

Remarks on the compactified six-dimensional model of a particle

J. Syska¹

*Department of Field Theory and Particle Physics, Institute of Physics,
University of Silesia, Uniwersytecka 4, 40-007 Katowice, Poland*

ABSTRACT

Non-homogeneous gauge ground state solutions in a six-dimensional gauge model in the presence of non-zero extended fermionic charge density fluctuations are reviewed and fully reinterpreted.

Submitted to Physical Review D on 5 November 2001.

PACS No. 11.10.Kk, 11.10.Lm, 12.90.+b

¹jacek@server.phys.us.edu.pl

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to present a simple, self-consistent example of trivially coupled fields in a six-dimensional spacetime with compactified internal dimensions (see Section 2). It is devoted mainly to the existence of the nontrivial topological gauge ground field configuration [1, 2] on the internal space (torus [3]). The basic result of this paper (see Sections 4 and 5) is the appearance of the gauge field as a ground state solution (see Section 3) of coupled Dirac and Maxwell wave equations. Because of vanishing current density fluctuations in the internal space the screening current problem [1], [4] in the internal space is avoided.

2 A short introduction to N-circles compactification

Since the discovery of the cancellation of infinities in superstring models, there has been a renewed interest in higher-dimensional gauge theories. To begin, let us study a $(4 + N)$ dimensional Minkowskian spacetime with one temporal dimension and $(3 + N)$ spatial dimensions, equipped with the metric tensor $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, \dots, -1)$. Now, consider a Poincare invariant model in this spacetime - for example $\lambda\phi^4$ scalar model given by action

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^{4+N}x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial^\alpha \phi - \frac{1}{2} \mu_0^2 \phi^2 - \frac{1}{4!} \lambda_0 \phi^4 \right\} . \quad (1)$$

To construct a classical model, we choose N supplementary space dimensions as circles of circumferences L_1, \dots, L_N respectively [5]. This assumption is equivalent to periodic boundary conditions for the ϕ field:

$$\phi(x_{3+i} + L_i) = \phi(x_{3+i}) . \quad (2)$$

Now, we make a Fourier decomposition of the ϕ field:

$$\phi(x_\mu, x_{3+i}) = \frac{1}{(L_1 \cdot \dots \cdot L_N)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\{n_i\}} \phi_{\{n_i\}} \exp(2\pi i \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_{3+i} n_i}{L_i}), \quad (3)$$

where the coefficients $\phi_{\{n_i\}}$ are fields depending on the first 4 spacetime dimensions x^μ only. They fulfill the condition of being "real" $\phi_{-\{n_i\}}^* = \phi_{\{n_i\}}$.

Integrating Eq.(1) over the additional coordinates x_{3+i} from 0 to L_i one [6] easily obtains the action

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} = & \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha \phi_{\{n_i\}}^* \partial^\alpha \phi_{\{n_i\}} - \frac{1}{2} m_{\{n_i\}}^2 \phi_{\{n_i\}}^* \phi_{\{n_i\}} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi_{\{n_i^1\}} \phi_{\{n_i^2\}} \phi_{\{n_i^3\}} \phi_{\{n_i^4\}} \delta(n_i^1 + n_i^2 + n_i^3 + n_i^4) \right\}, \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_0}{(L_1 \cdot \dots \cdot L_N)} \quad (5)$$

and

$$m_{\{n_i\}}^2 = \mu_0^2 + 4\pi^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{n_i^2}{L_i^2}. \quad (6)$$

So, the model with compactified internal dimensions has infinite number of states with an increasing ladder of masses. The formula given by Eq.(6) was obtained, in the case of $N = 2$ and $\mu_0 = 0$, for particles and solitons in supersymmetric gauge theory.

The dimensional reduction is based on $L_i \rightarrow 0$ transition (for fixed λ). Then only one field, $\phi_{\{n_i=0\}} \equiv \phi$, has the finite mass. Therefore, we receive the usual $\lambda\phi^4$ model in 4-dimensional spacetime:

$$\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_R = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial^\alpha \phi - \frac{1}{2} \mu_0^2 \phi^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4 \right\}. \quad (7)$$

In the above proposal the dimensional reduction erases any trace of the hidden model of the world.

