

(Quasi)elastic electron-muon large-angle scattering to a two-loop approximation: eikonal type contributions I.

V.V. Bytev^{‡*}, E.A. Kuraev^{‡†}, B.G. Shaikhatdenov^{‡‡‡}

[‡]*Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980 Russia*

[‡]*Departamento de Física, Cinvestav del IPN, 07000 México D.F.*

Abstract

Part of eikonal type contributions to $e\mu$ large-angle high-energy scattering cross section is considered in a quasi-elastic experimental set-up. Apart from virtual corrections we examine inelastic processes with emission of one and two soft real photons as well as soft lepton and pion pairs. Virtual photon contributions are given within a logarithmic accuracy. Box type Feynman amplitudes with leptonic and hadronic vacuum polarization insertion are considered explicitly as well as double box ones. Wherever appropriate analytic expressions obtained are compared with those predicted by a structure function approach.

1 Introduction

This paper is a follow-up of the previous one devoted to the evaluation of a gauge-invariant set of contributions to the cross section of a quasielastic large-angle $e\mu$ scattering at the second order in the coupling constant of perturbation theory (PT) [1].

The need for the evaluation of the radiative corrections (RC) at two-loop order is dictated by the experimental data on observables for a collider calibration process of electron-positron scattering that is reached nowadays an impressive level of accuracy. Inspired by this we consider the determination of the second order RC to the cross section of Bhabha scattering to be our ultimate goal. At the same time, since the task of two-loop calculus is rather involved it appears to be more easier to look first at electron-muon scattering despite different masses of interacting particles. Besides the latter process is important in itself for that it forms a background to the rare processes in particular those violating lepton number (for more details see [1] and references therein). Therefore improving theoretical predictions on its observables could place more stringent bounds on the physics beyond the Standard Model.

The aim of this investigation is to calculate the next-to-leading order contributions to the electron-muon large-angle high-energy cross section

$$e^-(p_1) + \mu^-(p_2) \rightarrow e^-(p'_1) + \mu^-(p'_2),$$

*supported in part by RFBR 01-02-17437 and INTAS 00366 grants.

†supported in part by RFBR 01-02-17437 and INTAS 00366 grants.

‡supported by Conacyt (México).

in a quasilastic experimental set-up

$$\frac{2\varepsilon - \varepsilon'_1 - \varepsilon'_2}{2\varepsilon} = \frac{\Delta\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \equiv \Delta \ll 1, \quad \Delta\varepsilon \gg m_\mu(m_\pi), \quad (2)$$

where $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'_1, \varepsilon'_2$ are the energies of initial and scattered leptons in the center-of-mass reference frame and the Mandelstam variables are much larger than any involved particle's mass squared. The quantity $\Delta\varepsilon$ indicates an energy resolution of detectors supposed to track final particles. The cross section possesses in the leading logarithmic approximation (LLA) the form of the Drell-Yan process's cross section [2],

$$d\sigma(s, t) = \int \prod_{i=1}^4 dx_i \mathcal{D}(x_i, \rho_t) d\sigma_0(sx_1x_2, tx_1x_3) \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} K\right), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\rho_t = \ln \frac{-t}{m_e m_\mu}, \quad t = (p_1 - p'_1)^2, \quad s = (p_1 + p_2)^2, \quad u = (p_1 - p'_2)^2.$$

In the above expression the quantities $\mathcal{D}(x_i, \rho_t)$ are the non-singlet structure functions which obey renormalization group (RG) evolution equations. Their expansion in LLA ($(\alpha/\pi) \ll 1$, $(\alpha/\pi)\rho_t \sim 1$) can be written as,

$$\mathcal{D}(x, \rho_t) = \delta(1-x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{\alpha\rho_t}{2\pi}\right)^n \mathcal{P}^{(n)}(x). \quad (5)$$

In a quasilastic set-up it is appropriate to use only δ -part of the splitting function $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}(x)$ denoted by $\mathcal{P}_\Delta^{(n)}(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}^{(n)}(x) &= \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} \mathcal{P}^{(1)}(y) \mathcal{P}^{(n-1)}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right), \quad n \geq 2, \\ \mathcal{P}^{(1)}(x) &= \left(\frac{1+x^2}{1-x}\right)_+ = \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} [\mathcal{P}_\Delta^{(1)}(x) + \mathcal{P}_\theta^{(1)}(x)], \\ \mathcal{P}_\Delta^{(1)}(x) &= \mathcal{P}_\Delta^{(1)} \delta(1-x), \quad \mathcal{P}_\Delta^{(1)} = 2 \ln \Delta + \frac{3}{2}, \quad \mathcal{P}_\theta^{(1)}(x) = \frac{1+x^2}{1-x} \Theta(1-x-\Delta). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Then the structure function gets the following form,

$$\mathcal{D}(x, \rho_t) = \delta(1-x) \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{\alpha\rho_t}{2\pi}\right)^n \mathcal{P}_\Delta^{(n)}\right]. \quad (7)$$

As the structure function approach outlined is capable of providing only the leading log corrections we need to explicitly calculate the so called K -factor entering Eq.(3) in a one and two-loop approximations.

