# Update of one-loop corrections for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ , first run of SANC system

A. Andonov, D. Bardin, S. Bondarenko<sup>∗</sup> , P. Christova, L. Kalinovskaya, and G. Nanava

Laboratory for Nuclear Problems, JINR, <sup>∗</sup> Bogoluobov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, ul. Joliot-Curie 6, RU-141980 Dubna, Russia

#### Abstract

We present a description of calculations of the amplitude for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow$  $f\bar{f}$  process with account of electroweak and QED one-loop corrections. This study is performed within the framework of the project SANC. The calculations are done within the OMS (on-mass-shell) renormalization scheme in two gauges: in  $R_{\xi}$ , which allows an explicit control of gauge invariance by examining cancellation of gauge parameters and search for gauge-invariant subsets of diagrams, and in the unitary gauge as a cross-check. The formulae we derived are realized in two independent FORTRAN codes, eeffLib, which was written in an old fashioned way, i.e. manually, and another one, created automatically with an aid of s2n.f (symbols to numbers) software — a part of SANC system. We present a comprehensive comparison with the results of the well-known program ZFITTER for all the light fermion production channels, as well as with the results existing in the world literature for the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ .

Submitted to Particles and Nuclei

Work supported by INTAS  $N^o$  00-00313.

E-mails: andonov@nusun.jinr.ru, bardin@nusun.jinr.ru, bondarenko@jinr.ru penchris@nusun.jinr.ru, kalinov@nusun.jinr.ru, nanava@nusun.jinr.ru

# Contents





## List of Figures



# <span id="page-3-0"></span>List of Tables



- 2 eeffLib–ZFITTER [comparison of the differential cross-section, leptonic channels.](#page-66-0) 66
- 3 eeffLib–ZFITTER [comparison of the differential cross-section, quarkonic channels.](#page-67-0) 67
- 4 eeffLib–ZFITTER [comparison of the total EW cross-sections.](#page-68-0) . 68
- [5 EWFF for the process](#page-69-1)  $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$ . Comparison of eefflib–s2n.f. 69
- 6  $d\sigma^{(1)}$  $\frac{d\sigma^{(1)}}{d\cos\vartheta}$  for the process  $e^+e^-\to t\bar{t}$ . Comparison of eefflib–s2n.f. 70
- 7  $d\sigma^{(1)}$  $\frac{d\sigma^{(1)}}{d\cos\vartheta}$  for the process  $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$  [with soft photons,](#page-70-2)  $E_{\gamma}^{\max} = \sqrt{s}/10$ . 70

## Introduction

The process  $e^+e^- \to f\bar{f}$  with taking into account of the higher-order Standard Model (SM) corrections has been studied already several decades, yet in pre-LEP times. We mention papers from late seventies, [\[1\]](#page-74-0), [\[2\]](#page-74-1), [\[3\]](#page-74-2) and [\[4\]](#page-74-3), which eventually lead to producing of precision theoretical predictions realized in the well-known computer codes of LEP-era: ZFITTER [\[5\]](#page-74-4), BHM [\[6\]](#page-74-5) ALIBABA [\[7\]](#page-74-6) and TOPAZ0 [\[8\]](#page-74-7). These codes played a very important role throughout the full life-time of LEP providing experimental community with precision tools for fitting of experimental data to the SM predictions, see, for instance [\[9\]](#page-74-8)–[\[10\]](#page-74-9). A comprehensive review of underlying theory and methods which has been used for creation of these codes may be found in the monograph [\[11\]](#page-74-10).

At LEP, the higher-order corrections for process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$  might be studied ignoring external fermion masses, since the cms energy was far below  $t\bar{t}$  threshold. However, studies of finite mass effects has been started more than ten years ago  $[12]$ ,  $[13]$  and  $[14]$  in connection with experiments at future linear colliders (see, for instance, the reviews [\[15\]](#page-74-14) and [\[16\]](#page-75-0)).

New wave of papers on finite mass effect was triggered by studies of the electroweak radiative corrections (EWRC) in the MSSM which may involve additional heavy fermions and sfermions, [\[17\]](#page-75-1)–[\[18\]](#page-75-2).

The process  $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$  in reality is a six-fermion process (see [\[19\]](#page-75-3)); one of the channels is shown in Fig. [1.](#page-4-0)



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Figure 1: The six-fermion  $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$  process.

However, the cross-section of a hard subprocess,  $\sigma(e^+e^- \to t\bar{t})$ , with tops on the mass shell is an ingredient within various approaches, such as DPA [\[20\]](#page-75-4) or the so-called Modified Perturbation Theory (MPT), see [\[21\]](#page-75-5).

One should emphasise that the treatment of even one-loop corrections with taking into account of finite-state fermion masses is extremely cumbersome and practically undouble 'manually'. Nowadays, all the calculations of such a kind are being performed with the aid of automatic computer systems, among which one should mention first of all FeynArts package, which exists already more than ten years [\[22\]](#page-75-6) and which is able to compute the cross-section of the process  $\sigma(e^+e^- \to t\bar{t})$  at the one-loop level.

In this article, we present a review of a new calculation (based on preprints [\[23\]](#page-75-7)–[\[25\]](#page-75-8)) of the  $e^+e^-\rightarrow f\bar{f}$  process at the one-loop level made with an aid of the computer system SANC where all the calculations from the Lagrangians up to numbers are going to be eventually automatized. This system is being cre-ated at the site brg.jinr.ru. It roots back to dozens of supporting form [\[26\]](#page-75-9) codes written by authors of the book [\[11\]](#page-74-10) while working on it. Later on, the idea came up to collect, order, unify and upgrade these codes up to the level of a 'computer system'. Its first phase is described in [\[25\]](#page-75-8).

One of main goals of this new calculation was to create a platform for the treatment of any  $2f \rightarrow 2f$  process within the SANC and to cross-check its results against the results obtained with the other existing codes for a rather complicated  $2f \rightarrow 2f$  process at one-loop level taking into account final state masses.

At present, SANC, like FeynArts, uses the OMS renormalization scheme, a complete presentation of which was made in [\[11\]](#page-74-10). However, for the first time the calculations are performed in two gauges simultaneously:  $R_{\xi}$  and the unitary gauge.

Note, that there was wide experience of calculations in the  $R_{\xi}$  gauge for processes such as  $H \to f\bar{f}$ ,  $WW, ZZ, \gamma Z, \gamma\gamma$ , or  $e^+e^- \to ZH, W\bar{W}$ . So, in [\[27\]](#page-75-10) and [\[28\]](#page-76-0) a complete set of one-loop counterterms for the SM is given. Electromagnetic form factors for arbitrary  $\xi$  are discussed in [\[29\]](#page-76-1) and [\[30\]](#page-76-2). Explicit expressions can be found in the CERN library program EEWW [\[31\]](#page-76-3).

However, we are not aware of the existence of calculations in the  $R_{\xi}$  gauge for the  $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$  process, although there are many studies in the  $\xi = 1$  gauge  $[12]$ – $[14]$  and  $[17]$ – $[18]$ .

Additional purposes of this review are:

- − to explicitly demonstrate gauge invariance in  $R_{\xi}$  by examining cancellation of gauge parameters for gauge-invariant subsets of diagrams;
- − to offer a possibility to compare the results with those in the unitary gauge, as a cross-check;
- − to present a self-contained list of results for one-loop amplitude in terms of Passarino–Veltman functions  $A_0$ ,  $B_0$ ,  $C_0$  and  $D_0$  (as well as *special* functions  $a_0$ ,  $b_0$ ,  $c_0$  and  $d_0$  which originate because of a particular form of the photonic propagator in the  $R_{\xi}$  gauge) and their combinations in the spirit of the book [\[11\]](#page-74-10), where the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}$  was not covered; this review may thus be considered as an Annex to the book, which completes its pedagogical aims for  $2f \rightarrow 2f$  processes at one loop;
- − to provide a FORTRAN code, eeffLib [\[32\]](#page-76-4) for the calculation of the crosssection of this process for a complementary use within the MPT framework;
- − to compare the results derived with the aid of eeffLib with the results of another code, which was created automatically using the **s2n**.f software — a part of SANC system, thereby benchmarking s2n.f software.

This review consists of seven sections.

In Section 1, we present the Born amplitude of the process, basically to introduce our notation and then define the basis in which the one-loop amplitude was calculated. We explain the splitting between QED and EW corrections and between 'dressed'  $\gamma$  and Z exchanges.

Section 2 contains explicit expressions for all the building blocks: selfenergies, vertices and boxes, both QED and EW. Note that no diagram was computed by hand. They all were supplied by the SANC system.

In Section 3, we describe the procedure of construction of the scalar form factors of the one-loop amplitudes out of the building blocks. One of the aims of this section was to create a frame for a subsequent realization of the renormalization procedure within the SANC project.

Section 4 contains explicit expressions for the improved Born approximation (IBA) cross-section and the explicit expressions for helicity amplitudes made of the scalar form factors at the one-loop level.

Section 5 contains some additional expressions for different QED contributions that might be derived analytically. They are not in the main stream of our approach: Lagrangian  $\rightarrow$  scalar form factors  $\rightarrow$  helicity amplitudes  $\rightarrow$ one-loop differential cross-section. However, they are useful for the pedagogical reasons, and their coding in complementary FORTRAN branches of eeffLib provided us with the powerful internal cross-checks of our codes for numerical calculations. In reality, the eeffLib version of February 2002 has three QED branches.

Finally, in Section 6 we present results of a comprehensive numerical comparison between eeffLib and ZFITTER. Here we also present a comparison with another of our codes, which was created automatically using the  $s2n.f$ software. We also present a comprehensive comparison between the results derived with our two codes and the results existing in the world literature. In particular, we found a high-precision agreement with FeynArts results up to 11 digits for the differential cross-sections with virtual corrections, and with recent results of [\[33\]](#page-76-5) within 8 digits, even when the soft photon radiation is included, see [\[34\]](#page-76-6)–[\[35\]](#page-76-7).

## <span id="page-7-1"></span><span id="page-7-0"></span>1 Amplitudes

#### 1.1 Born amplitudes

We begin with the Born amplitudes for the process  $e^+(p_+)e^-(p_-) \rightarrow$  $f(q_{-})\overline{f}(q_{+})$ , which is described by the two Feynman diagrams with  $\gamma$  and Z exchange. The Born amplitudes are:

<span id="page-7-3"></span>
$$
A_{\gamma}^{B} = eQ_{e} eQ_{f} \gamma_{\mu} \otimes \gamma_{\mu} \frac{-i}{Q^{2}} = -i 4\pi \alpha(0) \frac{Q_{e} Q_{f}}{Q^{2}} \gamma_{\mu} \otimes \gamma_{\mu}, \qquad (1.1)
$$

$$
A_Z^B = \left(\frac{e}{2s_W c_W}\right)^2 \gamma_\mu \left[I_e^{(3)} \gamma_+ - 2Q_e s_W^2\right] \otimes \gamma_\mu \left[I_f^{(3)} \gamma_+ - 2Q_f s_W^2\right] \frac{-i}{Q^2 + M_Z^2}
$$
  

$$
= -ie^2 \frac{1}{4s_W^2 c_W^2 (Q^2 + M_Z^2)} \left[I_e^{(3)} I_f^{(3)} \gamma_\mu \gamma_+ \otimes \gamma_\mu \gamma_+ + \delta_e I_f^{(3)} \gamma_\mu \otimes \gamma_\mu \gamma_+ + I_e^{(3)} \delta_f \gamma_\mu \gamma_+ \otimes \gamma_\mu + \delta_e \delta_f \gamma_\mu \otimes \gamma_\mu\right],
$$
(1.2)

where  $\gamma_{\pm} = 1 \pm \gamma_5$  and the symbol ⊗ is used in the following short-hand notation:

$$
\gamma_{\mu} (L_1 \gamma_+ + Q_1) \otimes \gamma_{\nu} (L_2 \gamma_+ + Q_2)
$$
  
=  $\bar{v} (p_+) \gamma_{\mu} (L_1 \gamma_+ + Q_1) u (p_-) \bar{u} (q_-) \gamma_{\nu} (L_2 \gamma_+ + Q_2) v (q_+);$  (1.3)

furthermore

$$
\delta_f = v_f - a_f = -2Q_f s_w^2. \tag{1.4}
$$

Introducing the LL,  $QL$ , LQ, and  $QQ$  structures, correspondingly (see last Eq.  $(1.2)$ , we have four structures to which the complete Born amplitude may be reduced: one for the  $\gamma$  exchange amplitude and four for the Z exchange amplitude ( $\gamma_{\mu} \otimes \gamma_{\mu}$  structure presents in both amplitudes).

# <span id="page-7-2"></span>1.2 One-loop amplitude for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$

For the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$  process at one loop, it is possible to consider a gaugeinvariant subset of electromagnetic corrections separately: QED vertices, AA and ZA boxes. Together with QED bremsstrahlung diagrams, it is free of infrared divergences. The total electroweak amplitude is a sum of 'dressed'  $\gamma$ and  $Z$  exchange amplitudes, plus the contribution from the weak box diagrams  $(WW \text{ and } ZZ \text{ boxes}).$ 

Contrary to the Born amplitude, the one-loop amplitude may be parametrized by 6 form factors, a number equal to the number of independent helicity amplitudes for this process if the electron mass is ignored and the unpolarized case is studied<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If the initial-state masses were not ignored too, we would have ten independent helicity amplitudes, ten structures and ten scalar form factors.

We work in the so-called  $LQD$  basis, in which the amplitude may be schematically represented as:

$$
\left[i\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{+}F_{L}^{e}\left(s\right)+i\gamma_{\mu}F_{Q}^{e}\left(s\right)\right]\otimes\left[i\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_{+}F_{L}^{f}\left(s\right)+i\gamma_{\mu}F_{Q}^{f}\left(s\right)+m_{f}ID_{\mu}F_{D}^{f}\left(s\right)\right],
$$
(1.5)

with

$$
D_{\mu} = (q_{+} - q_{-})_{\mu} \,. \tag{1.6}
$$

Every form factor in the  $R_{\xi}$  gauge could be represented as a sum of two terms:

$$
F_{L,Q,D}^{\xi}(s) = F_{L,Q,D}^{(1)}(s) + F_{L,Q,D}^{\text{add}}(s). \tag{1.7}
$$

The first term corresponds to the  $\xi = 1$  gauge and the second contains all  $\xi$ dependences and vanishes for  $\xi = 1$  by construction.

The LQD basis was found to be particularly convenient to explicitly demonstrate the cancellation of all ξ-dependent terms. We checked the cancellation of these terms in several groups of diagrams separately: the so-called A, Z, and H clusters, defined below; the W cluster together with the selfenergies and the  $WW$  box; and the  $AA$ ,  $ZA$  and  $ZZ$  boxes. Therefore, for our process we found seven separately gauge-invariant subgroups of diagrams: three in the QED sector, and four in the EW sector.

The 'dressed'  $\gamma$  exchange amplitude is

$$
A_{\gamma}^{\text{IBA}} = i \frac{4\pi Q_e Q_f}{s} \alpha(s) \gamma_\mu \otimes \gamma_\mu , \qquad (1.8)
$$

which is identical to the Born amplitude of Eq. [\(1.2\)](#page-7-3) modulo the replacement of  $\alpha(0)$  by the running electromagnetic coupling  $\alpha(s)$ :

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\alpha(s) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \left[ \Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{fer}}(s) - \Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{fer}}(0) \right]}.
$$
\n(1.9)

In the  $LQD$  basis the  $Z$  exchange amplitude has the following Born-like structure in terms of six  $(LL, QL, LQ, QQ, LD)$  and  $QD)$  form factors:

$$
\mathcal{A}_{z}^{\text{IBA}} = i e^{2} \frac{\chi_{z}(s)}{s} \Biggl\{ \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{+} \otimes \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{+} \tilde{F}_{LL} (s, t) + \gamma_{\mu} \otimes \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{+} \tilde{F}_{QL} (s, t) \n+ \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{+} \otimes \gamma_{\mu} \tilde{F}_{LQ} (s, t) + \gamma_{\mu} \otimes \gamma_{\mu} \tilde{F}_{QQ} (s, t) \n+ \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{+} \otimes (-i m_{f} D_{\mu}) \tilde{F}_{LD} (s, t) + \gamma_{\mu} \otimes (-i m_{f} D_{\mu}) \tilde{F}_{QD} (s, t) \Biggr\},
$$
\n(1.10)

where we introduce the notation for  $\tilde{F}_{ij}\left(s,t\right)$ :

$$
\tilde{F}_{LL}(s,t) = I_e^{(3)} I_f^{(3)} F_{LL}(s,t), \n\tilde{F}_{QL}(s,t) = \delta_e I_f^{(3)} F_{QL}(s,t), \n\tilde{F}_{LQ}(s,t) = I_e^{(3)} \delta_f F_{LQ}(s,t), \n\tilde{F}_{QQ}(s,t) = \delta_e \delta_f F_{QQ}(s,t), \n\tilde{F}_{LD}(s,t) = I_e^{(3)} I_f^{(3)} F_{LD}(s,t), \n\tilde{F}_{QD}(s,t) = \delta_e I_f^{(3)} F_{QD}(s,t).
$$
\n(1.11)

Note that tilded form factors absorb couplings, which leads to a compactification of formulae for the amplitude and IBA cross-section, while explicit expressions will be given for untilded quantities. The representation of Eq. [\(1.10\)](#page-8-0) is very convenient for the subsequent discussion of one-loop amplitudes.

Furthermore, in Eq. [\(1.10\)](#page-8-0) we use the  $Z/\gamma$  propagator ratio with an sdependent (or constant) Z width:

$$
\chi_z(s) = \frac{1}{4s_w^2 c_w^2} \frac{s}{s - M_z^2 + i \frac{\Gamma_z}{M_z} s}.
$$
\n(1.12)

## <span id="page-9-0"></span>2 Building Blocks in the OMS Approach

We start our discussion by presenting various *building blocks*, used to construct the one-loop form factors of the processes  $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$  in terms of the  $A_0$ ,  $B_0$ ,  $C_0$  and  $D_0$  functions. They are shown in order of increasing complexity: self-energies, vertices, and boxes.

#### <span id="page-9-1"></span>2.1 Bosonic self-energies

## <span id="page-9-2"></span>2.1.1  $Z, \gamma$  bosonic self-energies and  $Z-\gamma$  transition

In the  $R_{\xi}$  gauge there are 14 diagrams that contribute to the total Z and  $\gamma$ bosonic self-energies and to the  $Z-\gamma$  transition. They are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-10-0)

With  $S_{zz}$ ,  $S_{z\gamma}$  and  $S_{\gamma\gamma}$  standing for the sum of all diagrams, depicted by a grey circle in Fig. [2,](#page-10-0) we define the three corresponding self-energy functions  $\Sigma_{AB}$ :

$$
S_{zz} = (2\pi)^4 i \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2 c_W^2} \Sigma_{zz}, \qquad (2.1)
$$

$$
S_{z\gamma} = (2\pi)^4 i \frac{g^2 s_w}{16\pi^2 c_w} \Sigma_{z\gamma}, \qquad (2.2)
$$

$$
S_{\gamma\gamma} = (2\pi)^4 i \frac{g^2 s_W^2}{16\pi^2} \Sigma_{\gamma\gamma} . \tag{2.3}
$$



<span id="page-10-0"></span>Figure 2:  $Z(\gamma)$  boson self-energy;  $Z-\gamma$  transition.

All bosonic self-energies and transitions may be naturally split into bosonic and fermionic components.

• Bosonic components of  $Z, \gamma$  self-energies and  $Z-\gamma$  transitions (see diagrams Fig. [2\)](#page-10-0)

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\Sigma_{zz}^{\text{bos}}(s) = M_z^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{R_z} \left( \frac{1}{2} - c_w^2 - 9c_w^4 \right) -\frac{3}{2} \left[ \left( 1 + 2c_w^4 \right) \frac{1}{r_{Hz}} - \frac{1}{2} - c_w^2 + \frac{8}{3} c_w^4 + \frac{1}{2} r_{Hz} \right] \right\} \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \Sigma_{zz}^{\text{bos}, F}(s),
$$
\n(2.4)

$$
\Sigma_{zz}^{\text{bos},F}(s) = \frac{M_Z^2}{12} \Biggl\{ \Biggl[ 4c_w^2 \left( 5 - 8c_w^2 - 12c_w^4 \right) + \left( 1 - 4c_w^2 - 36c_w^4 \right) \frac{1}{R_z} \Biggr] \times B_0^F \left( -s; M_w, M_w \right) \n+ \left[ \frac{1}{R_z} + 10 - 2r_{HZ} + (r_{HZ} - 1)^2 R_z \right] B_0^F \left( -s; M_H, M_z \right) \n+ \left[ \frac{18}{r_{HZ}} + 1 + (1 - r_{HZ}) R_z \right] L_\mu(M_z^2) \n+ r_{HZ} \Biggl[ 7 - (1 - r_{HZ}) R_z \Biggr] L_\mu(M_H^2) \n+ 2c_w^2 \left( \frac{18}{r_{HW}} + 1 + 8c_w^2 - 24c_w^4 \right) L_\mu(M_w^2) \n+ \frac{4}{3} \left( 1 - 2c_w^2 \right) \frac{1}{R_z} - 6 \left( 1 + 2c_w^4 \right) \frac{1}{r_{HZ}} \n- 3(1 + 2c_w^2) - 9r_{HZ} - (1 - r_{HZ})^2 R_z \Biggr\}.
$$
\n(2.5)

Here  $L_{\mu}(M^2)$  denotes the log containing the 't Hooft scale  $\mu$ :

$$
L_{\mu}(M^2) = \ln \frac{M^2}{\mu^2} \,, \tag{2.6}
$$

and it should be understood that, contrary to the one used in [\[11\]](#page-74-10), we define here

$$
B_0(-s; M_1, M_2) = \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + B_0^F(-s; M_1, M_2), \qquad (2.7)
$$

<span id="page-11-1"></span>meaning that  $B_0^F$  also depends on the scale  $\mu$ . We will not explicitly maintain  $\mu$  in the arguments list of  $L_{\mu}$  and  $B_0^F$ . Leaving  $\mu$  unfixed, we retain an opportunity to control  $\mu$  independence (and therefore UV finiteness) in numerical realization of one-loop form factors, providing thereby an additional cross-check.

