIMPs can annihilate into a pair of Standard Model particles [4]:

$$\chi + \chi \rightarrow X_i + \bar{X}_i, \quad (1)$$

where $X_i = l, q, g, \dots$ can be any Standard Model particle. We assume that (1) is the only process important for the determination of the χ relic abundance; the possibility that coannihilations between χ 's and other exotic particles affect this determination will be considered in a subsequent publication [5]. The present dark matter density depends on the cross sections of reactions (1) in the limit when the colliding χ particles are non-relativistic. If v is the relative velocity of two χ 's, each cross section can be expanded as [6]

$$\sigma_i v = \sum_{J=0}^{\infty} \sigma_i^{(J)} v^{2J}, \quad (2)$$

where $\sigma^{(0)}$ is the partial cross section corresponding to s -wave annihilation, $\sigma^{(1)}$ to p -wave, etc. It is clear that at low v , the lowest non-vanishing partial cross section will dominate. We define

$$\sigma_{\text{an}} = \sum_i \sigma_i^{(J_0)}, \quad (3)$$

where J_0 is the angular momentum of the dominant partial wave contributing to χ annihilation in a given model, and the sum only runs over the final states that give a non-vanishing contribution at this order in J . For most of this letter, we will restrict our analysis to two cases: $J_0 = 0$ and $J_0 = 1$. We will refer to WIMPs in each case as “ s -annihilators” and “ p -annihilators”, respectively. (The extension of our analysis to higher J_0 is trivial but rather unmotivated since all known models predict either s -wave or p -wave annihilation.)

The present cosmological abundance of WIMPs is mainly determined by the values of J_0 and σ_{an} , with only a weak dependence on other parameters such as the WIMP mass M_χ , its spin S_χ , etc. It is therefore these parameters that are strongly constrained by cosmological observations. In Figure 1, we show the cosmological




FIG. 1: Values of the quantity σ_{an} defined in (3) allowed at 2σ level as a function of the WIMP mass. The lower (upper) band is for models where s -wave (p -wave) annihilation dominates.

constraint on σ_{an} , as a function of M_χ , for s - and p -annihilators and three values of the WIMP spin, $S_\chi = 0, 1/2, 1$. We have used the WMAP value of the present dark matter abundance, $\Omega_{\text{dm}} h^2 = 0.112 \pm 0.009$ [7]. The constraint on σ_{an} is surprisingly robust, as the logarithmic dependence on M_χ is offset by the change in the effective number of degrees of freedom at different freeze-out temperatures.

Detailed Balancing and WIMP Production at Colliders — The cross sections of the reaction (1) and its inverse are related by the detailed balancing equation [8]:

$$\frac{\sigma(\chi + \chi \rightarrow X_i + \bar{X}_i)}{\sigma(X_i + \bar{X}_i \rightarrow \chi + \chi)} = 2 \frac{v_\chi^2 (2S_\chi + 1)^2}{v_X^2 (2S_X + 1)^2}, \quad (4)$$

where the cross sections are averaged over spins but not other quantum numbers such as color. For each Standard Model particle X_i , we define the “annihilation fraction” κ_i as

$$\kappa_i = \frac{\sigma_i^{(J_0)}}{\sigma_{\text{an}}}. \quad (5)$$

Note that $\sum \kappa_i = 1$. Using Eqs. (4) and (5), we obtain the following expression for the production of non-relativistic χ pairs in $X_i \bar{X}_i$ collisions

$$\sigma(X_i \bar{X}_i \rightarrow 2\chi) = 2^{2(J_0-1)} \kappa_i \sigma_{\text{an}} \frac{(2S_\chi + 1)^2}{(2S_X + 1)^2} \left(1 - \frac{4M_\chi^2}{s}\right)^{1/2+J_0}, \quad (6)$$

where we have assumed that the initial state particles are relativistic ($M_\chi \ll M_X$). This formula is only valid at center of mass energies slightly above the 2χ threshold,

$v = 2v_\chi = \sqrt{1 - 4M_\chi^2/s} \ll 1$, and receives corrections of order v^2 . Taking $X_i = q$ or g (or even W, Z) for a hadron collider or $X_i = e$ for an electron-positron machine, Eq. (6) provides a prediction of the WIMP production rate. The model-dependence of this prediction is contained in a small number of parameters with a clear physical meaning: the mass M_χ and the spin S_χ of the WIMP, the value of J_0 , and the annihilation fraction κ_i for the given initial state. Crucially, the overall scale for this prediction, the quantity σ_{an} , is provided by cosmology, as shown in Fig. 1.

