

# ENTROPY FOR COLORED QUARK STATES AT FINITE TEMPERATURE

David E. Miller<sup>1,2</sup> and Abdel-Nasser M. Tawfik<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Fakultät für Physik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 100131,  
D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany \*

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, Pennsylvania State University, Hazleton Campus,  
Hazleton, Pennsylvania 18201, USA †

## Abstract

The quantum entropy at finite temperatures is analyzed by using models for colored quarks making up the physical states of the hadrons. We explicitly work out some special models for the structure of the states of  $SU(2)$  and  $SU(3)$  relating to the effects of the temperature on the quantum entropy. We show that the entropy of the singlet states monotonically decreases meaning that the mixing of these states continually diminishes with the temperature. It has been found that the structure of the octet states is more complex so that it can be best characterized by two parts. One part is very similar to that of the singlet states. The other one reflects the existence of strong correlations between two of the three color states. Furthermore, we work out the entropy for the *classical* Ising and the *quantum XY* spin chains. In Ising model the quantum (ground state) entropy does not directly enter into the canonical partition function. It also does not depend on the number of spatial dimensions, but only on the number of quantum states making up the ground state. Whereas, the *XY* spin chain has a finite entropy at vanishing temperature. The results from the spin models qualitatively analogous to our models for the states of  $SU(2)$  and  $SU(3)$ .

PACS: 05.30.-d    Quantum Statistical Mechanics,  
12.39.-x    Phenomenological Quark Models,  
03.75.Ss    Degenerate Fermi Gases,

---

\*email: dmiller@physik.uni-bielefeld.de; tawfik@physik.uni-bielefeld.de

†email: om0@psu.edu

# 1 Introduction

In this paper we present a new method for evaluating the entropy of the colored quark states at finite temperature. Clearly, the entropy which we mean here is the quantum entropy which is to a great extent different in nature from the *classical* (Boltzmann-Gibbs) entropy. From the classical point of view the entropy characterizes an access to information between macroscopic and microscopic physical quantities using statistical criteria. It can be considered to be the number of possible microstates that the macrosystem can include. Whereas, the quantum entropy<sup>1</sup> can be calculated for very few degrees of freedom by using the density matrix and should not vanish for zero temperature. It reflects the uncertainties in the abundance of information about the quantum states in a system. The definition of quantum entropy may date back to works of von Neumann [2] in the early thirties on the mathematical foundations of quantum theory. Another milestone has been set out by Stratonovich, when he introduced the reciprocative quantum entropy for two coupled systems. In the Lie algebra the quantum fluctuation and correspondingly the quantum entropy are given by non-zero commutative relations. The most essential information we can retain from the physical systems is similar to measuring the eigenvalues of certain observables. The faultless measurement is to be actualized only if the degeneracy in the determined observable is entirely considered.

According to the Nernst's heat theorem the entropy of a homogeneous system at zero temperature is expected to be zero. However, it has been proven that the mixing in ground states of *subsystems* with conserving the particle number, the temperature and the volume results in a finite entropy [3], the so-called Gibbs paradox. On the other hand, we know that the effects of quantization on the fundamental laws of thermodynamics were generally well known to the founders of quantum theory [4]. Planck, who had successfully predicted the measured intensity distribution of different wavelengths by the postulation of a minimum energy size for the light emitted from a dark cavity at a given temperature, had also realized that the massive particles with non-zero spin also possess a finite entropy relating directly to that of the spin in the limit of low temperatures. This realization provided the chance for his students to resolve the Gibbs paradox, furthermore, it also offered an exception to Nernst's heat theorem, which is usually stated under the name of the third law of thermodynamics that the entropy of a closed system in equilibrium must vanish in the low temperature limit. A clear general discussion of the entropy relating to Nernst's heat theorem was given by Schrödinger some years later in a lecture series [5]. In his selected example Schrödinger took an  $N$ -particle-system, in which each one has two quantum states contributing to the many particle ground state. Thus the ground state held  $2^N$  degenerate configurations in its structure, which must then provide for it an entropy of  $N \ln 2$ . This value is obviously independent of all the thermodynamical quantities other than the number of particles  $N$  itself.

---

<sup>1</sup>The authors of [1] distinguished between different entropies: the classical one they called the measurement entropy, and their relevant entropy refers to our quantum entropy.

Furthermore, it is known that the more information present, the greater is the reduction of the entropy. In other words the entropy is reduced by the amount of information distinguishing the different degenerate states of the system. The completely mixed state is that of minimal information. This is completely consistent with the idea of confinement in the hadronic matter. If we were to consider a gas of  $N$  hadrons in the sense that Schrödinger [5] considered a gas of two level atoms, we should roughly expect an entropy of the form  $N \ln 3$  for the  $SU(3)_c$  quark structure in the color singlet ground state of this pure composite system [6]. In this article we attempt to elucidate the physical meaning of the quantum entropy for the colored quark states and investigate its behavior at finite temperature.

According to the third law of thermodynamics it is expected that the confined hadron bags - as a pure state - have zero entropy at zero temperature. But the hadron constituents are to be treated as subsystems composed of quantum elementary particles, which in their ground states can exhibit a finite value of the entropy. The quantum entropy of such subsystems reflects the degree of mixing and entanglement [7] inside the hadron bag. In a recent letter [6] it was shown that in a quantum system with  $SU(3)_c$  internal color symmetry how the ground state entropy arises in relation to the mixing of the quantum color states of that system. So far we conclude that in a completely mixed ground state with  $N$  internal components the entropy relates directly to the value  $\ln N$ . Nevertheless, one would expect some changes in this entropy in the presence of other states or at finite temperatures. Such changes were mentioned in [6] in relation to the octet hadronic states and the changes in the ground state entropy at finite temperature.

