Deviation of Neutrino Mixing from Bi-maximal

Carlo Giunti¹ * and Morimitsu Tanimoto² †

¹ INFN, Sezione di Torino and Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica, Università di Torino, Via P. Giuria 1, I–10125 Torino, Italy

² Department of Physics, Niigata University, Ikarashi 2-8050, 950-2181 Niigata, JAPAN

ABSTRACT

We have studied how observables of the neutrino mixing matrix can link up with the ones in the quark sector. The deviation from the bi-maximal flavor mixing is parameterized using a 3×3 unitary matrix. The neutrino mixings are investigated supposing this unitary matrix to be hierarchical like the quark mixing matrix. We obtain the remarkable prediction $|U_{e3}| \ge 0.03$ from the experimentally allowed range $\tan^2 \theta_{sol} = 0.24 \sim 0.89$. The CP violation in neutrino oscillations is expected to be very small.

*E-mail address: giunti@to.infn.it, Homepage: http://www.to.infn.it/~giunti †E-mail address: tanimoto@muse.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp Recent data from the Super-Kamiokande [1, 2] and SNO (Sudbury Neutrino Observatory) [3] experiments have provided model independent evidences in favor of oscillations of atmospheric and solar neutrinos. The disappearance of atmospheric ν_{μ} 's measured in the Super-Kamiokande experiment [1] has been confirmed by the MACRO [4] and Soudan 2 [5] experiments, and by the K2K long-baseline experiment [6]. The Super-Kamiokande [7] and MACRO [4] atmospheric neutrino data favor the $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ process. The solar neutrino data of the Super-Kamiokande [2] and SNO [3] experiments show in a model independent way that $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu,\tau}$ transitions take place. This evidence agrees with the comparison of the Solar Standard Model [8] predictions with the data of the other solar neutrino experiments (Homestake, GALLEX, SAGE, GNO [9]). The global analysis of all solar neutrino data in terms of $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu,\tau}$ oscillations [10, 11] favor strongly the Large Mixing Angle (LMA) MSW solution [12].

These experimental results indicate that neutrinos are massive and mixed particles [13, 14] and the flavor mixing of neutrinos is bilarge, *i.e.* close to bimaximal [15]. This means that the neutrino flavor mixing is very different from the one in the quark sector. It is therefore important to investigate how the observables of the neutrino mixing matrix [16] can link up with those in the quark sector [17].

In the recent experimental data, the neutrino flavor mixings deviate from the bimaximal flavor mixing as follows [11, 18]:

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{\rm atm} > 0.83 \quad (99 \% C.L.) , \tan^2 \theta_{\rm sol} = 0.24 \sim 0.89 \quad (99.73 \% C.L.) .$$
(1)

One may consider seriously the deviation [19] from the bimaximal flavor mixing [15].

In this paper, we discuss the deviation from the bimaximal flavor mixing of neutrinos by linking it up phenomenologically with the quark flavor mixing. In our naive understanding it is natural that the charged lepton mass matrix has a structure similar to the quarks mass matrices. On the other hand, the neutrino mass matrix has special structure, like the possibility to be Majorana particles. Therefore the neutrino mixing matrix is the very different from the CKM matrix. In the standpoint of this naive understanding, the deviation from the bimaximal links up with the quark mixing. Let us consider the bimaximal flavor mixing as follows:

$$\nu_{\alpha} = U_{\alpha i}^{(0)} \nu_i , \qquad (2)$$

where

$$U^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0\\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} .$$
(3)

This bimaximal flavor mixing is supposed to be guaranteed by a flavor symmetry 1 , although we do not discuss such symmetry in this paper.

