

Exotic baryons with charm number ± 1 from Skyrme model

Bin Wu

Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Bo-Qiang Ma*

CCAST (World Laboratory), P.O. Box 8730, Beijing 100080, China

Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

We illustrate the exotic $SU(3)$ baryon sub-multiplets in the $SU(4)$ baryon multiplets predicted from the flavor $SU(4)$ collective-coordinate quantization, and investigate the exotic states with charm number $C = \pm 1$ up to leading order of $1/N_c$ under chiral $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ symmetry and the heavy quark limit from bound state approach. We find that there exist the $\overline{15}$ -plets and 24-plets with $C = 1$ and the $\overline{6}$ -plets, 15-plets and $15'$ -plets with $C = -1$ bounded in this approach, qualitatively consistent with predictions from the flavor $SU(4)$ collective-coordinate quantization. By fitting one unique parameter of leading $SU(3)$ flavor symmetry breaking term with the mass difference between Ξ_c and Σ_c and up to $O(m_Q^0 N_c^{-1})$, we give all the average masses of baryons in $\overline{6}$ -plet and 15-plet. Several general relations of these masses without any parameter are also introduced.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Mk; 12.39.Dc; 12.40.Yx; 14.20.Lq

I. INTRODUCTION

Skyrme's soliton picture of nucleons [1] has been successfully extended to the case of three light flavors of quarks by directly generalizing collective-coordinate method from $SU(2)$ to the $SU(3)$ case [2]. And there is an alternative approach that hyperons are treated as bound states of solitons and K mesons by Callan and Klebanov (CK) [3]. CK method was first suggested to be applicable to charmed or bottomed baryons in [4]. And Walliser [5] extended the collective-coordinate method in the flavor $SU(3)$ Skyrme model to the general $SU(N_f)$ case. However, because the mass of the heavy flavor quarks $m_{c,b,t}$ is larger than $\Lambda_{QCD} (\sim 200 \text{ MeV})$ in contrast with that of the light flavors $m_{u,d,s}$, the physical world respects the approximate light flavor $SU(3)$ chiral symmetry more reliably. A physical system involving both light flavors (u, d, s) and heavy flavors (c, b) has the heavy quark flavor symmetry and the heavy quark spin symmetry in the heavy quark limit ($m_Q \rightarrow \infty$), as well as the chiral symmetry for the light quark system, *i.e.*, the dynamics of the system is unchanged under the exchange of heavy quark flavors and under arbitrary transformations on the spin of the heavy quarks. In this limit, the spin of heavy quark \mathbf{S}_Q is conserved as well as the total angular momentum \mathbf{J} , thus the spin of light degrees of freedom $\mathbf{S}_l = \mathbf{J} - \mathbf{S}_Q$ is also conserved. Combined with these symmetries, the CK approach has been successfully extended to describe the static properties of the heavy baryons with one charm or bottom quark [6, 7, 8, 9], and




FIG. 1: The exotic pentaquark states in the $SU(4)$ $\overline{60}$ -plet

also been used to chiral $SU(3)$ case [10].

Both the flavor $SU(4)$ collective-coordinate quantization and the bound state approaches predicted the existence of charmed baryon multiplets with exotic baryons. From the $SU(N_f)$ symmetric collective Hamiltonian [5], we can see that the $\overline{60}$ -plet with spin $S=1/2$ and the 140-plets with $S=(3/2, 1/2)$ are the lightest $SU(4)$ baryon multiplets next to the $SU(4)$ 20-plet with spin $1/2$ and

*Corresponding author; Electronic address: mabq@phy.pku.edu.cn

20'-plet with spin 3/2 [11]. We illustrate the weights of the $SU(4)$ representations $\overline{60} = (0, 2, 1)$ and $140 = (2, 1, 1)$ in Figs. 1 and 2, where the minimal quark contents of the exotic states are also suggested. In the $SU(4)$ $\overline{60}$ -plet, there exists a light flavor $SU(3)$ baryon multiplet $\overline{15}$ -plet with $C = 1$ and $S = 1/2$ and a $\overline{6}$ -plet with $C = -1$ and $S = 1/2$, while in the $SU(4)$ 140-plets, there are $\overline{15}$ -plets with $C = 1$ and $S = (3/2, 1/2)$, 24-plets with $C = 1$ and $S = (3/2, 1/2)$ and 15-plets with $C = -1$ and $S = (3/2, 1/2)$. These $SU(3)$ baryon multiplets all contain exotic states with $C = \pm 1$. Bound state approaches also predicted the existence of heavy anti-quark states with minimal five-quark configurations $uudd\bar{c}$ and $uudd\bar{b}$ in the quark language [12, 13].

The main purpose of this paper is to study the exotic states with charm number $C = \pm 1$ from bound state approach in three light flavor case under chiral $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ symmetry and the heavy quark limit. There are two approaches to describe heavy baryons as bound states of solitons and heavy mesons. In [6, 7], solitons are first quantized to produce light baryon states. Then, heavy baryons are constructed as bound states of these light baryons and heavy mesons with explicit spin and isospin. This is different from the approach in [8, 9], where heavy-meson-soliton bound states are first found

II. THE EFFECTIVE LAGRANGIAN

We first introduce the effective Lagrangian which respects both chiral symmetry of the light flavors and heavy flavor and spin symmetry. The $SU(3)$ symmetric action for the light flavor system is of the form

$$I_l = \frac{f_\pi^2}{4} \int d^4x \text{Tr}(\partial_\mu \Sigma \partial_\mu \Sigma^\dagger) + \frac{1}{32e^2} \int d^4x \text{Tr}[\partial_\mu \Sigma \Sigma^\dagger, \partial_\nu \Sigma \Sigma^\dagger]^2 + N_c \Gamma \equiv \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_l, \quad (1)$$

where $f_\pi \approx 93$ MeV is the observed pion decay constant; the second term is the so-called Skyrme quartic term, which contains the dimensionless parameter e to stabilize the solitons; Γ is the Wess-Zumino-Witten term; the chiral fields $\Sigma(x)$ are elements of $SU(3)$.