Understanding the thermal behavior of quantum subsystems [8] - in our case the colored quark states - is very useful for different applications. It may well bring about a device for the further understanding of the recent lattice results with the heavy quark potential [9–11]. The lattice results for the entropy difference in a quarks-antiquark singlet state lead to the value of  $2 \ln 3$  at vanishing temperature [10, 11]. Our evaluation for the quantum entropy of one quark as part of the colorless singlet ground state [6] yields the value of  $\ln 3$ . Furthermore, we believe that the investigation of the quantum subsystems at finite temperature might be useful for understanding the concept of confinement, which could exist everywhere throughout  $T \in [0, \infty]$ . The cold dense quark matter in the interior of stellar compact objects provides another application for this work. Also we think that according to the existence of finite entropy inside the hadron bags, the confined quarks get an additional heating and the bag constant should be correspondingly modified. The quark distributions inside the hadron bags reflect themselves as entanglement or - in our language - quantum entropy.

In this work we shall further investigate the implications of the quantum entropy for the colored quarks relating to the known properties of the standard model [12]. As previously mentioned [6] we shall not bring in other important properties of the quarks in the standard model like flavor, spin, isospin, chirality, electric charge and the spatial distribution of the quarks. From now on in this work

we shall assume that we are only looking at the color symmetry so that we shall leave out any name distinctions between the states. Here we shall further look into some specific properties of the other quark structures. Thereafter, we shall investigate some specific properties of the other states in relation to the ground state, which lead to some more results for the entropy. As a following investigation we propose and solve for the quark quantum entropy some simple models of color unmixing at finite temperatures, for which we check each model for the limiting cases. Then we study the correlations of entangled spin systems. These spin systems are used to compare our results for measurement entropy of a system of colored quark states. Other degrees of freedom are not considered.

This paper is organized as follows: the next section is devoted to the formulation of the ground state, from which we develop models for the entropy of mixing of colored quark  $SU(2)$  and  $SU(3)$  states. Then we introduce our thermal models for the quantum entropy of these states. Some spin models are investigated in relation to the known exact solutions. The following section contains the discussion of our results. Finally, we end with the conclusion and outlook.

## 2 Formulation for the Ground State Entropy

In order to understand the ground state structure, we recall some common properties of spin and color quantum systems which relate to the ideas of superposition and entanglement [13]. The orthonormal basis usually taken [8] for spin  $SU(2)$  can be written as  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  for the two states. For such two-state-system we have four combinations of  $|ij\rangle$  a useful linear orthonormal combination thereof. When we use the Pauli matrices  $\sigma^x, \sigma^y, \sigma^z$  together with the two dimensional identity matrix  $\mathbb{1}_2$ , we may easily write down the singlet and triplet structure for the structure of the states of  $SU(2)$ . The usual symmetric triplet and antisymmetric singlet states also provide a proper basis. After we have written down the density matrices  $\rho_t$  and  $\rho_s$  for each of the states, we find that after projecting out the second state the single quark reduced density matrices are both in the form

$$\rho_{q,2} = \sum_i p_i \mathbb{1}_2 \quad (1)$$

where  $p_i$  is the probability of  $i$ -th state. We can calculate the entropy  $S$  of the quantum states [2, 4], which makes direct use of the density matrix  $\rho$

$$S = -\text{Tr} (\rho \ln \rho), \quad (2)$$

Nevertheless, this equation could be found in text books, we apply it here where the trace is taken over all the quantum states (Eq. 1). For quantum operators the trace is independent on the representation, therefore the quantum states might well be used to write down the either quantum canonical or grand canonical partition function. The density matrix can be illustrated as mixing of *subsystems* of a closed system.

According to the Nernst's heat theorem this enclosed system has zero entropy at zero temperature [3].

When, as is presently the case, the eigenvectors are known for  $\rho$ , we may directly write this form of the entropy in terms of the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  as follows:

$$S = - \sum_i \lambda_i \ln \lambda_i \quad (3)$$

It is obviously important to have positive eigenvalues. For the special case of a zero eigenvalue we use the fact that  $x \ln x$  vanishes in the small  $x$  limit. Then for the density matrix  $\rho$  we may interpret  $\lambda_i$  as the probability  $p_i$  of  $i$ -th state. This meaning demands that  $0 < p_i \leq 1$ . Thus the orthonormality condition for the given states results in the condition This is a very important condition for the entropy. Thus we can easily see that for  $SU(2)$  the value of probabilities  $p_i$  is always  $1/2$  yielding the same total entropy for both the singlet and triplet states

$$S_{q,2} = \ln 2 \quad (4)$$

We get the same results if we apply the so-called Schmidt decomposition on the pure state  $|\psi\rangle$ , which consists of *substates*,  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$ , then there is sets of orthonormal states,  $\{|0\rangle_i\}$  and  $\{|1\rangle_i\}$ , so that

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_i \mathcal{N}_i |0\rangle_i \otimes |1\rangle_i \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  are real position numbers, known as the Schmidt numbers, which satisfy

$$\sum_i \mathcal{N}_i^2 = 1 \quad (6)$$

One important consequence of last equation, is that the reduced density matrices of *substates*,  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$  should have identical eigenvalues. Plugging  $\mathcal{N}_i \equiv \lambda_i$  in Eq. 3,  $S_{q,2} = \ln 2$ . Eq. 5 can be generalized for decomposition into  $n$  subsystems. The pure state  $|\psi\rangle$  can be expanded in a number of factorizable  $n$ -states. The number of coefficients is minimal and Eq. 6 still valid.