One can parametrize the deviation $U^{(1)}$ in $\nu_{\alpha} = [U^{(1)^{\dagger}}U^{(0)}]_{\alpha i}\nu_i$ as follows²:

$$U^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{13}c_{12} & c_{13}s_{12} & s_{13}e^{-i\phi} \\ -c_{23}s_{12} - s_{23}s_{13}c_{12}e^{i\phi} & c_{23}c_{12} - s_{23}s_{13}s_{12}e^{i\phi} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{23}s_{12} - c_{23}s_{13}c_{12}e^{i\phi} & -s_{23}c_{12} - c_{23}s_{13}s_{12}e^{i\phi} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} , \quad (4)$$

where $s_{ij} \equiv \sin \theta_{ij}$ and $c_{ij} \equiv \cos \theta_{ij}$ denote the mixing angles in the bimaximal basis and ϕ is the CP violating Dirac phase. The mixings s_{ij} are expected to be small since these are deviations from the bimaximal mixing. Here, the Majorana phases are absorbed in the neutrino mass eigenvalues.

Let us assume the mixings s_{ij} to be hierarchical like the ones in the quark sector, $s_{12} \gg s_{23} \gg s_{13}$. Then, taking the leading contribution due to s_{12} , we have

$$|U_{e1}| \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(c_{12} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} s_{12} \right) , \quad |U_{e2}| \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(c_{12} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} s_{12} \right) , \quad |U_{e3}| \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} s_{12} , \quad (5)$$

which lead to

$$\tan^2 \theta_{\rm sol} = \left(\frac{c_{12} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}s_{12}}{c_{12} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}s_{12}}\right)^2 = 1 - 2\sqrt{2}s_{12} + \mathcal{O}(s_{12}^2) \,. \tag{6}$$

Thus, the solar neutrino mixing is somewhat reduced due to s_{12} . Taking the limits in Eq.(1), we get the allowed region $s_{12} = 0.04 \sim 0.43^{-3}$. On the other

¹For example, one may consider $L_e - L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry [20].

²We take $U^{(1)^{\dagger}}$ in order to compare with quark mixings.

³The O(s_{12}^2) terms are taken in order to estimate the upper bound because those becomes important in the case of $s_{12} \ge 0.3$.

hand, the experimental upper bound $|U_{e3}| < 0.2$ obtained from the results of the CHOOZ experiment [21] (see Ref.[22]) gives the limit $s_{12} < 0.28$. In conclusion, we get the allowed region

$$s_{12} = 0.04 \sim 0.28 \;, \tag{7}$$

which implies

$$|U_{e3}| = 0.03 \sim 0.2 \ . \tag{8}$$

Let us emphasize the lower bound for $|U_{e3}|$, which implies that $|U_{e3}|$ could be measured in the JHF-Kamioka long-baseline neutrino oscillation experiment [23, 24], which has a planned sensitivity of $|U_{e3}| \simeq 0.04$ at 90% CL in the first phase with the Super-Kamiokande detector and $|U_{e3}| < 10^{-2}$ in the second phase with the Hyper-Kamiokande detector [23].

Next, taking the leading term due to s_{23} and neglecting s_{13} , we have

$$|U_{\mu3}| \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} c_{12}(c_{23} - s_{23}) , \qquad |U_{\tau3}| \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_{23} + s_{23}) , \qquad (9)$$

which give $\sin \theta_{\rm atm}$ and $\cos \theta_{\rm atm}$ as follows:

$$\sin^2 \theta_{\rm atm} = \frac{|U_{\mu3}|^2}{1 - |U_{e3}|^2}, \qquad \cos^2 \theta_{\rm atm} = \frac{|U_{\tau3}|^2}{1 - |U_{e3}|^2}. \tag{10}$$

Then, $\sin^2 2\theta_{\rm atm}$ is given as

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{\rm atm} \simeq \left[1 - s_{12}^2 \left(1 - (c_{23} - s_{23})^2\right)\right] \left(1 - 2s_{23}^2\right)^2 = 1 - \mathcal{O}(s_{12}^4 \sim s_{23}^2) .$$
(11)

Since we have $s_{12}^4 \leq 6 \times 10^{-3}$ from the upper bound in Eq. (7), we predict in practice

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{\rm atm} = 1. \tag{12}$$

Thus, the quark-like mixing of $U^{(1)}$ is nicely consistent with the experimental data.