Broadly speaking the RC to the differential cross section in the mass regularization scheme adopted are of two types. The first one is that arising from virtual photon emission up to second order of PT, which requires the calculations of, amongst others, the real two-loop Feynman amplitudes. Those suffer from infrared divergences, which are regularized by assigning a

negligibly small mass λ to the photon, to be set to vanish at the end of the calculations. The second type of contributions comes from the emission of soft real photons and charged particle pairs.

The general structure of the correction to the cross section can be presented as a sum of three types: vertex, eikonal and decorated box type. Each of them contains virtual and real soft photon contributions and is free of infrared divergences. They all do not violate the structure of the leading log correction predicted on the base of RG ideas though the contributions of individual diagrams contain up to fourth power of large logarithm ρ_t at two-loop order. In this regard we remind that in our previous paper [1] it was shown that the vertex contributions do already provide a result consistent with RG approach. As the first order RC




Figure 1: Box type graphs with a vacuum polarization insertion.

coming from box type diagrams were given in our previous work devoted to the evaluation of the vertex type contributions [1] here we concentrate on the investigation of some eikonal box type diagrams at the second order of PT. In the case of elastic process they correspond to graphs with one, two (box diagram) and three (double box diagram) virtual photon mediated between interacting leptons. Besides we have to take into account box type graphs with a




Figure 2: A soft lepton and pion pair production.

vacuum polarization insertion into the Green function of either of virtual exchange photons (see Fig. 1). A single soft photon approximation must be applied to the one-loop corrected Feynman amplitudes in order to get another set of contributions. And finally at this order an emission of two soft photons (pairs of charged particles) has to be as well taken into account. Let's briefly mention content of the paper. In Sec. 2 we consider the vacuum polarization effects in box type Feynman amplitudes with lepton ($\mu\bar{\mu}, e\bar{e}$) and pion ($\pi^-\pi^+$) pairs running a loop. Also in this section we deal with a corresponding contribution coming from a soft lepton pair as well as a soft charged pion pair production along with the one soft photon emission (see Fig.2) associated with the one-loop virtual exchange photon self-energy amplitudes. In Sec. 3 the results of evaluation of the corrections corresponding to a single and double soft




Figure 3: Sample diagrams pertinent to the double soft photon emission.

photon emission (see Fig. 3) as well as to a square of box type diagrams are presented followed by the short concluding remarks.

In App. A we present a set of scalar integrals for the box type diagrams with a vacuum polarization insertion. In App. B one can find some details of the derivation of RC coming from the squared box type diagrams. There we as well give all the integrals encountered during evaluation.

2 Box type diagrams with a vacuum polarization insertion

Vacuum polarization (VP) effects in the box type Feynman amplitudes can be taken into account by replacing one of the photon propagators by the VP insertion (see [3]). In the case when leptons with mass μ run a loop it looks,

$$\frac{1}{k^2} \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{3\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{\phi(v) dv}{(1-v^2)(k^2 - M^2(v))}, \quad M^2 = \frac{4\mu^2}{1-v^2}, \quad \phi(v) = 2 - (1-v^2)(2-v^2), \quad (8)$$

and for a pion-antipion pair in the loop it reads,

$$\frac{1}{k^2} \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{3\pi} \int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{dM^2}{M^2} \frac{\mathcal{R}(M^2)}{k^2 - M^2}, \quad \mathcal{R}(M^2) = \frac{\sigma^{e\bar{e} \rightarrow hadr}(M^2)}{\sigma^{e\bar{e} \rightarrow \mu\bar{\mu}}}. \quad (9)$$

Here the quantity M stands for an invariant mass of hadronic jet produced in a single-photon annihilation of a lepton pair and the quantity $\mathcal{R}(M^2)$ is the known experimental input ratio [4]. Then for the matrix element squared we obtain:

$$\delta|\mathcal{M}|_{vp(lept)}^2 = \frac{2^8 \alpha^4}{3t} \int_0^1 dv \frac{\phi(v)}{1-v^2} [S(s, t, M^2) - S(u, t, M^2)], \quad (10)$$

for VP induced by leptons, and

$$\delta|\mathcal{M}|_{vp(hadr)}^2 = \frac{2^8 \alpha^4}{3t} \int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\infty} \frac{dM^2}{M^2} \mathcal{R}(M^2) [S(s, t, M^2) - S(u, t, M^2)], \quad (11)$$

for the hadronic VP contribution.