A little bit complicated example is obtained when we start with the Maxwell field in $(4 + N)$ dimensions:

$$\mathcal{S} = -\frac{1}{4} \int d^{4+N}x F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} , \quad (8)$$

where

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha A_\beta - \partial_\beta A_\alpha . \quad (9)$$

Leaving aside the subsequent steps in compactification (analogous to Eqs.(2)-(6)), we suppose that A_α ($\alpha = 0, 1, \dots, N$) field does not depend on x^{3+i} . Now, the A_α field is decomposed an A_μ field ($\mu = 0, \dots, 3$) and ϕ_i scalar fields ($i = 1, \dots, N$), so $A_\alpha = (A_\mu, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_N)$ (see Section 4).

As a result of this procedure, the Poincare invariance of the original $(4 + N)$ dimensional theory remains hidden, and only the Poincare group in 4 spacetime dimensions and $O(N)$ group are effective, with $O(N)$ singlet- A_μ and $O(N)$ vector- ϕ_i .

3 Introduction to the self-consistent theory of classical fields

In the present paper the language of self-consistent theory of classical fields is used. Hence in order to understand the model in this broader context let us for a moment simplify our considerations taking into account a real scalar field theory model defined by the following Lagrangian density:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2(x, t) - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla\phi(x, t))^2 - V(\phi) \quad (10)$$

where $\dot{\phi} = \partial\phi/\partial t$, $\nabla\phi = \sum_i \bar{i} \partial\phi/\partial x^i$ (\bar{i} is the versor).

$V(\phi)$ is a function of ϕ and the dependence on the coupling constant g is given by

$$V(\phi) = \frac{1}{g^2} \tilde{V}(\chi), \quad \chi = g\phi \quad (11)$$

where \tilde{V} is an even function independent of g . Depending on the choice of the functional form of \tilde{V} one can consider various models. One of its realization is illustrated on Figure 1.

The Hamiltonian density derived from the Lagrangian of Eq.(10) is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla\phi)^2 + V(\phi) \quad (12)$$

Let ϕ_0 be the scalar timeless field solution of the equation of motion for the field ϕ in the ground state of the system given by this Hamiltonian. We use the name of a *scalar ground field* for the solution ϕ_0 .

If we are interested in the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi}(\gamma^\mu i\partial_\mu - m)\Psi + J^\mu A_\mu - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \quad (13)$$

where $J^\mu = -e\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\Psi$ is the electron current density fluctuation and, A_μ is the total electromagnetic field, four-potential $A_\mu = A_\mu^e + A_\mu^s$, with the superscripts e and s standing for external field and self field (which is adjusted by the radiative reaction to suit the electron current and its fluctuations, see [7]), respectively, then, in the minimum of the corresponding total Hamiltonian, the solution of the equation of motion for A_μ^s is called *electromagnetic ground field*. At this point a serious warning has to be given: *In the present paper, as in Barut model [7] also, the Dirac-Maxwell coupled equations contain the wavefunction $\Psi(x)$ which does not have the interpretation connected with full charge density distribution,*

as in the original linear Schrödinger or Dirac equations, but it is connected with the fluctuations of charge density distribution.

More generally we use the name of a *boson ground field* for a solution of an equation of motion for a *boson field* in the ground state of a whole system of fields (fermion, gauge boson, scalar) under consideration. This boson field is the self field (or can be treated like this) when it is coupled to a source-”basic” field. By ”basic” field we mean a wave (field) function which is proper for a fermion, a scalar or a heavy boson. This concept of a wave function and the Schrödinger wave equation is dominant in nonrelativistic physics of atoms, molecules and condensed matter. In the relativistic quantum theory this notion had been largely abandoned in favour of the second quantized perturbative Feynman graph approach, although the Dirac wave equation is used approximately in some problems. What was done by Barut and others was an extension of the Schrödinger’s ”charge density interpretation” of a wave function² to a ”fully-fledged” relativistic theory. They implemented successfully this ”natural (fields theory) interpretation” of a wave function in many specific problems with coupled Dirac and Maxwell equations (for characteristic boundary conditions). But the ”natural interpretation” of the wave function could be extended to the Klein-Gordon equation [1] coupled to Einstein field equations, thus being a rival for quantum gravity in its second quantized form. In both cases the second quantization approach is connected with the probabilistic interpretation of quantum theory, whereas the ”natural interpretation” together with the self field concept goes in tune with the deterministic interpretation composing a

² Electron is a classical distribution of charge.

relativistic, self-consistent field theory.