The CP violation originates from the phase ϕ in $U^{(1)}$. Keeping s_{13} in the expression of U_{e3} , we get

$$\arg \left[U_{e3} \right] \simeq -\arctan \left[\frac{s_{13} \sin \phi}{s_{12}} \right] , \qquad (13)$$

which is the CP violating phase in the standard parametrization ⁴. This phase is very small as far as $s_{12} \gg s_{13}$. Let us estimate the Jarlskog invariant as a measure of CP violation [25]:

$$J = \text{Im} \left[U_{e2}^* U_{e3} U_{\mu 2} U_{\mu 3}^* \right] , \qquad (14)$$

which is written as

$$J = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} c_{13}s_{13} \left(c_{23}^2 - s_{23}^2\right) \left[\left(c_{12}^2 - s_{12}^2\right) \left(c_{23} + s_{23}\right) \sin \phi + c_{12}s_{12}s_{13} \left(c_{23} - s_{23}\right) \sin 2\phi \right] .$$
(15)

If we assume the hierarchy of mixing angles

$$s_{13} \ll s_{23} \ll s_{12} \ll 1 \,, \tag{16}$$

as in the quark sector, the leading contribution to J is given by

$$J \simeq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} s_{13} \sin \phi$$
 (17)

If s_{13} and ϕ are fixed, one can quantify the smallness of the CP violation comparing J with its upper limit [26]

$$J \le \frac{1}{6\sqrt{3}} \,. \tag{18}$$

Let us present numerical predictions of the mixings and the CP violating phase. If the deviation is comparable to the flavor mixing of the quark sector, the Wolfenstein parametrization is useful [27]:

$$U^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(19)

where λ , A, ρ and η are independent of ones in the quark sector.

⁴The mixing matrix $U^{(1)^{\dagger}}U^{(0)}$ can be reduced to the standard form with real U_{e1} , U_{e2} , $U_{\mu3}$, $U_{\tau3}$ through a rephase of the charged lepton and neutrino fields that does not change the phase of U_{e3} .

In order to estimate the neutrino mixings, we try to take the same values of the quark mixings. Putting typical values of the CKM matrix elements [17],

$$\lambda = 0.22$$
, $A = 0.83$, $\rho = 0.2$, $\eta = 0.4$, (20)

we predict the neutrino mixing matrix $U = U^{(1)\dagger}U^{(0)}$ as

$$|U| = \begin{pmatrix} 0.80 & 0.58 & 0.15\\ 0.35 & 0.66 & 0.66\\ 0.48 & 0.48 & 0.74 \end{pmatrix},$$
(21)

which leads to

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{\rm atm} = 0.99$$
, $\tan^2 \theta_{\rm sol} = 0.45$. (22)

These predictions are nicely consistent with the experimental bounds in Eq.(1). The solar neutrino mixing is reduced due to s_{12} , while the atmospheric neutrino mixing is not reduced as seen in Eq.(11). The prediction $|U_{e3}| = 0.15$ is not much below the experimental upper bound $\simeq 0.2$. Therefore will not be difficult to test this prediction in the near future, for example in the JHF-Kamioka neutrino experiment [23, 24].

Let us present the λ dependence of our results. We show in Fig.1 the predictions for $\tan^2 \theta_{\rm sol}$ and $\sin^2 2\theta_{\rm atm}$ as functions of $|U_{e3}|$, obtained varying λ from 0 to 0.28 and keeping the values of the other parameters given in Eq.(20). One can see that $|U_{e3}|$ is predicted to be larger than about 0.03 (see Eq.(8)), under the condition that $\tan^2 \theta_{\rm sol} \leq 0.89$.