The quantity $S(s, t, M^2)$ is universal irrespective of the virtual pair running a self-energy loop and reads as follows,

$$S(s, t, M^2) = \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2} \frac{\text{Tr}(e) \cdot \text{Tr}(\mu)}{(1)(2)(3)(4)}, \quad (12)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} (1) &= k^2 - 2kp_1, & (2) &= k^2 + 2kp_2, & (3) &= k^2 - 2kq + \tilde{t}, & (4) &= k^2 - \lambda^2, \\ \text{Tr}(e) &= \frac{1}{4}Sp\{p_1\gamma_\mu p'_1\gamma_\nu(p_1 - k)\gamma_\lambda\}, & \text{Tr}(\mu) &= \frac{1}{4}Sp\{p_2\gamma_\mu p'_2\gamma_\nu(p_2 + k)\gamma_\lambda\}, & & & & \\ p_1^2 &= m_e^2, & p_2^2 &= m_\mu^2, & \tilde{t} &= t - M^2, & q &= p_1 - p'_1. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Using a set of scalar, vector and tensor box type integrals given in App. A the quantity $S(s, t, M^2)$ can be expressed via a few basic integrals,

$$\begin{aligned} S(s, t, M^2) &= u \left[\ln \frac{s}{-\tilde{t}} + \frac{M^2}{t} \ln \frac{-\tilde{t}}{M^2} \right] - \left(s(s - u) + \frac{t\tilde{t}}{2} \right) [I_{134} + I_{234}] \\ &+ s(s^2 + u^2)I + s \left(u + \frac{\tilde{t}}{2} \right) [-I_{123} - I_{124} + \tilde{t}I], \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$I_{ijl} = \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2} \frac{1}{(i)(j)(l)}, \quad I = \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2} \frac{1}{(1)(2)(3)(4)}. \quad (15)$$

Performing loop-momentum integration and neglecting terms of order $m_\mu^2/(-t) \ll 1$ we find in the limit of large invariant variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[S(s, t, M^2) - S(u, t, M^2) \right] \Big|_{|t| \gg M^2} &= \frac{s^2 + u^2}{t} L_{us} (\rho_m - 2\rho_t - \rho_\lambda) \\ &+ (u - s) \left(\frac{1}{2}\pi^2 + \rho_m^2 - \frac{1}{2}L_{st}^2 - \frac{1}{2}L_{ut}^2 + \ln^2 \frac{m_\mu}{m_e} \right) + uL_{st} - sL_{ut}, \quad (16) \\ \rho_m &= \ln \frac{M^2}{m_e m_\mu}, & \rho_\lambda &= \ln \frac{m_e m_\mu}{\lambda^2}, & L_{st} &= \ln \frac{s}{-t}, & L_{ut} &= \ln \frac{u}{t}, & L_{us} &= \ln \frac{-u}{s}. \end{aligned}$$

In the opposite limit the answer is found to be,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[S(s, t, M^2) - S(u, t, M^2) \right] \Big|_{M^2 \gg |t|} &= \frac{1}{M^2} \left[\frac{s^2 + u^2}{2} L_{us} (\rho_s + \rho_u + 2\rho_\lambda) \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{3}{2}(u^2\rho_s - s^2\rho_u) + t^2 L_{us} + t(u - s) \left(\frac{3}{2}\rho_m + \frac{7}{4} \right) + \frac{s^2 + u^2}{2}\pi^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Further integration in the case of leptonic VP with the mass $M^2 = 4\mu^2/(1 - v^2)$ (both cases $\mu = m_e, m_\mu$ are taken into account) leads within a logarithmic accuracy to the following expression,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{vp}^{box}}{d\sigma_0} &= \frac{2\alpha^2}{3\pi^2} \rho_t \left\{ 2L_{su} \left(\frac{3}{2}\rho_t + \rho_\lambda - \frac{10}{3} \right) - \frac{s^2 - u^2}{s^2 + u^2} (L_{st}^2 + L_{ut}^2 - 2\pi^2) \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{2t}{s^2 + u^2} [(t - s)L_{st} - (t - u)L_{ut}] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