To summarize: Depending on the model, the role of a self field can be played by electromagnetic field [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15], boson $W^+ \cup W^-$ ground-field [1, 2], or by the gravitational field (metric tensor) $g_{\mu\nu}$ [1]. The main law for arising of these self fields would be taking the lead existence of "basic" fields.

4 The model

Let us consider a six-dimensional field theory of a "fermion"³ particle in a curved spacetime.

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{MN}^a F^{aMN} + i\bar{\psi}\Gamma^M \nabla_M \psi, \quad (14)$$

where ψ is the "fermion" wave function⁴ of an extended particle coupled to its self field with a field strength tensor F_{MN}^a given by

$$F_{MN}^a = \partial_M W_N^a - \partial_N W_M^a - gf_{abc} W_M^b W_N^c. \quad (15)$$

The covariant derivative ∇_M is

$$\nabla_M = \partial_M + \frac{1}{2}\omega_{MAB}\Sigma^{AB} + igW_M, \quad (16)$$

where

$$W_M = W_M^a T_a, \quad [T_a, T_b] = if_{abc} T_c \quad (17)$$

and

$$\Sigma^{AB} = \frac{1}{4} [\Gamma^A, \Gamma^B]. \quad (18)$$

³Quotation mark denotes the fact that half spin of the particle is observed from the outside only; generally speaking inside a particle the Lorentz symmetry is broken

⁴Connected with the charge (matter) fluctuation density (see Section 3)

Indices M, N, \dots , take the values 0,1,2,3 and 5,6. ω_{MAB} is the spin connection. $\Gamma_M \equiv e_A^M \Gamma^A$, where Γ^A are γ matrices in the flat six-dimensional Minkowski spacetime. Generator T_a is an element of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{q} .

We [3] impose the geometry of the six-dimensional spacetime to be the topological product of the flat four-dimensional Minkowski (external) spacetime ($\eta_{\mu\nu}$) (with $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$), and the internal space (with metric g_{eh} , $e, h = 5, 6$) which forms a generalized torus. Therefore, the metric tensor can be factorized as

$$g_{MN} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & -g_{eh} \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

with the two-dimensional internal part⁵

$$g_{eh} = \begin{pmatrix} (R + \epsilon r \cos\vartheta)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & r^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

The ordinary torus can be visualized as a two-dimensional surface embedded in the three-dimensional Euclidean space with coordinates given by

$$\begin{cases} w^1 = (R + r \cos\vartheta) \cos\phi \\ w^2 = (R + r \cos\vartheta) \sin\phi \\ w^3 = r \sin\vartheta, \quad \phi \in [0, 2\pi), \quad \vartheta \in [0, 2\pi] \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

with $x^5 = \phi$, $x^6 = \vartheta$, which corresponds to the case with $\epsilon = 1$. The case $R = 0$ and $\psi \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $\vartheta \in [0, \pi]$ corresponds to a sphere. In general, even for the torus case ($\epsilon = 1$), the internal manifold is not flat (see Appendix). Only for $\epsilon = 0$ ($S^1 \times S^1$ torus) the flat internal space is obtained.

The Lagrange density function of Eq.(14) leads to the Dirac equation

$$i\Gamma^M \nabla_M \psi = 0 \quad (22)$$

⁵The observationally meaningful case with flat two-dimensional internal manifold is discussed in [16].

with ∇_M given by Eq.(16) and $\Gamma_M = e_A^M \Gamma^A$ and to the Maxwell equation coupled with the Dirac equation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} D_M (\sqrt{-g} F^{aMN}) = j^{aN} \quad (23)$$

with

$$(D_M \phi)^a = \partial_M \phi^a - g f_{abc} A_M^b \phi^c \quad (24)$$

where repers e_A^M are explicitly given in Section 7 and j^{aN} is the fermionic current density fluctuation

$$j^{aN} = -g \bar{\psi} \Gamma^N T_a \psi . \quad (25)$$

The fundamental result of this Section is that the gauge field appears as a ground state solution of the coupled wave equations (22)-(23). Hence, according to our notation, it is a ground field.