Tagging and Soft Factorization — Unfortunately, the 2χ production process whose cross section we predicted is not measurable at colliders. Much like Standard Model neutrinos, WIMPs cannot be directly observed due to the weakness of their interactions with matter. At least one detectable particle is required for the event to pass the triggers and be recorded on tape. In order to retain the model-independence of our analysis, we will study the processes in which two WIMPs are produced in association with a photon or a gluon radiated from the known initial state.

We begin with the case of $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\chi + \gamma$. For general kinematics, there is no simple relation between the rate of this process and that of $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\chi$ predicted by Eq. (6). However, if the photon is sufficiently soft, the cross section factorizes according to the well known formula [9]

$$\frac{d\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\chi + \gamma)}{dE_\gamma d\cos\theta} = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \frac{1}{E_\gamma} \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta} \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\chi) \quad (7)$$

and can be predicted using Eq. (6). Here E_γ is the energy of the emitted soft photon, and θ is the emission angle. Explicitly, we find

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_\gamma d\cos\theta}(e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\chi + \gamma) = \frac{\alpha \kappa_e \sigma_{\text{an}}}{8\pi} \frac{1}{E_\gamma} \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta} 2^{2J_0} (2S_\chi + 1)^2 \left(1 - \frac{4M_\chi^2}{s}\right)^{1/2+J_0}. \quad (8)$$

This formula is one of the main results of this letter.

What is the domain of validity of our predictions? As we already discussed, the predictions receive corrections of order v^2 . In addition, the accuracy of soft factorization is typically determined by the ratio E_γ/\sqrt{s} . In our case, however, there are larger corrections to Eq. (7) due to the fact that $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\chi)$ changes rapidly close to the threshold. For example, if $\sqrt{s} = 2M_\chi + \delta$, the emitted photon cannot have energy above δ — a fact not reflected in Eq. (7). Thus, we expect our predictions to be valid in the following kinematic domain:

$$E_\gamma \ll \sqrt{s} - 2M_\chi \ll M_\chi. \quad (9)$$

In Figure 2, we show a comparison of the cross sections predicted by Eq. (8) to the actual cross sections computed within two specific models using the CompHEP




FIG. 2: Comparison between the actual rate of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\chi + \gamma$ in two explicit models defined in the text and the rate predicted by Eq. (8).

package [10]. The first model (labelled ‘SUSY’ on the plot) is the canonical mSUGRA supersymmetric model, with parameters corresponding to the benchmark point B’ defined in Ref. [11]. In this model, the neutralino WIMPs are p -annihilators, and we use Eq. (8) with $J_0 = 1$. The second model (labelled ‘UED’) is a model with one universal extra dimension of radius $R^{-1} = 500$ GeV and cutoff scale $\Lambda = 20R^{-1}$ [12]. This model allows for s -wave annihilation of WIMPs. In both cases, we change v_χ by varying \sqrt{s} , and integrate the cross section with the following cuts: $|\cos\theta| \leq 0.95$, $E_\gamma^{\min} = 0.1$ GeV, $E_\gamma^{\max} = 1$ GeV. The plots illustrate that the prediction (8) works rather well in the region indicated in (9), and becomes less accurate for low v , where soft factorization breaks down, and for high v , where subleading terms in the partial wave expansion become important.

Formulas analogous to (8) can be obtained for processes responsible for WIMP production at a hadron collider. In this case, one can use either a soft jet or a soft photon to detect the missing E_T . If κ_q is the annihilation fraction (summed over colors) for a quark of a single flavor, we obtain the following color-averaged cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_g d\cos\theta}(q\bar{q} \rightarrow 2\chi + g) = \frac{\alpha_s \kappa_q \sigma_{\text{an}}}{54\pi} \frac{1}{E_g} \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta} 2^{2J_0} (2S_\chi + 1)^2 \left(1 - \frac{4M_\chi^2}{\hat{s}}\right)^{1/2+J_0}. \quad (10)$$