To finalize this result we have to remove infrared divergences. To this end an interference between the soft photon emission tree level amplitudes and those bearing a leptonic VP insertion has to be taken into account yielding,

$$\frac{d\sigma_{vp}^\gamma}{d\sigma_0} = -\frac{4\alpha^2}{3\pi^2} \left(\rho_t - \frac{5}{3} \right) \left[(2 \ln \Delta + \rho_\lambda) L_{su} + \rho_t L_{su} - \frac{1}{2} (L_{ut}^2 - L_{st}^2) - \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1-c}{2} \right) \right], \quad (19)$$

with Δ given in Eq. (2), $c = \cos \widehat{p_1, p'_1}$ being a cosine of the scatter angle in cms and the dilogarithm function defined as usual,

$$\text{Li}_2(x) = - \int_0^x \frac{\ln(1-t)}{t} dt.$$

Furthermore we have to consider the contribution coming from a soft lepton pair production with a total pair energy not exceeding $\Delta\varepsilon$ ($2\mu \ll \Delta\varepsilon \ll \varepsilon$). This could be read off for example from Ref. [5],

$$\frac{d\sigma_{sp}}{d\sigma_0} = -\frac{2\alpha^2}{3\pi^2} \rho_t \left\{ L_{su} \left[\rho_t + L_{st} + L_{ut} + 2 \left(2 \ln \Delta - \frac{5}{3} \right) \right] - 2 \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1-c}{2} \right) \right\}. \quad (21)$$

Then the ultimate result with logarithmic accuracy for a total correction given by leptonic VP and a soft $e\bar{e}, \mu\bar{\mu}$ pair production is brought to the form (see Eqs. (18,19,21)),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{vp+sp}}{d\sigma_0} &= \frac{2\alpha^2}{3\pi^2} \rho_t \left[2(L_{ut}^2 - L_{st}^2) + 8L_{us} \ln \Delta - \frac{s^2 - u^2}{s^2 + u^2} (L_{ut}^2 + L_{st}^2 - 2\pi^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2t}{s^2 + u^2} (tL_{su} - sL_{st} + uL_{ut}) + 4 \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1-c}{2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

This expression is seen to contain only next-to-leading term (of order $\alpha^2 \rho_t$) and is free of infrared divergences.

Let's now turn to a soft pion pair production with a total pair energy staying below $\Delta\varepsilon$ and invariant mass squared M^2 bounded as follows,

$$4m_\pi^2 \ll M^2 < (\Delta\varepsilon)^2 \ll \varepsilon^2 = s/4.$$

The corresponding contribution to the differential cross section arises from the interference of ‘‘up-down’’ pair production, that is of pairs created by the virtual photons emitted off an electron and a muon lines,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d\sigma}{dM^2 d\sigma_0} \right|_{\pi^\pm} &= 2 \left(\frac{4\pi\alpha}{M^2} \right)^2 \frac{d^4 q}{M^2} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_+ d^3 \mathbf{q}_-}{2\varepsilon_+ 2\varepsilon_-} \delta^4(q_+ + q_- - q) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{Qp'_1}{qp'_1} - \frac{Qp_1}{qp_1} \right) \left(\frac{Qp'_2}{qp'_2} - \frac{Qp_2}{qp_2} \right), \quad q^2 = M^2, \quad Q = q_+ - q_-. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Carry first out an invariant pion pair phase space integration,

$$\int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{q}_+ d^3 \mathbf{q}_-}{2\varepsilon_+ 2\varepsilon_-} \delta^4(q_+ + q_- - q) Q_\mu Q_\nu = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\pi\beta}{2} \left(g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{q^2} \right) Q^2, \quad \beta = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\pi^2}{q^2}}.$$

Upon rearranging the phase volume,

$$\int \frac{d^4q}{dq^2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\sqrt{q^2}}^{\Delta\varepsilon} dq_0 \sqrt{q_0^2 - q^2} \int d\Omega_q,$$

the rhs of Eq. (24) can be recast to take the following form,

$$\frac{\alpha^2}{3\pi^2} \int_M^{\Delta\varepsilon} dq_0 \sqrt{q_0^2 - M^2} \int \frac{d\Omega_q}{4\pi} \left(\frac{p_1 p_2}{p_1 q \cdot p_2 q} - \frac{p_1 p_2'}{p_1 q \cdot p_2' q} \right) = \frac{\alpha^2}{3\pi^2} \left(L_{su} \ln \frac{2\Delta\varepsilon}{M} + \mathcal{O}(1) \right).$$

The final result is then appears to be,

$$\left. \frac{d\sigma}{dM^2 d\sigma_0} \right|_{\pi^\pm} = \frac{\alpha^2}{6\pi^2 M^2} [L_{su}(\rho_t - \rho_m) + \mathcal{O}(1)]. \quad (28)$$

Obviously the contribution coming from the box type diagrams with hadronic VP cannot be obtained in analytical form due to presence of the quantity $\mathcal{R}(M^2)$.

