

Single Top Production and Extra Dimensions

Alakabha Datta¹

*Lab Rene. J. -A. Levesque, Universite de Montreal,
C.P 6128 succrsale centre-ville
QC, H3C 3J7, Canada*

Abstract

In extra dimension theories, where the gauge bosons of the standard model propagate in the bulk of the extra dimensions, there are Kaluza-Klein excitations of the standard model gauge bosons that can couple to the standard model fermions. In this paper we study the effects of the excited Kaluza-Klein modes of the W on single top production at the Tevatron.

Single top production is a very useful probe of the electroweak properties of the top quark which is believed to be intimately connected to electroweak symmetry breaking. For instance single top production offers the possibility of measuring the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix element V_{tb} , which is constrained by the unitarity of the CKM matrix to be ~ 1 , and the polarization of the top quark can probe the $V - A$ nature of the weak interaction [1]. Single top production occurs within the SM in three different channels, the s -channel W^* production, $q\bar{q}' \rightarrow W^* \rightarrow t\bar{b}$ the t -channel W -exchange mode, $bq \rightarrow tq'$ (sometimes referred to as W -gluon fusion), and through tW^- production. The theory of single top production in the Standard Model(SM) has

¹email: datta@lps.umontreal.ca

been extensively studied in the literature [2] while on the experimental front the D0 [3] and CDF [4] collaborations have already set limits on both the s -channel and t -channel cross sections using data collected during run I of the Fermilab Tevatron, and one expects discovery of these modes at the current run II. Measurement of the production cross section and of single top quarks is also planned at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [5].

The large mass of the top quark, comparable to the electroweak symmetry breaking scale, which makes the top quark sector very sensitive to new physics [6]. effects of new physics in single top production have been studied extensively[7]. In this talk based on [8] we consider effects that extra dimension theories can produce in single top production at the Tevatron. If in such theories, the gauge fields of the Standard Model(SM) live in the bulk of the extra dimensions then they will have Kaluza-Klein(KK) excitations and the KK excited W will contribute to single top production. To study the physics of the KK excited W we use a model which is based on a simple extension of the SM to 5 dimensions (5D) [9]. However, as discussed above, we do not assume that this model represents all the physics beyond the standard model. The 5D SM is probably a part of a more fundamental underlying theory. The details of this model can be found in Ref.[9]

The piece of the effective Lagrangian, obtained after integrating over the fifth dimension, that is relevant to our calculation is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{ch} = \sum_{a=1}^2 \mathcal{L}_a^{ch} + \mathcal{L}_{new} \quad (1)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_a^{ch} &= \frac{1}{2} m_W^2 W_a \cdot W_a + \frac{1}{2} M_c^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 W_a^{(n)} \cdot W_a^{(n)} \\ &- g W_a \cdot J_a - g \sqrt{2} J_a^{KK} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_a^{(n)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $m_W^2 = g^2 v^2 / 2$, the weak angle θ is defined by $e = g s_\theta = g' c_\theta$, while the currents are

$$J_{a\mu} = \sum_{\psi} \bar{\psi}_L \gamma_\mu \frac{\sigma_a}{2} \psi_L,$$

$$J_{a\mu}^{KK} = \sum_{\psi} \varepsilon^{\psi_L} \bar{\psi}_L \gamma_{\mu} \frac{\sigma_a}{2} \psi_L. \quad (3)$$

Here ε^{ψ_L} takes the value 1(0) for the ψ_L living in the boundary(bulk). The mass of the n^{th} excited KK state of the W is given by $nM_c = n/R$ where R is the compactification radius. In this work we consider only the $n = 1$ state. The term \mathcal{L}_{new} represents the additional new physics beyond the 5 dimensional standard model the structure of which remains unknown till the full underlying theory is understood. The coupling of KK excited W to the standard model is determined in terms of the Fermi coupling, G_F , up to corrections of $O(m_Z^2/M_c^2)$ [9]. For $M_c \sim \text{TeV}$ the $O(m_Z^2/M_c^2)$ effects are small for single top production and therefore we do not include these effects in our calculations. We have ignored the mixing of the W with W_{KK} which is also an $O(m_Z^2/M_c^2)$ effect. Thus, assuming the W_{KK} decays only to standard model particles, the predicted effect of W_{KK} on single top production depends, in addition to the SM parameters, only on the unknown mass of the W_{KK} .