In the case of  $SU(3)_c$  the state structures for the singlet and octet are very different. We recall that we have found for the single quark density matrix  $\rho_q$  the form [6]

$$\rho_{q,3} = \sum_i p_i \mathbb{1}_3 \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathbb{1}_3$  is the three dimensional identity matrix.

We now apply the above definitions of the entropy to the  $SU(3)_c$  quark states – as was done in [6]. It is clear that the original hadron states are pure colorless states which posses zero entropy as in the third law of thermodynamics. For the meson it is immediately obvious since each colored quark state has the opposing colored

antiquark state for the resulting colorless singlet state. The sum of all the cycles determine the colorlessness of the baryon singlet state thereby giving no entropy. However, the reduced density matrix for the individual quarks (antiquarks)  $\rho_q$  or  $\rho_{\bar{q}}$  has a finite entropy. In this context, the reduced density matrix can be illustrated as a certain mixing inside the closed system [3]. Meanwhile the whole system have zero entropy at zero temperature, the *subsystems* - as shown above - are expected to have finite entropy at vanishing temperature.

For  $SU(3)_c$  all the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$  in Eq. 3 have the same value  $1/3$ . Thus we find for all quark (antiquark) in singlet states

$$S_{q,3} = \ln 3 \quad (8)$$

As a further exercise we may compare this result with those of the quark octet states. The octet density matrices  $\rho_{o,i}$  may be constructed from the eight Gell-Mann matrices  $(\lambda)_i$  with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 8$ . The density matrix for each state is constructed by using the properties of  $\Psi(\lambda)_i \Psi^*$ . The first seven matrices all give the same value for the entropy  $\ln 2$ , since all of these states are constructed only from the Pauli matrices. This result comes from the fact that these first seven octet states each involve only two of the three color states - that is these octet states are not pure states in all the colors. Although the mixing of the two states is equal, it is not complete since the third color is absent. However, the eighth diagonal Gell-Mann matrix involves all three colors, but the mixing is unequal. It yields an entropy

$$S_{o,8} = \ln 3 - \frac{1}{3} \ln 2 \quad (9)$$

Thus we can clearly state that the entropy of any of the quark octet states is always smaller than the quark color singlet state. This means that the colorless quark singlet state is the most probable individual state for the hadrons.

### 3 Thermodynamical Models for Mixed Colored Quark States

The structure of the color singlet hadronic ground state for  $SU(3)$  was shown in [6] to have a complete uniform mixing of all the colors of the quarks and antiquarks for both the mesons and the baryons. We have seen above that this situation does not happen for the single quark (antiquark) entropy  $S_q$  or  $S_{\bar{q}}$  in the presence of octet states, where the mixture is either partial or unequal. We now want to return to the single quark (antiquark) reduced density matrices  $\rho_{q,2}$  or  $\rho_{\bar{q},2}$ , which we shall assume to be the same for the fundamental and antifundamental representations since we are not considering the differences between flavors. In this section we will extend these calculations of the structural entropy of the ground states for the  $SU(2)$  and  $SU(3)$  quarks in color singlet states to models for color mixing at finite temperature. In these models the Boltzmann weighting for the finite temperature states of the single colored quark states will contain the single particle relativistic energies  $\varepsilon(p)$ ,




Figure 1: Single quark entropy for various quark masses as a function of the temperature  $T$  for  $SU(2)$ , Eq. 13. The left panel shows the results for the stated light quark masses. The right panel depicts the results for masses up to 500 MeV. The dotted lines at the top represent the value of the ground state entropy at zero temperature in Eq. 4.

which is given by  $\sqrt{m^2 + p^2}$  for the relativistic quark momentum  $p$  and mass  $m$ . Since the biggest effect at the given temperature  $T$  comes with the lowest value of  $\varepsilon(p)$ , we may well assume that  $\varepsilon(p)$  is just determined by the lowest quark mass threshold. Furthermore,  $\varepsilon(p)$  is also color independent.

### 3.1 $SU(2)$ Thermodynamical Model

We start our consideration of thermodynamics with a very simple model for quarks with an internal  $SU(2)$  symmetry at a finite temperature  $T$ . We postulate that the single quark reduced density matrix with two colors have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{q,2}(T) &= \frac{1}{2} [(1 - e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) |0\rangle\langle 0| + (1 + e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) |1\rangle\langle 1|] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\mathbb{1}_2 - \sigma^z e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

which shifts the weighting of the eigenstates due to the temperature  $T$ . Thus we note that the total probability is still one, so that  $\rho_{q,2}(T)$  will still have the correct probabilistic interpretation. Furthermore, we note that if we had taken all the Pauli matrices with equal weighting in front of the Boltzmann factor, we would still be able to diagonalize the full  $SU(2)$  reduced density matrix into this form. Then the eigenvalues from the  $T$ -depending reduced density matrix, Eq. 10, read

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left[ \langle i | \rho_q | j \rangle - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right] &= \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}}{2\sqrt{3}} - \lambda \right] \left[ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}}{2\sqrt{3}} - \lambda \right] - \frac{e^{-2\varepsilon(p)/T}}{6} = 0 \\ \lambda_i(T) &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - \sigma^z e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$i = \pm 1$  refers to the states,  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle$ , which are included in  $\sigma^z$  in Eq. 10.