The heavy meson field for the ground state $Q\bar{q}_a$ (0^- and 1^-) mesons can be represented by a 4×4 matrix field H_a [14]

$$H_a = \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} [P_{a\mu}^* \gamma^\mu - P_a \gamma_5], \quad (2)$$

where P_a and $P_{a\mu}^*$ represent the pseudoscalar (0^-) and the vector (1^-) meson fields respectively, which annihilate the $s_l = 1/2$ meson multiplet and move with a four

and then quantized by the collective coordinate method to give baryon states with heavy quarks. In this paper, we investigate exotic states with one anti-quark (\bar{c}) as well as those with one heavy quark (c) and compare the results with those in Figs. 1 and 2, predicted from the flavor $SU(4)$ collective-coordinate quantization, and give the mass spectra of the $\overline{6}$ -plet and 15-plet.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we give both the Lagrangian of a physical system only involved with heavy mesons containing one flavor of heavy quark and that with their anti-particles. Then, in Sec. III, we briefly review the approach in [6] under chiral $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ symmetry and the heavy quark limit, and generalize it to describe baryons with one heavy anti-quark (\bar{c}). After that, we study the exotic states with $C = \pm 1$ by this approach, and calculate the average masses of the $\Theta_c^0(uudd\bar{c})$ and $\Theta_c^*(uddd\bar{c}, uudd\bar{c}, uuud\bar{c})$ states, which belong to the $\overline{6}$ -plets with $S_l = 1$ and 15-plet with $S_l = 1$ in Figs. 1 and 2 respectively. In Sec. V, we quantize the chiral $SU(3)$ symmetric effective Lagrangian and give the mass spectra of the $\overline{6}$ -plet and 15-plet baryons. And in Sec. VI, we compare the results with those in Figs. 1 and 2 and give our conclusion.

velocity v_μ . However, P_a and $P_{a\mu}^*$ do not create the corresponding antiparticles by the particle projector $\frac{1+\not{v}}{2}$ in the heavy quark limit, thus, a new field \tilde{H}_a is introduced to describe the antiparticles of the heavy mesons $q_a\bar{Q}$ [13]

$$\tilde{H}_a = [\tilde{P}_{a\mu}^* \gamma^\mu - \tilde{P}_a \gamma_5] \frac{1-\not{v}}{2}, \quad (3)$$

where \tilde{P}_a and $\tilde{P}_{a\mu}^*$ annihilate the antiparticles of the $s_l = 1/2$ meson multiplet and move with a four velocity v_μ . The fields H_a and \tilde{H}_a transform as a $(1/2, 1/2)$ representation of $S_Q \otimes S_l$ and $S_l \otimes S_Q$ respectively. Under $SU(2)_Q \otimes SU(2)_l$, $H_a(x)$ and \tilde{H}_a transform as

$$H_a \rightarrow S_Q H_a S_l^\dagger, \quad (4)$$

$$\tilde{H}_a \rightarrow S_l \tilde{H}_a S_Q^\dagger, \quad (5)$$

where $S_Q \in SU(2)_Q$ and $S_l \in SU(2)_l$. Similarly, under the isospin transformation, $I_H \in SU(2)_I$, we have

$$H_a \rightarrow H_b I_{Hba}^\dagger, \quad (6)$$

$$\tilde{H}_a \rightarrow I_{Hab} \tilde{H}_b, \quad (7)$$

For considering the couplings of heavy mesons to the




FIG. 2: The exotic pentaquark states in the $SU(4)$ 140-plet

pseudo-Goldstone bosons, it is convenient to introduce

$$\xi = \sqrt{\Sigma}, \quad (8)$$

which under the $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ chiral symmetry transforms as

$$\xi \rightarrow L\xi U^\dagger = U\xi R^\dagger, \quad (9)$$

where U is a function of L , R and the chiral field. Then, under chiral symmetry, H_a and \tilde{H}_a transform as

$$H_a \rightarrow H_b U_{ba}^\dagger, \quad (10)$$

$$\tilde{H}_a \rightarrow U_{ab} \tilde{H}_b. \quad (11)$$

By including allowed terms with one derivation for the heavy-meson-light-meson interaction, the Lagrangians which respect both chiral symmetry and heavy quark spin and flavor symmetry are of the form [13, 14]

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_l - iv_\mu \text{Tr}(D^\mu H \bar{H}) + g \text{Tr}(H \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 A_\mu \bar{H}), \quad (12)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}_l - iv_\mu \text{Tr}(\tilde{H} D^\mu \tilde{H}) + g \text{Tr}(\tilde{H} \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 A_\mu \tilde{H}), \quad (13)$$

with

$$D_\mu H = H(\overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu + V_\mu^\dagger) = H(\overleftarrow{\partial}_\mu - V_\mu), \quad (14)$$

$$D_\mu \tilde{H} = (\partial_\mu + V_\mu) \tilde{H}, \quad (15)$$

$$\overline{H}^a = \gamma^0 H_a^\dagger \gamma^0, \quad \overline{\tilde{H}}^a = \gamma^0 \tilde{H}_a^\dagger \gamma^0, \quad (16)$$

where V_μ and A_μ are defined as

$$V_\mu \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\xi^\dagger \partial_\mu \xi + \xi \partial_\mu \xi^\dagger), \quad (17)$$

$$A_\mu \equiv \frac{i}{2}(\xi^\dagger \partial_\mu \xi - \xi \partial_\mu \xi^\dagger). \quad (18)$$

However, because of the singularity in ξ , it is convenient to redefine H_a as [6]

$$H'_a = H_b \xi_{ba}, \quad (19)$$

and, similarly, we redefine \tilde{H}_b as

$$\tilde{H}'_a = \xi_{ab}^\dagger \tilde{H}_b. \quad (20)$$

Then, the Lagrangians become

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_l - iv_\mu \text{Tr}(\partial^\mu H' \overline{H}') + \frac{i}{2} v_\mu \text{Tr}(H' \Sigma^\dagger \partial^\mu \Sigma \overline{H}') + \frac{ig}{2} \text{Tr}(H' \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \Sigma^\dagger \partial_\mu \Sigma \overline{H}'), \quad (21)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L}_l - iv_\mu \text{Tr}(\overline{\tilde{H}}' \partial^\mu \tilde{H}') - \frac{i}{2} v_\mu \text{Tr}(\overline{\tilde{H}}' \Sigma^\dagger \partial^\mu \Sigma \tilde{H}') + \frac{ig}{2} \text{Tr}(\overline{\tilde{H}}' \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 \Sigma^\dagger \partial_\mu \Sigma \tilde{H}'). \quad (22)$$

Then, under the transformations (4)-(7), we have the following operators from above Lagrangians

$$S_{lH}^k = \int d^3x \text{Tr}(\frac{1}{2} H' \sigma^k \overline{H}'), \quad (23)$$

$$S_{l\tilde{H}}^k = - \int d^3x \text{Tr}(\frac{1}{2} \overline{\tilde{H}}' \sigma^k \tilde{H}'), \quad (24)$$

$$I_H^k = \int d^3x \text{Tr}(\frac{1}{2} H' \lambda^k \overline{H}'), \quad (25)$$

$$I_{\tilde{H}}^k = - \int d^3x \text{Tr}(\frac{1}{2} \overline{\tilde{H}}' \lambda^k \tilde{H}'), \quad (26)$$

where $\sigma^i \equiv \frac{1}{4} i \epsilon^{ijk} [\gamma^j, \gamma^k]$, $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$, and λ^k are the tree generators of the $SU(3)$ group.