Next, it is convenient to introduce the dimensionless quantities  $\Pi_{Z\gamma}^{\text{bos}}(s)$ and  $\Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\rm bos}(s)$  (vacuum polarizations):

$$
\Sigma_{z\gamma}^{\rm bos}(s) = -s\Pi_{z\gamma}^{\rm bos}(s),\tag{2.8}
$$

$$
\Sigma^{\rm bos}_{\gamma\gamma}(s) = -s \Pi^{\rm bos}_{\gamma\gamma}(s). \tag{2.9}
$$

In Eqs.  $(2.5)$  and  $(2.7)$  and below, the following abbreviations are used:

$$
c_W^2 = \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2}, \qquad r_{ij} = \frac{m_i^2}{m_j^2}, \qquad R_W = \frac{M_W^2}{s}, \qquad R_Z = \frac{M_Z^2}{s}.
$$
 (2.10)

<span id="page-12-0"></span>Since only finite parts will contribute to resulting expressions for physical amplitudes, which should be free from ultraviolet poles, it is convenient to split every divergent function into singular and finite parts:

$$
\Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\rm bos}(s) = 3\frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\rm bos, F}(s),\tag{2.11}
$$

$$
\Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{bos},F}(s) = (3 + 4R_W) B_0^F(-s; M_W, M_W) + 4R_W L_\mu(M_W^2), (2.12)
$$

and

$$
\Pi_{z\gamma}^{\rm bos}(s) = \left(\frac{1}{6} + 3c_w^2 + 2R_w\right)\frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \Pi_{z\gamma}^{\rm bos, F}(s),\tag{2.13}
$$

$$
\Pi_{Z\gamma}^{\text{bos},F}(s) = \left[\frac{1}{6} + 3c_W^2 + 4\left(\frac{1}{3} + c_W^2\right)R_W\right]B_0^F(-s; M_W, M_W) + \frac{1}{9} - \left(\frac{2}{3} - 4c_W^2\right)R_WL_\mu(M_W^2).
$$
\n(2.14)

With the Z boson self-energy,  $\Sigma_{zz}$ , we construct a useful ratio:

$$
\mathcal{D}_z \left( s \right) = \frac{1}{c_W^2} \frac{\Sigma_{zz} \left( s \right) - \Sigma_{zz} \left( M_z^2 \right)}{M_z^2 - s}, \tag{2.15}
$$

which also has bosonic and fermionic parts. The bosonic component is:

$$
\mathcal{D}_z^{\rm bos}(s) = \frac{1}{c_W^2} \left( -\frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3}c_W^2 + 3c_W^4 \right) \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \mathcal{D}_z^{\rm bos, F}(s) \,, \tag{2.16}
$$

with the finite part:

$$
\mathcal{D}_z^{\text{bos},F}(s) = \frac{1}{c_w^2} \Biggl\{ \Biggl( \frac{1}{12} + \frac{4}{3} c_w^2 - \frac{17}{3} c_w^4 - 4c_w^6 \Biggr) \times \frac{M_Z^2}{M_Z^2 - s} \Biggl[ B_0^F(-s; M_w, M_w) - B_0^F(-M_Z^2; M_w, M_w) \Biggr]
$$
\n(2.17)

$$
-\left(\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{3}c_{w}^{2} - 3c_{w}^{4}\right)B_{0}^{F}\left(-s;M_{w},M_{w}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}r_{HZ} + \frac{1}{12}r_{HZ}^{2}\right) \times \frac{M_{z}^{2}}{M_{z}^{2} - s}\left[B_{0}^{F}\left(-s;M_{H},M_{z}\right) - B_{0}^{F}\left(-M_{z}^{2};M_{H},M_{z}\right)\right] - \frac{1}{12}\left[1 - (1 - r_{HZ})^{2}R_{z}\right]B_{0}^{F}\left(-s;M_{H},M_{z}\right) - \frac{1}{12}R_{z}\left(1 - r_{HZ}\right)\left[r_{HZ}\left(L_{\mu}(M_{\mu}^{2}) - 1\right) - L_{\mu}(M_{z}^{2}) + 1\right] - \frac{1}{9}\left(1 - 2c_{w}^{2}\right)\right\}.
$$

• Fermionic components of the Z and  $\gamma$  bosonic self-energies and of the  $Z-\gamma$  transition

These are represented as sums over all fermions of the theory,  $\sum_f$ . They, of course, depend on vector and axial couplings of fermions to the  $\tilde{Z}$  boson,  $v_f$ and  $a_f$ , and to the photon, electric charge  $eQ_f$ , as well as on the colour factor  $c_f$  and fermion mass  $m_f$ . The couplings are defined as usual:

$$
v_f = I_f^{(3)} - 2Q_f s_w^2 , \qquad a_f = I_f^{(3)}, \tag{2.18}
$$

with weak isospin  $I_f^{(3)}$  $f^{(5)}$ , and

$$
Q_f = -1 \quad \text{for leptons}, \quad +\frac{2}{3} \quad \text{for up quarks}, \quad -\frac{1}{3} \quad \text{for down quarks},
$$
  

$$
c_f = 1 \quad \text{for leptons}, \quad 3 \quad \text{for quarks}. \tag{2.19}
$$

The three main self-energy functions are:

<span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\Sigma_{ZZ}^{\text{fer}}(s) = -\sum_{f} c_f \bigg[ \left( v_f^2 + a_f^2 \right) s B_f \left( -s; m_f, m_f \right) + 2 a_f^2 m_f^2 B_0 \left( -s; m_f, m_f \right) \bigg],\tag{2.20}
$$

$$
\Sigma^{\text{fer}}_{\gamma\gamma}(s) = -s\Pi^{\text{fer}}_{\gamma\gamma}(s),\tag{2.21}
$$

$$
\Sigma_{z\gamma}^{\text{fer}}(s) = -s\Pi_{z\gamma}^{\text{fer}}(s). \tag{2.22}
$$

<span id="page-13-1"></span>The quantities  $\Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{fer}}$  and  $\Pi_{z\gamma}^{\text{fer}}$  are different according to different couplings, but proportional to one function  $B_f$  (see Eq. (5.252) of [\[11\]](#page-74-10) for its definition):

$$
\Pi^{\text{fer}}_{\gamma\gamma}(s) = 4 \sum_{f} c_f Q_f^2 B_f(-s; m_f, m_f), \qquad (2.23)
$$

$$
\Pi_{z\gamma}^{\text{fer}}(s) = 2 \sum_{f} c_f Q_f v_f B_f(-s; m_f, m_f).
$$
 (2.24)

As usual, we subdivided them into singular and finite parts:

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
\Pi_{z\gamma}^{\text{fer}}(s) = -\frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{2} N_f - 4s_w^2 \sum_f c_f Q_f^2 \right) \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \Pi_{z\gamma}^{\text{fer},F}(s),\tag{2.25}
$$

$$
\Sigma_{zz}^{\text{fer}}(s) = \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{f} c_{f} m_{f}^{2} + \frac{s}{3} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} - s_{w}^{2} \right) N_{f} + 4s_{w}^{4} \sum_{f} c_{f} Q_{f}^{2} \right] \right\} \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \Sigma_{zz}^{\text{fer}, F}(s).
$$

In Eq. [\(2.25\)](#page-14-1),  $N_f = 24$  is the total number of fermions in the SM. We do not show explicit expressions for finite parts, marked with superscript  $F$ , because these might be trivially derived from Eq.  $(2.20)$  and Eqs.  $(2.23)$ – $(2.24)$  by replacing complete expressions for  $B_f$  and  $B_0$  with their finite parts  $B_f^F$  and  $B_0^F$ , correspondingly.

#### <span id="page-14-0"></span>2.1.2 W boson self-energy

Next we consider the W boson self-energy, which is described, in the  $R_{\xi}$  gauge, by 17 diagrams, shown in Fig. [3.](#page-15-0)

First, we present an explicit expression for its bosonic component:

$$
\Sigma_{WW}^{\text{bos}}(s) = M_W^2 \left\{ -\frac{19}{6} \frac{1}{R_W} - \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{6}{r_{HW}} \left( \frac{1}{c_W^4} + 2 \right) - \frac{3}{c_W^2} + 10 + 3r_{HW} \right] \right\} \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \Sigma_{WW}^{\text{bos}, F}(s),
$$
\n(2.26)

where

$$
\Sigma_{WW}^{\text{bos},F}(s) = \frac{M_W^2}{12} \Biggl\{ \Biggl[ \left( 1 - 40c_W^2 \right) \frac{1}{R_W} + 2 \left( \frac{5}{c_W^2} - 27 - 8c_W^2 \right) \Biggr\} \n+ \frac{s_W^4}{c_W^2} \left( \frac{1}{c_W^2} + 8 \right) R_W \Biggr] B_0^F \left( -s; M_W, M_Z \right) \n+ \Biggl[ \frac{1}{R_W} + 2 \left( 5 - r_{HW} \right) + \left( 1 - r_{HW} \right)^2 R_W \Biggr] B_0^F \left( -s; M_W, M_H \right) \n- 8s_W^2 \left( \frac{5}{R_W} + 2 - R_W \right) B_0^F \left( -s; M_W, 0 \right) \n+ r_{HW} \Biggl[ 7 - \left( 1 - r_{HW} \right) R_W \Biggr] L_\mu(M_H^2) \n+ \frac{1}{c_W^2} \Biggl[ \frac{18}{r_{HW}} \frac{1}{c_W^2} + 1 - 16c_W^2 + s_W^2 \left( \frac{1}{c_W^2} + 8 \right) R_W \Biggr] L_\mu(M_Z^2) \n+ \Biggl[ 2 \left( \frac{18}{r_{HW}} - 7 \right) - \left( \frac{1}{c_W^2} - 2 + r_{HW} \right) R_W \Biggr] L_\mu(M_W^2) \n- \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{R_W} - 12 \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{c_W^4} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{r_{HW}} - 3 \left( \frac{1}{c_W^2} + 2 \right) - 9r_{HW} \n- \Biggl[ \left( \frac{1}{c_W^2} + 6s_W^2 \right) \frac{1}{c_W^2} - r_{HW} \left( 2 - r_{HW} \right) \Biggr] R_W \Biggr\}.
$$
\n(2.27)



<span id="page-15-0"></span>Figure 3: W boson self-energy.

Secondly, we give its fermionic component:

$$
\Sigma_{WW}^{\text{fer}}(s) = -s \sum_{f=d} c_f B_f(-s; m_{f'}, m_f) + \sum_f c_f m_f^2 B_1(-s; m_{f'}, m_f), \quad (2.28)
$$

<span id="page-16-0"></span>where summation in the first term extends to all *doublets* of the SM.

#### 2.1.3 Bosonic self-energies and counterterms

Bosonic self-energies and transitions enter one-loop amplitudes either directly through the functions  $\mathcal{D}_z(s)$ ,  $\Pi_{\gamma\gamma}(s)$  and  $\Pi_{z\gamma}(s)$ , or by means of bosonic counterterms, which are made of self-energy functions at zero argument, owing to *electric charge renormalization*, or at  $p^2 = -M^2$ , that is on a mass shell, owing to on-mass-shell renormalization (OMS scheme).

• Electric charge renormalization

The electric charge renormalization introduces the quantity  $z_{\gamma} - 1$ :

$$
(z_{\gamma} - 1) = s_w^2 \left[ \Pi_{\gamma \gamma}(0) - \frac{2}{M_w^2} \overline{\Sigma}_{3Q}(0) \right],
$$
\n(2.29)

with bosonic (see Eq.  $(6.161)$  of  $[11]$ ):

$$
(z_{\gamma} - 1)^{\text{bos}} = s_w^2 \left[ 3 \left( \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} - L_{\mu}(M_w^2) \right) + \frac{2}{3} \right],
$$
 (2.30)

and fermionic

$$
(z_{\gamma} - 1)^{\text{fer}} = s_w^2 \left[ \left( -\frac{4}{3} \sum_f c_f Q_f^2 \right) \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{fer},F}(0) \right]
$$
(2.31)

components.

#### $\bullet$  *ρ*-parameter

Finally, two self-energy functions enter Veltman's parameter  $\Delta \rho$ , a gaugeinvariant combination of self-energies, which naturally appears in the one-loop calculations:

$$
\Delta \rho = \frac{1}{M_W^2} \Big[ \Sigma_{WW} (M_W^2) - \Sigma_{ZZ} (M_Z^2) \Big], \tag{2.32}
$$

<span id="page-16-1"></span>with individual components where we explicitly show the pole parts:

$$
\Delta \rho^{\rm bos} = \left( -\frac{1}{6c_W^2} - \frac{41}{6} + 7c_W^2 \right) \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \Delta \rho^{\rm bos, F}, \tag{2.33}
$$

$$
\Delta \rho^{\text{fer}} = \frac{1}{3} \frac{s_W^2}{c_W^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} N_f - 4s_W^2 \sum_f c_f Q_f^2 \right) \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \Delta \rho^{\text{fer}, F}.
$$
 (2.34)

The finite part of  $\Delta\rho^{bos}$  is given explicitly by

$$
\Delta \rho^{\text{bos},F} = \left( \frac{1}{12c_W^4} + \frac{4}{3c_W^2} - \frac{17}{3} - 4c_W^2 \right) \times \left[ B_0^F \left( -M_w^2; M_w, M_z \right) - c_w^2 B_0^F \left( -M_z^2; M_w, M_w \right) \right] \n+ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3} r_{HW} + \frac{1}{12} r_{HW}^2 \right) B_0^F \left( -M_w^2; M_w, M_H \right) \n- \left( 1 - \frac{1}{3} r_{HZ} + \frac{1}{12} r_{HZ}^2 \right) \frac{1}{c_W^2} B_0^F \left( -M_z^2; M_z, M_H \right) \n- 4s_w^2 B_0^F \left( -M_w^2; M_w, 0 \right) \n+ \frac{1}{12} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{c_W^4} + \frac{6}{c_W^2} - 24 + r_{HW} \right) L_\mu(M_z^2) \n- \left( \frac{1}{c_W^2} + 14 + 16c_W^2 - 48c_W^4 + r_{HW} \right) L_\mu(M_w^2) \n+ s_w^2 r_{HW}^2 \left[ L_\mu(M_H^2) - 1 \right] - \frac{1}{c_W^4} - \frac{19}{3c_W^2} + \frac{22}{3} \right], \tag{2.35}
$$

while the finite part of  $\Delta \rho^{\text{fer}}$  is not shown, since it is trivially derived from the defining equation [\(2.32\)](#page-16-1) by replacing the total self-energies with their finite parts.

## <span id="page-17-1"></span><span id="page-17-0"></span>2.2 Fermionic self-energies

#### 2.2.1 Fermionic self-energy diagrams

The total self-energy function of a fermion in the  $R_\xi$  gauge is described by the six diagrams of Fig. [4.](#page-17-2)



<span id="page-17-2"></span>Figure 4: Fermionic self-energy diagrams.

A detailed calculation of the wave-function renormalization factors  $\sqrt{z_{L,R}}$ associated with these diagrams may be found, for instance, in [\[5\]](#page-74-4).

It is convenient to distinguish the electromagnetic components,

$$
\left(\sqrt{z_L} - I\right)_f^{em} = \left(\sqrt{z_R} - I\right)_f^{em} = s_w^2 Q_f^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2\bar{\varepsilon}} + \frac{1}{\hat{\varepsilon}} + \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{m_f^2}{\mu^2} - 2\right), \tag{2.36}
$$

and the weak components,

$$
\left|\sqrt{z_{L,R}}\right| - I = \left(w_v \pm w_a\right),\tag{2.37}
$$

where five non-zero contributions are:

$$
w_v^z = -\frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{c_w^2} \left\{ (v_f^2 + a_f^2 + 2a_f^2 r_{fz}) \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + (v_f^2 + a_f^2) \left( \frac{1}{r_{fz}} \Big[ B_0^F \big( - m_f^2; M_z, m_f \big) \right. \right.\left. + L_\mu(M_z^2) - 1 \Big] + 2 \left( 1 + 2r_{fz} \right) M_z^2 B_{0p} \big( - m_f^2; m_f, M_z \big) - L_\mu(m_f^2) \right) + 2a_f^2 r_{fz} \bigg( \frac{1}{r_{fz}} \Big[ B_0^F \big( - m_f^2; M_z, m_f \big) + L_\mu(M_z^2) - 1 \Big] - 6M_z^2 B_{0p} \big( - m_f^2; m_f, M_z \big) - L_\mu(m_f^2) + 1 \bigg) \Big\}, \tag{2.38}
$$
  

$$
w_v^w = -\frac{1}{16} \bigg\{ \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} \left( 2 + r^+ \right) - r^+ + \frac{1}{r_{fw}} \Big[ \big( 2 + r^+ \big) \Big[ L_\mu(M_w^2) - r_{f'w} L_\mu(m_{f'}^2) \Big]
$$

+ 
$$
(2 + 2r_{fw} + r^- + r^+r^-) \left[ B_0^F(-m_f^2; M_w, m_{f'}^2) - 1 \right]
$$
  
+  $2M_w^2 \left[ 2 - r^+ - (r^-)^2 \right] B_{0p}(-m_f^2; M_w, m_{f'}^2) \Big\}$ , (2.39)

$$
w_v^H = -\frac{1}{16} r_{fw} \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + r_{Hf} \left[ B_0^F \left( -m_f^2; M_H, m_f \right) + L_\mu (M_H^2) - 1 \right] \right. \\ \left. - 2 \left( 4r_{fH} - 1 \right) M_H^2 B_{0p} \left( -m_f^2; m_f, M_H \right) - L_\mu (m_f^2) + 1 \right\}, \tag{2.40}
$$

$$
w_a^z = -\frac{1}{4c_w^2} v_f a_f \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} - \frac{1}{r_{fz}} \left[ B_0^F \left( -m_f^2; M_z, m_f \right) + L_\mu (M_z^2) - 1 \right] \right. \\ \left. + 2B_0^F \left( -m_f^2; M_z, m_f \right) + L_\mu (m_f^2) - 2 \right\}, \tag{2.41}
$$

$$
w_{a}^{W} = \frac{1}{16} \left\{ -\frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} \left( 2 - r^{-} \right) + r^{-} + \frac{1}{r_{fw}} \left[ \left( 2 - r^{-} \right) \left[ L_{\mu}(M_{W}^{2}) - r_{f'w} L_{\mu}(m_{f'}^{2}) \right] \right. \right.+ \left. \left( 2 - 2r_{fw} - r^{+} + r^{+}r^{-} \right) \left[ B_{0}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; M_{w}, m_{f'}^{2} \right) - 1 \right] \right] \right\}, \tag{2.42}
$$

with  $r^{\pm} = r_{fw} \pm r_{f'w}$  and  $f'$  being the weak isospin partner of fermion f.

# <span id="page-19-0"></span>2.3 The  $Zf\bar{f}$  and  $\gamma f\bar{f}$  vertices

Consider now the sum of all vertices and corresponding counterterms whose contribution originates from the fermionic self-energy diagrams of Fig. [4.](#page-17-2) This sum is shown in Fig. [5.](#page-19-1)



<span id="page-19-1"></span>Figure 5:  $Z f \bar{f}$  and  $\gamma f \bar{f}$  vertices with fermionic counterterms.

The formulae which determine the counterterms are:

$$
F_{Q}^{\gamma,ct} = 2(\sqrt{z_{R}} - I), \qquad (2.43)
$$

$$
F_L^{\gamma,ct} = (\sqrt{z_L} - I) - (\sqrt{z_R} - I), \qquad (2.44)
$$

$$
F_Q^{z,ct} = \delta_f^2 \left( \sqrt{z_R} - I \right), \tag{2.45}
$$

$$
F_L^{z,ct} = \sigma_f^2 (\sqrt{z_R} - I) - \delta_f^2 (\sqrt{z_R} - I), \qquad (2.46)
$$

where

$$
\delta_f = v_f - a_f, \qquad \sigma_f = v_f + a_f. \tag{2.47}
$$

For the sum of all  $\gamma \to f\bar{f}$  and  $Z \to f\bar{f}$  vertices (the total  $\gamma(Z)f\bar{f}$  vertex depicted by a grey circle in Fig. [5\)](#page-19-1) we use the standard normalization

$$
i\pi^2 = (2\pi)^4 i \frac{1}{16\pi^2},\tag{2.48}
$$

and define

$$
V_{\mu}^{\gamma}(s) = (2\pi)^{4} i \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} G_{\mu}(s), \qquad (2.49)
$$

$$
V_{\mu}^{Z}(s) = (2\pi)^{4} i \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} Z_{\mu}(s), \qquad (2.50)
$$

while we denote the individual vertices as follows:

$$
G_{\mu}(s) = G_{\mu}^{A}(s) + G_{\mu}^{z}(s) + G_{\mu}^{W}(s) + G_{\mu}^{H}(s), \qquad (2.51)
$$

$$
Z_{\mu}(s) = Z_{\mu}^{A}(s) + Z_{\mu}^{z}(s) + Z_{\mu}^{W}(s) + Z_{\mu}^{H}(s).
$$
 (2.52)

All vertices have three components in our  $LQD$  basis.

#### <span id="page-20-0"></span>2.3.1 Scalar form factors

Now we construct the  $24 = (4 : B = A, Z, H, W$ -virtual)  $\otimes (3 : L, Q, D) \otimes (2 :$  $\gamma$ , Z-incoming) scalar form factors, originating from the diagrams of Fig. [5.](#page-19-1) They are derived from the following six equations — three projections for  $\gamma f \bar{f}$ vertices:

$$
F_L^{\gamma B}(s) = \frac{2}{s_W I_f^{(3)}} \bigg\{ G_\mu^B(s) \left[ ig^3 \gamma_\mu \gamma_+ \right] + s_W Q_f F_L^{\gamma, ct} \bigg\}, \qquad (2.53)
$$

$$
F_{Q}^{\gamma B}(s) = \frac{1}{s_{W}Q_{f}} \bigg\{ G_{\mu}^{B}(s) \left[ ig^{3} \gamma_{\mu} \right] + s_{W} Q_{f} F_{Q}^{\gamma,ct} \bigg\}, \tag{2.54}
$$

$$
F_{D}^{\gamma B} (s) = \frac{2}{s_{W} I_{f}^{(3)}} G_{\mu}^{B} (s) \left[ g^{3} m_{t} I D_{\mu} \right], \qquad (2.55)
$$

and three projections for  $Zf\bar{f}$  vertices:

$$
F_L^{zB}(s) = \frac{2c_W}{I_f^{(3)}} \bigg\{ Z_\mu^B(s) \left[ ig^3 \gamma_\mu \gamma_+ \right] + \frac{1}{c_W} F_L^{z,ct} \bigg\},\tag{2.56}
$$

$$
F_{Q}^{zB}(s) = \frac{2c_{W}}{\delta_{f}} \left\{ Z_{\mu}^{B}(s) \left[ ig^{3} \gamma_{\mu} \right] + \frac{1}{c_{W}} F_{Q}^{z,ct} \right\},
$$
\n(2.57)

$$
F_{D}^{zB}(s) = \frac{2c_{W}}{I_{f}^{(3)}} Z_{\mu}^{B}(s) \left[ g^{3} m_{t} I D_{\mu} \right]. \tag{2.58}
$$

Here we introduce the symbol  $[\dots]$  for the definition of the procedure of the projection of  $G_{\mu}(s)$  and  $Z_{\mu}(s)$  to our basis. It has the same meaning as in form language [\[26\]](#page-75-9), namely, e.g.  $G^B_\mu(s)$  [ig<sup>3</sup> $\gamma_\mu \gamma_+$ ] means that only the coefficient of  $[ig^3\gamma_\mu\gamma_+]$  of the whole expression  $G^B_\mu(s)$  is taken (projected).

The factors  $1/(Q_f s_w)$ ,  $2/(s_w I_f^{(3)})$  $f^{(3)}$  and  $2c_W^2/(s_w I_f^{(3)})$  $f_f^{(3)}$  for  $\gamma f \bar{f}$  vertices, and the factors  $2c_W / I_f^{(3)}$ ,  $2c_W / \delta_f$  and  $2c_W / I_f^{(3)}$  for  $Zf\bar{f}$  are due to the form factor definitions of Eq.  $(1.10)$ .

The total  $\gamma f \bar{f}$  and  $Z f \bar{f}$  form factors are sums over four bosonic contributions  $B = A, Z, W, H$ . All 24 components of the total scalar form factors in the LQD basis look like:

$$
F_{L,Q,D}^{\gamma(z)ff}(s) = F_{L,Q,D}^{\gamma(z)A}(s) + F_{L,Q,D}^{\gamma(z)z}(s) + F_{L,Q,D}^{\gamma(z)W}(s) + F_{L,Q,D}^{\gamma(z)H}(s).
$$
 (2.59)

The quantities  $F_{L,Q,D}^{\gamma(z)B}(s)$  originate from groups of diagrams, which we will call clusters.

### <span id="page-20-1"></span>2.4 Library of form factors for  $Bff$  clusters

Here we present a complete collection of scalar form factors  $F_{L,Q,D}^{\gamma(z)B}(s)$  originating from a vertex diagram with a virtual vector boson, contribution of a scalar partner of this vector boson, and relevant counterterms.

Actually three gauge-invariant subsets of diagrams of this kind, A, Z and H, appear in our calculation. They may be termed clusters, since they are natural building blocks of the complete scalar form factors, which are the aim of our calculation. Again, in the spirit of our presentation, we write down their pole and finite parts.

The remaining vertices with virtual W and  $\phi^+, \phi^-$  with relevant counterterms we also define as the W cluster. However, the latter diagrams do not form a gauge-invariant subset.

We note, that  $F_L^{\gamma A}(s)$  and  $F_L^{\gamma H}(s)$  are equal to zero.

#### <span id="page-21-0"></span>2.4.1 Library of QED form factors for  $Att$  clusters

Up to the one-loop level, there are two diagrams that contribute to the A cluster, see Fig. [6.](#page-21-1)

Separating out pole contributions  $1/\bar{\varepsilon}$ , we define finite (calligraphic) quantities. We note that, if a form factor  $F_A^{ij}(s)$  has a pole, then the corresponding finite part  $\mathcal{F}_{A}^{ij}(s)$  is  $\mu$ -dependent.



<span id="page-21-1"></span>Figure 6: A cluster. The fermionic self-energy diagram in brackets gives rise to the counter term contribution depicted by the solid cross.

Since the scalar form factors of A cluster become UV-finite after wave function renormalization, for all form factors, which are also separately gaugeinvariant, we have:

$$
F_{L,Q,D}^{\gamma(z)A} = \mathcal{F}_{L,Q,D}^{\gamma(z)A}.
$$
\n(2.60)

Individual components are:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{L}^{\gamma A} = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\gamma A} = Q_{f}^{2} s_{W}^{2} \left\{ 2 \left( s - 2m_{f}^{2} \right) C_{0} \left( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f}, 0, m_{f} \right) \right.
$$
  
\n
$$
- 3B_{0}^{F} \left( -s; m_{f}, m_{f} \right) + 3B_{0}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, 0 \right) - 4m_{f}^{2} B_{0p} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; 0, m_{f} \right) \right\},
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{D}^{\gamma A} = -\frac{Q_{f}^{3} s_{W}^{2}}{I_{f}^{(3)}} \frac{4}{\Delta_{3r}} \left[ B_{0}^{F} \left( -s; m_{f}, m_{f} \right) - B_{0}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, 0 \right) \right],
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{L}^{zA} = \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\gamma A} + Q_{f}^{2} s_{w}^{2} \frac{8m_{f}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}} \bigg[ B_{0}^{F}(-s; m_{f}, m_{f}) - B_{0}^{F}(-m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, 0) \bigg],
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{Q}^{zA} = \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\gamma A} - Q_{f}^{2} s_{w}^{2} \frac{8m_{f}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}} \frac{I_{f}^{(3)}}{\delta_{f}} \bigg[ B_{0}^{F}(-s; m_{f}, m_{f}) - B_{0}^{F}(-m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, 0) \bigg],
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{D}^{zA} = -\frac{Q_{f}^{2} s_{w}^{2}}{I_{f}^{(3)}} \frac{2v_{f}}{\Delta_{3r}} \bigg[ B_{0}^{F}(-s; m_{f}, m_{f}) - B_{0}^{F}(-m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, 0) \bigg],
$$
\n(2.61)

with

$$
\Delta_{3r} = 4m_f^2 - s. \tag{2.62}
$$

#### <span id="page-22-0"></span>2.4.2 Form factors of the Z cluster

The diagrams shown in Fig. [7](#page-22-1) contribute to the  $\boldsymbol{Z}$  cluster.