As it was above carried out for the ground state, we are now able to calculate the entropy  $S_{q,2}(T)$  at finite temperature [2,4] from the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i(T)$  and under the

assumption that the color at finite temperature have the same energy eigenstates, we find

$$S_{q,2}(T) = - \sum_{i=\pm 1} \lambda_i(T) \ln \lambda_i(T) \quad (12)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) \ln \left[ \frac{1}{2} (1 - e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) \right] \\ -\frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) \ln \left[ \frac{1}{2} (1 + e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) \right] \quad (13)$$

In the low temperature limit we can immediately find that the ground state quark entropy  $S_{q,2}(0)$  has again, as in Eq. 4, the value of  $\ln 2$ , a completely equally mixed quark color state. However in the high temperature limit the contribution to the entropy of the first state vanishes from deoccupation, while the second state becomes a pure state with a probability of one, which also contributes a vanishing value to the entropy. In Fig. 1 we look at some particular cases for  $S_{q,2}(T)$  both at lower and higher temperatures. In Fig. 1a we see how the single quark entropy varies in a range of temperature up to 50 MeV with quark masses 1, 5 and 10 MeV. We notice that in all cases the entropies monotonically decline in this region meaning that the mixing of the states continually decreases. For the sake of comparison in Fig. 1b we look at some larger quark masses in a much larger temperature range. We see the same tendency for the separation of the states. We note that with increasing quark masses the range of temperature within which the entropy is entirely determined by the ground state value becomes wider and the entropy remains larger for higher temperatures. This reflects the importance of the ground state entropy for the massive quark systems. Thus in this model for  $SU(2)$  colored quark states we find in the high temperature limit a pure single colored quark state in the classical sense. Whereupon, we would expect a pure quark phase in which all the correlations between the different colors have vanished - free quarks!

### 3.2 $SU(3)$ Thermodynamical Model

Now we construct a similar model for  $SU(3)$  with the right quark mixing in the ground state, which also provides the proper probabilities for each of the states from the trace condition  $\text{Tr} \rho = \sum_i p_i = 1$  (section 2). Again we demand that the energy eigenstates remain the same for each of the color states  $|i\rangle$ . We think that the eighth (diagonal) Gell-Mann matrix  $\lambda_8$  is not a very suitable choice as a weighting matrix for the thermal states even though it maintains the trace condition for the probability. The eighth Gell-Mann matrix weights the third color twice as much as the other two taken individually. Therefrom, we should look for another weighting matrix for the thermal states of  $SU(3)$ . A good possibility, which we shall choose, is that we take the three complex roots of  $-1$ , which are  $\mathcal{R}_0 = -1$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_1 = \exp i\pi/3$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2 = \exp -i\pi/3$ .

$$\rho_{q,3} = \frac{1}{3} [\mathbf{1}_3 + (\mathcal{R}_0|0\rangle\langle 0| + \mathcal{R}_1|1\rangle\langle 1| + \mathcal{R}_2|2\rangle\langle 2|) e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}] \quad (14)$$






Figure 2: The left panel shows the single quark entropy change for various quark masses as a function of temperature  $T$  for  $SU(3)$ , Eq. 20. The right panel gives details on this behavior. We plot separately the two contributing terms of Eq. 20 for masses, 1, 50 and 500 MeV. The curves in bottom part of the figure represent only the first term.

Then we get three eigenvalues:

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_0 &= \frac{1}{3} (1 - e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}), \\
\lambda_1 &= \frac{1}{3} (1 + e^{i\pi/3} e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}), \\
\lambda_2 &= \frac{1}{3} (1 + e^{-i\pi/3} e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T})
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

We may write the three roots as the weights  $w_i$  for the states  $|i\rangle$  with the Boltzmann factor. Thus the reduced quark density matrix, Eq. 14 for  $SU(3)$  reads

$$\rho_{q,3}(T) = \frac{1}{3} (\mathbb{1}_3 + |i\rangle w_i e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T} \langle i|). \tag{16}$$

Clearly, in the low temperature limit we get back the completely mixed state with a probability of  $1/3$  for each color state  $|i\rangle$ . We are able to calculate the entropy  $S_{q,3}(T)$ , Eq. 12 by carefully using the proper definitions for the complex logarithms.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{q,3}(T) &= -\frac{1}{3} (1 - e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) \ln \left[ \frac{1}{3} (1 - e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) \right] \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{3} (1 + e^{i\pi/3} e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) \ln \left[ \frac{1}{3} (1 + e^{i\pi/3} e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) \right] \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{3} (1 + e^{-i\pi/3} e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) \ln \left[ \frac{1}{3} (1 + e^{-i\pi/3} e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Using the properties of the logarithms of complex variable<sup>2</sup> we can write the real part as

$$z = \frac{1}{3} [1 + e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T} + e^{-2\varepsilon(p)/T}]^{1/2} \tag{18}$$

<sup>2</sup>For the phase  $-\pi < \theta \leq +\pi$  we define the complex variable as  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{R}eZ e^{i\theta}$ .

and the phase is given by

$$\theta = \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{3} e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}}{2 + e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}} \right) \quad (19)$$

After a little algebra we find that the single quark quantum entropy at finite temperature for  $SU(3)$  reads

$$S_{q,3}(T) = -\frac{1}{3} (1 - e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) \ln \left[ \frac{1}{3} (1 - e^{-\varepsilon(p)/T}) \right] - 2z [\ln(z) \cos(\theta) - \theta \sin(\theta)], \quad (20)$$