III. THE FORMULISM FOR HEAVY BARYONS FROM BOUND STATE APPROACH

Under the assumption of maximal symmetry, there exists a solitonic solution (with unit baryonic charge) of the equation of motion from (1) [2]

$$\Sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} \exp[i(\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau})F(r)] & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

where $F(r)$ is the spherical-symmetric profile of the soliton with $F(0) = \pi$ and $F(\infty) = 0$, $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ are the three Pauli matrices, and $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ is the unit vector in space. The action is invariant under the $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ transformation. However, in order to describe soliton solutions with the same vacuum, it is only necessary to deal with those with the form:

$$\Sigma(x) = A(t) \Sigma_1(\mathbf{x}) A(t)^{-1}, \quad A \in SU(3). \quad (28)$$

With this assumption, after quantizing Eq. (1) in collective coordinate A , we will get the $SU(3)$ symmetric Hamiltonian [2] and from it we can see the lowest representations of light solitons (baryons) are the octet with $s_l=1/2$, the decuplet with $s_l=3/2$, the anti-decuplet with $s_l=1/2$, the 27-plet with $s_l=3/2, 1/2$, etc. The light baryon wave functions in the collective coordinates A are

$$\Psi_{\nu\nu'}^{(\mu)}(A) = \sqrt{\dim(\mu)} D_{\nu\nu'}^{(\mu)}(A), \quad (29)$$

where (μ) denotes an irreducible representation of the $SU(3)$ group; ν and ν' denote (Y, I, I_3) and $(1, J, -J_3)$ quantum numbers collectively; Y is the hypercharge of the corresponding light baryons; I and I_3 are the isospin and its third component respectively; J_3 is the third component of spin J ; and $D_{\nu\nu'}^{(\mu)}(A)$ are representation matrices of the $SU(3)$ group.

Besides the collective coordinate quantized chiral symmetric Hamiltonian, the interaction Hamiltonian of Eq. (21) by semiclassical approximation is [7]

$$H_I' = \frac{gF'(0)}{2} \int d^3x \text{Tr}(H' \gamma^i \gamma_5 A \lambda_i A^\dagger \overline{H}') = 2gF'(0) I_H^k S_{lH}^j D_{kj}^{(8)}, \quad (30)$$

and from Eq. (22), we have

$$\tilde{H}_I' = \frac{gF'(0)}{2} \int d^3x \text{Tr}(\overline{\tilde{H}}' \gamma^i \gamma_5 A \lambda_i A^\dagger \tilde{H}') = -2gF'(0) I_{\tilde{H}}^k S_{l\tilde{H}}^j D_{kj}^{(8)}, \quad (31)$$

with [7]

$$I_H^k H = -H \frac{\tau^k}{2}, \quad (32)$$

$$S_{lH}^k H = -H \frac{\sigma^k}{2}, \quad (33)$$

and

$$I_{\tilde{H}}^k \tilde{H} = \frac{\tau^k}{2} \tilde{H}, \quad (34)$$

$$S_{l\tilde{H}}^k \tilde{H} = \frac{\sigma^k}{2} \tilde{H}, \quad (35)$$

where $D_{kj}^{(8)}$ is the adjoint representation of the $SU(3)$ group and defined as

$$D_{kj}^{(8)}(A) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(A^\dagger \lambda_k A \lambda_j), \quad (36)$$

S_{lH}^k , I_H^k , $S_{l\tilde{H}}^k$ and $I_{\tilde{H}}^k$ are the quantum mechanics operators corresponding to (23)-(26). We can see that the interaction \tilde{H}_I' is the negative of H_I' , this is because

$$H' \gamma^i \gamma_5 = -H' \sigma^i, \quad (37)$$

$$\gamma^i \gamma_5 \tilde{H}' = \sigma^i \tilde{H}', \quad (38)$$

and we define

$$H_I = 2V_I I_H^k S_{lH}^j D_{kj}^{(8)}, \quad (39)$$

with

$$V_I = \begin{cases} gF'(0), & H_I = H_I'; \\ -gF'(0), & H_I = \tilde{H}_I'. \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

It is convenient to define

$$\mathbf{K} \equiv \mathbf{I}_H + \mathbf{S}_{lH}. \quad (41)$$

The allowed bound states with definite total isospin and spin of light degrees of freedom are given by [7]

$$|RasIm\rangle_K = \sqrt{\frac{\dim R \dim s_l}{\dim K}} \int_{SU(3)} dg D_{ac}^{*(R)}(g) \times \widehat{U}_I(g) |\Sigma_0\rangle \widehat{U}_I(g) |Kk'\rangle \begin{pmatrix} I & s_l & K \\ i_3 & m & k' \end{pmatrix}, \quad (42)$$

with the constraint

$$Y = Y_\Sigma + Y_k = 1 + Y_k, \quad (43)$$

where the isospin projector \widehat{U}_I is the exponential of the isospin generators, $|\Sigma_0\rangle$ are the states of a soliton with $A = 1$, $|Kk'\rangle$ are the representations of K , which describe the heavy (anti)-meson states $\bar{q}(q)$ in this case, and H_I^+ and \tilde{H}_I^+ act on the products of $|\Sigma_0\rangle$ and $|Kk\rangle$ as

$$H_I |\Sigma_0\rangle |Kk\rangle = V_I (K^2 - I_H^2 - S_{IH}^2) |\Sigma_0\rangle |Kk\rangle, \quad (44)$$

I and Y are the isospin and hypercharge of the $SU(3)$ state c of the representation R , and Y_K is the hypercharge of $\bar{q}(q)$ -states with K . For the ground states of heavy mesons and their antiparticles, $S_{IH} = 1/2$ and $I_H = (0, 1/2)$, Eq. (44) gives

$$\begin{cases} H_I = -3V_I/2, & K = 0, \\ H_I = 0, & K = 1/2, \\ H_I = V_I/2, & K = 1. \end{cases} \quad (45)$$