<span id="page-22-1"></span>Figure 7: Z cluster. The two fermionic self-energy diagrams in the second row give rise to the counterterm contribution depicted by the solid cross in the last diagram of the first row.

Separating out pole contributions  $1/\bar{\varepsilon}$ , we define finite quantities:

$$
F_{L}^{\gamma z}(s) = \mathcal{F}_{L}^{\gamma z}(s),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{Q}^{\gamma z}(s) = \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\gamma z}(s),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{L}^{\gamma z}(s) = \mathcal{F}_{L}^{\gamma z}(s),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{L}^{zz}(s) = -\frac{1}{4}r_{fw}\frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \mathcal{F}_{L}^{zz}(s),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{Q}^{zz}(s) = -\frac{1}{16}\frac{1}{|Q_{f}|s_{w}^{2}}r_{fw}\frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{zz}(s),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{L}^{zz}(s) = \mathcal{F}_{L}^{zz}(s).
$$
\n(2.63)

Here the finite parts are:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{L}^{\gamma z}(s) = \frac{1}{c_{w}^{2}} Q_{f} v_{f} \left\{ 2 \left( 2 + \frac{1}{R_{z}} \right) M_{z}^{2} C_{0} \left( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f}, M_{z}, m_{f} \right) - 3B_{0}^{F} \left( -s; m_{f}, m_{f} \right) + 2B_{0}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z} \right) - L_{\mu} (m_{f}^{2}) \quad (2.64) + B_{d1}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z} \right) - 2 \left( 1 + 4r_{f} \right) \frac{M_{z}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}} L_{ab} \left( m_{f}, m_{f}, M_{z} \right) \right\},
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\gamma z} (s) = \frac{1}{4c_{w}^{2}} \Big\{ \delta_{f}^{2} \Big[ \Big( 4(1 - r_{fz}) + \frac{2}{R_{z}} \Big) M_{z}^{2} C_{0} \big( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f}, M_{z}, m_{f} \big) - 3B_{0}^{F} \big( -s; m_{f}, m_{f} \big) + 4B_{0}^{F} \big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z} \big) + L_{\mu}(M_{z}^{2}) - \frac{5}{2} - r_{fz} B_{d2}^{F} \big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z} \big) - 2 \left( 1 + 2r_{fz} \right) M_{z}^{2} B_{0p} \big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z} \big) \Big] + 2v_{f} a_{f} r_{fz} \Big[ -4M_{z}^{2} C_{0} \big( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f}, M_{z}, m_{f} \big) + 2 \left( L_{\mu}(m_{f}^{2}) - L_{\mu}(M_{z}^{2}) \right) - 2 \left( 1 - r_{fz} \right) B_{d2}^{F} \big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z} \big) + 1 - \frac{2}{r_{fz}} \Big( \left( 1 + 2r_{fz} \right) M_{z}^{2} B_{0p} \big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z} \big) + \frac{1}{2} \Big) \Big] + 2a_{f}^{2} r_{fz} \Big[ B_{0}^{F} \big( -s; m_{f}, m_{f} \big) + L_{\mu}(M_{z}^{2}) - r_{fz} B_{d2}^{F} \big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{t}, M_{z} \big) + 6M_{z}^{2} B_{0p} \big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{t}, M_{z} \big) - \frac{5}{2} \Big] - 4 \Big[ \frac{\delta_{f}^{2}}{2} - \big( 4v_{f} a_{f} - a_{f}^{2} \big) r_{fz} \Big] \frac{M_{z}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}} L_{ab} \big( m_{f}, m_{f}, M_{z} \big) \Big\}, \tag{2.
$$

<span id="page-23-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{F}_{D}^{\gamma z}(s) = -\frac{2Q_{f}}{I_{f}^{(3)}c_{w}^{2}} \frac{1}{\Delta_{3r}} \left\{ \frac{v_{f}^{2} + a_{f}^{2}}{2} \left[ -4M_{z}^{2}C_{0} \left( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f}, M_{z}, m_{f} \right) \right. \\ \left. + B_{0}^{F} \left( -s; m_{f}, m_{f} \right) - 2B_{0}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z} \right) - L_{\mu}(m_{f}^{2}) \right. \\ \left. + B_{d1}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z} \right) + 2 + 6 \frac{M_{z}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}} L_{ab} \left( m_{f}, m_{f}, M_{z} \right) \right] \\ \left. + a_{f}^{2} \left[ 2 \left( 3r_{f z} - \frac{1}{R_{z}} \right) M_{z}^{2} C_{0} \left( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f}, M_{z}, m_{f} \right) \right. \\ \left. + B_{0}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z} \right) + L_{\mu}(M_{z}^{2}) - 1 \right. \\ \left. - r_{f z} \left[ B_{0}^{F} \left( -s; m_{f}, m_{f} \right) + L_{\mu}(m_{f}^{2}) - 2 \right] \right. \\ \left. - 2 \left( 2 - 3 \frac{m_{f}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}} \right) L_{ab} \left( m_{f}, m_{f}, M_{z} \right) \right] \right\}, \tag{2.66}
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{L}^{zz}(s) = \frac{1}{4c_{W}^{2}} \left\{ 3\frac{v_{f}^{2} + a_{f}^{2}}{3} \left[ 2\left(3\left(2 + \frac{1}{R_{z}}\right) - 2r_{f z}\right) \right.\right.\left. \left. \times M_{z}^{2}C_{0} \left( - m_{f}^{2}, - m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f}, M_{z}, m_{f}\right) \right.\right.\left. - 9B_{0}^{F} \left( -s; m_{f}, m_{f}\right) + 8B_{0}^{F} \left( - m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z}\right) - L_{\mu}(m_{f}^{2}) - 2\right.\left. + B_{a}^{F} \left( - m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z}\right) - 2\left(1 + 2r_{f z}\right) M_{z}^{2}B_{0p} \left( - m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z}\right) \right] \left. - \frac{2}{3}a_{f}^{2}\left[ 4m_{f}^{2}C_{0} \left( - m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f}, M_{z}, m_{f}\right) \right. \right. \left. (2.67)
$$
\right.  
\left. + 3r\_{f z}\left[B\_{0}^{F} \left( -s; m\_{f}, m\_{f}\right) + L\_{\mu}(m\_{f}^{2})\right] + B\_{0}^{F} \left( - m\_{f}^{2}; m\_{f}, M\_{z}\right) \right.  
\left. + 3L\_{\mu}(M\_{z}^{2}) + 2L\_{\mu}(m\_{f}^{2}) - 1 + 2B\_{a1}^{F} \left( - m\_{f}^{2}; m\_{f}, M\_{z}\right) \right.  
\left. + 2\left(1 - 7r\_{f z}\right) M\_{z}^{2}B\_{0p} \left( - m\_{f}^{2}; m\_{f}, M\_{z}\right) \right.  
\left. - 2\left[ \left(3v\_{f}^{2} + a\_{f}^{2}\right) \left(1 + 4r\_{f z}\right) - 2a\_{f}^{2}r\_{f z}\right] \frac{M\_{z}^{2}}{\Delta\_{3r}}L\_{ab}\left( m\_{f}, m\_{f}, M\_{z}\right) \right],  
\left. - 3B\_{0}^{F} \left( -s; m\_{f}, m\_{f}\right) +

$$
\mathcal{F}_{D}^{zz}(s) = -\frac{1}{2I_{f}^{(3)}c_{w}^{2}}\frac{1}{\Delta_{3r}}\Big\{(3a_{f}^{2} + v_{f}^{2})v_{f}\n\n\times \Big[-4M_{z}^{2}C_{0}\big(-m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f}, M_{z}, m_{f}\big)\n\n+ B_{0}^{F}\big(-s; m_{f}, m_{f}\big) - 2B_{0}^{F}\big(-m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z}\big) - L_{\mu}(m_{f}^{2}) + 2\n\n+ B_{d1}^{F}\big(-m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z}\big) + 6\frac{M_{z}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}}L_{ab}\big(m_{f}, m_{f}, M_{z}\big)\Big]\n\n+ 2v_{f}a_{f}^{2}\Big[2\bigg(7r_{f}z - 2\frac{1}{R_{z}}\bigg)M_{z}^{2}C_{0}\big(-m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f}, M_{z}, m_{f}\big)\n\n+ B_{0}^{F}\big(-m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{z}\big) + L_{\mu}(M_{z}^{2}) - 1\n\n- r_{f}z\Big[B_{0}^{F}\big(-s; m_{f}, m_{f}\big) + L_{\mu}(m_{f}^{2}) - 2\Big]\n\n- 2\bigg(4 - 3\frac{m_{f}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}}\bigg)L_{ab}\big(m_{f}, m_{f}, M_{z}\big)\Big]\bigg\}.
$$
\n(2.69)

In Eq. [\(2.65\)](#page-23-0) the 'once and twice subtracted' functions  $B_{d1}^F$  and  $B_{d2}^F$  are met:

$$
B_{d1}^{F}(-m_f^2; m_f, M_z) = \frac{1}{r_{fz}} \Big[ B_0^{F}(-m_f^2; m_f, M_z) + L_{\mu}(M_z^2) - 1 \Big], \quad (2.70)
$$
  

$$
B_{d2}^{F}(-m_f^2; m_f, M_z) = \frac{1}{r_{fz}^2} \Big[ B_0^{F}(-m_f^2; m_f, M_z) + L_{\mu}(M_z^2) - 1 - r_{fz} \left( L_{\mu}(m_f^2) - L_{\mu}(M_z^2) + \frac{1}{2} \right) \Big].
$$

They remain finite in the limit  $m_f \rightarrow 0.$ 

We note that, for the Z cluster, all the six scalar form factors  $F_{L,Q,D}^{\gamma(z)z}(s)$ are *separately* gauge-invariant.

#### <span id="page-25-0"></span>2.4.3 Form factors of the  $H$  cluster

The diagrams of Fig. [8](#page-26-0) contribute to the  $H$  cluster. Separating UV poles, we have:

<span id="page-25-1"></span>
$$
F_{Q}^{\gamma H} (s) = \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\gamma H} (s),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{D}^{\gamma H} (s) = \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\gamma H} (s),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{L}^{zH} (s) = \frac{1}{4} r_{fw} \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \mathcal{F}_{L}^{zH} (s),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{Q}^{zH} (s) = \frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{|Q_{f}|} r_{fw} \frac{1}{s_{w}^{2}} \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} + \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{zH} (s),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{D}^{zH} (s) = \mathcal{F}_{D}^{zH} (s),
$$
\n(2.71)



<span id="page-26-0"></span>Figure 8: H cluster: the vertices and the counterterm.

with the finite parts:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\gamma H}(s) = \frac{1}{8} r_{fw} \left\{ 8m_f^2 C_0 \left( -m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; m_f, M_H, m_f \right) \right.\n+ B_0^F \left( -s; m_f, m_f \right) + L_\mu(m_f^2) - 2 - B_{d1}^F \left( -m_f^2; m_f, M_H \right) \n- 2 \left( 1 - 4r_{fH} \right) M_H^2 B_{0p} \left( -m_f^2; m_f, M_H \right) \n- 2 \frac{M_H^2}{\Delta_{3r}} L_{ab} \left( m_f, m_f, M_H \right) \right\},
$$
\n(2.72)

$$
\mathcal{F}_{D}^{\gamma H}(s) = -\frac{Q_t}{2I_f^{(3)}} \frac{r_{fw}}{\Delta_{3r}} \left\{ -6M_H^2 C_0 \left( -m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; m_f, M_H, m_f \right) \right. \\ \left. + 3B_0^F \left( -s; m_f, m_f \right) - 4B_0^F \left( -m_f^2; m_f, M_H \right) - L_\mu (m_f^2) \right. \\ \left. + 2 + B_{d1}^F \left( -m_f^2; m_f, M_H \right) + 6 \frac{M_H^2}{\Delta_{3r}} L_{ab} \left( m_f, m_f, M_H \right) \right\}, \quad (2.73)
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{L}^{zH}(s) = \frac{1}{4}r_{fw}\bigg\{4m_{f}^{2}C_{0}\big(-m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f}, M_{H}, m_{f}\big) \n+ \bigg[4(1-r_{fz}) + (1-r_{Hz})^{2}R_{z}\bigg] \n\times M_{z}^{2}C_{0}\big(-m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; M_{H}, m_{f}, M_{z}\big) \n+ 2B_{0}^{F}\big(-s; M_{z}, M_{H}\big) - \frac{1}{2}B_{0}^{F}\big(-s; m_{f}, m_{f}\big) + \frac{1}{2}L_{\mu}(m_{f}^{2}) + 2 \n- \frac{1}{2}B_{d1}^{F}\big(-m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{H}\big) - (1-4r_{fH})M_{H}^{2}B_{0p}\big(-m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{H}\big) \n+ (1-r_{Hz})R_{z}\bigg[B_{0}^{F}\big(-m_{f}^{2}; M_{z}, m_{f}\big) - B_{0}^{F}\big(-m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, M_{H}\big)\bigg] \n+ \frac{M_{z}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}}\bigg[(r_{Hz} - 8r_{fz})L_{ab}\big(m_{f}, m_{f}, M_{H}\big) \n- 2(3-r_{Hz} + 4r_{fz})L_{Hi}\big(m_{f}, M_{H}, M_{z}\big)\bigg]\bigg\}, \qquad (2.74)
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{Q}^{ZH}(s) = r_{fw} \Big\{ m_f^2 C_0 \big( - m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; m_f, M_H, m_f \big) \n+ \Big[ 1 + (1 - r_{HZ}) R_z \Big] M_z^2 C_0 \big( - m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; M_H, m_f, M_z \big) \n+ \frac{1}{8} \Big[ B_0^F \big( -s; m_f, m_f \big) + L_\mu (m_f^2) - 2 \Big] \n+ R_z \Big[ B_0^F \big( -m_f^2; M_z, m_f \big) - B_0^F \big( -m_f^2; m_f, M_H \big) \Big] \n- \frac{1}{8} B_{d1}^F \big( -m_f^2; m_f, M_H \big) - \frac{1}{4} \big( 1 - 4r_{fn} \big) M_H^2 B_{0p} \big( - m_f^2; m_f, M_H \big) \n- \frac{1}{4} \frac{M_H^2}{\Delta_{3r}} L_{ab} \big( m_f, m_f, M_H \big) \n+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{a_f}{\delta_f} \Big( \Big[ 4r_{fz} + (3 + r_{HZ}) \big( 1 - r_{HZ}) R_z \Big] \n\times M_z^2 C_0 \big( - m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; M_H, m_f, M_z \big) \n+ B_0^F \big( -s; m_f, m_f \big) - 2B_0^F \big( -s; M_z, M_H \big) - 3 \n+ (3 + r_{HZ}) R_z \Big[ B_0^F \big( -m_f^2; M_z, m_f \big) - B_0^F \big( -m_f^2; m_f, M_H \big) \Big] \n- 2 \frac{M_z^2}{\Delta_{3r}} \Big[ \big( 1 - 4r_{fn} \big) r_{HZ} L_{ab} \big( m_f, m_f, M_H \big) \n- (3 - r_{HZ} + 4r_{fz} \big) L_{Hi} \big( m_f, M_H, M_z \big) \Big] \Big) \Big\}, \tag{2.75}
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{D}^{zH}(s) = -\frac{v_{f}}{2I_{f}^{(3)}c_{w}^{2}}\frac{1}{\Delta_{3r}}\left\{-3r_{fz}M_{H}^{2}C_{0}\left(-m_{f}^{2},-m_{f}^{2},-s;m_{f},M_{H},m_{f}\right)\right.\n+2\left[2\left(r_{HZ}-1\right)\frac{m_{f}^{2}}{s}-r_{HZ}+2r_{fz}\right]\n\times M_{Z}^{2}C_{0}\left(-m_{f}^{2},-m_{f}^{2},-s;M_{H},m_{f},M_{z}\right)\n-4\frac{m_{f}^{2}}{s}\left[B_{0}^{F}\left(-m_{f}^{2};M_{z},m_{f}\right)-B_{0}^{F}\left(-m_{f}^{2};m_{f},M_{H}\right)\right]\n-2B_{0}^{F}\left(-s;M_{z},M_{H}\right)+2B_{0}^{F}\left(-m_{f}^{2};M_{z},m_{f}\right)\n+\frac{3}{2}r_{fz}\left[B_{0}^{F}\left(-s;m_{f},m_{f}\right)-B_{0}^{F}\left(-m_{f}^{2};m_{f},M_{H}\right)\right]\n+\frac{1}{2}r_{HZ}\left[B_{0}^{F}\left(-m_{f}^{2};m_{f},M_{H}\right)+L_{\mu}(M_{H}^{2})-1\right]\n-\frac{1}{2}r_{fz}\left[B_{0}^{F}\left(-m_{f}^{2};m_{f},M_{H}\right)+L_{\mu}(m_{f}^{2})-2\right]\n+3r_{fz}\frac{M_{H}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}}L_{ab}\left(m_{f},m_{f},M_{H}\right)
$$
\n(2.76)

Again, the five (one does not exist) scalar form factors in Eq. [\(2.71\)](#page-25-1) are separately gauge-invariant. Note also that UV poles persisting in the scalar form factors of the  $H$  cluster cancel exactly the corresponding poles of the  $Z$ cluster. In other words, the form factors of the 'neutral sector' cluster  $(Z + H)$ are UV finite.

In total, we have 11 separately gauge-invariant building blocks that originate from  $Z$  and  $H$  clusters.

#### <span id="page-28-0"></span>2.4.4 Form factors of the W cluster

Finally, the W cluster is made of the diagrams shown in Fig. [9.](#page-29-0)

In the formulae below, we present contributions to scalar form factors from all the diagrams of the  $W$  cluster, not subdividing them into abelian and non-abelian contributions. To some extent two sub-clusters are automatically marked by the type of arguments of  $C_0$  functions and typical coupling constants. Separating poles, we have:

$$
F_L^{\gamma w} (s) = 2 \frac{1}{\overline{\varepsilon}} + \mathcal{F}_L^{\gamma w} (s) ,
$$
  
\n
$$
F_Q^{\gamma w} (s) = \mathcal{F}_Q^{\gamma w} (s) ,
$$
  
\n
$$
F_L^{\gamma w} (s) = \mathcal{F}_D^{\gamma w} (s) ,
$$
  
\n
$$
F_L^{zw} (s) = 2c_w^2 \frac{1}{\overline{\varepsilon}} + \mathcal{F}_L^{zw} (s) ,
$$
  
\n
$$
F_Q^{zw} (s) = \mathcal{F}_Q^{zw} (s) ,
$$
  
\n
$$
F_D^{zw} (s) = \mathcal{F}_D^{zw} (s) .
$$
  
\n(2.77)



<span id="page-29-0"></span>Figure 9: W cluster: the first row shows the abelian diagrams of the cluster, the last row the non-abelian diagrams; the second row shows diagrams that contribute to both counterterm crosses (last diagrams in first and third rows).

Here the finite parts are:

<span id="page-29-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{F}_{L}^{\gamma W} = \frac{Q_{f'}}{2I_{f}^{(3)}} \Biggl\{ \Biggl[ 3 + \left( 1 + r^{-} \right)^{2} + \frac{2}{R_{w}} \Biggr] M_{w}^{2} C_{0} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f'}, M_{w}, m_{f'} \Big) \n- \frac{1}{2} \left( 6 + r^{-} \right) \Biggl[ B_{0}^{F} \Big( -s; m_{f'}, m_{f'} \Big) - B_{0}^{F} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \Big) \Biggr] \n+ \frac{1}{2} \left( 2 - r^{-} \right) \Biggl[ B_{d w}^{F} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \Big) - 1 \Biggr] \n+ \Biggl[ 4 - \left( 2 + r^{-} \right) \left( 3 + 3r_{f w} + r_{f' w} \right) \Biggr] \frac{M_{w}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}} L_{ab} \left( m_{f}, m_{f'}, M_{w} \right) \Biggr\} \n- r_{f' w} \left( 2 - r^{-} \right) M_{w}^{2} C_{0} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; M_{w}, m_{f'}, M_{w} \Big) \n+ \frac{1}{2} \left( 6 - r^{-} \right) \Biggl[ B_{0}^{F} \Big( -s; M_{w}, M_{w} \Big) - B_{0}^{F} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \Big) \Biggr] \n+ 2B_{0}^{F} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \Big) + \frac{1}{2} \left( 2 - 3r^{-} \right) \Biggl[ B_{d w}^{F} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \Big) + 1 \Biggr] \n- \Biggl( 4 - \Biggl[ 2 + r_{f w} \left( 13 + r_{f w} \right) - r_{f' w} \left( 1 + r_{f' w} \right) \Biggr] \frac{M_{w}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}} \Biggr) \n\times L_{na} \left( m_{f}, m_{f'}, M_{w} \right) \n- \frac{Q_{f}}{4I_{f}^{(3)}} \Biggl(
$$

where  $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{Im}}} = (1 - r_{f'w}) \frac{(2 + r_{f'w})}{r_{f'w}}$  $\frac{r_{fW}}{r_{fw}} + r_{fw}$ .

The last term in Eq. [\(2.78\)](#page-29-1) is due to a non-cancellation of the imaginary part of the function  $B_0^F(-m_f^2; M_w, m_{f'})$  which appear in real counterterms and complex-valued vertices.

$$
\mathcal{F}_{Q}^{W} = \frac{r_{fw}}{4Q_{f}} \Biggl\{ Q_{f'} \Biggl[ -4M_{w}^{2}C_{0} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f'}, M_{w}, m_{f'} \Big) \n+ B_{0}^{F} \Big( -s; m_{f'}, m_{f'}) - B_{0}^{F} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w'} \Big) -1 \n- \frac{2 + r_{fw}}{r_{fw}} B_{dw}^{F} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \Big) \n- \Biggl( \frac{(1 - r_{f'w})(2 + r_{f'w})}{r_{fw}} - 1 - r_{fw} + 2r_{f'w} \Biggr) \n+ 2 (3 + r^{-}) \frac{M_{w}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}} L_{ab} \left( m_{f}, m_{f'}, M_{w} \right) \Biggr] \n- 2I_{f}^{(3)} \Biggl[ 2m_{f'}^{2}C_{0} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; M_{w}, m_{f'}, M_{w} \Big) \n- B_{0}^{F} \Big( -s; M_{w}, M_{w} \Big) + B_{0}^{F} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \Big) -1 \n+ \frac{2 + r_{f'w}}{r_{fw}} B_{dw}^{F} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \Big) \n+ \Biggl( \frac{2 - r_{f'w} \left( 1 + r_{f'w} \right)}{r_{fw}} - 1 - r_{fw} + 2r_{f'w} \Biggr) \n\times M_{w}^{2} B_{0p} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \Big) + 2 (7 + r^{+}) \frac{M_{w}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}} L_{na} \left( m_{f}, m_{f'}, M_{w} \right) \Biggr) \Biggr\} \n+ \frac{1}{4} \Delta_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{in}}} i \text{ Im} B_{0}^{F} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}; M_{w}, m_{f'} \Big), \qquad (2.79) \n\mathcal{F}_{p}^{W} = - \frac{1}{\Delta_{3r}} \Biggl\{ \frac{Q_{f'}}{2I_{f}^{(3
$$

+ 
$$
(6 - r_{fw} - 5r_{f'w}) \left[ B_0^F(-s; M_w, M_w) - B_0^F(-m_f^2; m_{f'}, M_w) \right]
$$
  