We can predict the amount of CP violation in neutrino oscillations. If we assume that

$$s_{13} \sim s_{12}^3$$
, (23)

as in the quark sector, from the upper bound for s_{12} obtained from solar neutrino data ($s_{12} < 0.28$), we obtain

$$J_{\rm max} \sim 4 \times 10^{-3} \,, \tag{24}$$

which is about 4×10^{-2} times smaller than the maximum possible value of J in Eq.(18). It is interesting to compare the value of J_{max} that we have obtained in Eq. (24) with the maximum value of J that is possible in a general quasi-bimaximal mixing scheme, *i.e.* a scheme with

$$|U_{e1}| \simeq |U_{e2}| \simeq |U_{\mu3}| \simeq |U_{\tau3}| \simeq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \qquad |U_{e3}| \ll 1.$$
 (25)

In such scheme J is approximately given by

$$J^{(\text{bimax})} \simeq \frac{1}{4} \,\text{Im}[\mathbf{U}_{e3}^*]\,,$$
 (26)

and its maximum possible value is

$$J_{\max}^{(\text{bimax})} \simeq \frac{1}{4} |U_{e3}|.$$
 (27)

Taking the bound

$$|U_{e3}| < 0.2, (28)$$

obtained from CHOOZ data, we have

$$J_{\rm max}^{\rm (bimax)} \simeq 5 \times 10^{-2} \,. \tag{29}$$

Comparing Eqs. (24) and (29) one can see that the maximum value of the Jarlskog invariant J in our scheme is about an order of magnitude smaller than the maximum value of J in a generic quasi-bimaximal scheme. Therefore, the CP violation seems too small to be measured in JHF [23, 24], but maybe it can be measured in a neutrino factory [28]. On the other hand, the Majorana phases are not constrained, but unfortunately they are not measurable in neutrino oscillation experiments.

We summarize as follows. We have studied how observables of the neutrino mixing matrix can link up with the ones in the quark sector. The deviation from the bimaximal flavor mixing is parametrized by a 3×3 unitary matrix. Supposing that this unitary matrix is similar to the quark mixing matrix, we predict the neutrino mixings, which are consistent with the experimental data. The element U_{e3} of the neutrino mixing matrix is predicted to be larger than 0.03 by using the experimental bound on the solar neutrino mixing. When more solar neutrino data will be available in the near future, a more precise prediction will be given for U_{e3} . For instance, if we use $\tan^2 \theta_{sol} \leq 0.58$ (90% C.L. at present), we predict $|U_{e3}| \geq 0.11$. The violation of CP is predicted to be very small. Thus, the measurements of the solar neutrino mixing and U_{e3} [23, 24] will present a crucial test for our scheme.

This research is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Science Research, Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan(No.12047220).

References

- Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, Y. Fukuda et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 1562; ibid. 82 (1999) 2644; ibid. 82 (1999) 5194.
- [2] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, S. Fukuda et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 5651; ibid. 86 (2001) 5656.
- [3] Q. R. Ahmad *et al.* [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 011301.
- [4] M. Ambrosio *et al.* [MACRO Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **478** (2000) 5;
 Phys. Lett. B **517** (2001) 59.
- [5] W. W. Allison *et al.* [Soudan-2 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 449 (1999) 137.
- [6] K. Nishikawa [K2K Collaboration], Talk given at Neutrino 2002, 25–30 May 2002, Munich, Germany, http://neutrino2002.ph.tum.de/pages/transparencies/nishikawa.
- [7] S. Fukuda *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 3999.
- [8] J. N. Bahcall, M. H. Pinsonneault and S. Basu, Astrophys. J. 555 (2001) 990.
- B. T. Cleveland et al., Astrophys. J. 496 (1998) 505;
 W. Hampel et al. [GALLEX Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 447 (1999)127;
 J. N. Abdurashitov et al. [SAGE Collaboration], arXiv:astro-ph/0204245;
 M. Altmann et al. [GNO Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 490 (2000) 16.
- [10] Q. R. Ahmad et al. [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 011302;
 V. Barger, D. Marfatia, K. Whisnant and B. P. Wood, Phys. Lett. B 537 (2002) 179;
 P. Aliani, V. Antonelli, R. Ferrari, M. Picariello and E. Torrente-Lujan, arXiv:hep-ph/0205053;
 S. Fukuda et al. [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], arXiv:hep-ex/0205075;

P. C. de Holanda and A. Yu. Smirnov, arXiv:hep-ph/0205241;
A. Strumia, C. Cattadori, N. Ferrari and F. Vissani, arXiv:hep-ph/0205261;
G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino and A. Palazzo, arXiv:hep-ph/0206162.