The cross section for $p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{b}X$ is given by

$$\sigma(p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{b}X) = \int dx_1 dx_2 [u(x_1)\bar{d}(x_2) + u(x_2)\bar{d}(x_1)] \sigma(u\bar{d} \rightarrow t\bar{b}). \quad (4)$$

Here $u(x_i)$, $\bar{d}(x_i)$ are the u and the \bar{d} structure functions, x_1 and x_2 are the parton momentum fractions and the indices $i = 1$ and $i = 2$ refer to the proton and the antiproton. The cross section for the process

$$u(p_1) + \bar{d}(p_2) \rightarrow W^* \rightarrow \bar{b}(p_3) + t(p_4),$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma &= \sigma_{SM} \left[1 + 4\frac{A}{D} + 4\frac{C}{D} \right], \\ A &= (s - M_W^2)(s - M_{W_{KK}}^2) + M_W M_{W_{KK}} \Gamma_W \Gamma_{W_{KK}}, \\ C &= (s - M_W^2)^2 + (M_W \Gamma_W)^2, \\ D &= (s - M_{W_{KK}}^2)^2 + (M_{W_{KK}} \Gamma_{W_{KK}})^2, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\sigma_{SM} = \frac{g^4}{384\pi} \frac{(2s + M_t^2)(s - M_t^2)^2}{s^2[(s - M_W^2)^2 + (M_W\Gamma_W)^2]}. \quad (6)$$

Here $s = x_1x_2S$ is the parton center of mass energy while S is the $p\bar{p}$ center of mass energy . To calculate the width of the W_{KK} we will assume that it decays only to the standard model particles. The W_{KK} will then have the same decays as the W boson but in addition it can also decay to a top-bottom pair which is kinematically forbidden for the W boson. The width of the W_{KK} , $\Gamma_{W_{KK}}$, is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{W_{KK}} &\approx \frac{2M_{W_{KK}}}{M_W}\Gamma_W + \frac{2M_{W_{KK}}}{3M_W}\Gamma_W \cdot X, \\ X &= \left(1 - \frac{M_t^2}{M_{W_{KK}}^2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{M_t^2}{2M_{W_{KK}}^2} - \frac{M_t^4}{M_{W_{KK}}^4}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where Γ_W is the width of the W boson and we have neglected the mass of the b quark along with the masses of the lighter quarks and the leptons.

In Fig. 1, we plot $\Delta\sigma/\sigma$ versus $M_{W_{KK}}$, the mass of the first excited KK W state, where $\Delta\sigma$ is the change in the single top production cross section in the presence of W_{KK} and σ is the standard model cross section². We have used the CTEQ [10] structure functions for our calculations and obtain a standard model cross section of 0.30 pb for the process $p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{b}X$ at $\sqrt{S} = 2\text{TeV}$. We observe from Fig. 1 that the presence of W_{KK} can lower the cross section by as much as 25 % for $M_{W_{KK}} \sim 1\text{TeV}$. The inclusion of the higher KK resonance can then lower the cross section by more than 40%. This has an important implication for the measurement of V_{tb} using the s-channel mode at the Tevatron. It was pointed out in Ref[11] that there could be models where the presence of an additional W (denoted as W') could lead to a measurement of the cross section for the s-channel $p\bar{p} \rightarrow t\bar{b}X$ smaller than the standard model prediction. This could, as pointed out in Ref [11], lead one to conclude that $V_{tb} < 1$ which could then be wrongly interpreted as

² We have not included the QCD and Yukawa corrections to the single top quark production rate. They will enhance the total rate, but not change the percentage of the correction of new physics to the cross section.

evidence for the existence of new generation(s) of fermions mixed with the third generation. This work provides a specific example of such a model but the key point is that if V_{tb} were less than unity, one would see a decrease of *both* the s-channel and the t-channel cross section unlike the KK excited W case whose effect would mainly be on the s-channel process and not so much on the t-channel process. Furthermore, most realistic models with an extra W' do not predict a large decrease in the s-channel cross section[12]. Hence a large decrease of the s-channel cross section *without* a corresponding decrease in the t-channel cross section would indicate the presence of KK excited W bosons.