+  $(2 + r^+) \left[ B_{dw}^F(-m_f^2; m_{f'}, M_w) - 1 \right]$   
-  $6 \left[ (1 - r_{fw}) (2 + r_{fw}) - r_{f'w} (1 + 2r_{fw} + r_{f'w}) \right]$   
 $\times \frac{M_w^2}{\Delta_{3r}} L_{na} (m_f, m_{f'}, M_w) \Big\},$  (2.80)

$$
\mathcal{F}_{L}^{zw} = \frac{\sigma_{f}}{4I_{f}^{S}} \left\{ \left[ 3 + (1+r^{-})^{2} + \frac{2}{R_{w}} \right] M_{w}^{2} C_{0} \left( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f'}, M_{w}, m_{f'} \right) \right. \\ - \frac{1}{2} \left( 6 + r^{-} \right) \left[ B_{0}^{F} \left( -s; m_{f'}, m_{f'} \right) - B_{0}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \right) \right] \\ + \frac{1}{2} \left( 2 - r^{-} \right) \left[ B_{d w}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \right) - 1 \right] \\ + \left[ 4 - (2 + r^{-}) \left( 3 + 3r_{f w} + r_{f' w} \right) \right] \frac{M_{w}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}} L_{ab} \left( m_{f}, m_{f'}, M_{w} \right) \right\} \\ + r_{f' w} M_{w}^{2} C_{0} \left( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f'}, M_{w}, m_{f'} \right) \\ + \frac{1}{4} \left\{ r^{-} B_{0}^{F} \left( -s; M_{w}, M_{w} \right) - r_{f w} \left[ B_{0}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \right) - 1 \right] \right. \\ + r_{f' w} \left[ B_{0}^{F} \left( -s; m_{f'}, m_{f'} \right) - 2 \right] - (2 + r_{f' w}) B_{d w}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \right) \\ - \left[ \left( 1 - r_{f w} \right) \left( 2 + r_{f w} \right) - r_{f' w} \left( 1 - 2r_{f w} + r_{f' w} \right) \right] \\ \times M_{w}^{2} B_{0p} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f'}, M_{w} \right) \\ - 2r_{f' w} \left( 1 + r^{-} \right) \frac{M_{w}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}} L_{ab} \left( m_{f}, m_{f'}, M_{w} \right) \right\} \\ - c_{w}^{2} \left\{ \left( 4 \left( 1 - r_{f w} \right) + \frac{
$$

$$
+\frac{1}{8I_{f}^{(3)}}\left(3\sigma_{f}-\delta_{f}\Delta_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{Im}}}\right)i\,\text{Im}B_{0}^{F}\left(-m_{f}^{2};M_{w},m_{f'}\right),\qquad(2.81)
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{0}^{zw} = -\frac{r_{fw}}{4}\frac{\sigma_{f'}}{\delta_{t}}\left\{4M_{w}^{2}C_{0}\left(-m_{f}^{2},-m_{f}^{2},-s;m_{f'},M_{w},m_{f'}\right)\right\} \n-B_{0}^{F}\left(-s;m_{f},m_{f'}\right)+B_{0}^{F}\left(-m_{f}^{2};m_{f'},M_{w'}\right)+1 \n-2\left(3+r^{-}\right)\frac{M_{w}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}}L_{ab}\left(m_{f},m_{f'},M_{w}\right)\right\} \n-\frac{1}{4}\left(2+r_{f'w}\right)B_{dw}^{F}\left(-m_{f}^{2};m_{f'},M_{w}\right) \n-\frac{1}{4}\left[(1-r_{f'w})\left(2+r^{-}\right)+r_{f'w}r^{-}\right]M_{w}^{2}B_{0p}\left(-m_{f}^{2};m_{f'},M_{w}\right) \n+I_{f}^{(3)}c_{w}^{2}\frac{r_{f'w}}{\delta_{t}}\left\{\frac{s_{w}^{2}-c_{w}^{2}}{c_{w}^{2}}\left(r_{f'w}M_{w}^{2}C_{0}\left(-m_{f}^{2},-m_{f}^{2},-s;M_{w},m_{f'},M_{w}\right)\right) \n-\frac{1}{2}\left[B_{0}^{F}\left(-s;M_{w},M_{w}\right)-B_{0}^{F}\left(-m_{f}^{2};m_{f'},M_{w}\right)+1\right]\right) \n-\left[s-\frac{s_{w}^{2}-c_{w}^{2}}{c_{w}^{2}}\left(3+r_{fw}+r_{f'w}\right)\right]\frac{M_{w}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}}L_{na}\left(m_{f},m_{f'},M_{w}\right)\right\} \n+\frac{1}{4}\Delta_{\mathcal{F}_{\text{Im}}i}\overline{i}\,\text{Im}B_{0}^{F}\left(-m_{f}^{2};M_{w},m_{f'}\right),\qquad(2.82)
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{D
$$

$$
-r_{f'w}\left(5 - r_{fw} - 4r_{f'w} - \frac{1}{R_z}\right)\Big)\Big] \times M_w^2 C_0\left(-m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; M_w, m_{f'}, M_w\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left[4 - \frac{s_w^2 - c_w^2}{c_w^2}\left(4 - r_{fw} - 5r_{f'w}\right)\right] \times \left[B_0^F\left(-s; M_w, M_w\right) - B_0^F\left(-m_f^2; m_{f'}, M_w\right)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\left(4 - \frac{s_w^2 - c_w^2}{c_w^2}r_{tb}^+\right)\left[B_{dw}^F\left(-m_f^2; m_{f'}, M_w\right) - 1\right) - 6\left(1 - r^+\right)\frac{M_w^2}{\Delta_{3r}}L_{na}\left(m_f, m_{f'}, M_w\right)\right]\Big)\Big\}.
$$
 (2.83)

Here we introduce more ratios, which were not given in Eq. [\(2.10\)](#page-12-0):

$$
r^{\pm} = r_{fw} \pm r_{f'w} \,. \tag{2.84}
$$

Furthermore, we used one more 'subtracted' function:

$$
B_{dw}^{F}(-m_{f}^{2};m_{f'},M_{w}) = \frac{1}{r_{fw}} \Big\{ (1 - r_{f'w}) \Big[ B_{0}^{F}(-m_{f}^{2};m_{f'},M_{w}) \quad (2.85)
$$

$$
+ L_{\mu}(M_{w}^{2}) - 1 \Big] - r_{f'w} \Big[ L_{\mu}(m_{f'}^{2}) - L_{\mu}(M_{w}^{2}) \Big] \Big\},
$$

and the three auxiliary functions:

$$
L_{ab}(M_1, M_2, M_3) = (M_3^2 + M_1^2 - M_2^2) C_0 \left( -m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; M_2, M_3, M_2 \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
- B_0^F \left( -s; M_2, M_2 \right) + B_0^F \left( -m_f^2; M_2, M_3 \right), \qquad (2.86)
$$
  
\n
$$
L_{na}(M_1, M_2, M_3) = (M_3^2 - M_1^2 - M_2^2) C_0 \left( -m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; M_3, M_2, M_3 \right)
$$

$$
+ B_0^F(-s; M_3, M_3) - B_0^F(-m_f^2; M_3, M_2),
$$
\n
$$
L_{Hi}(M_1, M_2, M_3) = \left[\frac{1}{2}(M_2^2 + M_3^2) - 2M_1^2\right]
$$
\n(2.88)

$$
\times \ C_0 \big(-m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; M_2, M_1, M_3\big) + B_0^F \big(-s; M_3, M_2\big)\\ -\frac{1}{2} B_0^F \big(-m_f^2; M_1, M_2\big) -\frac{1}{2} B_0^F \big(-m_f^2; M_3, M_1\big).
$$

Four scalar form factors,  $\mathcal{F}_{Q,D}^{\gamma(z)w}$ , as follows from calculations, are both gaugeinvariant and finite, thus enlarging the number of gauge-invariant *building* blocks to 15. On the contrary, two form factors,  $\mathcal{F}_L^{\gamma(z)w}$ , are neither gaugeinvariant nor finite. Gauge dependence on  $\xi$ , as well as UV poles, of L form factors cancel in the sum with the WW box and the self-energy contributions.

# <span id="page-34-0"></span>2.5 Library of scalar form factors in the limit  $m_f = 0$

In the limit  $m_f = 0$  only nine scalar form factors of vertex clusters give nonzero contributions:  $F_{Q}^{\gamma A}$ ,  $F_{L,Q}^{zA}$ ,  $F_{L,Q}^{\gamma Z}$ ,  $F_{L,Q}^{zZ}$  and  $F_{L}^{\gamma,zW}$ , which, in turn, may be expressed in terms of three auxiliary functions  $F_{\text{lim}}^{A}$ ,  $F_{\text{lim}}^{z}$  and  $F_{\text{lim}}^{W}$ . These nine form factors are:

$$
F_{Q_{\text{lim}}^{\gamma A}}^{\gamma A} = F_{\text{z1}}^{zA} = F_{Q_{\text{lim}}}^{zA} = Q_{f}^{2}s_{w}^{2}F_{\text{lim}}^{A},
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{L\text{lim}}^{\gamma z} = \frac{1}{c_{w}^{2}} 2Q_{f}v_{f}a_{f}F_{\text{lim}}^{z},
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{Q_{\text{lim}}}^{\gamma z} = F_{Q_{\text{lim}}}^{z_{\text{lim}}} = \frac{1}{4c_{w}^{2}} \delta_{f}^{2}F_{\text{lim}}^{z},
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{L\text{lim}}^{\gamma w} = \frac{Q_{f}}{2I_{f}^{(3)}}F_{\text{lim}}^{W} - \left[r_{f'w}(2+r_{f'w}) + 4d_{w} + d_{w}^{2}(2+r_{f'w})R_{w}\right]
$$
  
\n
$$
\times M_{w}^{2}C_{0}(0, 0, -s; M_{w}, m_{f}, M_{w})
$$
  
\n
$$
- \left[1 - \frac{1}{2}r_{f'w} + d_{w}(2+r_{f'w})R_{w}\right]
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \left[B_{0}^{F}(-s; M_{w}, M_{w}) + L_{\mu}(m_{f'}^{2}) - 1\right]
$$
  
\n
$$
- \left[\frac{3}{2d_{w}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2d_{w}} + 1 + (2+r_{f'w})R_{w}\right]\left[L_{\mu}(m_{f'}^{2}) - L_{\mu}(M_{w}^{2})\right]
$$
  
\n
$$
- 2L_{\mu}(m_{f'}^{2}) + \frac{3}{2d_{w}} + 2 + \frac{r_{f'w}}{4},
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{L\text{lim}}^{zw} = \frac{\sigma_{f'}}{4I_{f}^{(3)}}F_{\text{lim}}^{W}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{r_{f'}w}{2}\left\{(2 + d_{w}^{2}R_{w})M_{w}^{2}C_{0}(-m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f}, M_{w}, m_{f})\right\}
$$
  
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{2}\left[B_{0}^{F}(-s; M_{w}, M_{
$$

 $\times d_W R_W \bigg[ M_W^2 C_0 \big(-m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; M_W, m_f, M_W \big)$ 

 $\setminus$ 

$$
+\frac{1}{2}\left(2-r_{f'w} + \left[4-r_{f'w}\left(4+d_wt_w\right)\right]R_w\right) \times \left[B_0^F(-s; M_w, M_w) + L_\mu(M_w^2) - 1\right] -\left(\frac{3}{2d_w^2} + \frac{1}{2d_w} - 2 + \frac{1}{2}r_{f'w}\left[1 + \left(4 - r_{f'w}t_w\right)R_w\right]\right) \times \left[L_\mu(m_{f'}^2) - L_\mu(M_w^2)\right] + 2L_\mu(M_w^2) - \frac{3}{2d_w} - 2 - \frac{1}{4}r_{f'w}\right),
$$
\n(2.89)

while the three auxiliary functions read:

$$
F_{\lim}^{A} = 2sC_{0}(-m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; m_{f}, \lambda, m_{f})
$$
  
\n
$$
-3B_{0}^{F}(-s; 0, 0) - 3L_{\mu}(m_{f}^{2}) + 2L_{\lambda}(m_{f}^{2}) + 2,
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{\lim}^{z} = \frac{2(1 + R_{z})^{2}}{R_{z}} M_{z}^{2}C_{0}(0, 0, -s; 0, M_{z}, 0)
$$
  
\n
$$
- (3 + 2R_{z}) \left[ B_{0}^{F}(-s; 0, 0) + L_{\mu}(M_{z}^{2}) - 1 \right] - \frac{1}{2},
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{\lim}^{W} = \left( \frac{2}{R_{w}} + 3 + d_{w}^{2} [1 + (2 + r_{f'w}) R_{w}] \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
\times M_{w}^{2} C_{0}(0, 0, -s; m_{f}, M_{w}, m_{f})
$$
  
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{2} \left[ 6 - r_{f'w} + 2d_{w} (2 + r_{f'w}) R_{w} \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \left[ B_{0}^{F}(-s; m_{f}, m_{f}) + L_{\mu}(m_{f'}^{2}) - 1 \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{3}{d_{w}^{2}} + \frac{1}{d_{w}} + 2 + 2(2 + r_{f'w}) R_{w} \right] \left[ L_{\mu}(m_{f'}^{2}) - L_{\mu}(M_{w}^{2}) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{3}{2d_{w}} - 2 - \frac{3}{4} r_{f'w},
$$
  
\n(2.90)

where

$$
L_{\lambda}(m_f^2) = \ln \frac{m_f^2}{\lambda^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
d_W = 1 - r_{f'W},
$$
\n(2.91)

with  $\lambda$  being the photon mass.

The formulae, presented in this subsection, are useful to compute the oneloop corrections for the channels with massless or light final state fermions  $\boldsymbol{f}$ and heavy virtual fermions  $f'$ .
# 2.6 Library of scalar form factors for electron vertex

<span id="page-36-0"></span>Besides Btt clusters, we need also Bee clusters, which can, in principle, be taken from [\[5\]](#page-74-0) or derived from the formulae of previous subsection in the limit  $m_{f^{\prime}} \rightarrow 0$  and  $m_f = m_e.$  Here we simply list the results:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{L}^{ree}(s) = -\frac{2}{c_{W}^{2}} Q_{e} v_{e} a_{e} \mathcal{F}^{z,e}(s) + \mathcal{F}^{Wna,e}(s),
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{Q}^{ree}(s) = \mathcal{F}^{A,e}(s) + \frac{1}{4c_{W}^{2}} \delta_{e}^{2} \mathcal{F}^{z,e}(s),
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{L}^{zee}(s) = \mathcal{F}^{A,e}(s) - \frac{1}{2c_{W}^{2}} (3v_{e}^{2} + a_{e}^{2}) a_{e} \mathcal{F}^{z,e}(s) + \mathcal{F}^{W,e}(s),
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{Q}^{zee}(s) = \mathcal{F}^{A,e}(s) + \frac{1}{4c_{W}^{2}} \delta_{e}^{2} \mathcal{F}^{z,e}(s),
$$
\n(2.92)

with

$$
\mathcal{F}^{w,e}(s) = -\mathcal{F}^{Wab,e}(s) + c_w^2 \mathcal{F}^{Wna,e}(s).
$$
 (2.93)

In Eq. [\(2.92\)](#page-36-0) we use four more auxiliary functions:

$$
\mathcal{F}^{A,e}(s) = Q_e^2 s_W^2 \left[ 2sC_0 \left( -m_e^2, -m_e^2, -s; m_e, \lambda, m_e \right) - 3B_0^F \left( -s; 0, 0 \right) - 3L_\mu (m_e^2) + 2L_\lambda (m_e^2) + 2 \right],\tag{2.94}
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}^{z,e}(s) = 2\frac{(1+R_z)^2}{R_z} M_z^2 C_0(0,0,-s;0,M_z,0)
$$
  

$$
-3\Big[B_0^F(-s;0,0) + L_\mu(M_z^2)\Big]
$$
  

$$
+\frac{5}{2} - 2R_z\Big[B_0^F(-s;0,0) + L_\mu(M_z^2) - 1\Big],
$$
 (2.95)

$$
\mathcal{F}^{Wna,e}(s) = -2R_W \Big[ M_W^2 C_0(0, 0, -s; M_W, 0, M_W) + B_0^F(-s; M_W, M_W) + L_\mu(M_W^2) - 1 \Big] - 4M_W^2 C_0(0, 0, -s; M_W, 0, M_W) - B_0^F(-s; M_W, M_W) - 3L_\mu(M_W^2) + \frac{9}{2},
$$
(2.96)

$$
\mathcal{F}^{Wab,e}(s) = \sigma_{\nu} \left\{ \frac{\left(1 + R_{w}\right)^{2}}{R_{w}} M_{w}^{2} C_{0}(0, 0, -s; 0, M_{w}, 0) -\frac{3}{2} \left[ B_{0}^{F}(-s; 0, 0) + L_{\mu}(M_{w}^{2}) \right] + \frac{5}{4} - R_{w} \left[ B_{0}^{F}(-s; 0, 0) + L_{\mu}(M_{w}^{2}) - 1 \right] \right\},
$$
\n(2.97)

where  $L_{\lambda}(m_e^2) = \ln(m_e^2/\lambda^2)$ .

# 2.7 Amplitudes of boxes

The contributions of QED AA and ZA boxes form gauge-invariant and UVfinite subsets. In terms of six structures  $(L, R) \otimes (L, R, D)$  they read:

<span id="page-37-0"></span>
$$
\left(\mathcal{B}^{LK}\right)^{d+c} = k^{LK} \frac{g^4}{s} \left[ \left[ \gamma_\mu \gamma_+ \otimes \gamma_\mu \gamma_+ \right] \mathcal{F}_{LL}^{LK} (s, t, u) + \left[ \gamma_\mu \gamma_+ \otimes \gamma_\mu \gamma_- \right] \mathcal{F}_{LR}^{LK} (s, t, u) + \left[ \gamma_\mu \gamma_- \otimes \gamma_\mu \gamma_+ \right] \mathcal{F}_{RL}^{LK} (s, t, u) + \left[ \gamma_\mu \gamma_- \otimes \gamma_\mu \gamma_- \right] \mathcal{F}_{RR}^{LK} (s, t, u) + \left[ \gamma_\mu \gamma_+ \otimes (-im_f I D_\mu) \right] \mathcal{F}_{LD}^{LK} (s, t, u) + \left[ \gamma_\mu \gamma_- \otimes (-im_f I D_\mu) \right] \mathcal{F}_{RD}^{LK} (s, t, u) \right],
$$
\n(2.98)

where  $LK = AA, ZA, ZZ$  and for shortening of presentation we factorize out normalization factors:

$$
k^{AA} = s_w^4 Q_e^2 Q_f^2, \qquad k^{ZA} = \frac{s_w^2 Q_e Q_f}{c_w^2}, \qquad k^{ZZ} = \frac{1}{32c_w^4}.
$$
 (2.99)

#### 2.7.1 AA box contribution

There are only two AA diagrams, direct and crossed:



The six form factors of AA boxes might be expressed in terms of four auxiliary functions  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{1,2,3}$ :

$$
\mathcal{F}_{LL}^{AA}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{F}_{RR}^{AA}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{H}_1(s,t) - \mathcal{H}_1(s,u) + \mathcal{H}_2(s,t) + \mathcal{H}_3(s,u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{LR}^{AA}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{F}_{RL}^{AA}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{H}_1(s,t) - \mathcal{H}_1(s,u) - \mathcal{H}_2(s,u) - \mathcal{H}_3(s,t),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{LL}^{AA}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{F}_{LL}^{AA}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{F}_1(s,t) - \mathcal{F}_1(s,u).
$$
\n(2.100)

The auxiliary functions are rather short:

$$
\mathcal{F}_1(s,t) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{s}{\Delta_{4r}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} \left[ -t^3 \mathcal{J}_{AA}(-s, -t; m_e, m_f) \right. \right.\left. + ts^2 C_0 \left( -m_e^2, -m_e^2, -s; 0, m_e, 0 \right) \right] \left. + t \left[ \frac{4m_f^2}{\Delta_{3r}} + \frac{s \left( s - 2m_f^2 \right)}{\Delta_{4r}} \right] C_0 \left( -m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; 0, m_f, 0 \right) \right.\left. - 2 \frac{t}{\Delta_{3r}} \left[ B_0^F \left( -s; 0, 0 \right) - B_0^F \left( -m_f^2; m_f, 0 \right) \right] \left. + 2 \frac{t}{t_-} \left[ B_0^F \left( -t; m_e, m_f \right) - B_0^F \left( -m_f^2; m_f, 0 \right) \right] \right\}, \tag{2.101}
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_1(s,t) = -\frac{t_-}{4} \left[ 2 - \left( t + \frac{t_+ t_-^2}{\Delta_{4r}} \right) \frac{s}{\Delta_{4r}} \right] J_{AA}(-s, -t; m_e, m_f) \n+ t_- C_0 \left( -m_e^2, -m_f^2, -t; m_e, 0, m_f \right) \n+ \frac{s m_f^2}{2\Delta_{4r}} \left( 1 - 2 \frac{t}{t_-} \right) \left[ B_0^F \left( -t; m_e, m_f \right) - B_0^F \left( -m_f^2; m_f, 0 \right) \right],
$$
\n(2.102)

$$
\mathcal{H}_2 (s, t) = \frac{s}{4\Delta_{4r}} \bigg\{ (s + 2t_{-}) \left( 1 - t_{+} \frac{s}{\Delta_{4r}} \right) s C_0 \left( -m_e^2, -m_e^2, -s; 0, m_e, 0 \right) \bigg\}
$$

$$
- \left[ 2m_f^2 s - \left( s - 4m_f^2 \right) \left( s + 2t_{-} - \left( st_{+} + 2t t_{-} \right) \frac{s}{\Delta_{4r}} \right) \right]
$$

$$
\times C_0 \left( -m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; 0, m_f, 0 \right)
$$

$$
+ 2t_{-} \left[ B_0^F \left( -s; 0, 0 \right) - B_0^F \left( -t; m_e, m_f \right) \right]
$$

$$
- 4m_f^2 \left[ B_0^F \left( -t; m_e, m_f \right) - B_0^F \left( -m_f^2; m_f, 0 \right) \right] \bigg\}, \qquad (2.103)
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_3\left(s,t\right) = \frac{s}{4\Delta_{4r}^2} \left(s + 2t_-\right) t_-^3 J_{AA}(-s, -t; m_e, m_f). \tag{2.104}
$$

Here

$$
\Delta_{4r} = -tu + m_f^4 \,,\tag{2.105}
$$

and  $J_{AA}$   $(Q^2, P^2; M_1, M_2)$  is due to a procedure of disentangling of the infrared divergences from  $D_0$ . Its explicit expression reads  $(P^2 > 0, Q^2 < 0, \text{ and } M_1$ is ignored everywhere but for the arguments of ln):

$$
J_{AA} (Q^2, P^2; M_1, M_2) = \frac{1}{\Delta_P} \left\{ \ln \frac{\Delta_P^2}{-Q^2 P^2} \ln \left( \frac{P^2}{-Q^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \left( \frac{M_1^2}{-Q^2} \right) \right\}
$$
  

$$
- \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \left( \frac{M_2^2}{-Q^2} \right) + \ln^2 \left( 1 + \frac{M_2^2}{P^2} \right) - 2 \text{Li}_2 \left( \frac{P^2}{\Delta_P} \right) + i \pi \ln \left[ \frac{\Delta_P^2}{M_1^2 M_2^2} \right] \right\}.
$$
 (2.106)

Furthermore, the relevant infrared divergent  $C_0$  function (again  $P^2 > 0$ ), is

$$
C_0^{\text{IR}}\left(-M_1^2, -M_2^2, P^2; M_1, \lambda, M_2\right) = \frac{1}{2\Delta_P} \left\{ \ln \left[\frac{\Delta_P^2}{M_1^2 M_2^2}\right] \ln \frac{P^2}{\lambda^2} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
-2\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{P^2}{\Delta_P}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\ln^2\left(\frac{M_1^2}{P^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\ln^2\left(\frac{M_2^2}{P^2}\right) + \ln^2\left(1 + \frac{M_2^2}{P^2}\right) \right\},\tag{2.107}
$$

where  $\Delta_P = P^2 + M^2$ .

#### 2.7.2 ZA box contribution

In  $R_{\xi}$  gauge there are eight ZA boxes; however, since the electron mass is ignored, only four diagrams without  $\phi_0$  contribute, see Fig. [11.](#page-40-0)

The six relevant scalar form factors are conveniently presentable in the form of differences of  $f$ - and  $u$ - dependent functions:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{IJ}^{ZA}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{F}_{IJ}^{ZA}(s,t) - \mathcal{F}_{IJ}^{ZA}(s,u), \qquad (2.108)
$$

where the index IJ is any pair of L,  $R \otimes L$ , R, D. The 12  $\mathcal{F}_{IJ}^{ZA}$  functions depend on 6 auxiliary functions by means of equations where the coupling constants are factored out:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{LL}^{ZA}(s,t) = \sigma_e \sigma_f \mathcal{G}_1(s,t) + \sigma_e \delta_f \mathcal{G}_2(s,t),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{LL}^{ZA}(s,u) = \sigma_e \delta_f \mathcal{H}_1(s,u) + \sigma_e \sigma_f \mathcal{H}_2(s,u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{RR}^{ZA}(s,t) = \delta_e \delta_f \mathcal{G}_1(s,t) + \delta_e \sigma_f \mathcal{G}_2(s,t),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{RR}^{ZA}(s,u) = \delta_e \sigma_f \mathcal{H}_1(s,u) + \delta_e \delta_f \mathcal{H}_2(s,u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{LR}^{ZA}(s,t) = \sigma_e \sigma_f \mathcal{H}_1(s,t) + \sigma_e \delta_f \mathcal{H}_2(s,t),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{LR}^{ZA}(s,u) = \sigma_e \delta_f \mathcal{G}_1(s,u) + \sigma_e \sigma_f \mathcal{G}_2(s,u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{RL}^{ZA}(s,t) = \delta_e \delta_f \mathcal{H}_1(s,t) + \delta_e \sigma_f \mathcal{H}_2(s,t),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{LL}^{ZA}(s,u) = \delta_e \sigma_f \mathcal{G}_1(s,u) + \delta_e \delta_f \mathcal{G}_2(s,u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{LD}^{ZA}(s,t) = \sigma_e \sigma_f \mathcal{F}_1(s,t) + \sigma_e \delta_f \mathcal{F}_2(s,t),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{LD}^{ZA}(s,u) = \sigma_e \delta_f \mathcal{F}_1(s,u) + \sigma_e \sigma_f \mathcal{F}_2(s,u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{RD}^{ZA}(s,t) = \delta_e \delta_f \mathcal{F}_1(s,t) + \delta_e \sigma_f \mathcal{F}_2(s,t),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{RD}^{ZA}(s,u) = \delta_e \sigma_f \mathcal{F}_1(s,u) + \delta_e \delta_f \mathcal{F}_2(s,u).
$$
  
\n(2.109)



<span id="page-40-0"></span>Figure 11: Direct and crossed ZA boxes.