- [11] J. N. Bahcall, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and C. Pena-Garay, arXiv:hepph/0204314.
- [12] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D17 (1978) 2369;
 S. P. Mikheyev and A. Yu. Smirnov, Yad. Fiz. 42 (1985) 1441;
 E. W. Kolb, M. S. Turner and T. P. Walker, Phys. Lett. B175 (1986) 478;
 S. P. Rosen and J. M. Gelb, Phys. Rev. D34 (1986) 969;
 J. N. Bahcall and H. A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 2233;
 P. I. Krastev and S. T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B299 (1993) 99;
 N. Hata and P. Langacker, Phys. Rev. D50 (1994) 632;
 P. I. Krastev and A. Yu. Smirnov, Phys. Lett. B338 (1994) 282.
- [13] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. 28 (1962) 870.
- [14] B. Pontecorvo, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. 34 (1958) 247 [Sov. Phys. JETP 7 (1958) 172]; Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 53 (1967) 1717 [Sov. Phys. JETP 26 (1968) 984].
- [15] V. Barger, S. Pakvasa, T.J. Weiler and K. Whisnant, Phys. Lett. B437 (1998) 107;
 A.J. Baltz, A.S. Goldhaber and M. Goldhaber, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 5730;
 F. Vissani, arXiv:hep-ph/9708483.
- [16] M. Fukugita and M. Tanimoto, Phys. Lett. **B515** (2001) 30.
- [17] Particle Data Group, D. E. Groom et al., Eur. Phys. J. C15 (2000) 1;
 K. Trabelsi [Belle Collaboration], 'Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories', Rencontres de Moriond, Les Arcs, France, March 2002;
 G. Taven [Babar Collaboration], 'Electroweak Interactions and Unified Theories', Rencontres de Moriond, Les Arcs, France, March 2002.

- M. B. Smy [SuperKamiokande Collaboration], hep-ex/0206016;
 M. Shiozawa [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Invited talk at *Neutrino 2002*, Munich, Germany, May 2002.
- M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and C. Peña-Garay, Y. Nir and A. Yu. Smirnov, Phys. Rev. D63 (2001) 013007;
 M. Patgiri and N. Nimai Singh, arXiv:hep-ph/0112123.
- [20] S. T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B110 (1982) 245;
 R. Barbieri, L. J. Hall, A. Strumia and N. Weiner, JHEP9812 (1998) 017.
- [21] M. Apollonio *et al.* [CHOOZ Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **420** (1998) 397; ibid. **466** (1999) 415.
- [22] S. M. Bilenky and C. Giunti, Phys. Lett. B 444 (1998) 379.
- [23] Y. Itow *et al.* arXiv:hep-ex/0106019.
- [24] M. Aoki, K. Hagiwara, Y. Hayato, T. Kobayashi, T. Nakaya, K. Nishikawa and N. Okamura, arXiv:hep-ph/0112338.
- [25] C. Jarlskog, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55 (1985) 1039.
- [26] I. Dunietz, Annals Phys. **184** (1988) 350.
- [27] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51** (1983) 1945.
- [28] C. Albright *et al.*, arXiv:hep-ex/0008064.



Figure 1: Predictions in the $|U_{e3}| - \tan^2 \theta_{\rm sol}$ plane and $|U_{e3}| - \sin^2 2\theta_{\rm atm}$ plane. The thick solid curve corresponds to $\tan^2 \theta_{\rm sol}$, while the dashed one to $\sin^2 2\theta_{\rm atm}$. Horizontal lines delimit the experimental allowed regions for solar neutrinos. The parameter λ is varied from 0 to 0.28. The vertical line around $|U_{e3}| = 0.15$ corresponds to the result in the case of $\lambda = 0.22$.