3 Squared box and corresponding soft photon corrections

An ‘‘up-down’’ interference of a soft photon emission off an electron and a muon lines can be evaluated by making use of the following expression,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{p_{APB}} &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{\omega} \frac{p_{APB}}{p_{Ak} \cdot p_{Bk}} \Big|_{\omega < \Delta\varepsilon} \\ &= \left(\ln \Delta + \frac{1}{2} \rho_\lambda \right) L_{AB} + \frac{1}{4} \left(L_{AB}^2 - \ln^2 \frac{m_\mu}{m_e} \right) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1+c}{2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where

$$L_{AB} = \ln \left(\frac{2p_{APB}}{m_e m_\mu} \right), \quad p_{APB} = \varepsilon^2(1-c), \quad p_A^2 = m_e^2, \quad p_B^2 = m_\mu^2, \quad \varepsilon_A = \varepsilon_B \equiv \varepsilon,$$

and the quantity ω is a soft photon energy. Using known results for the interference of the Born and box type elastic amplitudes (see App. B) we obtain for a single soft photon emission contribution (in a soft photon approximation),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma_{\text{box}}^\gamma}{d\sigma_0} &= \left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \right)^2 \left[2L_{su}(\rho_t + \rho_\lambda) + \frac{t^2}{s^2 + u^2} \left(\frac{u}{t} L_{st} - \frac{s}{t} L_{ut} + \frac{s-u}{2t} (\pi^2 + L_{ut}^2 + L_{st}^2) \right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left[-L_{su}\rho_t + \frac{1}{2} (L_{ut}^2 - L_{st}^2) - 2L_{su} \left(\ln \Delta + \frac{1}{2} \rho_\lambda \right) + \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1-c}{2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

In the case of two soft photon emission with a total energy again not exceeding $\Delta\varepsilon$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma^{\gamma\gamma}}{d\sigma_0} &= \left(\frac{2\alpha}{\pi} \right)^2 \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{2} \rho_t L_{su} + \frac{1}{4} (L_{st}^2 - L_{ut}^2) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + L_{su} \left(\ln \Delta + \frac{1}{2} \rho_\lambda \right) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_2 \left(\frac{1-c}{2} \right) \right]^2 - \frac{\pi^2}{6} L_{su}^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

And finally from the evaluation of the squared box type graphs given in App. B we infer the logarithmic contribution which is written as follows,

$$\frac{d\sigma_{BB}}{d\sigma_0} = \frac{\alpha^2}{\pi^2} \frac{t^2}{s^2 + u^2} \rho_t [A\rho_t + B], \quad (32)$$

where the coefficients read,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 2 \frac{s^2 + u^2}{t^2} (L_{us}^2 + \pi^2), \\ B &= 4 \frac{s^2 + u^2}{t^2} (L_{us}^2 + \pi^2) \rho_\lambda + 2L_{us} \left(\frac{s}{t} L_{ut} - \frac{u}{t} L_{st} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{s-u}{t} [\pi^2 (2L_{st} - L_{us}) - L_{us} (L_{ut}^2 + L_{st}^2)] + \frac{8u}{t} \pi^2. \end{aligned}$$

4 Summary

This paper is devoted to the determination of a part of second order RC to the cross section of the process of large-angle quasi-elastic $e\mu$ scattering, namely those corresponding to eikonal box type diagrams. In the case of the box type diagrams with a vacuum polarization insertion we obtain the formulæ given in Eqs. (16,17,28) where it is seen that the contributions coming from the interference between a tree level diagram and those (bearing a vacuum polarization insertion) with straight and crossed “legs” become in fact equal when one exchanges $s \leftrightarrow u$ (with accuracy up to terms $\sim \pi^2$) and alternates an overall sign of the contribution. This is indeed a manifestation of the well-known symmetry relation between amplitudes corresponding to different channels of a given reaction.