Let us now divide the gauge field A_M into the ground state component $a_M(y)$ ($y = \{x^5, x^6\}$, $M \equiv m = 5, 6$) in the internal space (so we will not be interested in the excited solutions in the internal space), and $\tilde{A}_M(x)$ ($x = \{x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3\}$, $M \equiv \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$), which is the component in the four-dimensional spacetime:

$$A_M(x, y) = \begin{cases} a_m(y) \neq 0, & M \equiv m = 5, 6, \\ \tilde{A}_\mu(x), & M \equiv \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3 . \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

This paper is devoted to the gauge ground field in the internal space. [1] and [2] are devoted to the existence of the gauge ground fields (ground state solutions) in the four dimensional spacetime.

Let us suppose that a ground field in the internal space exists in some Lie algebra direction of the Cartan subalgebra $h \subset q$ only. Then

$$a_m = a_m^i H_i, \quad H_i \in h$$

$$a_m^i = a_m n^i, \quad (27)$$

where n^i indicates a certain direction in the Cartan subalgebra h .

Now, the ground state gauge field a_m^i is a solution of the Maxwell equations due to the Abelian nature of the Cartan subalgebra. We have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_m (\sqrt{-g} f_C^{mn}) = j^n \quad (28)$$

with

$$f_C^{mn} = g^{mn} g^{nr} f_{pr}^C, \quad f_{pr} = \partial_p a_r - \partial_r a_p. \quad (29)$$

Let us discuss the simplest model with current density fluctuations j^n in the internal space equal to zero.⁶ Thus, we will avoid the screening current problem (see [1, 2]) in the internal space.

There are two types of solutions, i.e. homogeneous and non-homogeneous, with respect to the internal coordinates y^m . The homogeneous solutions

$$a_\phi^C = n, \quad a_\vartheta^C = 0 \quad (30)$$

could not be gauged away due to the non-trivial topological structure of a torus.

There is also a non-homogeneous solution of the Maxwell equation Eq.(28)

$$a_\phi^C(\vartheta) = a_0 [\vartheta + \epsilon(r/R) \sin\vartheta], \quad (31)$$

$$a_\vartheta^C(\vartheta) = 0.$$

This is a generalization of the monopole solution. Indeed, if we change the torus to the sphere S^2 , the monopole solution will be obtained. As we shall see, this ground field in the internal space is needed to obtain the chiral zero mode in the four-dimensional spacetime.

⁶ This assumption will be represented by the example of Section 5.

5 Six-dimensional Dirac equation

Let us consider [3] the Dirac equation

$$ie_A^M \Gamma^A \nabla_M \psi = 0 \quad (32)$$

with ∇_M given by Eq.(16), where e_A^M are defined so that

$$g_{mn} = e_m^a e_n^b \delta_{ab}, \quad e_\mu^\alpha = \delta_\mu^\alpha, \quad e_m^a e_b^m = \delta_b^a. \quad (33)$$

Γ^A are γ matrices in flat six-dimensional Minkowski spacetime, defined as follows:

$$\Gamma^\mu = \gamma^\mu \otimes I, \quad (34)$$

$$\Gamma^5 = -i\gamma^5 \otimes \sigma^2, \quad \Gamma^6 = i\gamma^5 \otimes \sigma^1, \quad \Gamma^7 = \gamma^5 \otimes \sigma_3. \quad (35)$$

The Lorentz SO(2) generator Σ^{56} is

$$i\Sigma_3 = \Sigma^{56} = \frac{1}{4} [\Gamma^5, \Gamma^6] = \frac{1}{2} iI \otimes \sigma_3. \quad (36)$$

The Riemannian spin connection on compact internal manifold is [17]

$$\omega_{nab}(e) = \frac{1}{2} e_a^m e_b^k (\Omega_{mnk} + \Omega_{nkm} - \Omega_{kmn}) \quad (37)$$

with⁷

$$\Omega_{mnr} = e_r^a (\partial_m e_{an} - \partial_n e_{am}). \quad (38)$$

The metric Eqs.(19)-(20) give

$$\omega_{112}(e) = -\omega_{121}(e) = \epsilon \sin\vartheta. \quad (39)$$

⁷ Connection Ω_{mnr} is a gravitational analog of field strength tensor F_{MN} in electromagnetism.