Acknowledgment: This work was supported in part by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

- [1] G. Mahlon and S. Parke, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 7249 (1997); G. Mahlon and S. Parke, Phys. Lett. B **476**, 323 (2000).
- [2] S. S. Willenbrock and D. A. Dicus, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 155 (1986); C. P. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 42 (1990); S. Cortese and R. Petronzio, Phys. Lett. B **253**, 494 (1991); R. K. Ellis and S. Parke, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 3785 (1992); D. O. Carlson and C. P. Yuan, Phys. Lett. B **306**, 386 (1993); T. Stelzer and S. Willenbrock, Phys. Lett. B **357**, 125 (1995); A. P. Heinson, A. S. Belyaev and E. E. Boos, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 3114 (1997); T. Stelzer, Z. Sullivan and S. Willenbrock, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 094021 (1998); B. W. Harris, E. Laenen, L. Phaf, Z. Sullivan and S. Weinzierl, arXiv:hep-ph/0207055.
- [3] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **517**, 282 (2001); B. Abbott *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **63**, 031101 (2001); A. P. Heinson [D0 Collaboration], Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16S1A**, 386 (2001).

- [4] C. I. Ciobanu [CDF Collaboration], *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **16S1A**, 389 (2001); D. Acosta *et al.* [CDF Collaboration], *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 091102 (2002); T. Kikuchi, S. K. Wolinski, L. Demortier, S. Kim, and P. Savard [CDF Collaboration], *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **16S1A**, 382 (2001).
- [5] M. Beneke *et al.*, in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Standard Model Physics (and More) at the LHC*, eds. G. Altarelli, and M. L. Mangano (CERN, Geneva, 2000), p. 456, arXiv:hep-ph/0003033.
- [6] R. D. Peccei and X. Zhang, *Nucl. Phys. B* **337**, 269 (1990); R. D. Peccei, S. Peris and X. Zhang, *Nucl. Phys. B* **349**, 305 (1991).
- [7] D. O. Carlson, E. Malkawi, and C. P. Yuan, *Phys. Lett. B* **337**, 145 (1994); G. L. Kane, G. A. Ladinsky and C. P. Yuan, *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 124 (1992); T. G. Rizzo, *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 6218 (1996); T. Tait and C. P. Yuan, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 7300 (1997); A. Datta and X. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 2530 (1997). K. Whisnant, J. M. Yang, B. L. Young and X. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 467 (1997); E. Boos, L. Dudko and T. Ohl, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **11**, 473 (1999); T. Tait and C. P. Yuan, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 014018 (2001); D. Espriu and J. Manzano, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 073005 (2002); E. H. Simmons, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 5494 (1997); G. Lu, Y. Cao, J. Huang, J. Zhang and Z. Xiao, arXiv:hep-ph/9701406. P. Baringer, P. Jain, D. W. McKay and L. L. Smith, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 2914 (1997); C. X. Yue and G. R. Lu, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **15**, 631 (1998); T. Tait and C. P. Yuan, arXiv:hep-ph/9710372; T. Han, M. Hosch, K. Whisnant, B. L. Young and X. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 073008 (1998); D. Atwood, S. Bar-Shalom, G. Eilam and A. Soni, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 5412 (1996); S. Bar-Shalom, D. Atwood and A. Soni, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 1495 (1998); E. Christova, S. Fichtinger, S. Kraml and W. Majerotto, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 094002 (2002); C. S. Li, R. J. Oakes and J. M. Yang, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 1672 (1997); C. S. Li, R. J. Oakes and J. M. Yang, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 5780 (1997);

- C. S. Li, R. J. Oakes, J. M. Yang and H. Y. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 2009 (1998);
A. Datta, J. M. Yang, B. L. Young and X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 3107 (1997);
R. J. Oakes, K. Whisnant, J. M. Yang, B. L. Young and X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **57**,
534 (1998); K. i. Hikasa, J. M. Yang and B. L. Young, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 114041 (1999);
P. Chiappetta, A. Deandrea, E. Nagy, S. Negroni, G. Polesello and J. M. Virey, Phys.
Rev. D **61**, 115008 (2000).
- [8] A. Datta, P. J. O'Donnell, Z. H. Lin, X. Zhang and T. Huang, Phys. Lett. B **483**, 203
(2000).
- [9] See A. Delgado, A. Pomarol and M. Quirós, JHEP **0001**, 030 (2000) [arXiv:hep-
ph/9911252] and references therein.
- [10] H. L. Lai *et.al*, CTEQ Collaboration, hep-ph/9903282.
- [11] See fourteenth reference in [7].
- [12] See tenth reference in [7]




Figure 1: $\Delta\sigma/\sigma_{SM}$ versus $M_{W_{KK}}$ the mass of the first KK excited W .