The \bar{q} -states and q -states transform as the $\bar{3}$ and 3 representations of the $SU(3)$ group respectively. Under the $SU(2) \times SU(1)$ subgroup (I_{Y_K}), they are decomposed as $\bar{3} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}_{-\frac{1}{3}} \oplus 0_{\frac{2}{3}}$ and $3 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}_{\frac{1}{3}} \oplus 0_{-\frac{2}{3}}$ and accordingly we have \bar{q} -states with $\{K=0,1; Y_K = -\frac{1}{3}\}$, $\{K = \frac{1}{2}; Y_K = \frac{2}{3}\}$ and q -states with $\{K=0,1; Y_K = \frac{1}{3}\}$, $\{K = \frac{1}{2}; Y_K = -\frac{2}{3}\}$. Thus, the allowed $SU(3)$ representations for a baryon-heavy-meson bound states with spin s_l , isospin I and hypercharge Y are those satisfying [7]

$$\begin{aligned} (a) & I = s_l, & Y = \frac{2}{3}, \\ (b) & 1 \subset I \otimes s_l, & Y = \frac{5}{3}, \\ (c) & \frac{1}{2} \subset I \otimes s_l, & Y = \frac{5}{3}, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

and baryon-anti-heavy-meson bound states should satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} (a) & I = s_l, & Y = \frac{4}{3}, \\ (b) & 1 \subset I \otimes s_l, & Y = \frac{4}{3}, \\ (c) & \frac{1}{2} \subset I \otimes s_l, & Y = \frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

IV. THE EXOTIC STATES WITH $C = \pm 1$

The bound states of light solitons and the $\bar{q}(q)$ -states can give us the heavy baryon(bound states) states. We have mentioned that $8 = (1,1)$ and $10 = (3,0)$

baryon multiplets are the lowest two of the light solitons(baryons). And we have the following direct products

$$8 \otimes \bar{3} = \bar{15} \oplus 6 \oplus \bar{3}, \quad s_l = (0,1), \quad (48)$$

$$8 \otimes 3 = 15 \oplus \bar{6} \oplus 3, \quad s_l = (0,1), \quad (49)$$

$$10 \otimes \bar{3} = 24 \oplus 6, \quad s_l = (1,2), \quad (50)$$

$$10 \otimes 3 = 15 \oplus 15', \quad s_l = (1,2). \quad (51)$$

And we can calculate the binding energy(H_I) for each $SU(3)$ heavy baryon multiplets given above. Among them, $\bar{3}$ with $S = 1/2$ and 6 with $S = (1/2, 3/2)$ give the $SU(3)$ baryon multiplets in the lowest two $SU(4)$ multiplets of 20 dimensions [7]. In the follow, we will discuss the light flavor $SU(3)$ $\bar{15} = (1,2)$ and $24=(3,1)$ multiplets with $C = 1$ and the $SU(3)$ $\bar{6} = (0,2)$, $15=(2,1)$, $3=(1,0)$ and $15' = (4,0)$ multiplets with $C = -1$. There exist exotic states that are different from the predictions of the quark model and we will investigate these exotic states. First of all, we use this approach to give the average masses of $\Theta_c^0(uudd\bar{c})$ and $\Theta_c^*(udd\bar{c}, uudd\bar{c}, uuud\bar{c})$ in two light flavor case to show the equivalence of the two approaches of the bound state method mentioned in the introduction.

A. The Average Mass of Θ_c^0 and Θ_c^*

In the $SU(2)$ case, from the product $N \otimes q$ states, we have the follow states $|Is_l\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} |00; \frac{1}{2}\rangle, & H_I = 3/2gF'(0); \\ |01; \frac{1}{2}\rangle, & H_I = -1/2gF'(0); \\ |10; \frac{1}{2}\rangle, & H_I = -1/2gF'(0); \\ |11; \frac{1}{2}\rangle, & H_I = 3/2gF'(0), -1/2gF'(0). \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

We first discuss one state, $|01\rangle$, which describes the baryons with the minimal quark content $|uudd\bar{c}\rangle$ and charm number -1. However, it can give both exotic baryons with $S = 3/2$ and those with $S = 1/2$ by the heavy quark spin symmetry, thus, we use Θ_c^0 and Θ_c^{0*} denoting the state with $S = 1/2$ and $S = 3/2$ respectively and define $\bar{m}_{\Theta_c^0} \equiv (4m_{\Theta_c^{0*+}} + 2m_{\Theta_c^0})/6$. There is only one parameter $gF'(0)$ and by fitting the Λ_c mass, we have $gF'(0) = 419$ MeV [7]. This implies

$$\bar{m}_{\Theta_c^0} = m_N + m_H - gF'(0)/2 = 2704 \text{ MeV}, \quad (53)$$

where $m_H \equiv (3m_{D^*} + m_D)/4 = 1973$ MeV. To estimate the average mass of Θ_c^* with $(I = 1, s_l = 1)$, we have to include the state $|11; \frac{3}{2}\rangle$ from direct product $\Delta \otimes q$, and the matrix of interaction hamiltonian between this two states is

$$H_I = \frac{gF'(0)}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4\sqrt{2} \\ 4\sqrt{2} & 5 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta M \end{pmatrix}, \quad (54)$$

where ΔM is the mass difference between N and Δ [7]. Then, we get an exotic bound state with $H_I = -\frac{gF'(0)}{2} + \frac{1}{3}\Delta M$ and the average mass is

$$\overline{m}_{\Theta_c^*} = m_N + m_H - gF'(0)/2 + \frac{1}{3}\Delta M = 2802 \text{ MeV}. \quad (55)$$

The results above are the same as those in Ref. [13], which indicates the equivalence of the two approaches.

B. The Light Flavor $SU(3)$ Exotic Baryon Multiplets

In (48)-(51), exotic heavy baryon multiplets of the $SU(3)$ representations are

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{15} &= (1, 2), & 24 &= (3, 1), \\ 15 &= (2, 1), & \overline{6} &= (0, 2), \\ 3 &= (1, 0), & 15' &= (4, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

which under the $SU(2) \times SU(1)$ subgroup decompose as

$$\overline{15} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}_{\frac{5}{3}} \oplus 0_{\frac{2}{3}} \oplus 1_{\frac{2}{3}} \oplus \frac{3}{2}_{-\frac{1}{3}} \oplus \frac{1}{2}_{-\frac{1}{3}} \oplus 1_{-\frac{4}{3}}, \quad (57)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 24 &\rightarrow \frac{3}{2}_{\frac{5}{3}} \oplus 1_{\frac{2}{3}} \oplus 2_{\frac{2}{3}} \oplus \frac{1}{2}_{-\frac{1}{3}} \oplus \frac{3}{2}_{-\frac{1}{3}} \oplus 0_{-\frac{4}{3}} \\ &\oplus 1_{-\frac{4}{3}} \oplus \frac{1}{2}_{-\frac{7}{3}}, \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

$$15 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}_{-\frac{5}{3}} \oplus 0_{-\frac{2}{3}} \oplus 1_{-\frac{2}{3}} \oplus \frac{3}{2}_{\frac{1}{3}} \oplus \frac{1}{2}_{\frac{1}{3}} \oplus 1_{\frac{4}{3}}, \quad (59)$$

$$\overline{6} \rightarrow 0_{\frac{4}{3}} \oplus \frac{1}{2}_{\frac{1}{3}} \oplus 1_{-\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (60)$$

$$3 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}_{\frac{1}{3}} \oplus 0_{-\frac{2}{3}}, \quad (61)$$

$$15' \rightarrow 2_{\frac{4}{3}} \oplus \frac{3}{2}_{\frac{1}{3}} \oplus 1_{-\frac{2}{3}} \oplus \frac{1}{2}_{-\frac{5}{3}} \oplus 0_{-\frac{8}{3}}. \quad (62)$$