A more general case is found when we introduce the torsion. This changes the connection $\omega_{mab}(e)$ to

$$\omega_{mab} = -\omega_{mab}(e) + K_{mab} , \quad (40)$$

where K_{mab} is the contorsion. This will influence only fermion field fluctuation.

The introduction of contorsion K_{mab} changes the Christoffel connection $\Gamma_{mn}^k(e)$ to an asymmetric quantity

$$\Gamma_{mn}^k = \Gamma_{mn}^k(e) + K_{mn}^k \quad (41)$$

with $K_{mn}^k = g^{kl} e_m^a e_n^b K_{lab}$. This also gives us the torsion

$$S_{mnk} = -K_{mnk} + K_{nmk} . \quad (42)$$

As we shall see, a non-trivial torsion will be necessary to obtain the chiral zero mode of the fluctuation⁸. If we express the 2^3 spinor components as the product

$$\psi(x, y) = \sum_{\lambda} \psi_{\lambda}(x) \otimes \epsilon_{\lambda}(y) \quad (43)$$

of the bispinor $\psi_{\lambda}(x)$ component and two $\epsilon_{\lambda}(y)$ spinor components, then the Dirac equation can be expressed as⁹

$$i\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{\lambda} + \lambda \gamma^5 \psi_{\lambda} = 0 , \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nabla_{+} \\ \nabla_{-} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\lambda} = \lambda \epsilon_{\lambda} \quad (45)$$

with

$$\nabla_{+} = -\frac{1}{r} \partial_{\vartheta} + \frac{(-i\partial_{\phi} - ga_0[\vartheta + \epsilon(r/R)\sin\vartheta] - (K_{112} + \epsilon\sin\vartheta)/2)}{(R + \epsilon r \cos\vartheta)} , \quad (46)$$

⁸ Hence the mass of this fermion particle on the ground state does not change.

⁹ From the four-dimensional perspective we are investigating a free Dirac field on the ground state, thus the self field absence at the right hand side of Eq.(44) is justified and the bispinor $\psi_{\lambda}(x)$ is connected with the "Schrödinger" charge density distribution only.

$$\nabla_- = -\frac{1}{r}\partial_\vartheta - \frac{(-i\partial_\phi - ga_0[\vartheta + \epsilon(r/R)\sin\vartheta] + (K_{112} + \epsilon\sin\vartheta)/2)}{(R + \epsilon\cos\vartheta)}. \quad (47)$$

The chiral zero mode ($\lambda = 0$) exists only if we link the gauge connection a_m with the spin connection ω_{112} (the Riemannian spin connection $\omega_{112}(e)$ and contorsion K_{112})¹⁰. This will occur if

$$-g\frac{r}{R}a_0 = \frac{1}{2} \quad (48)$$

and

$$-ga_0\vartheta = -\frac{1}{2}K_{112}. \quad (49)$$

Substituting

$$\epsilon_\lambda = e^{im\phi}\epsilon_\lambda(\vartheta) \quad (50)$$

we obtain

$$\nabla_+ = -\frac{1}{r}\partial_\vartheta + \frac{m - 2ga_0\vartheta}{(R + \epsilon\cos\vartheta)}, \quad (51)$$

$$\nabla_- = -\frac{1}{r}\partial_\vartheta - \frac{m + \epsilon\sin\vartheta}{(R + \epsilon\cos\vartheta)}. \quad (52)$$

The zero mode $\epsilon_0(\vartheta)$ condition

$$Q\epsilon_0 = \bar{Q}\epsilon_0 = 0, \quad (53)$$

where

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nabla^+ \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (54)$$

$$\bar{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \nabla_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (55)$$

¹⁰So, we see the importance of the existence of the ground field a_m .

gives

$$\varepsilon_0(\vartheta) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \exp\left(-\int d\vartheta \frac{m + \varepsilon \sin\vartheta}{(R + \varepsilon \cos\vartheta)}\right). \quad (56)$$

For $m = 0$, equation Eq.(56) gives

$$\varepsilon_0(\vartheta) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (R + \varepsilon \cos\vartheta). \quad (57)$$

When we define the internal current density fluctuations as

$$j^i \equiv \overline{\varepsilon_0} \sigma^i \varepsilon_0, \quad \overline{\varepsilon_0} = \varepsilon_0^T, \quad i = 5, 6, \quad (58)$$

then we see that

$$j^5 = j^6 = 0 \quad (59)$$

which is an important result, and vanishing of the current density fluctuations j^i in the Maxwell equation (see Eq.(28)) is justified.