This formula is valid in the parton center-of-mass frame, and $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$ is the total energy of the collision in this frame. The formula for soft photon emission in $q\bar{q}$ collisions is identical to (8), except $\kappa_e \rightarrow \kappa_q$, $s \rightarrow \hat{s}$, and an additional factor of $1/9$ is necessary to account for color averaging. For soft gluon emission in events with two gluons in the

initial state, we obtain

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE_g d\cos\theta}(gg \rightarrow 2\chi + g) = \frac{3\alpha_s \kappa_g \sigma_{\text{an}}}{256\pi} \frac{1}{E_g} \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta} 2^{2J_0} (2S_\chi + 1)^2 \left(1 - \frac{4M_\chi^2}{\hat{s}}\right)^{1/2+J_0}. \quad (11)$$

We note that in a typical model the gluon coupling to WIMPs first appears at one-loop level due to quark loops, so that $\kappa_g \ll \kappa_q$.

Experimental Searches for WIMPs — Eq. (8) provides an unambiguous prediction for the rate of events with a single photon and missing energy (or, more precisely, the excess of this rate over the Standard Model background) at electron-positron colliders. The overall scale of the predicted cross section, σ_{an} , is comparable with other electroweak cross sections. Most of the model dependence is contained in the unknown annihilation fraction κ_e . In most realistic models, this fraction is sizeable: for example, in the bulk of mSUGRA parameter space, $\kappa_e \approx 0.25$; in a typical Kaluza-Klein dark matter model, $\kappa_e \approx 0.2$. Thus, it is reasonable to expect a potentially measurable excess of γ +missing E event rates once the 2χ production threshold is crossed.

Unfortunately, the kinematic range in which the prediction (8) is valid is rather challenging from the experimental point of view, due to the required softness of the photon. This limits the ability of the experiments to fully utilize the power of the model-independent approach developed here. Still, even with a realistic lower cut on E_γ , Eq. (8) can be used to provide a model-independent estimate of the expected rates.

As an example, consider an e^+e^- experiment with $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV, $E_\gamma^{\min} = 10$ GeV, and integrated luminosity of 2 fb^{-1} . (These parameters are similar to those of the combined LEP 2 experiments.) Requiring that both expansion parameters controlling the accuracy of our approximations, $E_\gamma/(\sqrt{s} - 2M_\chi)$ and v^2 , be less than $1/2$, implies that an analysis based on Eq. (8) is valid at roughly the 50% level for WIMP masses between 70 and 90 GeV. Choosing $M_\chi = 75$ GeV as a sample point, and using the central values for σ_{an} from Fig. 1, we predict the integrated cross section (with $|\cos\theta| \leq 0.96$ and $E_\gamma^{\max} = 12.5$ GeV) of $1.4\kappa_e \text{ fb}$ for s -annihilators of spin 1 (such as the Kaluza-Klein photons of [2]), and $8.8\kappa_e \text{ fb}$ for p -annihilators of spin $1/2$ (such as the neutralinos of the MSSM [1]). The main irreducible Standard Model background process, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$, has a cross section of 155 fb with the same cuts. We find that such an experiment would not be sensitive enough to discover s -annihilators, and only marginally sensitive (at $1\text{-}\sigma$ level, neglecting systematic uncertainties) in the case of p -annihilators. The principal limitation of the analysis is insufficient statistics, which is due to the small overlap between the region of applicability of our theoretical approximations and the experimentally accessible photon energies.




FIG. 3: Center of mass energy and luminosity of an electron-positron collider required for the discovery of WIMPs. The assumptions of this analysis are explained in the text.

The proposed higher-energy linear electron-positron collider, on the other hand, should be able to perform the model-independent search for WIMPs over a broad range of masses. For such a search, the collision energy \sqrt{s} should be above, but not very far from, the 2χ production threshold; we estimate that Eq. (8) is accurate at the 30% level for $M_\chi \gtrsim 0.42\sqrt{s}$. Moreover, the search should only be using photons with energies $E_\gamma \lesssim \sqrt{s}/2 - M_\chi$, since the soft factorization approximation breaks down for harder photons. Both the range of masses that can be explored (in a model-independent manner) at each \sqrt{s} , and the statistical significance of such a search, are maximized by minimizing the lower E_γ cut. Therefore, the success of the search will be ultimately limited by the ability to control instrumental backgrounds at small E_γ .