According to (46) and (47), there are the following allowed exotic states denoted by $\{K, Y\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{15} \\ s_l = 0, & \{K = 0, \frac{2}{3}\}, H_I = -3/2gF'(0); \\ & \{K = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{3}\}, H_I = 0; \\ & \{K = 1, \frac{2}{3}\}, H_I = 1/2gF'(0); \\ s_l = 1, & \{K = 0, \frac{2}{3}\}, H_I = -3/2gF'(0); \\ & \{K = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{3}\}, H_I = 0; \\ & \{K = 1, \frac{2}{3}\}, H_I = 1/2gF'(0); \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 24 \\ s_l = 1, & \{K = 0, \frac{2}{3}\}, H_I = -3/2gF'(0); \\ & \{K = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{3}\}, H_I = 0; \\ & \{K = 1, \frac{2}{3}\}, H_I = 1/2gF'(0); \\ s_l = 2, & \{K = 0, \frac{2}{3}\}, H_I = -3/2gF'(0); \\ & \{K = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{3}\}, H_I = 0; \\ & \{K = 1, \frac{2}{3}\}, H_I = 1/2gF'(0); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{6} \\ s_l = 0, & \{K = 0, \frac{4}{3}\}, H_I = 3/2gF'(0); \\ & \{K = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}, H_I = 0; \\ s_l = 1, & \{K = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}, H_I = 0; \\ & \{K = 1, \frac{4}{3}\}, H_I = -1/2gF'(0); \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 15 \\ s_l = 0, & \{K = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}, H_I = 0; \\ & \{K = 1, \frac{4}{3}\}, H_I = -1/2gF'(0); \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_l = 1, & \{K = 0, \frac{4}{3}\}, H_I = 3/2gF'(0); \\ & \{K = 1, \frac{4}{3}\}, H_I = -1/2gF'(0); \\ & \{K = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}, H_I = 0; \\ s_l = 2, & \{K = 1, \frac{4}{3}\}, H_I = -1/2gF'(0); \\ & \{K = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}, H_I = 0; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 3 \\ s_l = 0, & \{K = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}, H_I = 0; \\ s_l = 1, & \{K = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}, H_I = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 15' \\ s_l = 1, & \{K = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}, H_I = 0; \\ & \{K = 1, \frac{4}{3}\}, H_I = -1/2gF'(0); \\ s_l = 2, & \{K = 0, \frac{4}{3}\}, H_I = 3/2gF'(0); \\ & \{K = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\}, H_I = 0; \\ & \{K = 1, \frac{4}{3}\}, H_I = -1/2gF'(0). \end{aligned}$$

V. COLLECTIVE COORDINATION QUANTIZATION

In Sec. IV, we see that $C = \pm 1$ bound states are de-generated in mass respectively. To obtain physical heavy baryons states with correct spin and isospin, we have to calculate up to $1/N_c$ order still in the heavy quark limits, *i.e.*, $O(m_Q^0 N_c^{-1})$. Substituting the hedgehog Ansatz (27) into (17) and (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V} &= \begin{pmatrix} i \frac{\sin^2(F(r)/2}{r} (\boldsymbol{\tau} \times \hat{\mathbf{r}}) & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{A} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\sin(F(r))}{r} - F'(r) \right) (\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \hat{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{\sin(F(r))}{r} \boldsymbol{\tau} \right] & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and from (21) and (1), we get

$$V_{cl} = g \int d^3x \text{Tr}(H\gamma\gamma_5 \cdot \mathbf{A}\bar{H}), \quad (66)$$

$$\tilde{V}_{cl} = g \int d^3x \text{Tr}(\tilde{H}\bar{\gamma}\gamma_5 \cdot \mathbf{A}\tilde{H}). \quad (67)$$

To evaluate V_{cl} and \tilde{V}_{cl} , we first find the classical approximation to the wave functions of heavy mesons with the general form

$$H = \tilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{8\pi}} \tilde{\phi}_{\pm}(\tau \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \chi h(r) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (68)$$

where $\tilde{\phi}_{\pm}$ are the two eigenstates of the isospin of heavy mesons, the explicit formulae of χ are defined in [13], and the radio functions $h(r)$ of the lowest energy eigenstate can be approximated by delta function, which is normalized $\int r^2 |h(r)|^2 dr = 1$. Accordingly, H is normalized as

$$- \int d^3x \text{Tr}(H\bar{H}) = 1, \quad (69)$$

In (28), we introduce the collective coordinate $A(t)$, and the heavy meson fields transform simultaneously as

$$H = H_{bf} A(t)^+, \tilde{H} = A(t) \tilde{H}_{bf}, \quad (70)$$

where H_{bf} is the heavy meson field in the isospin body-fixed frame. Then, we obtain the Lagrangians as

$$L = -M_{cl} - V_{cl} - i \int d^3x \text{Tr}(\partial_0 H_{bf} \bar{H}_{bf}) + \frac{1}{2} I_{\pi} \sum_{a=1}^3 \omega^a \omega^a + \frac{1}{2} I_K \sum_{b=4}^7 \omega^b \omega^b + \sum_{c=1}^8 I_{bf}^c \omega^c - \frac{N_c B}{2\sqrt{3}} \omega^8, \quad (71)$$

$$\tilde{L} = -M_{cl} - \tilde{V}_{cl} - i \int d^3x \text{Tr}(\tilde{H}_{bf} \partial_0 \tilde{H}_{bf}) + \frac{1}{2} I_{\pi} \sum_{a=1}^3 \omega^a \omega^a + \frac{1}{2} I_K \sum_{b=4}^7 \omega^b \omega^b + \sum_{c=1}^8 \tilde{I}_{bf}^c \omega^c - \frac{N_c B}{2\sqrt{3}} \omega^8, \quad (72)$$

where M_{cl} is the classical soliton mass, I_{π} and I_K are moments of inertia [2], ω^a are defined by $A^\dagger \partial_0 A = i \frac{1}{2} \omega^a \lambda^a$ and I_{bf}^a and \tilde{I}_{bf}^a are the rotation operator of heavy mesons on the flavor $SU(3)$ group in the body fixed frame, defined as

$$I_{bf}^a = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^3x [H_{bf} (\xi_0^\dagger \tau^a \xi_0 + \xi_0 \tau^a \xi_0^\dagger) \bar{H}_{bf}], \quad (73)$$

$$\tilde{I}_{bf}^a = \frac{1}{4} \int d^3x [\tilde{H}_{bf} (\xi_0^\dagger \tau^a \xi_0 + \xi_0 \tau^a \xi_0^\dagger) \tilde{H}_{bf}]. \quad (74)$$