Finally, we present these 6 auxiliary functions:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{1}(s,t) = -\frac{1}{8} \frac{s}{\Delta_{4r}} \Big\{ t_{-} \Big( R_{z} + \frac{t_{-}}{s} - 2 + 2t \frac{s_{-}}{\Delta_{4r}} \Big) J_{AZ}(-s, -t; m_{e}, m_{f})
$$
  
\n
$$
- \Big( s_{+} + 2 \frac{s_{-}t_{-}^{2}}{\Delta_{4r}} \Big) C_{0} \Big( -m_{e}^{2}, -m_{e}^{2}, -s; M_{z}, m_{e}, 0 \Big)
$$
  
\n
$$
- 2 \Big( t_{+} 2t_{-} + \frac{tM_{z}^{2}}{t_{-}} - 2 \frac{s_{-}tt_{-}}{\Delta_{4r}} \Big) \times C_{0} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{e}^{2}, -t; m_{f}, M_{z}, m_{e} \Big)
$$
  
\n
$$
- \Big[ 2 \frac{t_{+}}{\Delta_{3r}} \Big( M_{z}^{2} - 4m_{f}^{2} \Big) + s_{-} \Big( 1 + 2 \frac{t_{-}t_{+}}{\Delta_{4r}} \Big) \Big] \times C_{0} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; M_{z}, m_{f}, 0 \Big)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2 \frac{t}{t_{-}} \Big[ 2B_{0}^{F} \Big( -t; m_{e}, m_{f} \Big) \times C_{0} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; M_{z}, m_{f}, 0 \Big) \Big]
$$
  
\n
$$
- 2 \frac{t_{+}}{\Delta_{3r}} \Big[ 2B_{0}^{F} \Big( -s; M_{z}, 0 \Big) \times B_{0}^{F} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, 0 \Big) \Big],
$$
  
\n
$$
- 2 \Big( \frac{t_{+}}{\Delta_{3r}} \Big[ \frac{t_{-}^{2}}{s} J_{AZ}(-s, -t; m_{e}, m_{f}) \Big) \times C_{0} \Big( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, 0 \Big) \Big],
$$
  
\n
$$
- 2 \Big( \frac{R_{z}}{t_{-}} + \Big[ t_{-} t_{-} \Big( R_{z} - 2 + \frac{s_{-}t_{+
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_{2}(s,t) = \frac{s}{4} \left\{ \left[ -\frac{t_{-}}{s_{-}} + \frac{m_{f}^{2}}{2} \left( \frac{1}{s} - \frac{m_{f}^{2}}{\Delta_{4r}} \right) \right] J_{AZ}(-s, -t; m_{e}, m_{f}) \n+ \frac{t_{-}}{s_{-}} C_{0}(-m_{e}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -t; m_{e}, 0, m_{f}) \n- \left( \frac{t_{-}}{s_{-}} + \frac{m_{t}^{4}}{\Delta_{4r}} \right) C_{0}(-m_{f}^{2}, -m_{e}^{2}, -t; m_{f}, M_{Z}, m_{e}) \right\},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{G}_{1}(s,t) = \frac{s}{4} \left\{ \left( -\frac{t_{-}}{s_{-}} - \frac{m_{f}^{2}}{2s} + \frac{1}{2\Delta_{4r}} \left[ m_{f}^{4} + t_{-} \left( 2t_{+} - m_{f}^{2} R_{z} \right) - \frac{s_{-} t t_{-} t_{+}}{\Delta_{4r}} \right] \right) \n\times J_{AZ}(-s, -t; m_{e}, m_{f}) + \frac{t_{-}}{s_{-}} C_{0}(-m_{e}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -t; m_{e}, 0, m_{f}) \n- \left[ \frac{t_{-}}{s_{-}} - \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} \left( m_{f}^{4} + 2t_{-} t_{+} + \frac{m_{f}^{2} M_{Z}^{2} t_{-}}{t_{-}} - \frac{s_{-} t t_{-} t_{+}}{\Delta_{4r}} \right) \right] \n\times C_{0}(-m_{f}^{2}, -m_{e}^{2}, -t; m_{f}, M_{Z}, m_{e}) \n+ \frac{1}{2\Delta_{4r}} \left[ \left( ts_{+} + \frac{s_{-} t_{-}^{2} t_{+}}{\Delta_{4r}} \right) C_{0}(-m_{e}^{2}, -m_{e}^{2}, -s; M_{Z}, m_{e}, 0) \n- \left( 2m_{f}^{2} t_{-} - t \left( s_{+} - 4m_{f}^{2} \right) - \frac{s_{-} t_{-} t_{+}^{2}}{\Delta_{4r}} \right) \n\t
$$

$$
\mathcal{G}_2(s,t) = \frac{sm_f^2}{8} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{s} - \frac{t}{\Delta_{4r}} \right) J_{Az}(-s, -t; m_e, m_f) - 2 \frac{t}{\Delta_{4r}} C_0 \left( -m_f^2, -m_e^2, -t; m_f, M_z, m_e \right) \right],
$$
\n(2.113)

where a new notation were introduced for the invariants:

$$
s_{\pm} = s \pm M_{z}^{2}
$$
,  $t_{\pm} = t \pm m_{f}^{2}$ , (2.114)

and for the new function  $J_{IJ} (Q^2, P^2; M_1, M_2)$ :

$$
J_{AZ}(Q^2, P^2; M_1, M_2) = \frac{1}{P^2 + M_2^2} \ln\left(\frac{Q^2 + M_Z^2}{M_Z^2}\right) \ln\left[\frac{M_1^2 M_2^2}{\left(P^2 + M_2^2\right)^2}\right].
$$
 (2.115)

## 2.7.3 The WW box

There is only one, *direct* or *crossed*,  $WW$  diagram contributing to our process, see Fig. [12.](#page-43-0)



<span id="page-43-0"></span>Figure 12: Crossed and direct WW boxes.

Here we give the contribution of this diagram to the scalar form factor  $LL$ :

$$
\left(\mathcal{B}^{WW}\right)^c = (2\pi)^4 i \frac{g^4}{16\pi^2} \frac{1}{s} \gamma_\mu \gamma_+ \otimes \gamma_\mu \gamma_+ \mathcal{F}_{LL}^{WW}(s, u) ,\qquad (2.116)
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{F}_{LL}^{WW}(s, u) = \frac{s}{I_t^{(3)}} \bigg[ \left( u - m_b^2 \right) D_0 \left( 0, 0, -m_t^2, -m_t^2, -s, -u; M_w, 0, M_w, m_b \right) + C_0 \left( -m_t^2, -m_t^2, -s; M_w, 0, M_w \right) + C_0 \left( 0, 0, -s; M_w, 0, M_w \right) \bigg],
$$
\n(2.117)

with  $s, t$ , and  $u$  being the usual Mandelstamm variables satisfying

 $s + t + u = 2m_f^2$  $f = t \text{ or } b$ . (2.118)

For the processes, where the direct box contributes, we have:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{LL}^{WW} = \frac{s}{2I_b^{(3)}} \Biggl\{ \Biggl[ - (2 - K_2) t_-' + \Biggl( 2M_W^4 t_+ + K_1 \Bigl( m_b^2 t_-' - m_t^2 t_+ \Bigr) \frac{t_-}{t} \Biggr) \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}}
$$

$$
- K_1^2 t_+ t_-^2 \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}^2} \Biggr] D_0 \Bigl( 0, 0, -m_b^2, -m_b^2, -s, -t; M_W, 0, M_W, m_t \Bigr)
$$

$$
- \Biggl( K_2 + \Biggl[ K_2 t_+ t_- - K_1 \Biggl( \frac{m_b^4}{t} + t \Biggr) \Biggr] \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} + K_1 \frac{t_+^2 t_-^2}{t} \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}^2} \Biggr)
$$

$$
\times C_0 \Bigl( -m_b^2, -m_b^2, -t; M_W, m_t, M_W \Bigr)
$$

$$
+ 2 \Biggl( K_2 t + K_1 t_+ t_- \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} \Biggr) t_- \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} C_0 \Bigl( 0, -m_b^2, -t; 0, M_W, m_t \Bigr)
$$

$$
-\left[2-K_2+(K_2tt_--K_1t_+)\frac{t_--1}{t}\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}}+K_1\frac{t_+t_-^3}{t}\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}^2}\right] \times C_0(0,0,-s;M_w,0,M_w)
$$
  
+  $2t_-\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}}\Big[B_0^F(-s;M_w,M_w)-B_0^F(-m_b^2;M_w,m_t)\Big]$   
-  $2t_+\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}}\Big[B_0^F(-t;0,m_t)-B_0^F(-m_b^2;M_w,m_t)\Big]\Big\},$  (2.119)

$$
\mathcal{F}_{LQ}^{WW} = \frac{sm_b^2}{4I_e^{(3)}s_W^2Q_b} \Biggl\{ -\biggl(m_t^2\frac{t'_-}{t^2} - \frac{1}{2}\biggl[(t'_-)^2 - 2K_1t_+ - \biggl(m_b^2\frac{t'_-}{t} - K_1\biggr)^2\biggr]\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}}
$$
  
+  $K_1^2t_+t_- \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}^2}\biggr)D_0(0, 0, -m_b^2, -m_b^2, -s, -t; M_w, 0, M_w, m_t)$   
+  $\biggl[\frac{m_t^2}{t^2} - \biggl(K_2t_+ + K_1\frac{m_b^2}{t}\biggr)\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} - K_1\frac{t_+^2t_-}{t}\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}^2}\biggr] \times C_0(-m_b^2, -m_b^2, -s; M_w, m_t, M_w)$   
+  $2\biggl[\biggl(K_2 + \frac{K_1}{t_-}\biggr)t + K_1t_+t_- \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}}\biggr]\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}}C_0(0, -m_b^2, -t; 0, M_w, m_t)$   
-  $\biggl[\frac{m_t^2}{t^2} + \biggl(K_2t_- - K_1\frac{m_b^2}{t}\biggr)\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} + K_1\frac{t_+t_-^2}{t}\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}^2}\biggr] \times C_0(0, 0, -s; M_w, 0, M_w)$   
+  $2\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}}\biggl[B_0^F(-s; M_w, M_w) - B_0^F(-m_b^2; M_w, m_t)\biggr]$   
-  $\frac{2t_+}{t_-}\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}}\biggl[B_0^F(-t; 0, m_t) - B_0^F(-m_b^2; M_w, m_t)\biggr]\Biggr\},$  (2.120)  
 $\mathcal{F}_{LD}^{WW} = -\frac{s}{t^{(3)}}\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}}\biggl\{\biggl[2m_t^2t_- + K_3t'_+ + K_1^2t_-t\frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}}\biggr]$ 

$$
F_{LD}^{WW} = -\frac{s}{I_b^{(3)}} \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} \Biggl\{ \Biggl[ 2m_t^2 t_- + K_3 t_+ + K_1^2 t_- t \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} \Biggr] \times D_0 \Biggl( 0, 0, -m_b^2, -m_b^2, -s, -t; M_W, 0, M_W, m_t \Biggr) + \Biggl[ K_3 + 2 \Biggl( \frac{m_b^2 t_+'}{t} - K_3 \Biggr) t_+ \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} + K_1 t_- t_+ \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} \Biggr] \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} \times C_0 \Biggl( -m_b^2, -m_b^2, -s; M_W, m_t, M_W \Biggr) - t \Biggl[ \frac{t_+'}{t} + \frac{K_1}{t_-} + 2K_1 t_- \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} \Biggr] C_0 \Biggl( 0, -m_b^2, -t; 0, M_W, m_t \Biggr) - \Biggl( K_3 - K_1 t_-^2 \frac{1}{\Delta_{4r}} \Biggr) C_0 \Biggl( 0, 0, -s; M_W, 0, M_W \Biggr) - 2t_+ \frac{1}{\Delta_{3r}} \Biggl[ B_0^F \Bigl( -s; M_W, M_W \Bigr) - B_0^F \Bigl( -m_b^2; M_W, m_t \Bigr) \Biggr] + 2 \frac{t}{t_-} \Biggl[ B_0^F \Bigl( -t; 0, m_t \Bigr) - B_0^F \Bigl( -m_b^2; M_W, m_t \Bigr) \Biggr], \tag{2.121}
$$

where

$$
K_1 = 2M_W^2 + \frac{t_{-}^{'}}{t},
$$
  
\n
$$
K_2 = \frac{m_f^2 m_{f'}^2}{t^2} + 1
$$
  
\n
$$
K_3 = 2M_W^2 - m_{f'}^2 \frac{t_{-}}{t},
$$
  
\n
$$
t_{-}^{'} = t - m_t^2, \qquad t_{+}^{'} = t + m_t^2,
$$
  
\n
$$
t_{-} = t - m_b^2, \qquad t_{+} = t + m_b^2.
$$
 (2.122)

In the limit  $m_b \longrightarrow 0$ , for  $F_{LL}^{WW}$  one has:

$$
F_{LL \, \text{lim}}^{WW} = \frac{s}{2I_b^{(3)}} \Bigg\{ - \Bigg[ \Big(\frac{1}{2}\frac{t'_-}{u} + 1\Big) t'_- - K_1^{\text{lim}} \frac{t}{u} + \frac{(K_1^{\text{lim}})^2}{u} \Big(\frac{t}{u} + \frac{1}{2}\Big) \Bigg] \times D_0(0, 0, 0, 0, -s, -t; M_w, 0, M_w, m_t) + \Bigg[ \frac{t}{u} - 1 - \frac{K_1^{\text{lim}}}{u} \Big(\frac{t}{u} + 1\Big) \Bigg] \times \Big[ C_0(0, 0, -s; M_w, 0, M_w) + C_0(0, 0, -s; M_w, m_t, M_w) \Big] - 2\frac{t}{u} \Big( 1 - \frac{K_1^{\text{lim}}}{u} \Big) C_0(0, 0, -t; 0, M_w, m_t) - \frac{2}{u} \Big[ B_0^F(-s; M_w, M_w) - B_0^F(-t; 0, m_t) \Big], \tag{2.123}
$$

where

$$
K_1^{\lim} = 2M_W^2 + t'_-\,. \tag{2.124}
$$

# 2.7.4 The ZZ box

There are four  $ZZ$  box diagrams, which form a gauge-invariant and UV finite subset, see Fig. [13.](#page-46-0)

First, we use three auxiliary functions  $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}$ :

$$
\mathcal{F}_{LL}^{ZZ}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{F}(\sigma_e, \sigma_f, \delta_f, s, t) - \mathcal{F}(\sigma_e, \delta_f, \sigma_f, s, u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{RD}^{ZZ}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{F}(\delta_e, \delta_f, \sigma_f, s, t) - \mathcal{F}(\delta_e, \sigma_f, \delta_f, s, u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{LR}^{ZZ}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{H}(\sigma_e, \sigma_f, \delta_f, s, t) - \mathcal{G}(\sigma_e, \delta_f, \sigma_f, s, u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{RL}^{ZZ}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{H}(\delta_e, \delta_f, \sigma_f, s, t) - \mathcal{G}(\delta_e, \sigma_f, \delta_f, s, u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{LL}^{ZZ}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{G}(\sigma_e, \sigma_f, \delta_f, s, t) - \mathcal{H}(\sigma_e, \delta_f, \sigma_f, s, u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{RR}^{ZZ}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{G}(\delta_e, \delta_f, \sigma_f, s, t) - \mathcal{H}(\delta_e, \sigma_f, \delta_f, s, u).
$$
\n(2.125)



<span id="page-46-0"></span>Figure 13: Crossed and direct ZZ boxes.

Separating out Z fermion coupling constants and some common factors, we introduce more auxiliary functions. For  $\mathcal{F}(\sigma_e, \sigma_f, \delta_f, s, t)$  defined as

$$
\mathcal{F}(\sigma_e, \sigma_f, \delta_f, s, t) = \frac{s}{\Delta_{4r}} \left[ \sigma_e^2 \sigma_f^2 \mathcal{F}_1(s, t) + \sigma_e^2 \sigma_f \delta_f \mathcal{F}_2(s, t) \right], (2.126)
$$

there are two

$$
\mathcal{F}_1(s,t) = \left( t_+ M_z^2 + t_-^2 + \left[ \left( -s_z + 2M_z^2 \right) t_-^2 + 4t M_z^4 \right] \frac{t_-}{\Delta_{4r}} \right) \times D_0(0, 0, -m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s, -t; M_z, 0, M_z, m_f) \n- \left[ m_f^2 - M_z^2 - \frac{t_- \left( t_- t + 2M_z^2 t_+ \right) + sm_f^4}{\Delta_{4r}} + \frac{2t_+ \left( M_z^2 - 2m_f^2 \right)}{\Delta_{3r}} \right] \times C_0 \left( -m_f^2, -m_f^2, s; M_z, m_f, M_z \right) \n- 2 \left[ t \left( 1 + \frac{M_z^2}{t_-} \right) + \frac{t^3 + 2tt_- M_z^2 - m_f^2 \left( 3tt_- + m_f^4 \right)}{\Delta_{4r}} \right] \times C_0 \left( 0, -m_f^2, t; 0, M_z, m_f \right) \n- \left[ M_z^2 - m_f^2 + \frac{\left( t - 2t_- - 2M_z^2 \right) t_-^2 + sm_f^4}{\Delta_{4r}} \right] C_0 \left( 0, 0, s; M_z, 0, M_z \right) \n- \left( 1 + \frac{s + 2t_-}{\Delta_{3r}} \right) \left[ B_0 \left( -s; M_z, M_z \right) - B_0 \left( -m_f^2; M_z, m_f \right) \right] \n+ \frac{2t}{t_-} \left[ B_0 \left( t; m_f, 0 \right) - B_0 \left( -m_f^2; M_z, m_f \right) \right], \tag{2.127}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{F}_2(s,t) = -\left(t_-^2 + 2M_z^2t\right)D_0(0,0,-m_f^2,-m_f^2,-s,-t;M_z,0,M_z,m_f) \n- t_+ C_0\left(-m_f^2,-m_f^2,s;M_z,m_f,M_z\right) \n+ 2tC_0(0,-m_f^2,t;0,M_z,m_f) - t_- C_0(0,0,s;M_z,0,M_z). \tag{2.128}
$$

For  ${\mathcal H}$  written out as

$$
\mathcal{H}(\sigma_e, \sigma_f, \delta_f, s, t) = \frac{m_f^2 s}{\Delta_{4r}} \bigg[ \sigma_e^2 \sigma_f^2 \mathcal{H}_1(s, t) + \sigma_e^2 \sigma_f \delta_f \mathcal{H}_2(s, t) \bigg] + \sigma_e^2 \delta_f^2 \mathcal{H}_3(s, t) , \tag{2.129}
$$

we need three auxiliary subfunctions:

$$
\mathcal{H}_{1}(s,t) = \left[\frac{st_{-}}{2} - (t_{-} + M_{z}^{2})^{2} - 2M_{z}^{2}t - \frac{s_{z}^{2}t_{-}t_{+}}{2\Delta_{4r}}\right] \times D_{0}(0,0,-m_{f}^{2},-m_{f}^{2},-s,-t;M_{z},0,M_{z},m_{f})
$$
\n
$$
- \left(t_{+} - \frac{s_{z}\left[t_{+}^{2} + \Delta_{3r}m_{f}^{2}\right]}{2\Delta_{4r}}\right)C_{0}\left(-m_{f}^{2},-m_{f}^{2},s;M_{z},m_{f},M_{z}\right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \left(2t_{+}t_{-} + \frac{2M_{z}^{2}t_{-}}{t_{-}} - \frac{s_{z}t_{-}t_{+}}{\Delta_{4r}}\right)C_{0}(0,0,s;M_{z},0,M_{z})
$$
\n
$$
- \left[t_{-} + \frac{s_{z}\left(s m_{f}^{2} - t_{-}^{2}\right)}{2\Delta_{4r}}\right]C_{0}(0,-m_{f}^{2},t;0,M_{z},m_{f})
$$
\n
$$
- 2\frac{m_{f}^{2}}{t_{-}}\left(B_{0}(t;m_{f},0) - B_{0}(-m_{f}^{2};M_{z},m_{f})\right)
$$
\n
$$
- B_{0}(t;m_{f},0) + B_{0}(s;M_{z},M_{z}), \qquad (2.130)
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_2(s,t) = \left[t_{-}(s+t_{-}) + 2m_f^2 M_z^2\right] D_0(0,0,-m_f^2,-m_f^2,-s,-t;M_z,0,M_z,m_f)
$$

$$
- \left(s+t_{-} - 2m_f^2\right) C_0\left(-m_f^2,-m_f^2,s;M_z,m_f,M_z\right)
$$

$$
- 2m_f^2 C_0\left(-m_f^2,-m_f^2,s;0,M_z,m_f\right)
$$

$$
+ \left(s+t_{-}\right) C_0(0,0,s;M_z,0,M_z), \qquad (2.131)
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{H}_3(s,t) = -s \bigg[ t - D_0(0, 0, -m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s, -t; M_z, 0, M_z, m_f) \bigg] + C_0(-m_f^2, -m_f^2, s; M_z, m_f, M_z) + C_0(0, -m_f^2, t; M_z, 0, M_z) \bigg].
$$
\n(2.132)

Finally,  ${\mathcal G}$  also, defined as follows:

$$
\mathcal{G}\left(\sigma_{e},\sigma_{f},\delta_{f},s,t\right) = \frac{s}{\Delta_{4r}} \left[\sigma_{e}^{2} \sigma_{f}^{2} \mathcal{G}_{1}\left(s,t\right) + \sigma_{e}^{2} \sigma_{f} \delta_{f} \mathcal{G}_{2}\left(s,t\right)\right],\tag{2.133}
$$

needs only two additional functions:

$$
G_{1}(s,t) = -\left[t_{-}t_{+}\left(2M_{z}^{2} + \frac{s_{z}^{2}t}{2\Delta_{4r}}\right) - t_{-}\left(\frac{sm_{f}^{2}}{2} - t_{-}t\right) + tM_{z}^{2}\left(2m_{f}^{2} - M_{z}^{2}\right)\right] \times D_{0}(0,0,-m_{f}^{2},-m_{f}^{2},-s,-t;M_{z},0,M_{z},m_{f}) + \left[t_{+}t + \frac{s_{z}}{2}\left(t - \frac{t_{-}t_{+}^{2}}{\Delta_{4r}}\right)\right]C_{0}\left(-m_{f}^{2},-m_{f}^{2},s;M_{z},m_{f},M_{z}\right) - \left[t_{+}\left(t + t_{-}\right) + 2M_{z}^{2}m_{f}^{2}\frac{t}{t_{-}} - \frac{t_{+}t_{-}ts_{z}}{\Delta_{4r}}\right]C_{0}\left(0,-m_{f}^{2},-t;0,M_{z},m_{f}\right) - \left[t_{-}t + \frac{s_{z}}{2}\left(t - \frac{t_{+}t_{-}^{2}}{\Delta_{4r}}\right)\right]C_{0}\left(0,0,s;M_{z},0,M_{z}\right) + 2m_{f}^{2}\left(1 + \frac{m_{f}^{2}}{t_{-}}\right)\left[B_{0}\left(t; m_{f},0\right) - B_{0}\left(-m_{f}^{2};M_{z},m_{f}\right)\right] - t\left[B_{0}\left(s;M_{z},M_{z}\right) - B_{0}\left(t; m_{f},0\right)\right],
$$
\n(2.134)

and

$$
\mathcal{G}_2(s,t) = m_f^2 \left[ \left( t_-^2 + 2M_z^2 t \right) D_0(0, 0, -m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s, -t; M_z, 0, M_z, m_f) + t_+ C_0 \left( -m_f^2, -m_f^2, s; M_z, m_f, M_z \right) - 2t C_0 \left( 0, -m_f^2, t; 0, M_z, m_f \right) + t_- C_0 \left( 0, 0, s; M_z, 0, M_z \right) \right].
$$
\n(2.135)

We recall that  $\Delta_{4r} = -tu + m_f^4$  together with  $\Delta_{3r}$  of Eq. [\(2.84\)](#page-33-0) denotes remnants of Gram determinants that remained after cancellation of factors  $s$ and 4, leading to a simplification of the expressions.