Finally, as the six-dimensional chirality is defined by $i\gamma^5 \otimes \sigma^3$, it is easy to show that the zero mode of the internal fermionic field fluctuation ϵ_0 is chiral.

This means that

$$\sigma_3 \epsilon_0 = +\epsilon_0 \quad (60)$$

has positive internal chirality.

When $R \rightarrow 0$ ($\vartheta \in [0, \pi], \phi \in [0, 2\pi)$), the zero mode ϵ_0 (see Eq.(56)) is exactly the same as for the sphere, and the contorsion K_{112} vanishes.

Note: It is crucial to distinguish between field wave mechanics and field fluctuation wave mechanics. Because of this distinction our model has given an answer to the existence of ϵ_0 internal fermionic field fluctuation and its chirality, but is not able to describe the chiral modes for the global ("Schrödinger") internal fermionic field.

6 Conclusions and Perspectives

The goal of this paper was to present a model of a hypothetical particle existing in the six-dimensional spacetime with two compactified internal dimensions and with two coupled fields: the fermionic field fluctuation and its self field. Both of them compose the ground state of the system. Hence the coupled Dirac-Maxwell wave equations had to be solved. As we saw, the ground field a_m (and the non-trivial torsion S_{mnk}) on the internal space were necessary to obtain the chiral zero mode of the fluctuation in four-dimensional spacetime as well as on the internal torus.

The question remains: If one fermionic field fluctuation has global chirality (or other quantum numbers) of its own, are the quantum mechanical rules relevant for the description of the way in which the global chirality of these fluctuations constitute the global chirality (or its possible change) of an entire fermionic particle? If partons are fluctuations inside the proton then this question seems to be of extreme importance.

Although the model presented above touches in some respect the problem of the structure of one extended particle, it does not mean that the model is able to describe its complicated subtleties. Nevertheless the language is worth to be used and developed. It is the language of the self-consistent field theory. Its four-dimensional elocution leads in the case of the specific electroweak model [1, 2, 18] to the particle and astroparticle (gamma ray bursts) applications.

I hope that from the time of Barut and others [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15], who have developed the idea of the self-consistent treatment of source-field effects via the process of the radiation reaction, a new model of a matter particle

have begun to appear. This model should be seen as a consequence of the previous (although not self-consistent) Schrödinger's ideas which concern the interpretation of the wave function. This interpretation underwent changes while he [Schrödinger] developed his wave mechanics, and "Instead of publishing just one final result, he revealed the whole process of his search, the picture of his long wandering in the darkness" [19]. What lightened the darkness was an electrodynamic interpretation of the wave function of the electron. But, because Barut coupled the Dirac equation to the Maxwell one hence the "Barut" wave function does not have an interpretation connected with the full charge density distribution, as in the original linear Schrödinger equation, but connected with the charge density distribution fluctuations. This devious situation persists till now and we have the "dualism" having not been able to accumulate the description of Schrödinger's states and Barut's fluctuations of these states in one single picture. Also quantum mechanics together with QED (and QCD, and GSW model)¹¹ do not offer such a consistent picture¹². But have the model been formulated we might have said that there is the model of an elementary matter particle.

Once somebody postulated the view of a particle as a definite material entity

¹¹It is said that in quantum field theory a matter particle is treated as a set of aggregated quanta [23]. The strict point-likeness of mentioned quanta is supposed to be the corner-stone of the theory.