By defining the canonical momenta conjugate to ω^a as

$R_a = -\frac{\delta L}{\delta \omega^a}$, we get the Hamiltonians

$$H = M_{cl} + V_{cl} + \frac{1}{2I_{\pi}} \sum_{a=1}^3 (R_a + I_{bf}^a)^2 + \frac{1}{2I_K} \sum_{b=4}^7 (R_b + I_{bf}^b)^2, \quad (75)$$

$$\tilde{H} = M_{cl} + \tilde{V}_{cl} + \frac{1}{2I_{\pi}} \sum_{a=1}^3 (R_a + \tilde{I}_{bf}^a)^2 + \frac{1}{2I_K} \sum_{b=4}^7 (R_b + \tilde{I}_{bf}^b)^2, \quad (76)$$

and $\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} R_8 = \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}$ respectively. Due to the embedding of $SU(2)$ heavy meson wave functions into $SU(3)$ (68), I_{bf}^a and \tilde{I}_{bf}^a with $a = 4, 5, 6, 7$ are zero and the mass formulae are as follow

$$M = M_{cl} + V_{cl} + \frac{1}{2I_K} C_2(SU(3)) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{I_{\pi}} - \frac{1}{I_K} \right) j_{sol} (j_{sol} + 1) - \frac{1}{2I_K} R_8^2 + \frac{1}{2I_{\pi}} (\mathbf{I}_{bf}^2 + \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{bf}), \quad (77)$$

where $C_2(SU(3)) = 1/3(p^2 + q^2 + pq) + (p + q)$, (p, q) denotes an irreducible representation of the $SU(3)$ group, j_{sol} are the angular momenta of soliton, and the last two terms reduce to $SU(2)$ case in Ref.[9]. And due to the the spin-grand-spin transmutation [9], we have $s_l = j_{sol} + K$. Accordingly, the wave functions are

$$\Psi_{\nu\nu'}^{(\mu)}(A) = \sqrt{\dim(\mu)} D_{Y_{II3}; Y_R j_{sol} - j_{sol3}}^{(\mu)}(A), \quad (78)$$

with the constraints that $Y_R = 2/3, 4/3$ respectively for $C = \pm 1$. Then, these constraints mean $\bar{6}$ -plet with $j_{sol} = 0$, 15-plet with $j_{sol} = 1$, $\bar{15}$ -plet with $j_{sol} = (0, 1)$, and 24-plet with $j_{sol} = (1, 2)$. To get the mass splitting by strange quark mass, we have to take into consideration the following terms [14]

$$L_{SB} = \int d^3x \text{Tr}[\sigma_1 H (\xi m_q^+ \xi + \xi^\dagger m_q \xi^\dagger) \bar{H} + \sigma_1' \bar{H}_a H_a (\Sigma^\dagger m_q^+ + m_q \Sigma) - \Delta (\Sigma^\dagger m_q^+ + m_q \Sigma)], \quad (79)$$

with

$$m_q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \hat{m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (80)$$

where $\hat{m} = 2m_s/(m_u + m_d)$ and the difference between m_u and m_d is neglected. For both $C = \pm$, the symmetry breaking hamiltonians are the same, and due to the orthogonality of H_{bf} , the first two terms in (79) give the same term as the last after evaluating H_{bf} , and omitting the constant terms, we have[10]

$$H_{SB} = \tau(1 - D_{88}^{(8)}), \quad (81)$$

where we use τ to denote all other parameters. Up to now, we can use perturbation theory to calculate the mass splitting, the results of the $\bar{6}$ -plet and 15-plet baryons are shown in table 1, where the input masses of Θ_c^0 and Θ_c^* are the results in Sec. IV, and the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are listed in Appendix. To fix the parameter τ , we calculate the mass splitting between Ξ_c and Σ_c , whose experimental value is $\Delta m = \bar{m}_{\Xi_c} - \bar{m}_{\Sigma_c} = 89$ MeV on the average of the degrees of freedom of spin. From the symmetry breaking hamiltonian, we can get the splitting $\Delta m = 3/20\tau$, and, thus, we can estimate the masses of all the $\bar{6}$ -plet and 15-plet baryons as shown in Table 1 and give the following mass relations

$$\bar{m}_{\Sigma_c^-} - \bar{m}_{N_c^-} = \bar{m}_{N_c^-} - \bar{m}_{\Theta_c^0} = 2(\bar{m}_{\Xi_c^-} - \bar{m}_{\Sigma_c^-}); \quad (82)$$

$$\bar{m}_{\Sigma_c^{*+}} + \bar{m}_{\Theta_c^+} = \bar{m}_{\Lambda_c^+} + \bar{m}_{N_c^{*+}}; \quad (83)$$

$$\bar{m}_{\Xi_c^-} + \bar{m}_{\Theta_c^+} = \bar{m}_{\Delta_c^-} + \bar{m}_{N_c^{*+}}; \quad (84)$$

$$\bar{m}_{\Sigma_c^{*+}} - \bar{m}_{\Theta_c^+} = 2(\bar{m}_{\Delta_c^-} - \bar{m}_{N_c^{*+}}); \quad (85)$$

$$\bar{m}_{\Sigma_c^{*+}} - \bar{m}_{\Theta_c^+} = 2(\bar{m}_{\Xi_c^-} - \bar{m}_{\Lambda_c^+}); \quad (86)$$

$$\bar{m}_{\Delta_c^-} + \bar{m}_{\Xi_c^-} = 2\bar{m}_{\Sigma_c^{*+}}. \quad (87)$$