#### 2.7.5 Transition to the  $L, Q, D$  basis

Since the  $ZZ$  box contribution is given in the  $L, R, D$  basis, while all the rest is in the  $L, Q, D$  basis, we should transfer one of them to a chosen basis. At this phase of the calculations there is not much difference which basis is chosen. For definiteness we choose the  $L, Q, D$  basis and transfer the  $ZZ$  box contribution to it.The transition formulae are simple:

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{ZZ}_{LL}\left(s,t,u\right) & = & \mathcal{F}^{ZZ}_{LL}\left(s,t,u\right) + \mathcal{F}^{ZZ}_{RR}\left(s,t,u\right) - \mathcal{F}^{ZZ}_{LR}\left(s,t,u\right) - \mathcal{F}^{ZZ}_{RL}\left(s,t,u\right),\\ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{ZZ}_{QL}\left(s,t,u\right) & = & 2\bigg[\mathcal{F}^{ZZ}_{RL}\left(s,t,u\right) - \mathcal{F}^{ZZ}_{RR}\left(s,t,u\right)\bigg],\\ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{ZZ}_{LQ}\left(s,t,u\right) & = & 2\bigg[\mathcal{F}^{ZZ}_{LR}\left(s,t,u\right) - \mathcal{F}^{ZZ}_{RR}\left(s,t,u\right)\bigg], \end{array}
$$

<span id="page-49-0"></span>
$$
\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{QQ}^{ZZ}(s,t,u) = 4\mathcal{F}_{RR}^{ZZ}(s,t,u), \n\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{LD}^{ZZ}(s,t,u) = \mathcal{F}_{LD}^{ZZ}(s,t,u) - \mathcal{F}_{RD}^{ZZ}(s,t,u), \n\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{QD}^{ZZ}(s,t,u) = 2\mathcal{F}_{RD}^{ZZ}(s,t,u).
$$
\n(2.136)

#### 2.7.6 Box–Born interferences

Any box, described by the amplitude in Eq. [\(2.98\)](#page-37-0), interfering with  $\gamma$  and Z exchange tree-level amplitudes, gives rise to two contributions to the differential cross-sections, which are useful for internal cross-checks:

$$
\sigma_{\text{BOX}\otimes\text{BORN}_{\gamma}} \propto 8Q_e Q_t \text{Re}\left\{ \left( \left[ \left( s + t_{-} \right)^2 + sm_f^2 \right] \left( \mathcal{F}_{LL} + \mathcal{F}_{RR} \right) \right] \right. \left. \left. \left( 2.137 \right) \right.
$$
\n
$$
+ \left( sm_f^2 + t_{-}^2 \right) \left( \mathcal{F}_{LR} + \mathcal{F}_{RL} \right) - 2m_f^2 \left( st + t_{-}^2 \right) \left( \mathcal{F}_{LD} + \mathcal{F}_{RD} \right) \right) \right\},
$$
\n
$$
\sigma_{\text{BOX}\otimes\text{BORN}_Z} \propto 8 \text{Re}\left\{ \left( \left[ \left( s + t_{-} \right)^2 + sm_f^2 \right] \delta_f \left( \sigma_e \mathcal{F}_{LL} + \delta_e \mathcal{F}_{RR} \right) \right.
$$
\n
$$
+ 2 \left( s + t_{-} \right)^2 a_f \sigma_e \mathcal{F}_{LL} + 2t_{-}^2 a_f \delta_e \mathcal{F}_{RL}
$$
\n
$$
+ \left( sm_f^2 + t_{-}^2 \right) \delta_f \left( \sigma_e \mathcal{F}_{LR} + \delta_e \mathcal{F}_{RL} \right) + 2sm_f^2 a_f \left( \sigma_e \mathcal{F}_{LR} + \delta_e \mathcal{F}_{RR} \right)
$$
\n
$$
- 2m_f^2 \left( st + t_{-}^2 \right) v_f \left( \sigma_e \mathcal{F}_{LD} + \delta_e \mathcal{F}_{RD} \right) \right) \chi_z^* \right\}. \tag{2.138}
$$

# <span id="page-49-1"></span>3 Scalar form factors for electroweak amplitude

Having all the building blocks, it is time to construct complete electroweak scalar form factors.

# 3.1 Vertices scalar form factors

We begin with two vertex contributions:



Figure 14: Electron (a) and final fermion (b) vertices in  $e\bar{e} \rightarrow (\gamma, Z) \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ .

In the same way as described in [\[5\]](#page-74-0), we reduce two vertex contributions to our six form factors:

$$
F_{LL}(s) = F_L^{zee}(s) + F_L^{zff}(s) - 4c_w^2 \Delta(M_w),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{QL}(s) = F_Q^{zee}(s) + F_L^{zff}(s) - 2c_w^2 \Delta(M_w) + k [F_L^{\gamma ff}(s) - 2\Delta(M_w)],
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{LQ}(s) = F_L^{zee}(s) - 2c_w^2 \Delta(M_w) + F_Q^{zff}(s) + k [F_L^{\gamma ee}(s) - 2\Delta(M_w)],
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{QQ}(s) = F_Q^{zee}(s) + F_Q^{zff}(s) - \frac{k}{s_w^2} [F_Q^{\gamma ee}(s) + F_Q^{\gamma ff}(s)],
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{LD}(s) = F_D^{zff}(s),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{QD}(s) = F_D^{zff}(s) + kF_D^{\gamma ff}(s),
$$
  
\n(3.139)

where

$$
k = c_W^2 (R_z - 1).
$$
 (3.140)

With the term containing  $\Delta(M_W)$ ,

<span id="page-50-0"></span>
$$
\Delta(M_W) = \frac{1}{\bar{\varepsilon}} - \ln \frac{M_W^2}{\mu^2},\tag{3.141}
$$

we explicitly show the contribution of the so-called *special* vertices [\[36\]](#page-76-0). Note that they accompany every L form factor. The poles  $1/\bar{\varepsilon}$  originating from special vertices will be canceled in the sum of all contributions, including self-energies and boxes.

# 3.2 Bosonic self-energies and bosonic counterterms

The contributions to form factors from bosonic self-energy diagrams and counterterms, originating from bosonic self-energy diagrams, come from four classes of diagrams; their sum is depicted by a black circle in Fig. [15.](#page-51-0) Their contribution to the four scalar form factors is derived in [\[11\]](#page-74-1), [\[5\]](#page-74-0):

$$
F_{LL}^{ct}(s) = \mathcal{D}_z(s) - s_w^2 \Pi_{\gamma\gamma}(0) + \frac{c_w^2 - s_w^2}{s_w^2} \left(\Delta \rho + \Delta \bar{\rho}^{\text{bos}}\right),\tag{3.142}
$$

$$
F_{QL(LQ)}^{ct}(s) = \mathcal{D}_z(s) - \left(\Pi_{z\gamma}(s) + \bar{\Pi}_{z\gamma}^{\text{bos}}(s)\right) - s_w^2 \Pi_{\gamma\gamma}(0) - \left(\Delta\rho + \Delta\bar{\rho}^{\text{bos}}\right),
$$
\n(3.143)\n
$$
F_{QQ}^{ct,\text{bos}}(s) = \mathcal{D}_z^{\text{bos}}(s) - 2\left(\Pi_{z\gamma}^{\text{bos}}(s) + \bar{\Pi}_{z\gamma}^{\text{bos}}(s)\right) + k\left[\Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{bos}}(s) - \Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{bos}}(0)\right]
$$
\n
$$
-s_w^2 \Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{bos}}(0) - \frac{1}{s_w^2} \left(\Delta\rho^{\text{bos}} + \Delta\bar{\rho}^{\text{bos}}\right),
$$
\n(3.144)



<span id="page-51-0"></span>Figure 15: Bosonic self-energies and counterterms for  $e\bar{e} \rightarrow (Z, \gamma) \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ .

$$
F_{QQ}^{ct, \text{fer}}(s) = \mathcal{D}_z^{\text{fer}}(s) - 2\Pi_{z\gamma}^{\text{fer}}(s) - s_w^2 \Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{fer}}(0) - \frac{1}{s_w^2} \Delta \rho^{\text{fer}}.
$$
 (3.145)

We note that the term  $k[\Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{fer}}(s) - \Pi_{z\gamma}^{\text{fer}}(s)]$  is conventionally extracted from  $F_{QQ}^{ct, \text{fer}}(s)$ . This contribution is shifted to  $A_{\gamma}^{\text{IBA}},$  Eq. [\(1.8\)](#page-8-0).

In Eqs. [\(3.142\)](#page-50-0)–[\(3.145\)](#page-51-1)  $\Delta \bar{\rho}^{bos}$  and  $\bar{\Pi}_{z_{\gamma}}^{bos}(s)$  stand for shifts of bosonic selfenergies. They have the same origin as special vertices and they are equal to:

<span id="page-51-1"></span>
$$
\Delta \bar{\rho}^{\rm bos} = 4s_W^2 \Delta(M_W), \qquad (3.146)
$$

$$
\bar{\Pi}_{Z\gamma}^{\rm bos}(s) = -2R_W\Delta(M_W),\tag{3.147}
$$

see Eqs. (6.137) and (6.139) of [\[11\]](#page-74-1). These poles also cancel in the sum of all contributions.

## 3.3 Total scalar form factors of the one-loop amplitude

Adding all contributions together, we observe the cancellation of all poles. The ultraviolet-finite results for six scalar form factors are:

$$
F_{LL}(s, t, u) = \mathcal{F}_{L}^{zee}(s) + \mathcal{F}_{L}^{z f f}(s) + \mathcal{F}_{LL}^{ct}(s) + 16k \mathcal{F}_{LL}^{BOX}(s, t, u),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{QL}(s, t, u) = \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{zee}(s) + \mathcal{F}_{L}^{z f f}(s) + k \mathcal{F}_{L}^{\gamma f f}(s) + \mathcal{F}_{QL}^{ct}(s) + 16k \mathcal{F}_{QL}^{BOX}(s, t, u),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{LQ}(s, t, u) = \mathcal{F}_{L}^{zee}(s) + \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{z f f}(s) + k \mathcal{F}_{L}^{\gamma ee}(s) + \mathcal{F}_{LQ}^{ct}(s) + 16k \mathcal{F}_{LQ}^{BOX}(s, t, u),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{QQ}(s, t, u) = \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{zee}(s) + \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{z f f}(s) - \frac{k}{s_{w}^{2}} \left[ \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\gamma ee}(s) + \mathcal{F}_{Q}^{\gamma f f}(s) \right] + \mathcal{F}_{QQ}^{ct}(s) + 16k \mathcal{F}_{QQ}^{BOX}(s, t, u),
$$

$$
F_{LD}(s, t, u) = \mathcal{F}_{D}^{zff}(s) + 16k \mathcal{F}_{LD}^{BOX}(s, t, u),
$$
  
\n
$$
F_{QD}(s, t, u) = \mathcal{F}_{D}^{zff}(s) + k \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\gamma ff}(s) + 16k \mathcal{F}_{QD}^{BOX}(s, t, u).
$$
 (3.148)

For  $IJ = LQ, QL, QQ, LD, QD$  components of a box contribution we have:

$$
\mathcal{F}_{IJ}^{\text{BOX}}(s,t,u) = k^{AA} \mathcal{F}_{IJ}^{AA}(s,t,u) + k^{ZA} \mathcal{F}_{IJ}^{ZA}(s,t,u) + k^{ZZ} \mathcal{F}_{IJ}^{ZZ}(s,t,u) + k^{WW} \mathcal{F}_{IJ}^{WW}(s,t,u) , \quad (3.149)
$$

where

<span id="page-52-1"></span>
$$
k^{WW} = \frac{1}{16} \,. \tag{3.150}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\mathcal{F}_{L,Q,D}^{\gamma(z)ff}(s) = \sum_{B=A,Z,H,W} \mathcal{F}_{L,Q,D}^{\gamma(z)B}(s) ,
$$
 (3.151)

except for  $\mathcal{F}_L^{\gamma A}(s) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{F}_L^{\gamma H}(s) = 0$ .

# 4 Improved Born Approximation cross-section

# 4.1 Improved Born Approximation cross-section

In this section we give the improved Born approximation (IBA) differential in the scattering angle cross-section. It is derived by simple squaring the  $(\gamma + Z)$  exchange IBA amplitude, Eqs. [\(1.8\)](#page-8-0)–[\(1.10\)](#page-8-1), and accounting for proper normalization factors. We simply give the result:

<span id="page-52-0"></span>
$$
\frac{d\sigma^{IBA}}{d\cos\vartheta} = \frac{\pi\alpha^2}{s^3} \beta_t N_c \left(\sigma^{IBA}_{\gamma\gamma} + \sigma^{IBA}_{\gamma Z} + \sigma^{IBA}_{ZZ}\right),\tag{4.152}
$$

where  $\beta_t = \sqrt{1 - 4m_f^2/s}$  and  $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}^{^{IBA}}=Q_f^2\left(s^2+2st+2t_{-}^2\right)\left|\alpha\left(s\right)\right|$ 2  $(4.153)$ 

$$
\sigma_{\gamma z}^{IBA} = 2Q_f \text{Re} \left\{ \chi \left( 2 \left[ (s + t_{-})^2 + sm_f^2 \right] \tilde{F}_{LL} (s, t, u) \right. \right.\left. + (s^2 + 2st + 2t_{-}^2) \left[ \tilde{F}_{QL} (s, t, u) + \tilde{F}_{LQ} (s, t, u) + \tilde{F}_{QQ} (s, t, u) \right] \right.\left. - 4m_f^2 \left( st + t_{-}^2 \right) \left[ \tilde{F}_{LD} (s, t, u) + \tilde{F}_{QD} (s, t, u) \right] \right) \alpha^* (s) \right\}, \quad (4.154)
$$

$$
\sigma_{zz}^{IBA} = |\chi|^2 \text{Re} \Biggl\{ 8(s+t_{-})^2 \left[ \left| \tilde{F}_{LL}(s,t,u) \right|^{2} + \tilde{F}_{LL}(s,t,u) \tilde{F}_{QL}^{*}(s,t,u) \right] \right. \\ \left. + 2 \left[ (s+t_{-})^2 + t_{-}^2 \right] \left| \tilde{F}_{QL}(s,t,u) \right|^{2} \\ \left. + 4 \left[ (s+t_{-})^2 + sm_{f}^{2} \right] \left[ 2\tilde{F}_{LL}(s,t,u) \tilde{F}_{LQ}^{*}(s,t,u) \right. \\ \left. + \tilde{F}_{LL}(s,t,u) \tilde{F}_{QQ}^{*}(s,t,u) + \tilde{F}_{QL}(s,t,u) \tilde{F}_{LQ}^{*}(s,t,u) \right] \right. \\ \left. + \left[ s^2 + 2 \left( st + t_{-}^2 \right) \right] \left[ 2 \left| \tilde{F}_{LQ}(s,t,u) \right|^{2} + \left| \tilde{F}_{QQ}(s,t,u) \right|^{2} \right. \\ \left. + 2 \left( \tilde{F}_{QL}(s,t,u) + \tilde{F}_{LQ}(s,t,u) \right) \tilde{F}_{QQ}^{*}(s,t,u) \right] \\ \left. - 8m_f^2 \left( st + t_{-}^2 \right) \left[ \left( 2\tilde{F}_{LD}(s,t,u) + \tilde{F}_{QD}(s,t,u) \right) \tilde{F}_{CL}^{*}(s,t,u) \right. \\ \left. + \left( \tilde{F}_{LD}(s,t,u) + \tilde{F}_{QD}(s,t,u) \right) \tilde{F}_{QL}^{*}(s,t,u) \right. \\ \left. + \left( 2\tilde{F}_{LD}(s,t,u) + \tilde{F}_{QD}(s,t,u) \right) \tilde{F}_{LQ}^{*}(s,t,u) \right. \\ \left. + \left( \tilde{F}_{LD}(s,t,u) + \tilde{F}_{QD}(s,t,u) \right) \tilde{F}_{QQ}^{*}(s,t,u) \right] \\ \left. - 2m_f^2 \left( st + t_{-}^2 \right) \Delta_{3r} \left[ 2 \left| \tilde{F}_{LD}(s,t,u) \right|^{2} \right] \right\} . \tag{4.155}
$$

# 4.2 The  $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$  process in the helicity amplitudes

According to the analysis of the EW part and QED parts, we have the com**plete** answer for the amplitude of the process  $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$ .

The aim of this section is to adapt the helicity amplitude techniques for the description of our process. We produced an alternative analytic answer for the same amplitude using the method suggested in Ref. [\[37\]](#page-76-1).

In general, there are 16 helicity amplitude for any  $2f \rightarrow 2f$  process. For the unpolarized case, and when the electron mass is ignored, we are left with only six helicity amplitudes, which depend on kinematical variables and our six scalar form factors:

$$
\mathcal{A}_{+++} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{+++} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{+++} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{A}_{+++} = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{+++} = s (1 - \cos \vartheta) \left( Q_e Q_f F_{GG} + \chi_z \delta_e \left[ (1 + \beta_f) I_t^{(3)} F_{QL} + \delta_f F_{QQ} \right] \right),
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{+---} = s (1 + \cos \vartheta) \left( Q_e Q_f F_{GG} + \chi_z \delta_e \left[ (1 - \beta_f) I_t^{(3)} F_{QL} + \delta_f F_{QQ} \right] \right),
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{+---} = \mathcal{A}_{+++} = 2\sqrt{s} m_f \sin \vartheta \left( Q_e Q_f F_{GG} + \chi_z \delta_e \left[ (1 - \beta_f) I_t^{(3)} F_{QL} + \delta_f F_{QQ} \right] \right),
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{-+++} = \mathcal{A}_{---} = -2\sqrt{s} m_f \sin \vartheta \left( Q_e Q_f F_{GG} + \chi_z \left[ 2 I_e^{(3)} I_t^{(3)} F_{LL} + 2 I_e^{(3)} \delta_f F_{LQ} + \delta_e I_t^{(3)} F_{QL} + \delta_e \delta_f F_{QQ} \right] + \frac{1}{2} s \beta_f^2 I_i^{(3)} \left( 2 I_e^{(3)} F_{LD} + \delta_e F_{QD} \right) \right),
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{-+++} = s (1 + \cos \vartheta) \left( Q_e Q_f F_{GG} + \chi_z \left[ (1 + \beta_f) \left( 2 I_e^{(3)} I_t^{(3)} F_{LL} + \delta_e I_t^{(3)} F_{QL} \right) \right) + \delta_f \left( 2 I_e^{(3)} F_{LQ} + \delta_e F_{QQ} \right) \right),
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{-+++} = s (1 - \cos \vartheta) \left( Q_e Q_f F_{GG} + \chi_z \left[ (1 - \beta_f) I_t^{(3)} \left( 2 I_e^{(3)} F_{LL} + \delta_e F_{QL} \right) \right] + \delta_f \left( 2 I_e^{(3)} F_{LQ} + \delta_e F_{QQ} \right) \right),
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{A}_{---+} = 0, \qquad \
$$

Here

$$
\cos \vartheta = \left( t - m_f^2 + \frac{s}{2} \right) \frac{2}{s\beta_f}, \qquad (4.2)
$$

and for the amplitude  $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_i\lambda_j\lambda_k\lambda_l}$  each index  $\lambda_{(i,j,k,l)}$  takes two values  $(\pm = \pm 1)$ meaning 2 times the projection of spins  $e^+, e^-, t, \bar{t}$  onto their corresponding momentum. The differential cross-section for the unpolarized case is:

$$
\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\vartheta} = \frac{\pi\alpha^2}{s^3} \beta_f N_c \sum_{\lambda_i\lambda_j\lambda_k\lambda_l} \left| \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_i\lambda_j\lambda_k\lambda_l} \right|^2.
$$
 (4.3)

<span id="page-55-0"></span>We checked that this expression is analytically identical to Eq.  $(4.152)$ . Both expressions, Eq. [\(4.152\)](#page-52-0) and Eq. [\(4.3\)](#page-55-0), contains, however, spurious contributions of the two-loop order (squares of one-loop terms), which should be suppressed, since we would like to have a complete one-loop result.

This may be achieved with a simple trick. First of all, let us note that if all form factors are:  $F_{IJ} = 1$  for  $IJ = LL$ ,  $LQ$ ,  $QL$ ,  $QQ$  and  $F_{IJ} = 0$  for  $IJ = LD, QD$ , we have the tree level. At the one-loop level  $LL, LQ, QL, QQ$ form factors may be represented as:

$$
\mathbf{F}_{IJ} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{4\pi s_W^2} F_{IJ} \,, \tag{4.4}
$$

<span id="page-55-1"></span>and

$$
\mathbf{F}_{IJ} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi s_W^2} F_{IJ} \,, \tag{4.5}
$$

for  $IJ = LD$ ,  $QD$ .

Instead of Eq. [\(4.4\)](#page-55-1) for the four form factors we write

$$
\mathbf{F}_{IJ} = Z + \frac{\alpha}{4\pi s_W^2} F_{IJ}, \qquad (4.6)
$$

and note that the cross section is a function of six form factors.

Then the one-loop result is apparently equal:

$$
\frac{d\sigma^{(1)}}{d\cos\vartheta} = \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\vartheta}[Z=1] - \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\vartheta}[Z=0].\tag{4.7}
$$

# 5 Annex

#### 5.1 QED vertices and soft-photon contributions

Here we present virtual corrections due to QED vertices, a factorized part due to QED boxes and soft-photon contributions. The expressions in this subsection can be also cast from [\[11\]](#page-74-1).

The formal structure of factorized virtual and soft contributions is as follows:

$$
\delta^{\text{virt+soft}} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \bigg[ Q_e^2 \delta^{\text{virt+soft}}_{\text{ISR}} + Q_e Q_f \delta^{\text{virt+soft}}_{\text{IF1}} + Q_f^2 \delta^{\text{virt+soft}}_{\text{FSR}} \bigg]. \tag{5.1}
$$

<span id="page-55-2"></span>There are thus three types of contributions: ISR, FSR and IFI.

#### 5.1.1 Initial-state radiation (ISR)

<span id="page-56-0"></span>The contributions of the initial-state QED  $e^+e^-\gamma$  vertex and ISR soft photon contribution are short, since the electron mass is ignored:

$$
\delta_{\rm ISR}^{\rm virt} = -\ln \frac{m_e^2}{\lambda^2} \left( l_e - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{2} l_e^2 + \frac{3}{2} l_e - 2 + 4 \text{Li}_2 (1),
$$
\n
$$
\delta_{\rm ISR}^{\rm soft} = \ln \left( \frac{4\omega^2}{s} \frac{m_e^2}{\lambda^2} \right) \left( l_e - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{2} l_e^2 - 2 \text{Li}_2 (1), \tag{5.2}
$$

where

$$
l_e = \ln\left(\frac{s}{m_e^2}\right). \tag{5.3}
$$

# 5.1.2 Initial–final state interference (IFI)

<span id="page-56-1"></span>This originates from contributions of QED boxes:  $\gamma\gamma, Z\gamma$  and initial–final state soft photons interference:

$$
\delta_{\text{IFI}}^{\text{virt}} = -2 \ln \frac{s}{\lambda^2} \ln \frac{t_-}{u_-}, \tag{5.4}
$$

$$
\delta_{\text{IFI}}^{\text{soft}} = 2 \ln \frac{4\omega^2}{\lambda^2} \ln \frac{t_-}{u_-} + \left[ F^{\text{soft}}(s, t) - F^{\text{soft}}(s, u) \right],\tag{5.5}
$$

with

$$
F^{\text{soft}}(s,t) = -\frac{1}{2}l_e^2 - \frac{1}{2}\ln^2 \eta + 2\ln \eta \ln\left(1 + \frac{2m_f^2}{\beta_+ t_-}\right)
$$
(5.6)  

$$
-\ln^2\left(1 + \frac{2m_f^2}{\beta_+ t_-}\right) + \ln^2\left(-\frac{st}{t_-^2}\right) + 2\ln\left(-\frac{st}{t_-^2}\right)\ln\left(1 + \frac{t_-^2}{st}\right)
$$

$$
+ 2\text{Li}_2\left(1 - \frac{2t}{t_- \beta_+}\right) - 2\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{-\beta_- t_-}{\beta_+ t_- + 2m_f^2}\right)
$$

$$
- 2\text{Li}_2\left(-\frac{t_-^2}{st}\right) - 2\text{Li}_2(1),
$$

where we introduce the notation:

−

$$
\beta \equiv \beta_f = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_f^2}{s}},
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta_+ = 1 + \beta,
$$
  
\n
$$
\beta_- = 1 - \beta,
$$
  
\n
$$
\eta = \frac{\beta_-}{\beta_+}.
$$
\n(5.7)

#### 5.1.3 Final-state radiation (FSR)

The contributions of one-loop QED  $f\bar{f}\gamma$  vertex and final-state soft photon radiation are:

$$
\delta_{\text{FSR}}^{\text{virt}} = -\ln \frac{m_f^2}{\lambda^2} \left[ -\frac{(1+\beta^2)}{2\beta} \ln \eta - 1 \right] - \frac{3}{2} \beta \ln \eta - 2 \n+ \frac{(1+\beta^2)}{2\beta} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \eta + 2 \ln \eta \ln (1-\eta) + 2 \text{Li}_2(\eta) + 4 \text{Li}_2(1) \right],
$$
\n
$$
\delta_{\text{FSR}}^{\text{soft}} = \ln \frac{4\omega^2}{\lambda^2} \left[ -\frac{(1+\beta^2)}{2\beta} \ln \eta - 1 \right] - \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \eta \n+ \frac{(1+\beta^2)}{2\beta} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \eta + 2 \ln \eta \ln (1-\eta) + 2 \text{Li}_2(\eta) - 2 \text{Li}_2(1) \right].
$$
\n(5.8)

The contribution of the ISR given in Eq. [\(5.2\)](#page-56-0) may be obtaineded from these expressions in the limit  $m_f = m_e \rightarrow 0$ .