¹²In the beginning, Schrödinger could not see the connection with Heisenberg's method, but within a short time he established [20] the equivalence between the two (see also [21]) approaches. Some suggested that there is also a connection between the self field approach and quantum field theory. Because the self field picture is in line with the classical point of view, where there are no infinite energy density zero-point fluctuations, and the vacuum field is identically equal to zero, this statement sounds strange. But the 1951 paper of Callen and Welton on the fluctuation dissipation theorem showed that there is an intimate connection between vacuum fluctuations and the process of radiation reaction. The existence of one implies the existence of the other. Finally, to quote Milonni [15]: "It seems ... that the generalization of these ideas ... may lead us to view the vacuum field more as a formal artifice or subterfuge than a "real" physical thing." (see also [22])

(for example, a charge distribution described by a wave function) which has a self field of its own coupled to it. Just here the self-consistent theory with the "natural interpretation" of a wave function finds its important application: *a "basic" field (the cornerstone of a particle) extends everywhere in the space accessible for a wave function determined by its equation of motion and at the same time a self field coupled to this "basic" field propagates according to its field equations (Maxwell's—for the electromagnetic self field, Einstein (or a better one)—for the gravitational self field¹³) with the velocity of light.* Now, this is the final goal of the one particle physics which has to be achieved.

Hence from the mathematical point of view, a stable elementary particle is a self-consistent time and space dependent solution of field equations involved in its description, which moves in the spacetime in the nonspreading way. Then, what does remain? The solution of the initial-value problem for coupled equation of motion and field equations. Some "simple" stationary, self-consistent, non-perturbative case of the coupled Klein-Gordon-Einstein equations is discussed in [16]. However the inclusion of the Einstein equation to the system of equations procures big analytical complications, so in view of the outward similarity of the Brans-Dicke model to the discussed one, even the perturbative calculations of the kind of the Brans-Dicke scalar-tensor theory could be useful.

Finally, we could do all self-consistent calculations in the six-dimensional space. At this point the model presented before as homogeneous in its spacetime structure seems to be an oversimplification of the matter particle nature.

We can imagine that our six-dimensional world could be compactified in a non-

¹³In my opinion the gravitation has to be obligatory incorporated.

homogeneous manner [16]. In this picture the real massless scalar "basic" field φ (dilaton) forms a kind of ground field (but not the self field). At this point the model which is discussed in [16, 1] raises a new issue of the analysis. The solutions presented in [16] are parameterized by the parameter A which has similar dynamical consequences as the mass¹⁴ $M = Ac^2/(2G)$ — its existence would be perceived by an observer in the same way as invisible mass which could be the extended "center" of a particle.

7 Appendix: Metric tensor in the model

The metric Eq.(20)

$$g_{eh} = \begin{pmatrix} (R + \epsilon r \cos\vartheta)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & r^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (61)$$

gives

$$e_m^a = \begin{pmatrix} (R + \epsilon r \cos\vartheta) & 0 \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix} \quad (62)$$

and

$$e_a^m = \begin{pmatrix} (R + \epsilon r \cos\vartheta)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & r^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (63)$$

The Christoffel symbols are equal to

$$\Gamma_{12}^1 = \frac{-\epsilon r \sin\vartheta}{R + \epsilon r \cos\vartheta}, \quad \Gamma_{11}^2 = \frac{R + \epsilon r \cos\vartheta}{r} \epsilon \sin\vartheta. \quad (64)$$

The Ricci tensor R_{mn} components are different from zero

$$R_{11} = \frac{\epsilon}{r} \cos\vartheta (R + \epsilon r \cos\vartheta), \quad R_{22} = \frac{\epsilon r \cos\vartheta}{R + \epsilon r \cos\vartheta} \quad (65)$$

which makes the curvature scalar \mathcal{R} equal to

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{2\epsilon \cos\vartheta}{r(R + \epsilon r \cos\vartheta)}. \quad (66)$$

¹⁴ G is the gravitational constant.

Let us notice that only if $\epsilon \rightarrow 0, \mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0$ we get the flat space. On the other hand, if $R \rightarrow 0$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 1$, we obtain $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow 2/r^2$, similar as for the sphere.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the Department of Field Theory and Particle Physics, Institute of Physics, University of Silesia.

Figure captions

Figure 1

The potential $\tilde{V}(\chi)$ for a toy model in scalar field theory.