The main results of this work are given in analytic form in the logarithmic approximation but the intermediate ones are presented to a power accuracy thus allowing for at least numeric evaluation of the impact of subleading terms on the overall value of the corrections. For example, in Sec. 2 we obtain two limiting cases of the contribution due to leptonic VP, for a small (Eq. (16)) and a large (Eq. (17)) lepton pair invariant mass M with constant accuracy

As a consistency check of the calculation, the auxiliary infrared parameter λ is expected to completely cancel out of the final results. Therefore within a gauge invariant set of amplitudes considered in Sec. 2 we show that by integrating over the integration variable v and then by adding the contribution given by a soft lepton pair production one indeed achieves a result free of infrared divergences (Eq. (22)). The structure of this correction is in agreement with RG predictions and does not contain large logarithms of higher than second powers. But the same cannot be done for the contributions calculated in Sec. 3 since a consideration carried out there is in fact incomplete. Also we give the expression for a cross section of a soft pion pair production (Eq. (28)). Here we cannot explicitly show the cancellation of neither leading nor next-to-leading logarithms to be taken place upon combining with a corresponding virtual correction. This is due to a partially non-analytic form of the expression for the RC caused by hadronic VP insertion.

In Sec. 3 we examine a contribution coming from squared box type diagrams (see Eq. (32)) supplied by the corresponding one and two soft photon emission contributions with the explicit expressions presented in Eqs. (30,31). To complete a picture we have to take into account RC caused by genuine two-loop eikonal type amplitudes. Keeping in mind validity of RG approach

in LLA and the effect of cancellation of large logarithms in the expression for the lowest order RC corresponding to the eikonal type diagrams (see Ref. [1]) we expect the interference between them and the Born level amplitude to completely cancel out upon adding to the contributions given in Eqn. (30-32). Their explicit evaluation will be the subject of a forthcoming paper.

We are grateful for support to Heizenberg-Landau 2001-02 grant.

Appendix A

In this appendix we display a set of scalar integrals to be performed while dealing with box type diagrams bearing VP insertion into one of the exchange virtual photon propagators. Clearly in this case we need the integrals with a virtual exchange photon endowed with a mass M . Thus in evaluating vector and tensor integrals we use technique presented in App. B with the only change in that all the scalar integrals with three (I_{ijk}) and four (I) denominators are replaced by the following ones:

- in the case of large mass M ($M^2 \gg s \sim -t$),

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{123} &= \frac{1}{M^2} \left\{ -\ln \frac{M^2}{s} - 1 + \frac{s}{M^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{M^2}{s} + \frac{1}{4} \right] \right\}, \\
I_{134} &= -\frac{1}{M^2} \left\{ \ln \frac{M^2}{m_e^2} + 1 + \frac{t}{M^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{M^2}{m_e^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right] \right\}, \\
I_{234} &= -\frac{1}{M^2} \left\{ \ln \frac{M^2}{m_\mu^2} + 1 + \frac{t}{M^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{M^2}{m_\mu^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right] \right\}, \\
I &= -\frac{1}{2sM^2} \left\{ 2\rho_s\rho_\lambda + \rho_s^2 - \frac{4\pi^2}{3} \right\}, \\
I_3 = \tilde{t}I - I_{124} &= \frac{1}{M^2} \left\{ -\frac{2t}{s}\rho_s + 1 - \ln \frac{s}{M^2} + \frac{s}{M^2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{s}{M^2} - \frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{t}{s} \right)^2 \rho_s \right] \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

- and in the opposite limit $-t \gg M^2$ we should use the next set of integrals,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{134} &= \frac{1}{t} \left[\ln \frac{M^2}{m_e^2} \ln \frac{-t}{M^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{-t}{M^2} \right], \\
I_{234} &= \frac{1}{t} \left[\ln \frac{M^2}{m_\mu^2} \ln \frac{-t}{M^2} + \frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{-t}{M^2} \right], \\
I_{123} &= -\frac{1}{2s} \left[2\rho_s\rho_m + \frac{4\pi^2}{3} - \rho_s^2 + \ln^2 \frac{m_\mu}{m_e} \right], \\
I &= \frac{1}{st} \rho_s [\rho_\lambda + 2\rho_t - \rho_m], \\
I_3 &= \frac{1}{s} \left[2\rho_s \ln \frac{-t}{M^2} + \rho_s\rho_m - \frac{1}{2}\rho_s^2 + \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{m_\mu}{m_e} + \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

5 Appendix B

Here we put the details of box-box contribution calculation. The first of all we should distinguish three different cases: two box square with straight and crossed legs and one case with

interference of amplitudes with crossed and straight legs.

For calculating the contributions we need to evaluate tensor, vector and scalar integrals with four and three denominators.