#### 5.1.4 Non-factorized final-state vertex 'anomalous' contributions

To present this contribution let us introduce the definition

<span id="page-57-0"></span>
$$
L_n = \ln \frac{\beta - 1}{\beta + 1}.
$$
\n(5.9)

The 'anomalous' part of the QED vertex contribution to the differential crosssection reads:

$$
\frac{d\sigma^{a}}{d\cos\vartheta} = 4\alpha^{3} N_{c} \frac{m_{f}^{2}}{s^{4}} Q_{f}^{2} \Big[ \Big( Q_{e}^{2} Q_{f}^{2} + 2Q_{e} Q_{f} v_{e} v_{f} \text{Re}(\chi_{z}) + \left( v_{e}^{2} + a_{e}^{2} \right) v_{t}^{2} |\chi_{z}|^{2} \Big) \times \left( st + t_{-}^{2} \right) \text{Re}(L_{n}) + Q_{e} Q_{f} a_{e} a_{f} s \left( s + 2t_{-} \right) \text{Re}(L_{n} \chi_{z}) + \Big( \left( v_{e}^{2} + a_{e}^{2} \right) a_{t}^{2} \Big[ s \left( s - 4m_{f}^{2} \right) + 2 \left( st + t_{-}^{2} \right) \Big] \Big) + 2v_{e} a_{e} v_{f} a_{f} s \left( s + 2t_{-} \right) \Big) |\chi_{z}|^{2} \text{Re}(L_{n}) \Big]. \tag{5.10}
$$

# 5.2 An alternative form of the cross-section for QED boxes

Here we present some useful formulae, which are not in the main stream of our approach (described in the previous sections), but were used for internal crosschecks of the calculations of the QED part of the process under consideration.

The QED boxes, Eqs. [\(2.137\)](#page-49-0) and [\(2.138\)](#page-49-1), may be greatly simplified purely algebraically if the cross-sections are calculated analytically. For the sum of AA and ZA boxes one may easily derive the cross-section:

$$
\frac{d\sigma^{\text{Box}}}{d\cos\vartheta} = \frac{2\alpha^3}{s} \beta Q_e Q_f N_c \text{Re}\left\{ Q_e^2 Q_f^2 \mathcal{F}_V \qquad (5.11)
$$
\n
$$
+ Q_e Q_f \chi_z \left[ v_e v_f \left( \mathcal{F}_V^* + \mathcal{H}_V \right) + a_e a_f \left( \mathcal{F}_A^* + \mathcal{G}_V \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ |\chi_z|^2 \left[ \left( v_e^2 + a_e^2 \right) \left( v_f^2 \mathcal{H}_V + a_f^2 \mathcal{H}_A \right) + 2a_e v_e a_f v_f \left( \mathcal{G}_V + \mathcal{G}_A \right) \right] \right\},
$$
\n(5.11)

where  $\chi_z(s)$  is defined by Eq. [\(1.12\)](#page-9-0) and the six cross-section form factors are:

<span id="page-58-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{F}_V = \mathcal{F}_V(t) - \mathcal{F}_V(u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_A = \mathcal{F}_A(t) + \mathcal{F}_A(u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}_V = \mathcal{H}_V(t) - \mathcal{H}_V(u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}_A = \mathcal{H}_A(t) - \mathcal{H}_A(u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{G}_V = \mathcal{G}_V(t) + \mathcal{G}_V(u),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathcal{G}_A = \mathcal{G}_A(t) + \mathcal{G}_A(u),
$$
\n(5.12)

with

$$
\mathcal{F}_{V}(t) = \frac{1}{s} \left\{ \frac{t_{-}}{4} \left[ 2m_{f}^{2} + (s + 2t_{-}) \right] J_{AA}(-s, -t; m_{e}, m_{f}) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{st}{2} C_{0} \left( -m_{e}^{2}, -m_{e}^{2}, -s; 0, m_{e}, 0 \right) \right. \\ \left. + t \left( \frac{s - 4m_{f}^{2}}{2} + \frac{4m_{t}^{4}}{\Delta_{3r}} \right) C_{0} \left( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; 0, m_{f}, 0 \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2tm_{f}^{2}}{\Delta_{3r}} \left[ B_{0}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, 0 \right) - B_{0}^{F} \left( -s; 0, 0 \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. - \frac{sm_{f}^{2}}{t_{-}} \left[ B_{0}^{F} \left( -t; m_{e}, m_{f} \right) - B_{0}^{F} \left( -m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, 0 \right) \right] \right. \\ \left. - \frac{(s + t_{-})}{2} \left[ B_{0}^{F} \left( -t; m_{e}, m_{f} \right) - B_{0}^{F} \left( -s; 0, 0 \right) \right] \right\}, \qquad (5.13)
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{A}(t) = \frac{1}{s} \left\{ \frac{s + 2t_{-}}{4} t_{-} J_{AA}(-s, -t; m_{e}, m_{f}) \right. \\ \left. - m_{f}^{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} s C_{0} \left( -m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; 0, m_{f}, 0 \right) \right) \right\}
$$

$$
+\left(\frac{s}{t_{-}}+1\right)\left[B_{0}^{F}\left(-t;m_{e},m_{f}\right)-B_{0}^{F}\left(-m_{f}^{2};m_{f},0\right)\right]\right) -\frac{\left(s+t_{-}\right)}{2}\left[B_{0}^{F}\left(-t;m_{e},m_{f}\right)-B_{0}^{F}\left(-s;0,0\right)\right]\right\},
$$
(5.14)

$$
\mathcal{H}_0(t) = \frac{1}{s^2} \Biggl\{ \left( t^2_- + (s+t_-)^2 \right) \frac{t_-}{2} J_{AA}(-s, -t; m_e, m_f) \n+ t_- \left[ \frac{1}{2} s_- (s_+ + 2t) - \left( t^2_- + (s+t_-)^2 \right) \right] J_{AZ}(-s, -t; m_e, m_f) \n+ \left( \frac{ss_- m_f^2}{t_-} M_z^2 + t_- \left[ s_- (s_+ + 2t) - \left( t^2_- + (s+t_-)^2 \right) \right] \right) \n\times C_0 \left( -m_f^2, -m_e^2, -t; m_f, M_z, m_e \right) \n+ s_- \left( st C_0 \left( -m_e^2, -m_e^2, -s; M_z, m_e, 0 \right) \n+ st C_0 \left( -m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; M_z, m_f, 0 \right) \n- \frac{sm_f^2}{t_-} \Biggl[ 2B_0^F \left( -t; m_e, m_f \right) - B_0^F \left( -m_f^2; m_f, 0 \right) \n- B_0^F \left( -m_f^2; M_z, m_f \right) \Biggr] \n- (s + t_-) \Biggl[ B_0^F \left( -t; m_e, m_f \right) - B_0^F \left( -s; M_z, 0 \right) \Biggr] \Biggr\rbrace, \tag{5.15}
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_{V}(t) = \mathcal{H}_{0}(t) - \frac{2t_{-}m_{f}^{2}}{s} \bigg[ J_{AZ}(-s, -t; m_{e}, m_{f}) - \frac{1}{2} J_{AA}(-s, -t; m_{e}, m_{f}) \n+ C_{0}(-m_{f}^{2}, -m_{e}^{2}, -t; m_{f}, M_{Z}, m_{e}) \bigg] \n- \frac{2m_{f}^{2} s_{-} t}{s^{2}} \bigg( \bigg( 1 - \frac{s_{-}}{\Delta_{3r}} \bigg) C_{0}(-m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; M_{Z}, m_{f}, 0) \n- \frac{1}{\Delta_{3r}} \bigg[ 2B_{0}^{F}(-s; M_{Z}, 0) - B_{0}^{F}(-m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, 0) \n- B_{0}^{F}(-m_{f}^{2}; M_{Z}, m_{f}) \bigg] \bigg) \bigg\}, \qquad (5.16)
$$

$$
\mathcal{H}_A(t) = \mathcal{H}_0(t) + \frac{2m_f^2}{s^2} \bigg\{ t - \bigg[ M_z^2 J_{AZ}(-s, -t; m_e, m_f) - s \frac{1}{2} J_{AA}(-s, -t; m_e, m_f) \bigg] + (s_- s_+ + t_- s) C_0(-m_f^2, -m_e^2, -t; m_f, M_z, m_e) + s_- \bigg[ -t C_0(-m_f^2, -m_f^2, -s; M_z, m_f, 0) - B_0^F(-t; m_e, m_f) + B_0^F(-s; M_z, 0) \bigg] \bigg\}, \qquad (5.17)
$$

$$
\mathcal{G}_{V}(t) = -\frac{1}{s} \Bigg\{ -\frac{1}{2} (s + 2t_{-}) t_{-} J_{AA}(-s, -t; m_{e}, m_{f})
$$
  
+  $t_{-} \Bigg[ s + 2t_{-} - \frac{s_{-}}{2s} (s_{+} + 2t_{-}) \Bigg] J_{AZ}(-s, -t; m_{e}, m_{f})$   
+  $\Bigg[ (s + 2t_{-}) t_{-} - \frac{s_{-}t_{-}}{s} (s_{+} + 2t_{-}) - s_{-} m_{f}^{2} \Big( 2 + \frac{M_{z}^{2}}{t_{-}} \Big) \Bigg]$   
 $\times C_{0}(-m_{f}^{2}, -m_{e}^{2}, -t; m_{f}, M_{z}, m_{e})$   
-  $s_{-} \Bigg( \frac{1}{2} M_{z}^{2} \Bigg[ C_{0}(-m_{e}^{2}, -m_{e}^{2}, -s; M_{z}, m_{e}, 0) + C_{0}(-m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; M_{z}, m_{f}, 0) \Bigg]$   
+  $\frac{m_{f}^{2}}{t_{-}} \Bigg[ -2B_{0}^{F}(-t; m_{e}, m_{f}) + B_{0}^{F}(-m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, 0) + B_{0}^{F}(-m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, 0) - B_{0}^{F}(-m_{f}^{2}; M_{z}, m_{f}) \Bigg]$   
-  $\frac{(s + t_{-})}{s} \Bigg[ B_{0}^{F}(-t; m_{e}, m_{f}) - B_{0}^{F}(-s; M_{z}, 0) \Bigg] \Bigg) \Bigg\}, \qquad (5.18)$ 

$$
\mathcal{G}_{A}(t) = \mathcal{G}_{V}(t) - m_{f}^{2} \frac{s_{-}}{s^{2}} \bigg[ 2s_{-}C_{0} \big( - m_{f}^{2}, -m_{e}^{2}, -t; m_{f}, M_{z}, m_{e} \big) \qquad (5.19)
$$

$$
+ s_{+}C_{0} \big( - m_{f}^{2}, -m_{f}^{2}, -s; M_{z}, m_{f}, 0 \big) + 2B_{0}^{F} \big( - t; m_{e}, m_{f} \big) - B_{0}^{F} \big( - m_{f}^{2}; M_{z}, m_{f} \big) - B_{0}^{F} \big( - m_{f}^{2}; m_{f}, 0 \big) \bigg].
$$

Note the cancellation of  $\Delta_{4r}$ , leading to a great simplification of the expressions. Equations [\(5.11–](#page-58-0)[5.19\)](#page-60-0) were coded as a separate branch of eeffLib and, together with the vertex QED contributions described in the previous subsections, were used for an internal cross-check of the QED part of the calculations.

Some factorized part of the AA and ZA boxes contribution is not included in Eq. [\(5.11\)](#page-58-0). It has the form

<span id="page-60-0"></span>
$$
\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\vartheta}\frac{\alpha}{\pi}Q_eQ_f\delta_{\text{IFI}}^{\text{virt}},\tag{5.20}
$$

where  $\delta_{\text{IFI}}^{\text{virt}}$  is given by Eq. [\(5.4\)](#page-56-1).

The whole QED contribution can be written as follows

$$
\frac{d\sigma^{\text{QED}}}{d\cos\vartheta} = \frac{d\sigma^{\text{BORN}}}{d\cos\vartheta} \delta^{\text{virt+soft}} + \frac{d\sigma^a}{d\cos\vartheta} + \frac{d\sigma^{\text{BOX}}}{d\cos\vartheta},\tag{5.21}
$$

where  $\delta^{\text{virt+soft}}$  is defined by Eqs. [\(5.1\)](#page-55-2)–[\(5.8\)](#page-57-0).

# 6 Numerical results and discussion

All the formulae derived in this article are realized in the FORTRAN code with the tentative name eeffLib. Numbers presented in this section are produced with the February 2002 version of the code. As compared to the December 2000 version, used to produce numbers for Ref. [\[23\]](#page-75-0), the current version contains full QED corrections together with the soft-photon contribution to the angular distribution  $d\sigma/d\cos\vartheta$ . In this section we present several examples of numerical results. In particular, we will show a comparison of the electroweak form factors (EWFF) including QED corrections between eeffLib and another FORTRAN code, which was automatically generated from form log files with the aid of system s2n.f (symbols to numbers), producing a FORTRAN source code — a part of our SANC system. This comparison provides a powerful internal cross-check of our numerics that practically excludes the appearance of bugs in numerical results.

We begin with showing several examples of comparison with ZFITTER v6.30 [\[38\]](#page-76-2). In the present realization, eefflib does not calculate  $M_W$  from  $\mu$  decay and does not precompute either Sirlin's parameter  $\Delta r$  or the total Z width, which enters the Z boson propagator. For this reason, the three parameters:  $M_W$ ,  $\Delta r$ ,  $\Gamma_Z$  were being taken from ZFITTER and used as INPUT for eeffLib. Moreover, present eeffLib is a purely one-loop code, while it was not foreseen in ZFITTER, to access just one-loop form factors with the aid of users flags. To accomplish the goals of comparison at the one-loop level, we had to modify the DIZET electroweak library. The most important change was an addition to the SUBROUTINE ROKANC:

```
*
* For eett
*
      FLL=(XROK(1)-1D0+DR )*R1/AL4PI
      FQL=FLL+(XROK(2)-1D0)*R1/AL4PI
      FLQ=FLL+(XROK(3)-1D0)*R1/AL4PI
      FQQ=FLL+(XROK(4)-1D0)*R1/AL4PI
```
with the aid of which we reconstruct four scalar form factors from ZFITTER's effective couplings  $\rho$  and  $\kappa$ 's ( $F_{LD}$  and  $F_{QD}$  do not contribute in the massless approximation).

#### <span id="page-61-0"></span>6.1 Flags of eeffLib

Here we give a description of flags (user options) of eeffLib. While creating the code, we followed the principle to preserve as often as possible the meaning of flags as described in the ZFITTER description [\[5\]](#page-74-0). In the list below, the comment 'as in ZFD' means that the flag has exactly the same meaning as in [\[5\]](#page-74-0). Here we describe the set of flags of the February 2002 version of eeffLib.

- ALEM=3 ! as in ZFD
- ALE2=3 ! as in ZFD
- VPOL=0 ! =0  $\alpha(0)$ ; =1,=2 as in ZFD; =3 is reserved for later use Note that the flag is extended to VPOL=0 to allow calculations 'without running of  $\alpha'$ .
- QCDC=0 ! as in ZFD
- ITOP=1 ! as in DIZET (internal flag)
- GAMS=1 ! as in ZFD
- WEAK=1 ! as in ZFD (use WEAK=2 in  $\sqrt{6.30}$  to drop out some higherorder terms)
- IMOMS=1 ! = 0  $\alpha$ -scheme; = 1 GFermi-scheme New meaning of an old flag: switches between two renormalization schemes.
- $\bullet$  BOXD=6

Together with WEAK=0 is used for an internal comparison of separate boxes and QED contributions:

- BOXD  $\qquad$  ! =1 with AA boxes
	- $! =2$  with  $ZA$  boxes
	- $! =3$  with  $AA$  and  $ZA$  boxes
	- ! =4 with all QED contributions

Together with WEAK=1 (working option), it has somewhat different meanings:

BOXD ! =0 without any boxes



- ! =2 with  $ZA$  boxes
- ! =3 with  $AA$  and  $ZA$  boxes
- $! = 4$  with  $WW$  boxes
- ! =5 with  $WW$  and  $ZZ$  boxes
- ! =6 with all QED and EW boxes

'Treatment' options.

• GAMZTR=1 treatment of  $\Gamma_z$ .

The option is implemented for the sake of comparison with FeynArts: GAMZTR=0  $\Gamma_z = 0$ 

GAMZTR=1  $\Gamma_z \neq 0$ 

- EWFFTR=0 treatment of EW form factors. Switches between form factors and effective ZFITTER couplings  $\rho$  and  $\kappa$ 's. The option is implemented for comparison with ZFITTER: EWFFTR=0 electroweak form factors EWFFTR=1 effective couplings  $\rho$  and  $\kappa$ 's • FERMTR=1 treatment of fermionic masses
- Switches between three different sets of 'effective quark masses': FERMTR=1 a 'standard' set of fermions masses FERMTR=2,3 'modified' sets
- VPOLTR=1 treatment of photonic vacuum polarization Switches between lowest-order expression  $\alpha(s) = \alpha \left[1 + \Delta \alpha(s)\right]$  and its 'resummed' version,  $\alpha(s) = \alpha / [1 - \Delta \alpha(s)]$ : VPOLTR=0 lowest order VPOLTR=1 resummed
- EWRCTR=2 treatment of electroweak radiative corrections Switches between three variants for vertex corrections: EWRCTR=0 electroweak form factors contain only QED additions EWRCTR=1 electroweak form factors do not contain QED additions EWRCTR=2 electroweak form factors contain both QED and EW additions
- EMASTR=0 treatment of terms with  $\ln(s/m_e^2)$  in AA and ZA boxes, which are present in various functions but cancel in sum: EMASTR=0 these terms are suppressed in all functions they enter EMASTR=1 these terms are retained in all functions, which results in loss of computer precision owing to numerical cancellation; results for EMASTR=0 and EMASTR=1 are equal
- EWWFFV=1 treatment of vertex and box diagrams with virtual  $W$  boson, switches between two variants:

```
EWWFFV=0 variant of formulae without b-quark mass
EWWFFV=1 variant of formulae with finite b-quark mass
```
#### Options affecting QED contributions.

• IQED=4 variants of inclusion virtual and soft photon QED contributions: IQED=1 only initial-state radiation (ISR) IQED=2 only initial–final interference (IFI) IQED=3 only final-state radiation (FSR) IQED=4 all QED contributions are included • IBOX=4 is active only if  $IQED=2$  or 4 and affects only Eq. (5.11): **IBOX=0** AA boxes interfering with  $\gamma$  exchange BORN IBOX=1  $AA$  boxes IBOX=2 ZA boxes IBOX=3 or 4  $AA+ZA$  boxes

#### 6.2 eeffLib–ZFITTER comparison of scalar form factors

First of all we discuss the results of a computation of the complete EW part of the four scalar form factors (i.e. with  $WW$  and  $ZZ$  boxes),

$$
F_{LL}(s,t), \quad F_{QL}(s,t), \quad F_{LQ}(s,t), \quad F_{QQ}(s,t), \tag{6.1}
$$

for three channels:  $e^+e^- \to u\bar{u}$ ,  $d\bar{d}$  and  $b\bar{b}$  for light final fermion masses (we set  $m_u = m_d = 0.1$  GeV) and for  $b\bar{b}$  channel we use the formulae in the limit  $m_f = 0$ ). We remind that ZFITTER is able to deliver only massless results.

<span id="page-64-0"></span>In this comparison we use flags as in subsection [6.1](#page-61-0) and, moreover,

$$
M_W = 80.4514958 \text{ GeV},
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta r = 0.0284190602,
$$
  
\n
$$
\Gamma_z = 2.499776 \text{ GeV}.
$$
 (6.2)

The form factors are shown as complex numbers for the three c.m.s. energies (for  $t = m_t^2 - s/2$ ) and for  $\mu = M_w^2$ . Table [1](#page-65-0) shows very good agreement with ZFITTER results (up to 6 or 7 digits agree). It should be stressed that total agreement with ZFITTER is not expected because in the eeffLib for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow u\bar{u}$  and  $d\bar{d}$  channels we use massive expressions to compute the nearly massless case. Certain numerical cancellations leading to losing some numerical precision are expected. We should conclude that the agreement is very good and demonstrates that our formulae have the correct  $m_t \to 0$  limit. Note, that there is an all digits agreement for  $e^+e^- \to b\bar{b}$  channel since in both cases one uses the formulae in the limit  $m_f^2 = 0$ .

#### 6.3 eeffLib–ZFITTER comparison of IBA cross-section

As the next step of the comparison of eeffLib with calculations from the literature, we present a comparison of the IBA cross-section.

In Table [2](#page-66-0) we show the differential cross-section Eq. [\(4.152\)](#page-52-0) in pb for four leptonic channels and in Table [3](#page-67-0) for three quarkonic channes  $(b\bar{b}$  channel being shown twice for massless and massive b quarks) for three values of  $\cos \theta = -0.9, 0, +0.9$  with running e.m. coupling  $\alpha(s)$ . Since the flag setting VPOL=1, which is relevant to this case, affects the ZFITTER numbers, we now use, instead of Eq. [\(6.2\)](#page-64-0), the new INPUT set:

$$
M_W = 80.4467671 \text{ GeV},
$$
  
\n
$$
\Delta r = 0.0284495385,
$$
  
\n
$$
\Gamma_Z = 2.499538 \text{ GeV}.
$$
 (6.3)

The numbers shown in first two rows of Tabs. [2](#page-66-0) and [3](#page-67-0) exhibit a very good level of agreement with ZFITTER.

Finally, in Table [4,](#page-68-0) we give a comparison of the cross-section integrated within the angular interval  $|\cos \theta| \leq 0.999$ . (Flag setting is the same as for Table 4.)

A typical deviation between eeffLib and ZFITTER is of the order  $\sim 10^{-6}$ , i.e. of the order of the required precision of the numerical integration over  $\cos \theta$ . Examples of numbers obtained with eefflib, which were shown in this section, demonstrate that ZFITTER numbers are recovered for light  $m_t$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In the preprints [\[23\]](#page-75-0) and [\[24\]](#page-75-1) we presented this and the following tables for the three values of scale  $\mu = M_W/10$ ,  $M_W$ ,  $10M_W$  and demonstrated the scale independence of eeffLib numbers.

$\sqrt{s}$	$100 \text{ GeV}$	$200 \text{ GeV}$	$300 \text{ GeV}$			
FF						
	$u\bar{u}$ channel, $m_u = 0.1$ GeV, $m_d = 0$					
$\overline{F}_{LL}$	$12.89583 - i1.84786$	$8.24737 - i10.64653$	$8.983\overline{71 - i12.88466}$			
ZF	$12.89583 - i1.84786$	$8.24736 - i10.64651$	$8.98370 - i12.88466$			
$\overline{F_{QL}}$	$29.30446 + i3.67330$	$29.38217 + i2.27613$	$31.59711 + i1.59304$			
ZF	$29.30445 + i3.67330$	$29.38216 + i2.27613$	$31.59710 + i1.59304$			
$\overline{F}_{\scriptscriptstyle LQ}$	$29.10831 +$ i3.26973	$29.48511 + i0.92311$	$31.65835 - i0.89711$			
ZF	$29.10832 +$ i3.26973	$29.48512 + i0.92312$	$31.65835 - i0.89711$			
$F_{QQ}$	$44.88228 + i8.85688$	$43.31854 + i9.48286$	$44.18773 + i10.25197$			
ZF	$44.88228 + i8.85688$	$43.31854 + i9.48286$	$44.18773 + i10.25196$			
		dd channel, $m_d = 0.1$ GeV, $m_u = 0$				
$F_{LL}$	$13.70781 - i1.51002$	$15.18630 - i3.93706$	$8.86000 - i1.80409$			
ZF	$13.70781 - i1.51002$	$15.18629 - i 3.93706$	$8.86000 - i1.80409$			
$F_{\scriptscriptstyle QL}$	$29.64340 + i4.12394$	$31.96819 + i6.97877$	$31.69945 + i8.03876$			
ZF	$29.64340 + i4.12394$	$31.96818 + i6.97877$	$31.69944 + i8.03876$			
$F_{LQ}$	$29.12112 + i3.22780$	$30.28990 + i1.73736$	$31.69321 - i0.07000$			
ZF	$29.12113 + i3.22780$	$30.28990 + i1.73733$	$31.69323 - i0.07001$			
$F_{QQ}$	$44.87608 + i8.79014$	$43.94906 + i9.86523$	$44.19463 + i10.38253$			
ZF	$44.87609 + i8.79014$	$43.94905 + i9.48286$	$44.19462 + i10.38251$			
		bb channel, $m_b = 0$ , $m_t = 173.8 \text{ GeV}$				
$F_{LL}$	$11.16367 -$ i0.65244	$14.68726 - i1.85834$	$11.26568 - i3.38026$			
ZF	11.16367 i0.65244 $\overline{\phantom{m}}$	14.68726 i1.85834 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$11.26568 -$ i3.38026			
$F_{QL}$	$26.88634 + i4.76865$	$28.08780 + i5.27877$	$31.02060 + i3.91962$			
ZF	$26.88634 + i4.76865$	$28.08780 + i5.27877$	$31.02060 + i3.91962$			
$F_{LQ}$	$29.12113 + i3.22780$	$30.28990 + i1.73733$	31.69323 i0.07001 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$			
ZF	$29.12113 + i3.22780$	$30.28990 + i1.73733$	$31.69323 - i0.07001$			
$F_{QQ}$	$44.87609 + i8.79014$	$43.94905 + i9.86523$	$44.19462 + i10.38251$			
ZF	$44.87609 + i8.79014$	$43.94905 + i9.86523$	$44.19462 + i10.38251$			

<span id="page-65-0"></span>Table 1: EWFF for the process  $e^+e^- \to f\bar{f}$ . eeffLib–ZFITTER comparison.