In the low temperature limit we have  $z$  just equal to  $1/3$  and  $\theta$  is exactly zero. Then the quark entropy is clearly again just  $\ln 3$ . However, in the limit of very high temperature  $z$  becomes  $1/\sqrt{3}$  and  $\theta$  is just  $\pi/6$ . The contribution of the first term of Eq. 20 to  $S_{q,3}(T)$  simply vanishes as was the case for  $S_{q,2}(T)$  (review Fig. 1). However, the second term still remains at high temperatures leaving a limiting entropy of 0.8516. We see this effect in Fig. 2 where we have plotted  $S_{q,3}(T)$  for various values of the quark masses. We note that the first term behaves qualitatively very similarly to the single quark for  $SU(2)$  in Fig. 1. The entropy begins from  $\ln 3/3$  and exponentially decreases with the temperature  $T$ . The second term has a remarkable dependence on  $T$ . For  $T \rightarrow 0$ , it has the value of  $2/3 (\ln 3)$  analogously to  $SU(2)$ . With increasing temperature it rapidly goes to the asymptotic value  $(\ln 3)/2 + \pi(\sqrt{3}/18)$ . Furthermore, we note that by decreasing the mass it limits the range of temperature to reach this asymptotic region. Since the asymptotic value at high temperatures has remained more than three quarters of its ground state value of  $\ln 3$ , there are still considerable correlations between two of the three color states. This observation points to an important fact about the structure of  $SU(3)$  in these statistical models: the root structure forbids a complete cancellation of the real solutions which is needed to maintain the trace condition on the reduced density matrix. Thus two states are always matched against one. Hence for this model in the high temperature limit one color vanishes while the other two remain mixed and thereby correlated. The ground state favors the color singlet state with complete mixing. In this model the high temperature limit favors the octet states involving mostly two colors. This situation for  $SU(3)$  can be contrasted with  $SU(2)$  where the triplet and the singlet states have the same reduced density matrices except for the pure triplet states.

## 4 Spin Models with Strong Correlations

Our objective in this section is to further investigate the structure of the entropy for some known spin models at finite temperature in which strong correlations exist. The general category of all spin models goes under the name of the Heisenberg model, which is the central to the theory of magnetism [14]. In general, the Hamiltonian for




Figure 3: The entropy for 1D Ising model without external field calculated from the canonical Hamiltonian, Eq. 22, depicted in dependence upon the temperature  $T$  and for different values of  $J$ , the exchange coupling. Two asymptotic regions exist here. They are  $S \rightarrow 0$  and  $S \rightarrow \ln 2$  for temperatures  $T \rightarrow 0$  and  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , respectively. In the right panel we depict the entropy difference from the  $SU(2)$  ground state entropy,  $\ln 2$ , (see text). This entropy difference (Eq. 26) represents the ground state entropy for the 1D Ising model at finite temperature.

these models involves the vector of spin matrices  $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{r}_i)$  for an electron at the position  $\mathbf{r}_i$  coupled to another electron with the vector of spin matrices  $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{r}_j)$  at position  $\mathbf{r}_j$

$$\mathcal{H} = -2 \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_j, \quad (21)$$

where  $J_{ij}$  is the exchange integral arising from the integration over the interaction containing the overlap of the spatial wavefunctions of the two electrons located at the two points  $\mathbf{r}_i$  and  $\mathbf{r}_j$ . The relation of this exchange integral  $J_{ij}$  to the different spin directions  $\mathbf{s}_i$  and  $\mathbf{s}_j$  determines the local structure of the interaction.

## 4.1 Classical Ising spin chain

The simplest special case of the electron-electron interaction is the Ising nearest neighbor chain interaction, for which only the spin matrices in the z-direction  $s_i^z$  ( $\equiv \sigma^z$  Pauli spin matrix) and  $s_{i+1}^z$  are present. Then the interaction Hamiltonian is simply

$$\mathcal{H}_{Ising} = -J \sum_i s_i^z s_{i+1}^z - h \sum_i s_i^z \quad (22)$$

where  $J$  is the simple nearest neighbor coupling and  $h$  is the external magnetic field. The interaction in Ising model is a completely classical since the spin operators  $s_i^z$  can be replaced simply by their diagonal values. The  $SU(2)$  group structure ordinary reduces to its center  $Z(2)$ . Therefore, in the ground state maximum two states are expected. In other words, each spin can equally have one of the two directions up and down.

The entropy per spin at finite temperature for  $h = 0$  reads

$$\frac{S(T)}{N} = \ln [1 + e^{-2J/T}] + \frac{J}{T} \left[ 1 - \tanh \left( \frac{J}{T} \right) \right] \quad (23)$$

We see these results in Fig. 3a, where we have purposely taken the energy scale for  $J$  to compare with  $S_{q,2}$  in Fig. 1a. It is clear that the entropy is vanishing for vanishing temperatures but for high temperature,  $S \rightarrow \ln 2$ . This means that the ground state of Ising model does not reflect the structure of  $SU(2)$  symmetry group. In  $SU(2)$  there are two states even at zero temperature, which leads to one spin entropy equals to  $\ln 2$ . Therefore, the canonical results in Fig. 3a indicates the fact that the ground state structure in the Ising model is not included in the grand canonical partition function. It is clear that the deviation from the characterized  $SU(2)$  structure is strongly depending upon the exchange coupling,  $J$ . For all temperatures but only if  $J = 0$ , the entropy per spin always equals to  $\ln 2$ .