Table 1. Masses of the $\bar{6}$ -plet and 15-plet baryons

Baryon States	$I(S_l^P)$	$\langle H_{SB} \rangle$	M (MeV)
Θ_c^0	$0(1^+)$	$\frac{3}{20}\tau$	2704
N_c^-	$\frac{1}{2}(1^+)$	$\frac{9}{10}\tau$	2882
Σ_c^-	$1(1^+)$	$\frac{15}{2}\tau$	3060
Θ_c^*	$1((1^+)$	$\frac{3}{20}\tau$	2802
Δ_c^-	$\frac{3}{2}(1^+)$	$\frac{13}{2}\tau$	3049
N_c^{*+}	$\frac{1}{2}(1^+)$	$\frac{9}{10}\tau$	2901
Σ_c^{*+}	$1(1^+)$	$\frac{15}{2}\tau$	3099
Λ_c^+	$0(1^+)$	τ	3000
Ξ_c^-	$\frac{1}{2}(1^+)$	$\frac{5}{4}\tau$	3148

VI. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper, we first illustrate the $SU(3)$ exotic baryon multiplets as the sub-multiplets of $SU(4)$ baryon multiplets predicted from the generalized flavor $SU(4)$ collective-coordinate quantization in Figs.1 and 2. Then, we generalize the approach in [7] to heavy baryons with anti-charm quark, and calculate the average masses of the states Θ_c^0 and Θ_c^* in the light flavor $SU(2)$ case and investigate the binding energy of the heavy baryon multiplets with exotic states up to leading order of $1/N_c$ under the light flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry and the heavy quark limit. Then, by collective coordinate quantization, we give the mass spectra of the $\bar{6}$ -plet and 15-plet baryons. In the light flavor $SU(2)$ case, we calculate the average masses of Θ_c^0 and Θ_c^* , which are estimated to be 2704 MeV and 2802 MeV respectively, and in the picture of the light flavor $SU(3)$ symmetry, the Θ_c^0 and Θ_c^* states belong to the $\bar{6}$ -plets and 15-plet with $S = (1/2, 3/2)$. These masses are the same as that in [13], but in that approach they

also predicted the existence of Θ_c^0 and Θ_c^* with negative parity. Calculations in the light flavor $SU(3)$ case show that there exist $\bar{15}$ -plets and 24-plets with $C = 1$ and $\bar{6}$ -plet and 15-plets with $C = -1$ bounded in the bound state approach, qualitatively consistent with the results in Figs. 1 and 2. However, in this approach $SU(3)$ baryon multiplets $\bar{6}$ -plet with $S = 3/2$ and 15'-plets with $S = (1/2, 3/2), (3/2, 5/2)$ are also bounded. We find that these bounded states with $C = 1$ and those with $C = -1$ have equal binding energy respectively, this is because (30) and (31) are only up to the leading order of $1/N_c$. Then, we quantize the chiral $SU(3)$ Lagrangians and calculate the splitting masses of the $\bar{6}$ -plet and 15-plet baryons and give some relations between them, which may be of greater significance qualitatively than quantitatively because in the case of $SU(3)$ chiral symmetry with only light baryons, such a way to deal with symmetry breaking term does not agree with experiments very well [15].

In summary, both bound state approaches and the generalized $SU(N_f)$ collective-coordinate quantization approach give us exotic baryon states with $C = \pm 1$, and the search of Θ_c^0 are expected further to verify the validation of the generalization of soliton picture to study exotic baryon states.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful for discussions with Han-Qing Zheng. This work is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Numbers 10025523 and 90103007.

APPENDIX: The Clebsch-Gordan Coefficients used in the paper

Because the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients used in this paper are seldom used in physics before, we list the Clebsch-Gordan Coefficients in this appendix. To be convenient, we define

$$\mu_{(\nu)}^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{\nu_1, \nu_2} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu \\ \nu_1 & \nu_2 & \nu \end{pmatrix} \mu_{1(\nu_1)} \mu_{2(\nu_2)}, \quad (88)$$

where $\mu_{(\nu)}^{(\lambda)}$ denote the eigenstates of the representation μ contained in the direct sum of μ_1 and μ_2 , whose eigenstates are $\mu_{1(\nu_1)}$ and $\mu_{2(\nu_2)}$ respectively, λ is used to distinguish identical but independent representations which are all contained in $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$, ν, ν_1 and ν_2 denote quantum number (YII_3) collectively, and $\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu \\ \nu_1 & \nu_2 & \nu \end{pmatrix}$ are the $SU(3)$ Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. For the product $\bar{6} \otimes 8 = 3 \oplus \bar{6} \oplus 15 \oplus \bar{24}$, we give the decomposition of $\bar{6}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{6}_{(\frac{4}{3},0,0)} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{4}{3},0,0)}\delta_{(0,0,0)} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})}\delta_{(1,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})}\delta_{(1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})}, \\
\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})} &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{4}{3},0,0)}\delta_{(-1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{40}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})}\delta_{(0,1,0)} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{40}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})}\delta_{(0,0,0)} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{20}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})}\delta_{(0,1,1)} \\
&\quad - \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,1)}\delta_{(1,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{20}}\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,0)}\delta_{(1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})}, \\
\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})} &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{4}{3},0,0)}\delta_{(-1,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{20}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})}\delta_{(0,1,-1)} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{40}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})}\delta_{(0,0,0)} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{40}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})}\delta_{(0,1,0)} \\
&\quad - \sqrt{\frac{3}{20}}\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,0)}\delta_{(1,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,-1)}\delta_{(1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})}, \\
\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,1)} &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})}\delta_{(-1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,1)}\delta_{(0,1,0)} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{10}}\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,1)}\delta_{(0,0,0)} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,0)}\delta_{(0,1,1)}, \\
\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,0)} &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{20}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})}\delta_{(-1,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{20}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})}\delta_{(-1,\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,1)}\delta_{(0,1,-1)} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{10}}\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,0)}\delta_{(0,0,0)} \\
&\quad + \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,-1)}\delta_{(0,1,1)}, \\
\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,-1)} &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(\frac{1}{3},\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})}\delta_{(-1,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})} - \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,0)}\delta_{(0,1,-1)} + \sqrt{\frac{3}{10}}\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,-1)}\delta_{(0,1,0)} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{10}}\bar{6}_{(-\frac{2}{3},1,-1)}\delta_{(0,0,0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in the product $15 \otimes 8 = 42 \oplus \overline{24} \oplus 15' \oplus 15^{(1)} \oplus 15^{(2)} \oplus \overline{6} \oplus 3$, the representations $15^{(1)}$ and $15^{(2)}$ are given as