To get a rough idea of the integrated luminosity required for the model-independent WIMP search at a linear collider, we have chosen the following parameters: $\sqrt{s}/2 = 1.15M_\chi$, $E_\gamma^{\min} = 10$ GeV, $E_\gamma^{\max} = 0.075M_\chi$, $\kappa_e = 1$. Figure 3 shows the required luminosity as a function of the center of mass energy of the collider in the case of spin-1/2 p -annihilators and in the case of spin-1 s -annihilators. The significance is calculated according to $S = N_{\text{sig}}/\sqrt{N_{\text{bg}}}$, where N_{bg} is the number of events due to the Standard Model process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}\gamma$. Systematic uncertainties have been neglected. We have assumed unpolarized electron and positron beams; beam polarization can be used to suppress the background by reducing the contribution of the t channel W -exchange diagram, thus relaxing the luminosity requirement. On the other hand, it should be kept in mind that the required luminosity is inversely proportional to the square of the annihilation fraction κ_e .

A model-independent search for WIMPs can also be

performed at a hadron collider, using either γ +missing E_T or jet+missing E_T signatures. (In principle, Z +missing E_T signature can also be used; however, the soft- Z approximation is only applicable for extremely high M_χ , outside of the interesting range.) Tagging on a very soft jet or photon is again required, and the search is likely to be challenging due to severe backgrounds. A more detailed analysis of its feasibility will be presented in [5].

Discussion — All existing studies discuss the prospects for discovery of WIMPs at colliders within a specific model, fixing the model parameters to reflect the observed dark matter abundance. Their interpretation is hindered by the large number of theoretical assumptions made about the details of particle physics at the TeV scale. For example, supersymmetric models often lead to high rates of events with missing E_T at the LHC due to production of strongly-coupled gluinos and squarks, whose decay chains necessarily involve neutralinos. It is possible, and indeed likely, that such high rates will be observed. However, this is by no means guaranteed by the WIMP hypothesis itself. In contrast, the rates predicted here are guaranteed (up to the unknown annihilation fraction) once the WIMP hypothesis is accepted. Therefore, the signatures we have discussed provide a unique opportunity to directly test the WIMP hypothesis at high-energy colliders. Even though searching for them may be challenging experimentally, we believe that this direction is well worth pursuing.

It should be emphasized that while our model-independent approach is only valid in the kinematic region specified in Eq. (9), experimental searches for the reactions discussed here can also be carried out without such kinematic restrictions. The WIMP hypothesis in effect guarantees that the rates are non-zero, as long as the relevant annihilation fraction does not vanish. While the interpretation of a negative result of such a search would be model-dependent, a positive result would provide a striking evidence for the validity of the WIMP hypothesis.

Conclusions — We have developed a robust, model-independent approach to computing the rates of WIMP production in particle collisions. Using the cosmological measurement of the present dark matter abundance, we have obtained detailed predictions for the rates of processes relevant for WIMP searches at particle colliders. Our study highlights the direct connection between cosmology and the physics that may be explored by the next generation of collider-based experiments.

Acknowledgments — This work is supported by the NSF under grant PHY-9513717. K.M. is supported in part by the US DoE under grant DE-FG02-97ER41029.

-
- [1] G. Jungman, M. Kamionkowski and K. Griest, Phys. Rept. **267**, 195 (1996) [hep-ph/9506380].
- [2] G. Servant and T. Tait, Nucl. Phys. B **650**, 391 (2003) [hep-ph/0206071]; H. C. Cheng, J. Feng and K. Matchev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 211301 (2002) [hep-ph/0207125].
- [3] A. Birkedal and J. Wacker, hep-ph/0306161, to appear in Phys. Rev. D
- [4] We assume that χ and $\bar{\chi}$ are identical; the only modification required to lift this assumption is the insertion of appropriate factors of two to undo the identical-particle factors implicit in our formulas.
- [5] A. Birkedal, K. Matchev and M. Perelstein, in preparation.
- [6] The expansion fails in the presence of nearby thresholds or s -channel poles, see K. Griest and D. Seckel, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 3191 (1991). However neither of these cases is generic, as they require some fine-tuning of the masses.
- [7] D. N. Spergel *et al.*, Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148**, 175 (2003) [astro-ph/0302209].
- [8] W. Frazer, “*Elementary Particles*” (Prentice-Hall, 1966).
- [9] F. E. Low, Phys. Rev. **110**, 974 (1958).
- [10] A. Pukhov *et al.*, hep-ph/9908288.
- [11] M. Battaglia *et al.*, hep-ph/0306219.
- [12] H. C. Cheng, K. T. Matchev and M. Schmaltz, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 056006 (2002) [hep-ph/0205314].