In doing a comparison with our models given in section 3.1, we are left with an ad hoc inclusion of an additional part of the entropy reflecting the ground state structure in the Ising model. Therefore, we recall the structure of the Heisenberg model, for which we can write down a wavefunction for the strong correlated spin matrices  $\sigma^z$  at  $T = 0$ . Thus the density matrix for the two possible states  $|0\rangle$ ,  $|1\rangle$  which are corresponding to the two spin directions  $|\uparrow\rangle$ ,  $|\downarrow\rangle$ , respectively, reads

$$\rho = \sum |\Psi\rangle P_\Psi \langle\Psi| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle\langle 0^*| + |1\rangle\langle 1^*|) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\langle 0| \langle 0^*| + \langle 1| \langle 1^*|), \quad (24)$$

where  $P_\Psi$  is the probability of each eigenstate  $\Psi$ . From the traceable E. 24 we get the reduced density matrix by projecting out the conjugated components.

$$\rho^r = \text{Tr}_b \rho = \frac{1}{2} (|0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|) \quad (25)$$

Obviously, the probability of each spin direction and correspondingly the two eigenvalues from the reduced density matrix, Eq. 25, are equal ( $\lambda = 1/2$ ). Plugging into Eq. 3, results in the entropy for single spin of 1D Ising model at zero temperature,  $S = \ln 2$ . In getting this value, we obviously assumed that  $J = 0$  and considered only the spin *eigen*fluctuation in the ground state. i.e, quantum states.

As we have done in section 3.1 (Eq. 10), we subtract from this value the  $T$ -depending entropy part resulting in the temperature dependence of the ground state (quantum) entropy

$$S(T) \equiv \ln 2 - S_{Ising}(T) \quad (26)$$

These results are given in Fig. 3b. At  $T = 0$ , the entropy starts from the value  $\ln 2$ . With increasing temperature the ground state entropy of the 1D Ising model monotonically decays. It reaches its asymptotic zero value for high  $T$ . Qualitatively, we got the same results for our models given in section 3.1 and graphically illustrated in Fig. 1 and 2. Obviously, we left arbitrary the units of the energy density in the Boltzmann term in Eq. 10 and of the exchange coupling in Eq. 23.

## 4.2 Quantum $XY$ spin chain




Figure 4: Entropy per spin site calculated for different temperatures for  $XY$ -model. (a) gives the results for constant  $h = 1.0$  but different  $\gamma$  values (see text). We note that with increasing  $T$  the entropy  $S$  decreases exponentially and the  $T$ -range within which  $S \rightarrow 0$  becomes wider with smaller  $\gamma$  values. Also decreasing  $\gamma$  increases the finite entropy and the system favors going toward the ground state value,  $\ln 2$ . Almost the same behavior can be seen in the plots (b) for  $h = 0.5$  and (c) for  $h = 0.005$ .

Another exactly solvable model relating to the Heisenberg model is the  $XY$  model [15, 16]. In one spatial dimension it is a highly correlated quantum mechanical system also in the ground state [17]. Furthermore, it is known [7, 17, 18] that the  $XY$ -model in the ground state has a very complex structure containing an oscillating region for small values of  $h$  and  $\gamma$  in addition to the expected ferromagnetic and paramagnetic phases for larger values of these parameters. Also, for a class of time dependent magnetic fields the magnetization has been shown to be nonergodic [17]. Recently, the correlations of the spins has been calculated using the reduced density matrix [7] in order to find the ground state entropy for a block of spins yielding the expected logarithmic behavior.

The Hamiltonian can be written in terms of the spin components in the  $x$  and  $y$  spin-directions with an external field in the  $z$ -direction

$$\mathcal{H}_{XY} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i [(1 + \gamma)s_i^x s_{i+1}^x + (1 - \gamma)s_i^y s_{i+1}^y] - h \sum_i s_i^z \quad (27)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the spin anisotropy parameter in the  $x$  and  $y$  spin-directions. The exact solution of this model has been carried out long ago [15, 16]. The spins are allowed to take an arbitrary angle,  $k \in [0, 2\pi]$  with cyclic boundary conditions. By using the fermionic variables,  $a_k^\dagger, a_k$  the Hamiltonian<sup>3</sup> may be written as

$$H = \sum_k \Lambda_k \left( a_k^\dagger a_k - \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad (28)$$

$$\Lambda_k^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \left[ (h-1)^2 + 4(h-1+\gamma^2) \sin^2\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) - 4(\gamma^2-1) \sin^4\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) \right] \quad (29)$$

Then the entropy per spin site at finite temperature reads

$$\frac{S_\gamma(T)}{N} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[ \int_0^{\pi/2} dk \ln(1 + e^{-(\Lambda_k - \mu)/T}) + \frac{1}{T} \int_0^{\pi/2} dk \frac{e^{\Lambda_k/T} (\mu - \Lambda_k)}{(e^{\Lambda_k/T} + e^{\mu/T})} \right] \quad (30)$$

The entropy per spin given in Eq. 30 represents the change of the mixing of the finite temperature state from the ground state due to the thermal effects. Since the quantum Ising model with  $\gamma = 1$  has always the smallest value of  $\mathcal{S}_{XY}$ , the effects of spin mixing disappear at the lower temperature. From these plots we see that  $\mathcal{S}_{XY}$  yields results analogous to our models for  $SU(2)$  and  $SU(3)$  discussed in section 3, depending upon the units of energy density, coupling, and the parameters,  $h$  and  $\gamma$ . In Fig. 4 we plot the entropy per spin site versus the temperature  $T$  and for different value of  $h$  and  $\gamma$ . Depending on these parameters the results of  $XY$  model given in Fig. 4 can be compared with that of the Ising model, Eq. 3. The condition is that  $\gamma = 1$  and  $h \rightarrow 0$ . We should notice the arbitrary units in both figures. For  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$  the value of the ground state entropy favours to stay constant for all temperatures. The same results are found in 1D Ising model for the limits  $J \rightarrow 0$ . We can conclude that the ground state entropy for the  $XY$  spin chain are qualitatively comparable with our models for the  $SU(2)$  and  $SU(3)$ .