$$\begin{aligned}
15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0)}^{(1)} &= \frac{\sqrt{14}}{14} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} - \frac{\sqrt{14}}{14} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\sqrt{7}}{7} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\sqrt{7}}{7} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} \\
&- \frac{\sqrt{28}}{28} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0)} 8_{(0, 0, 0)} - \frac{\sqrt{42}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0)} 8_{(0, 1, 0)} + \frac{\sqrt{42}}{28} 15_{(-\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, -1)} 8_{(0, 1, 1)} \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{42}}{28} 15_{(-\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} - \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, 1)} 8_{(0, 1, -1)}, \\
15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, -1)}^{(1)} &= \frac{\sqrt{28}}{28} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\sqrt{14}}{7} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} - \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0)} 8_{(0, 1, -1)} - \frac{\sqrt{42}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0)} 8_{(0, 1, -1)} \\
&- \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, -1)} 8_{(0, 1, 0)} - \frac{\sqrt{28}}{28} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, -1)} 8_{(0, 0, 0)} + \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}, \\
15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0)}^{(1)} &= \frac{\sqrt{42}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, 1)} 8_{(0, 1, -1)} - \frac{\sqrt{42}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0)} 8_{(0, 1, 0)} + \frac{\sqrt{7}}{7} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0)} 8_{(0, 0, 0)} - \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{42}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, -1)} 8_{(0, 1, 1)} + \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}, \\
15_{(-\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}^{(1)} &= \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, 1)} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} - \frac{\sqrt{42}}{28} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0)} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0)} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} - \frac{3\sqrt{21}}{28} 15_{(-\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(0, 1, 0)} \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{7}}{28} 15_{(-\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(0, 0, 0)} + \frac{3\sqrt{42}}{28} 15_{(-\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(0, 1, 1)}, \\
15_{(-\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})}^{(1)} &= \frac{\sqrt{42}}{28} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0)} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 0, 0)} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} - \frac{\sqrt{21}}{14} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, -1)} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} - \frac{3\sqrt{42}}{28} 15_{(-\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(0, 1, -1)} \\
&+ \frac{3\sqrt{21}}{28} 15_{(-\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(0, 1, 0)} + \frac{\sqrt{7}}{28} 15_{(-\frac{5}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(0, 0, 0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, 1)}^{(2)} &= \frac{4\sqrt{7}}{21} 15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, 1)} 8_{(0, 1, 0)} - \frac{2\sqrt{21}}{21} 15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, 1)} 8_{(0, 0, 0)} - \frac{4\sqrt{7}}{21} 15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, 0)} 8_{(0, 1, 1)} + \frac{\sqrt{14}}{21} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} \\
&- \frac{\sqrt{42}}{63} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\sqrt{21}}{9} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}, \\
15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, 0)}^{(2)} &= \frac{4\sqrt{7}}{21} 15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, 1)} 8_{(0, 1, -1)} - \frac{2\sqrt{21}}{21} 15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, 0)} 8_{(0, 0, 0)} - \frac{4\sqrt{7}}{21} 15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, -1)} 8_{(0, 1, 1)} + \frac{2\sqrt{21}}{63} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{42}}{18} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} - \frac{2\sqrt{21}}{63} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{\sqrt{42}}{18} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}, \\
15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, -1)}^{(2)} &= \frac{4\sqrt{7}}{21} 15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, 0)} 8_{(0, 1, -1)} - \frac{4\sqrt{7}}{21} 15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, -1)} 8_{(0, 1, 0)} - \frac{2\sqrt{21}}{21} 15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, -1)} 8_{(0, 0, 0)} + \frac{\sqrt{42}}{63} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} \\
&+ \frac{\sqrt{21}}{9} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} - \frac{\sqrt{14}}{21} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}, \\
15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})}^{(2)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{14}}{21} 15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, 1)} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{5\sqrt{7}}{21} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})} 8_{(0, 1, 0)} - \frac{\sqrt{21}}{21} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})} 8_{(0, 0, 0)} - \frac{5\sqrt{42}}{63} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(0, 1, 1)} \\
&- \frac{\sqrt{21}}{63} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(0, 1, 1)} + \frac{4\sqrt{7}}{21} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, 1)} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}, \\
15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}^{(2)} &= -\frac{\sqrt{42}}{63} 15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, 1)} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} - \frac{2\sqrt{21}}{63} 15_{(\frac{4}{3}, 1, 0)} 8_{(-1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{5\sqrt{42}}{63} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2})} 8_{(0, 1, -1)} + \frac{5\sqrt{7}}{63} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(0, 1, 0)} \\
&- \frac{\sqrt{21}}{21} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(0, 0, 0)} - \frac{\sqrt{14}}{63} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} 8_{(0, 1, 0)} - \frac{10\sqrt{14}}{63} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(0, 1, 1)} - \frac{\sqrt{7}}{63} 15_{(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} 8_{(0, 1, 1)} \\
&+ \frac{4\sqrt{21}}{63} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, 1)} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} + \frac{4\sqrt{42}}{63} 15_{(-\frac{2}{3}, 1, 0)} 8_{(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})},
\end{aligned}$$

-
- [1] T.H.R. Skyrme, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **260**, 127 (1961).
[2] E. Guadagnini, Nucl. Phys. B **236**, 35 (1984).
[3] C. Callan and I. Klebanov, Nucl. Phys. B **262**, 365 (1985);
C. Callan, K. Hornbostel and I. Klebanov, Phys. Lett. B **202**, 269 (1988).
[4] M. Rho, D. O. Riska, N. N. Scoccola, Phys. Lett. B **251**, 597 (1990); D. O. Riska, and N. N. Scoccola, Phys. Lett. B **265**, 188 (1991); M. Rho, D. O. Riska, N. N. Scoccola, Z.Phys.A **341**, 343 (1992).
[5] H. Walliser, Nucl. Phys. A **548**, 649 (1992).
[6] E. Jenkins, A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. B **396**, 27 (1993).
[7] Z. Guralnik, M. Luke and A. V. Manohar, Nucl. Phys. B **390**, 474 (1993).
[8] K. S. Gupta, M. Arshad Momen, J. Schechter and A. Subbaraman, Phys. Rev. D **47**, R4835 (1993).
[9] Y. Oh, B.-Y. Park and D.-P. Min, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 3350 (1994).
[10] A. Momen, J. Schechter, and A. Subbaraman, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 5970 (1994).
[11] A. De Rújula, H. Georgi, and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 147 (1975).
[12] D. O. Riska and N. N. Scoccola, Phys. Lett. B **299**, 338 (1993).
[13] Y. Oh, B.-Y. Park and D.-P. Min, Phys. Lett. B **331**, 362 (1994).
[14] M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D **45**, R2188 (1991).
[15] M. Chemtob, Nucl. Phys. B **256**, 600 (1985).