Lets first consider integral for box with straight legs. The vector integral we can write down in the form:

$$\int \frac{d^4 k k^\mu}{i\pi^2(1)(2)(3)(4)} = Ap_{1\mu} + Bp_{2\mu} + Cq_\mu, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where quantities (1), (2), (4) were defined in (13), and we use here the next notation: $m = m_e$, $M = m_\mu$, (3) is $k^2 - 2kq + t$,

$$q = p_1 - p'_1 = p'_2 - p_2, \quad q^2 = t, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and coefficients A, B, C determined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{1}{2stu} [-t^2 a - t(2s+t)b - stc], \\ B &= \frac{1}{2stu} [-t(2s+t)a - t^2 b + stc], \\ C &= \frac{1}{2stu} [-sta + stb - s^2 c], \\ a &= I_{123} - I_{234}, \quad b = I_{134} - I_{123}, \quad c = tI. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Scalar integrals I, I_{ijk} reads:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int \frac{d^4 k}{i\pi^2(1)(2)(3)(4)} = \frac{2}{st} \left[\ln \frac{s}{mM} - i\pi \right] \ln \frac{-t}{\lambda^2}, \\ I_{123} &= \int \frac{d^4 k}{i\pi^2(1)(2)(3)} = -\frac{1}{2s} \left[2 \left[\ln \frac{s}{mM} - i\pi \right] \ln \frac{\lambda^2}{mM} + \frac{\pi^2}{3} - \left[\ln \frac{s}{mM} - i\pi \right]^2 + \ln^2 \frac{M}{m} \right], \\ I_{134} &= \int \frac{d^4 k}{i\pi(1)(3)(4)} = \frac{1}{t} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{-t}{m^2} + \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right], \\ I_{234} &= \int \frac{d^4 k}{i\pi(2)(3)(4)} = \frac{1}{t} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{-t}{M^2} + \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Tensor integral we consider by algebraical method:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d^4 k k_\mu k_\nu}{i\pi^2(1)(2)(3)(4)} &= a_g g_{\mu\nu} + a_{11} p_{1\mu} p_{1\nu} + a_{22} p_{2\mu} p_{2\nu} + a_{12} (p_{1\mu} p_{2\nu} + p_{1\nu} p_{2\mu}) \\ &+ a_{1q} (p_{1\mu} q_\nu + p_{1\nu} q_\mu) + a_{2q} (p_{2\mu} q_\nu + p_{2\nu} q_\mu) + a_{qq} q_\mu q_\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

To time the equation above to four-vectors p_1, p_2, q we can manage the system of algebraic

equations, therefore we write down the quantities a_{ij} through scalar integrals:

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{22} &= \frac{1}{s}(A_2 - ta_{2q}), \\
a_{11} &= \frac{1}{s}(A_4 + ta_{1q}), \\
a_{12} &= \frac{1}{s}(A_1 - 2a_g - ta_{1q}), \\
a_g &= \frac{1}{2}(A_9 - 2ta_{qq} - ta_{1q} + ta_{2q}), \\
a_{1q} &= \frac{1}{t}(A_1 - A_5 - ta_{2q}), \\
a_{2q} &= \frac{1}{s+t}(A_3 + A_{10} - A_5 - A_9), \\
a_{qq} &= \frac{1}{t(s+t)}(tA_3 + s(A_5 + A_9 - A_{10})).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Quantities A_j read:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \frac{1}{s}(I_{13} - I_{12}), \\
A_2 &= I_{234} + \frac{1}{s}(I_{12} - I_{23}) + \frac{1}{t}(2I_{34} - I_{23} - I_{24}), \\
A_3 &= I_{123} + \frac{1}{s}(2I_{12} - I_{13} - I_{23}) + \frac{1}{t}(-I_{23} + I_{34}), \\
A_4 &= I_{134} + \frac{1}{s}(I_{12} - I_{13}) + \frac{1}{t}(2I_{34} - I_{13} - I_{14}), \\
A_5 &= \frac{1}{s}(I_{23} - I_{12}), \\
A_9 &= tC + I_{123} + \frac{1}{s}(2I_{12} - I_{13} - I_{23}), \\
A_{10} &= I_{123}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

Here I_{ij} means scalar integrals with two denominators, I_{ijk} and I are determined above.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{12} &= \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2(1)(2)} = \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{M^2} - \ln \frac{s}{M^2} + i\pi + 1, \\
I_{13} &= \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2(1)(3)} = I_{14} = \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2(1)(4)} = \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{M^2} + \ln \frac{M^2}{m^2} + 1, \\
I_{23} &= \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2(2)(3)} = I_{24} = \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2(2)(4)} = \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{M^2} + 1, \\
I_{34} &= \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2(3)(4)} = \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{M^2} - \ln \frac{-t}{M^2} + 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