## 5 Discussion

We have calculated the entropy for colored quark states in the hadron singlet and octet structures and extended our considerations to finite temperatures. According to the third law of thermodynamics the pure states, such as completely specified enclosed hadronic systems, possess a vanishing entropy at very low temperatures. Therefore, the hadron bags as macroscopic isolated objects are expected to have zero entropy at a vanishing temperature. However, if we further consider the *microscopic* hadron *subsystems* at low temperatures up to the quark mass, the quantum entropy is seen to have a finite value. It is a curious fact that this value is usually simply ignored or approximately taken to be zero especially for classical systems, although it had been already recognized since the nineteenth

---

<sup>3</sup>The positive sign of Hamiltonian refers to attractive nearest neighbor spin interactions. By rotating the chain along the spin  $z$  direction to every second spin one can flip this sign [7].

century [3] and confirmed for the laws of quantization of statistical states for the thermodynamics in last century [2, 4, 5]. Therefore, we think that there is no compelling reason to assume that the value of the ground state entropy of quantum or even classical *subsystems* is zero, just because one believes that the system to which they are assigned is enclosed and thereby must have a vanishing entropy. The *subsystems* have other degrees of mixing and thereby a finite entropy, even if the whole closed system is isolated and consequently has a vanishing entropy. Nevertheless, the ground state entropy is important and therefore should be taken into consider at low temperatures. As we have seen the upper limit of temperature of the validity or the importance of the ground state entropy is characterized by the quark mass, i.e.,  $T \in [0, m]$ . For light quarks and if we are interested on the QCD phase transition or on the quark matter at very high temperatures, the ground state entropy of colored quarks is no longer significant. On the other hand, in the interior of stellar compact objects, cold dense quark matter is highly expected. for which the ground state entropy would play an important role, especially, on understanding the superconductivity on cold dense quark matter [19] and the phase transition from neutron to quark matter in the hybrid stars and the stability and structure of these compact stars [20, 21].

The quark and antiquark mathematically build up the Schmidt decompositions of meson-state, where the Schmidt numbers simply represent the normalization of their wavefunctions. Furthermore, from the quantum teleportation we know that the colored quark and antiquark can be considered as mutual purifications for each other. Each single state is equally weighted in the decomposition of meson states, from which each state possesses an equal probability. On the other hand each single quark state represents a certain degree of mixing and therefore has a finite entropy although the meson state – as a pure isolated state – must have zero entropy. The baryon states have the doubly reduced density matrix for each single quark state appearing twice. The reduced density matrix give the spatial mixing of *subsystems*. The resulting quantum entropy at zero temperature gives the maximal quantum entropy for completely mixed states.

As we have seen the octet states are much more complex than the singlet states, since the octets have many more and quite different states. When we looked at the Pauli matrices we realized that the eighth Gell-Mann matrix,  $\lambda_8$ , counts also the other states and generally no one of them can be considered as a purification of the other states. At finite temperature the octet structure becomes much more complex. The reason for this is that with increasing temperature the correlations between the states become stronger, and at high temperature the correlated states reach the asymptotic value. At high temperatures the states of the Pauli type become more probable. Furthermore, the pure ground state becomes no longer possible. Thus only the unoccupied states are the most available at high temperature.

We have postulated simple models for the thermal dependence of the ground state entropy. We saw that the singlet state is a completely mixed state with the maximum value of the entropy given by  $\ln 3$  at vanishing temperature. We have

used a Boltzmann-like factor for the thermal dependence of the entropy. With increasing temperature the reduction of the ground state entropy is still continuing. At high temperature the mixing and consequently the entropy vanish entirely. The octet states show qualitatively the same results. The behavior of octet state with the temperature reflects the complexity of their basic structure. We have noted that by decreasing the quark masses the range of temperatures becomes limited for reaching this asymptotic region. Since the asymptotic value at high temperatures has remained more than three quarters of its ground state value of  $\ln 3$ , there are still considerable correlations between two of the three color states. This observation is based on the complex structure of  $SU(3)$ . Obviously, the root structure forbids a complete cancellation of the real solutions which is needed to maintain the trace condition on the reduced density matrix. Thus two states are always matched against one, which forms the subsystem. Hence in the high temperature limit one color vanishes while the other two remain mixed and thereby correlated. We can conclude that ground state favors the color singlet state with complete mixing, meanwhile  $SU(3)$  – in the high temperature limit – favors the octet states involving mostly two colors. This situation for  $SU(3)$  can be contrasted with  $SU(2)$  where the triplet and the singlet states have the same reduced density matrices except for the pure triplet states.

In order to further model the ground state structure and the entropy of  $SU(2)$ , we utilized some known *classical* and *quantum* spin chains, which have strong correlations at finite temperature. We investigate the behavior of their Hamiltonians at finite temperature. The simplest and widely used *classical* spin model is the one-dimensional Ising model. The other solvable model which is related to Heisenberg model is  $XY$  spin chain. It is a highly correlated quantum mechanical system in the ground state. One of the most valuable results we have gotten from our investigation here is that the classical spin model is not able to successfully describe the ground state. The entropy from the partition function is simply zero at zero temperature. Thus we plot the entropy differences of the finite temperature states to the ground state. If we additionally include thermal terms similar to those in our models for  $SU(2)$  and  $SU(3)$  the entropy starts from zero and monotonically increases up to the asymptotic value of  $\ln 2$ . Also we have found that this asymptotic limit does not depend on the spatial dimension. Particularity with regard to the  $XY$ -model it has a nontrivial structure depending on the parameters of spin asymmetry and external field, which has been recently investigated through the correlation functions in the ground state [7].