References

- [1] J. Syska, "Self-consistent classical fields in field theories", PhD thesis, University of Silesia, unpublished (1995/99).
- [2] J. Syska, "Boson ground state fields in electroweak theory with non-zero charge densities", hep-ph/0205313.
- [3] R. Mańka and J. Syska, *J.Phys.G: Nucl.Part.Phys.*, **15**, 751 (1989).
- [4] I.J.R. Aitchison and A.J.G. Hey, "Gauge Theories in Particle Physics", second ed., Adam Hilger, Bristol and Philadelphia (1989).
- [5] E. Cremmer, J. Scherk, *Nucl.Phys.B*, **103**, 399 (1976).
- [6] J. Scherk, eds. M. Levy and S. Deser, in "Recent Developments in Gravitation", Plenum Publ.Corp. (1979).
- [7] For more details on these foundational questions and further references, see e.g. A.O. Barut, *Annals N.Y.Acad.Sci.*, **480**, 393 (1987), and in "Quantum Mechanics versus Local Realism", ch.18, ed. F. Selleri, Plenum Press (1988); A.O. Barut, "The Schrödinger and the Dirac Equation-Linear Nonlinear and Integrodifferential" in "Geometrical and Algebraic Aspects of Nonlinear Fields Theory", edited by S. De Filippo, M. Marinaro, G. Marmo, G. Vilasi, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. North-Holland (1989).
- [8] B.O. Barut, J. Kraus, *Found.Phys.*, **13**, 189 (1983).
- [9] A.O. Barut, J.F. Van Huele, *Phys.Rev.A*, **32**, 3187 (1985).

- [10] A.O. Barut, J.P. Dowling, *Phys.Rev.A*, **36**, 649 (1987).
- [11] A.O. Barut, *Found.Phys.*, **18**, 95 (1988); *Ann.Phys.(Leipzig)*, **45**, 31 (1988);
Found.Phys.Lett, **1**, 47 (1988).
- [12] A.O. Barut, *Phys.Scr.*, **T21**, 18 (1988); A.O. Barut, Y.I. Salamin,
Phys.Rev.A, **37**, 2284 (1988).
- [13] A.O. Barut and N. Ünal, *J.Math.Physics*, **27**, 3055 (1986); A.O. Barut and
N. Ünal, *Physica*, **142 A**, 467 and 488 (1987).
- [14] E.T. Jaynes, "Coherence and Quantum Optics", pp.495-509, edited by
L. Mandel and E. Wolf, Plenum, New York (1978).
- [15] P.W. Milonni, "Foundations of Radiation Theory and Quantum Electro-
dynamics", p.15, edited by A.O. Barut, Plenum, New York (1980).
- [16] M. Biesiada, R. Mańka, J. Syska, *Inter. Journal of Modern Physics D*,
Vol.**9**, Nu.**1**, pp.71-78 (2000); M. Biesiada, K.Rudnicki, J. Syska, "An
alternative picture of the structure of galaxies", in "Gravitation, Electro-
magnetism and Cosmology: toward a new synthesis", ed. K. Rudnicki, Ape-
iron, Montreal, pp.31-47 (2001); R. Mańka, J. Syska, "Nonhomogeneous
six-dimensional Kaluza-Klein compactification", preprint UŚL-TP-95/03,
University of Silesia (1995).
- [17] J. Wess, *Springer Lecture Notes in Phys.*, **77**, 81 (1978).
- [18] M. Biesiada, J.Syska, "Boson condensates in electroweak theory and
gamma-ray bursts", *Physica Scripta*, Vol.**59**, 95 (1999).
- [19] A.D. Vlasov, "The Schrödinger atom", *Physics-Uspekhi*, **36**, 94 (1993).

- [20] E. Schrödinger, "Collective papers on Wave Mechanics", Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, pp.13-40 (1982).
- [21] P.A.M. Dirac, "The physical interpretation of the quantum dynamics", *Proc.R.Soc.London*, Series A, **113**, pp.621-641 (1927).
- [22] E.T. Jaynes, "Coherence and Quantum Optics", pp.495-509, edited by L. Mandel and E. Wolf, Plenum, New York (1978).
- [23] P. Teller, "An interpretive introduction to quantum field theory", Princeton University Press (1995).

This figure "Fig19.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/0207097v1>