$\sqrt{s}$	$100 \text{ GeV}$		$200 \text{ GeV}$   $300 \text{ GeV}$   $400 \text{ GeV}$   $700 \text{ GeV}$			$1000 \text{ GeV}$
$\cos \overline{\vartheta}$						
$\nu\bar{\nu}$ channel, $m_{\nu}=0$						
$-0.9$	49.100086	0.579630	0.198147	0.101625	0.030297	0.014381
	49.100085	0.579630	0.198147	0.101625	0.030297	0.014381
$\overline{0}$	31.834491	0.358941	0.122362	0.062455	0.018431	0.008648
	31.834490	0.358941	0.122362	0.062455	0.018431	0.008648
0.9	66.267213	0.739640	0.234000	0.115572	0.032285	0.014601
	66.267213	0.739640	0.234000	0.115572	0.032285	0.014601
			$e^-e^+$ channel, $m_e=0$			
$-0.9$	7.991109	0.533194	0.286463	0.169610	0.058032	0.028672
	7.991110	0.533194	0.286463	0.169609	0.058032	0.028672
$\overline{0}$	19.773604	1.121915	0.474004	0.262276	0.084408	0.040933
	19.773604	1.121915	0.474004	0.262276	0.084408	0.040933
0.9	63.501753	3.504349	1.466665	0.811430	0.263378	0.128882
	63.501750	3.504348	1.466665	0.811430	0.263378	0.128882
$0.106 \text{ GeV}$ $\mu^+\mu^-$ channel, $m_\mu$ $=$						
$-0.9$	7.991040	0.533195	0.286463	0.169610	0.058032	0.028672
	7.991028	0.533195	0.286463	0.169610	0.058032	0.028672
$\overline{0}$	19.773498	1.121915	0.474004	0.262276	0.084408	0.040933
	19.773506	1.121915	0.474004	0.262276	0.084408	0.040933
$\overline{0.9}$	63.501436	3.504346	1.466665	0.811430	0.263378	0.128882
	63.501422	3.504345	1.466664	0.811430	0.263378	0.128882
$\tau^+\tau^-$ channel, $m_\tau = 1.77705 \text{ GeV}$						
$\sqrt{s}$	$100 \text{ GeV}$	$200 \text{ GeV}$	$300 \text{ GeV}$	$400 \text{ GeV}$	$700 \text{ GeV}$	$1000 \text{ GeV}$
$-0.9$	7.971611	0.533509	0.286519	0.169627	0.058034	0.028673
	7.968192	0.533295	0.286477	0.169613	0.058032	0.028672
$\overline{0}$	19.743430	1.121827	0.473992	0.262273	0.084407	0.040933
	19.745968	1.121978	0.474021	0.262282	0.084408	0.040933
0.9	63.412131	3.503720	1.466558	0.811398	0.263375	0.128882
	63.408973	3.503524	1.466520	0.811385	0.263373	0.128881

<span id="page-66-0"></span>Table 2: Comparison of the differential EW cross-sections, [pb], leptonic channels. First row – ZFITTER, second row – eeffLib.

$\sqrt{s}$	$100 \text{ GeV}$	$200 \text{ GeV}$	$300 \text{ GeV}$	$400 \text{ GeV}$	$700 \text{ GeV}$	$1000 \text{ GeV}$	
$\cos \vartheta$							
$u\bar{u}$ channel, $m_u = 0.1$ GeV							
$-0.9$	45.404742	0.386966	0.225923	0.138065	0.048621	0.024156	
	45.404602	0.386966	0.225923	0.138065	0.048621	0.024156	
$\theta$	60.382423	1.882835	0.771939	0.421410	0.133475	0.064245	
	60.382566	1.882837	0.771939	0.421410	0.133475	0.064245	
	173.467517	6.450000	2.510881	1.346620	0.417295	0.198842	
0.9	173.467551	6.450000	2.510881	1.346620	0.417295	0.198842	
			dd channel, $m_d = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$				
$-0.9$	86.554414	0.430807	0.136720	0.069644	0.020899	0.009978	
	86.554110	0.430807	0.136720	0.069644	0.020899	0.009978	
$\overline{0}$	72.820806	1.180211	0.419410	0.219070	0.066312	0.031351	
	72.820908	1.180212	0.419411	0.219070	0.066312	0.031351	
0.9	176.717336	3.770861	1.469194	0.796291	0.255118	0.124714	
	176.717376	3.770861	1.469194	0.796291	0.255118	0.124714	
	dd channel, $m_b = 0.1$ GeV						
$-0.9$	84.970331	0.416155	0.136467	0.071144	0.020786	0.009868	
	84.970030	0.416155	0.136467	0.071144	0.020786	0.009868	
$\overline{0}$	71.762397	1.185284	0.426009	0.219777	0.067063	0.031698	
	71.762496	1.185285	0.426009	0.219777	0.067063	0.031698	
0.9	174.716111	3.819650	1.442852	0.764945	0.249501	0.123086	
	174.716145	3.819651	1.442852	0.764945	0.249501	0.123086	
$d\bar{d}$ channel, $m_b = 4.7$ GeV							
$-0.9$	84.350009	0.416665	0.136590	0.071186	0.020792	0.009870	
	84.084519	0.415686	0.136435	0.071137	0.020786	0.009868	
$\overline{0}$	71.232935	1.183490	0.425748	0.219707	0.067058	0.031697	
	71.248102	1.184186	0.425882	0.219745	0.067061	0.031697	
$\overline{0.9}$	173.420486	3.812786	1.441766	0.764642	0.249478	0.123084	
	173.041374	3.811860	1.441592	0.764577	0.249464	0.123077	

<span id="page-67-0"></span>Table 3: Comparison of the differential EW cross-sections, [pb], quarkonic channels. First row –  $\texttt{ZFITTER}$  , second row –  $\texttt{eeffLib}.$ 

$100 \text{ GeV}$		$200 \text{ GeV}$		$300\,\, \mathrm{GeV}$			
$\sigma_{\rm tot}$	$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle\rm FB}$	$\sigma_{\rm tot}$	$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle\rm FB}$	$\sigma_{\rm tot}$	$\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle\rm FB}$		
	$\nu\bar{\nu}$ channel, $m_{\nu}=0$						
84.81710	9.509864	0.963362	0.089665	0.320985	0.021592		
84.81710	9.509865	0.963362	0.089665	0.320985	0.021592		
		$e^-e^+$ channel, $m_e=0$					
52.61662	30.78899	2.980668	1.654673	1.276008	0.648414		
52.61662	30.78899	2.980667	1.654673	1.276008	0.648414		
	$\mu^+\mu^-$		channel, $m_{\mu} = 0.106 \text{ GeV}$				
52.61634	30.78885	2.980667	1.654671	1.276008	0.648414		
52.61634	30.78885	2.980667	1.654671	1.276008	0.648414		
	$\tau^+\tau^-$		channel, $m_{\tau} = 1.77705$ GeV				
52.53632	30.75010	2.980435	1.654149	1.275972	0.648324		
52.53661	30.75024	2.980443	1.654156	1.275974	0.648326		
	$u\bar{u}$ channel, $m_u = 0.1$ GeV						
160.8980	70.98406	5.021808	3.360848	2.031754	1.269556		
160.8981	70.98416	5.021810	3.360848	2.031754	1.269556		
dd channel, $m_d = 0.1$ GeV							
193.7658	50.03208	3.120724	1.867871	1.149479	0.725581		
193.7658	50.03227	3.120725	1.867871	1.149479	0.725581		
bb channel, $m_b = 0.1$ GeV							
191.0416	49.76543	3.134547	1.892628	1.149243	0.720476		
191.0416	49.76562	3.134547	1.892629	1.149243	0.720476		
bb channel, $m_b = 4.7 \text{ GeV}$							
189.6321	49.39098	3.129824	1.888530	1.148541	0.719805		
189.3855	49.32791	3.129858	1.888542	1.148565	0.719802		

<span id="page-68-0"></span>Table 4: Comparison of the total EW cross-sections, [pb]. First row – ZFITTER, second row – eeffLib.

We conclude this subsection with a comment about the technical precision of our calculations. We do not use the looptools package [\[39\]](#page-76-3). For all PV functions but one, namely the  $D_0$  function, we use our own coding, where we can control precision internally and, typically, we can guarantee 11 digits precision. For the  $D_0$  function we use, instead, REAL\*16 TOPAZO coding [\[8\]](#page-74-2) and the only way that is accessible to us to control the precision is to compare our results with those computed with the looptools package. This was done for typical  $D_0$  functions entering the ZZ box contributions. We obtained an agreement within 14 or 15 digits between these two versions for all  $\sqrt{s} = 400-$ 10000 GeV and  $\cos \theta = 0.99, 0, -0.99$ .

## 6.4 Comparison with a code generated by s2n.f

Here we present a numerical comparison of the complete scalar form factors of Eq. [\(3.148\)](#page-52-1), extracted from two independently created codes: the 'manually written' eeffLib and a code 'automatically generated' by the s2n.f software. We use a special input parameter set here: all lepton masses  $\alpha$  and a conversion factor from GeV−<sup>1</sup> to pb are taken from the 2000 Particle Data Tables while for quark and photon and gauge boson masses we use:

<span id="page-69-1"></span>
$$
m_{u,d,c,s,t,b} = 0.062, 0.083, 1.50, 0.215, 173.8, 4.70 \text{ GeV},
$$
  

$$
\lambda = 1 \text{ GeV}, M_z = 91.1867 \text{ GeV}, M_w = 80.4514958 \text{ GeV}. \tag{6.4}
$$

As seen from Table [5,](#page-69-0) the numbers agree within 11–13 digits, i.e. REAL\*8 computational precision is saturated. The form factors  $F_{LD,QD}$  are multiplied by 10<sup>4</sup> to make more digits visible.

<span id="page-69-0"></span>Table 5: EWFF for the process  $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$ . First row – eeffLib, second row  $-$  s2n.f.

$\sqrt{s}$		$400 \text{ GeV}$	$700 \text{ GeV}$
$\cos \theta$	FF		
$-0.9$	$F_{LL}$	$68.36399900074 - i1.24743850729$	$79.63957322115 - i20.53758995637$
		$68.36399900068 - i1.24743850728$	$79.63957322113 - i20.53758995637$
	${}^{\cal F}_{QL}$	$75.12465846647 + i34.81991916400$	$76.19283172015 + i28.44336684106$
		$75.12465846641 + i34.81991916400$	$76.19283172013 + i28.44336684106$
	$\mathcal{F}_{L\,Q}$	$81.01546270426 + i19.81343626967$	$82.67283873006 + i13.79952080171$
		$81.01546270420 + i19.81343626968$	$82.67283873004 + i13.79952080171$
	$\mathcal{F}_{Q\,Q}$	$225.63977621858 + i154.37838168488$	$207.09189805263 + i133.45188150116$
		$225.63977621832 + i154.37838168491$	$207.09189805254 + i133.45188150117$
	$F_{LD}$	$-0.57522852857 + i0.34010611241$	$-0.33030593699 + i0.14897150833$
		$-0.57522852857 + i0.34010611241$	$-0.33030593699 + i0.14897150833$
	$F_{QD}$	$0.16677424366 - i0.34326069364$	$0.29925308488 - i0.14107543098$
		$0.16677424366 - i0.34326069364$	$0.29925308488 - i0.14107543098$
0.0	$F_{LL}$	$48.42950001713 + i8.26103890366$	$28.23570422021 + i2.43705570966$
		$48.42950001707 + i8.26103890367$	$28.23570422019 + i2.43705570966$
	$F_{QL}$	$68.02678564355 + i37.08805801477$	$58.00469565609 + i33.82433896562$
		$68.02678564349 + i37.08805801477$	$58.00469565607 + i33.82433896562$
	$\mathcal{F}_{LQ}$	$73.37133716227 + i22.69397728402$	$62.40775508619 + i20.75544388763$
		$73.37133716220 + i22.69397728403$	$62.40775508616 + i20.75544388764$
	$F_{QQ}$	$196.60425612149 + i162.74818773960$	$132.63279537966 + i152.68259938740$
		$196.60425612123 + i162.74818773963$	$132.63279537957 + i152.68259938741$
	$F_{LD}$	$-0.56319765502 + i0.33645326768$	$-0.29067043403 + i0.13992893252$
		$-0.56319765502 + i0.33645326768$	$-0.29067043403 + i0.13992893252$
	$F_{QD}$	$0.15893936555 - i0.37254018572$	$0.26429138671 - i0.15437851127$
		$0.15893936555 - i0.37254018572$	$0.26429138671 - i0.15437851127$
0.9	$F_{LL}$	$35.17736865724 + i14.84038724783$	$0.21531292996 + i13.66645015866$
		$35.17736865718 + i14.84038724784$ $61.03099608330 + i39.09196533610$	$0.21531292994 + i13.66645015866$ $40.77942026097 + i37.94118444135$
	$F_{QL}$		
		$61.03099608324 + i39.09196533611$ $66.08215572935 + i25.04151178684$	$40.77942026095 + i37.94118444136$ $44.50915974057 + i25.51875704261$
	$\mathcal{F}_{L\,Q}$		
		$66.08215572929 + i25.04151178685$ $167.63393504156 + i170.36384103672$	$44.50915974055 + i25.51875704261$ $59.87568281297 + i168.13599380718$
	$F_{QQ}$	$167.63393504130 + i170.36384103675$	$59.87568281288 + i168.13599380719$
		$-0.56772633347 + i0.34299744419$	$-0.32035310873 + i0.14419510235$
	$F_{LD}$	$-0.56772633347 + i0.34299744419$	$-0.32035310873 + i0.14419510235$
		$0.18031346246 - i0.40091423652$	$0.34968026058 - i0.16945266925$
	$F_{QD}$	$0.18031346246 - i0.40091423652$	$0.34968026058 - i0.16945266925$

The next Table [6](#page-70-0) shows a comparison of eeffLib–s2n.f for the complete one-loop differential cross-sections  $d\sigma^{(1)}/d\cos\vartheta$ , for the standard input parameter set Eq. [\(6.4\)](#page-69-1). As seen, numbers agree within 12 or 13 digits.

/ s	$400 \text{ GeV}$	$700 \text{ GeV}$	$1000 \text{ GeV}$
$\cos \vartheta$			
$-0.9$	0.22357662754774	0.06610825350063	0.02926006442715
	0.22357662754769	0.06610825350063	0.02926006442715
0.0	0.34494634728716	0.14342802645636	0.06752160108814
	0.34494634728707	0.14342802645634	0.06752160108813
0.9	0.54806778978208	0.33837133344667	0.16973989931024
	0.54806778978194	0.33837133344664	0.16973989931023

<span id="page-70-0"></span>Table 6:  $\frac{d\sigma^{(1)}}{d\cos\vartheta}$  for the process  $e^+e^-\to t\bar{t}$ . eeffLib–s2n.f comparison.

# 6.5 About a comparison with the other codes

As is well known, the one-loop differential cross-section of  $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$  may be generated with the aid of the FeynArts system [\[22\]](#page-75-2). Previous attempts to compare with FeynArts are described in [\[23\]](#page-75-0). In December 2001, we were provided with the numbers computed with the FeynArts system [\[41\]](#page-76-4) for  $d\sigma/d\cos\vartheta$ , with and without QED contributions, at  $\sqrt{s}$  = 700 GeV and three values of  $\cos \theta = 0.9$ , 0, -0.9. With the current versions of eefflib and s2n.f we have 11 digits agreement for both the tree-level and one-loop-corrected cross-sections.

`S	$400 \text{ GeV}$	$700 \text{ GeV}$	$1000 \text{ GeV}$
$\cos \vartheta$			
$-0.9$	0.17613018248935	0.05199100267864	0.02310170508071
$-0.5$	0.21014509428358	0.06560630503586	0.02882301902010
0.0	0.27268108572063	0.11496514450150	0.05495088904853
0.5	0.35592722356682	0.19615154401629	0.09941700898317
0.9	0.43637377538440	0.27915043976042	0.14426233253975

<span id="page-70-1"></span>Table 7:  $\frac{d\sigma^{(1)}}{d\cos\vartheta}$  for the process  $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$  with soft photons,  $E_{\gamma}^{\text{max}} = \sqrt{s}/10$ .

Recently, the Bielefeld–Zeuthen team [\[33\]](#page-76-5) performed an alternative calculation using the DIANA system [\[40\]](#page-76-6). Working in close contact with this team, we managed to perform several high-precision comparisons, reaching for separate contributions an agreement up to 10 digits.

The results of a comparison between FeynArts and the Bielefeld–Zeuthen team are presented in detail in [\[34\]](#page-76-7).

As another example we present in Table [7](#page-70-1) the same cross-section  $\left[\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\vartheta}\right]$ as given in the tables of [\[34\]](#page-76-7). For the complete cross-section, including soft photons, we agree with the Bielefeld–Zeuthen calculations within 8 digits. (See also [\[35\]](#page-76-8).)

Two more graphical examples of the differential and the total EWRC for the process  $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$  are shown in the figures [16](#page-72-0) and [17,](#page-73-0) correspondingly.

# Acknowledgements

We are indebted to G. Passarino for valuable discussions. We would like to thank W. Hollik and C. Schappacher for a discussion of issues of the comparison with FeynArts. We acknowledge a common work on numerical comparison with J. Fleischer, A. Leike, T. Riemann, and A. Werthenbach, which helped us to debug our 'manually written' code eeffLib. We also wish to thank G. Altarelli for extending to us the hospitality of the CERN TH Division at various stages of this work.


Figure 16: Relative EWRC  $\delta(\sqrt{s}, \cos \vartheta) = \frac{d\sigma^{(1)}(s,t)/dt}{d\sigma^{(0)}(s)/dt} - 1$  to the  $e^+e^- \to t\bar{t}$ differential cross-section. Numbers near the curves show  $\sqrt{s}$  in GeV.



Figure 17: Relative EWRC to  $e^+e^-\to t\bar t$  for  $M_{\scriptscriptstyle H}=100$  GeV (solid line) and  $M_{\scriptscriptstyle H}=1000$  GeV (dashed line).

## References

- [1] G. Passarino and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B160 (1979) 151.
- [2] D. Bardin, P. Christova and O.M. Fedorenko, Nucl. Phys. B175 (1980) 235; Nucl. Phys. B197 (1982) 1.
- [3] F.A. Berends, G. Burgers and W.L. Neerven, Nucl. Phys. B297 (1988) 429; E Nucl. Phys. B304 (1989) 543.
- [4] W. Hollik, *Fortschritte Phys.* **38** (1990) 3.
- [5] D. Bardin, M. Bilenky, P. Christova, M. Jack, L. Kalinovskaya, A. Olchevski, S. Riemann, and T. Riemann, 'ZFITTER v.6.21: A semianalytical program for fermion pair production in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation', DESY–Zeuthen preprint 99-070 (1999), hep-ph/9908433, Comput. Phys. Commun., 133 (2001) 229–395.
- [6] G. Burgers, W. Hollik and M. Martinez (1990), program BHM;
- [7] W. Beenakker, F.A. Berends and S.C. van der Mark, Nucl. Phys. B349 (1991) 323.
- [8] G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, F. Piccinini and G. Passarino, Comput. Phys. Commun. 117 (1999) 278.
- [9] M. Grünewald, Experimental Tests of the Electroweak Standard Model at High Energies, Phys. Rep. 322 (1999) 125.
- [10] The LEP Collaborations ALEPH, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, the LEP Electroweak Working Group and the SLD Heavy Flavour and Electroweak Groups, A combination of Preliminary Electroweak Measurements and Constraints on the Standard Model, CERN–EP–2000–016, January 21, 2000.
- [11] D. Bardin and G. Passarino, 'The standard model in the making: Precision study of the electroweak interactions', Oxford, UK: Clarendon, 1999.
- [12] J. Fujimoto and Y.Shimizu, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **3A** (1988) 581.
- [13] W. Beenakker, S. C. van der Marck, and W. Hollik, Nucl. Phys. B365 (1991) 24–78.
- [14] W. Beenakker and W. Hollik, *Phys. Lett.* **B269** (1991) 425–431.
- [15] ECFA/DESY LC Pfusics Working Group (J. Aguilar-Saavedra et.al.), 'TESLA Technical Design Report Part III: Physics at an  $e^+e^-$  Linear Collider', preprint DESY 2001-011 (2001), hep-ph/0106315.
- [16] M. Beneke et al., Top quark physics', in Proc. of the Workshop on Standard Model Physics (and More) at the LHC, CERN 2000-004, G. Altarelli and M. Mangano, eds., CERN, Geneva, 2000, 419–529.
- [17] W. Beenakker, A. Denner, and A. Kraft, Nucl. Phys. B410 (1993) 219– 244.
- [18] V. Driesen, W. Hollik, and A. Kraft, 'Top pair production in  $e^+e^-$  collisions with virtual and real electroweak radiative corrections', Karlsruhe University preprint (1996), hep-ph/9603398.
- [19] F. Piccinini, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 89 (2000) 31.
- [20] A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, M. Roth, and D. Wackeroth, Nucl. Phys. **B587** (2000) 67–117.
- [21] M. L. Nekrasov, Eur. Phys. J. C19 (2001) 441–454.
- [22] J. Küblbeck, M. Böhm, A. Denner, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **60** (1990) 165; T. Hahn, M. Perez-Victoria, Comput. Phys. Commun. 118 (1999) 153; T. Hahn, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 89 (2000) 231; T. Hahn, Comput. Phys. Commun. 140 (2001) 418; T. Hahn, C. Schappacher, hep-ph/0105349.
- [23] D.Yu. Bardin, L.V. Kalinovskaya and G. Nanava, 'An electroweak library for the calculation of EWRC to  $e^+e^- \to f\bar{f}$  within the CalcPHEP project.', JINR-E2-2000-292, hep-ph/0012080, Revised version, 2001, CERN-TH/2001-308.
- [24] A. Andonov, D. Bardin, S. Bondarenko, P. Christova, L. Kalinovskaya, and G. Nanava, 'Further study of the  $e^+e^-\rightarrow t\bar t$  process with the aid of CalcPHEP system', hep-ph/0202112, CERN-TH/2002-068.
- [25] D. Bardin, G. Passarino, L. Kalinovskaya, P. Christova, A. Andonov, S. Bondarenko and G. Nanava, 'Project CalcPHEP: Calculus for Precision High Energy Physics', hep-ph/0202004, in Proc. of the International Workshop 'Computer Algebra and its Application to Physics', CAAP-2001, V.P. Gerd ed., Dubna 2001, pp. 12–26; 'SANC press release', to appear in ACAT2002 Proceedings; 'Project SANC (former CalcPHEP): Support of Analytic and Numeric calculations for experiments at Colliders', CERN-TH/2002-245, hep-ph/0209297, to appear in ICHEP2002 Proceedings.
- [26] J. Vermaseren, 'New features of FORM', preprint NIKHEF-00-032 (2000), math-ph/0010025.
- [27] J. Fleischer and F. Jegerlehner, Phys. Rev. D23 (1981) 2001–2026.
- [28] F. Jegerlehner, Talk presented at Topical Conf. on Radiative Corrections in  $SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)$ , Trieste, Italy, 1983.
- [29] F. Jegerlehner and J. Fleischer, *Phys. Lett.* **B151** (1985) 65–68.
- [30] F. Jegerlehner and J. Fleischer, Acta Phys. Polon. B17 (1986) 709.
- [31] J. Fleischer, F. Jegerlehner, and M. Zralek, Presented at 11th Int. School of Theoretical Physics, Testing the Standard Model, Szczyrk, Poland, 1987.
- [32] L. Kalinovskaya, 'About implementation of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f}$  processes into the framework of SANC system', to appear in ACAT2002 Proceedings; D. Bardin, P. Christova, L. Kalinovskaya, 'SANC Status Report', to appear in RADCOR2002 Proceedings.
- [33] J. Fleischer, T. Riemann and A. Werthenbach, the FORTRAN code topfit, February 2002.
- [34] J. Fleischer, T. Hahn, W. Hollik, T. Riemann, C. Schappacher and A. Werthenbach, 'Complete electroweak one-loop radiative corrections to top-pair production at **TESLA** – a comparison', LC-TH-2002-002, hep-ph/0202109.
- [35] J. Fleischer, J. Fujimoto, T. Ishikawa, A. Leike, T. Riemann, Y.Shimizu and A. Werthenbach, 'One-loop corrections to the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow t\bar{t}$ including hard bremsstrahlung', hep-ph/0203220.
- [36] G. Passarino, *Nucl. Phys.* **B361** (1991) 351-391.
- [37] R. Vega and J. Wudka, Phys. Rev. D53 (1996) 5286.
- [38] D. Bardin, M. Bilenky, P. Christova, M. Jack, L. Kalinovskaya, A. Olchevski, S. Riemann and T. Riemann, ZFITTER v.6.30, obtainable from http://www.ifh.de/~riemann/ and also from /afs/cern.ch/user/b/bardindy/public.
- [39] T. Hahn, M. Perez-Victoria, Comput. Phys. Commun. 118 (1999) 153.
- [40] J. Fleischer and M. Tentyukov, 'A Feynman diagram analyser DIANA' Graphic facilities, hep-ph/0012189, contribution to the Proc. of 7th International Workshop on Advanced Computing and Analysis Techniques in Physics Research (ACAT 2000), Batavia, Illinois, (2000).
- [41] The numbers for the comparison were provided by C. Schappacher.