## 6 Conclusions and Outlook

In this work we have compared the quantum definitions as contrasted to the classical concepts of entropy in relation to the temperature. We have noticed that in general the quantum definition is important in the low temperature limit, while the classical concepts usually relate to higher temperatures. First we have discussed



the ground state entropy, which is strictly a quantum definition and does not itself appear in classical physics. We have evaluated and contrasted the symmetry structure for both the  $SU(2)$  and  $SU(3)$  color groups. For these symmetries we have used simple thermodynamical models involving the color ground state entropy to show how the quantum mixing entropy disappears for the entropy differences with increasing temperature. From this result we have also discussed how this disappearance can give rise to new pure states in the high temperature limit.

One motivation for this work has been to study the correlation between the quarks and antiquarks. In recent QCD lattice simulations one can find indications of ground state behavior at short distances and low temperatures [9–11]. These results could very well relate to the entropy we described above. As a next step we want to look into the thermodynamical properties of these correlations in relation to the present study [22]. There are many application of the finite entropy of colored quarks at zero and very low temperatures much below the temperature of QCD phase transition from hadron to quark-gluon plasma [23, 24]. This endeavor could help demonstrate the usefulness of the quantum entropy in the description of the thermal properties of the strong interaction at very low temperatures. Furthermore, in two outcoming works we shall include the effects of the gluons and the chiral symmetry in the future. We will also consider the effects of finite value of entropy at low temperature on the pressure inside the hadron bag [25]. Also the effects of the quantum entropy on the condensates of quark pairs with strong correlations and at very low temperatures and very high quark chemical potentials [26].

Thus we have seen in several different models how the usual thermodynamical entropy gotten from the evaluation of the partition function acts as a means of disorganizing the ground state. It undoes the entanglement in some cases completely and in others only partially. Therefore it has the effect of lowering the correlations between the quarks and antiquarks seen in the ground state.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Frithjof Karsch, Krzysztof Redlich and Helmut Satz for the very helpful discussions. D.E.M. is very grateful to the Pennsylvania State University Hazleton for the sabbatical leave of absence and to the Fakultät für Physik der Universität Bielefeld.

## References

- [1] R. Balian, M. Vénéroni and N. Balazs, *Europhy. Lett.* **1** (1986) 1
- [2] J. von Neumann *Mathematische Grundlagen der Quantenmechanik*, (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1932)
- [3] M. Planck, *Vorlesungen über Thermodynamik*, (Walter De Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1964)

- [4] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz *Course of Theoretical Physics*, Vol. 3, Quantum Mechanics, Vol. 5, Statistical Physics (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1987).
- [5] E. Schrödinger, *Statistical Thermodynamics*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1946)
- [6] D. E. Miller, *Entropy for  $SU(3)_c$  Quark States*, arXiv:hep-ph/0306302
- [7] G. Vidal, J. I. Latorre, R. Rico, and A. Kitaev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90** (2003) 227902;  
J. I. Latorre, R. Rico and G. Vidal, arXiv:quant-ph/0304098
- [8] R. P. Feynman, *Statistical Physics*, ed. R. Kikuchi, H. A. Feiveson and J. Shahan, (W.A.Benjamin Inc., Reading, Massachusetts, 1972)
- [9] F. Zantow, O. Kaczmarek, F. Karsch and P. Petreczky, Workshop on *Strong and Electroweak Matter (SEWM 2002)*, Heidelberg, Germany, 2-5 Oct 2002.
- [10] O. Kaczmarek, S. Ejiri, F. Karsch, E. Laermann and F. Zantow, *Heavy quark free energies and the renormalized Polyakov loop in full QCD*, hep-lat/0312015
- [11] F. Zantow, Doctoral Thesis, University of Bielefeld, 2004
- [12] J. F. Donoghue, E. Golovich and B. R. Holstein, *Dynamics of the Standard Model*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992)
- [13] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000)
- [14] K. Yosida, *Theory of Magnetism*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996)
- [15] E. Lieb, T. Schultz and D. Mattis, Ann. Phys. **6** (1961) 407
- [16] S. Katsura, Phys. Rev. **127** (1962) 1508
- [17] E. Barouch, B. M. McCoy and M. Dresden, Phys. Rev. **A2** (1970) 1075;  
E. Barouch and B. M. McCoy, Phys. Rev. **A3** (1971) 786
- [18] M. Henkel, *Conformal Invariance and Critical Phenomena*, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999)
- [19] D. H. Rischke and R. D. Pisarski, *Color superconductivity in cold, dense quark matter*, arXiv:nucl-th/0004016
- [20] D. Blaschke, H. Grigorian, D. N. Aguilera, S. Yasui and H. Toki, *Effects of quark matter and color superconductivity in compact stars*, arXiv:hep-ph/0301087
- [21] M. Buballa, F. Neumann, M. Oertel, I. Shovkovy, *Quark mass effects on the stability of hybrid stars*, arXiv:hep-ph/0311078
- [22] D. E. Miller and A. Tawfik, in preparation

- [23] F. Karsch, K. Redlich and A. Tawfik, Eur. Phys. J. **C29** (2003) 549
- [24] F. Karsch, K. Redlich and A. Tawfik, Phys. Lett. **B571** (2003) 67
- [25] D. E. Miller and A. Tawfik, *The effects of colored quark entropy on the bag pressure*, arXiv:hep-ph/0309139
- [26] D. E. Miller and A. Tawfik, *Entanglement on condensates involving strong interactions*, arXiv:hep-ph/0312368