For crossed legs in box type diagram we should evaluate the next integrals:

$$\int \frac{d^4k k^\mu}{i\pi^2(1)(\tilde{2})(3)(4)} = \tilde{A}p_{1\mu} - \tilde{B}p'_{2\mu} + \tilde{C}q_\mu. \tag{A.11}$$

where $(\tilde{2}) = k^2 + p_2' k$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A} &= \frac{1}{2stu}[-t^2\tilde{a} - t(2u+t)\tilde{b} - ut\tilde{c}], \\
\tilde{B} &= \frac{1}{2stu}[-t(2u+t)\tilde{a} - t^2\tilde{b} + ut\tilde{c}], \\
\tilde{C} &= \frac{1}{2stu}[-ut\tilde{a} + ut\tilde{b} - u^2\tilde{c}], \\
\tilde{a} &= I_{1\tilde{2}3} - I_{\tilde{2}34}, \quad \tilde{b} = I_{134} - I_{1\tilde{2}3}, \quad \tilde{c} = t\tilde{I}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

Here integrals read:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{I} &= \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2(1)(\tilde{2})(3)(4)} = \frac{2}{ut} \ln \frac{-u}{mM} \ln \frac{-t}{\lambda^2}, \\
I_{1\tilde{2}3} &= \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2(1)(\tilde{2})(3)} = -\frac{1}{2u} \left[2 \ln \frac{-u}{mM} \ln \frac{\lambda^2}{mM} + \frac{\pi^2}{3} - \ln^2 \frac{-u}{mM} + \ln^2 \frac{M}{m} \right], \\
I_{\tilde{2}34} &= \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi(\tilde{2})(3)(4)} = \frac{1}{t} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \frac{-t}{M^2} + \frac{2\pi^2}{3} \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

and I_{134} was given in (A.6).

For tensor integral we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \frac{d^4k k_\mu k_\nu}{i\pi^2(1)(\tilde{2})(3)(4)} &= \tilde{a}_g g_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{a}_{11} p_{1\mu} p_{1\nu} + \tilde{a}_{22} p_{2\mu}' p_{2\nu}' - \tilde{a}_{12} (p_{1\mu} p_{2\nu}' + p_{1\nu} p_{2\mu}') \\
&\quad + \tilde{a}_{1q} (p_{1\mu} q_\nu + p_{1\nu} q_\mu) - \tilde{a}_{2q} (p_{2\mu}' q_\nu + p_{2\nu}' q_\mu) + \tilde{a}_{qq} q_\mu q_\nu,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

here we use:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{a}_{22} &= \frac{1}{u} (\tilde{A}_2 - t\tilde{a}_{2q}), \\
\tilde{a}_{11} &= \frac{1}{u} (\tilde{A}_4 + t\tilde{a}_{1q}), \\
\tilde{a}_{12} &= \frac{1}{u} (\tilde{A}_1 - 2\tilde{a}_g - t\tilde{a}_{1q}), \\
\tilde{a}_g &= \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{A}_9 - 2t\tilde{a}_{qq} - t\tilde{a}_{1q} + t\tilde{a}_{2q}), \\
\tilde{a}_{1q} &= \frac{1}{t} (\tilde{A}_1 - \tilde{A}_5 - t\tilde{a}_{2q}), \\
\tilde{a}_{2q} &= \frac{1}{u+t} (\tilde{A}_3 + \tilde{A}_{10} - \tilde{A}_5 - \tilde{A}_9), \\
\tilde{a}_{qq} &= \frac{1}{t(u+t)} (t\tilde{A}_3 + u(\tilde{A}_5 + \tilde{A}_9 - \tilde{A}_{10})).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

Quantities A_j read:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A}_1 &= \frac{1}{u}(I_{13} - I_{1\bar{2}}), \\
\tilde{A}_2 &= I_{\bar{2}34} + \frac{1}{u}(I_{1\bar{2}} - I_{\bar{2}3}) + \frac{1}{t}(2I_{34} - I_{\bar{2}3} - I_{\bar{2}4}), \\
\tilde{A}_3 &= I_{1\bar{2}3} + \frac{1}{u}(2I_{1\bar{2}} - I_{13} - I_{\bar{2}3}) + \frac{1}{t}(-I_{\bar{2}3} + I_{34}), \\
\tilde{A}_4 &= I_{134} + \frac{1}{u}(I_{1\bar{2}} - I_{13}) + \frac{1}{t}(2I_{34} - I_{13} - I_{14}), \\
\tilde{A}_5 &= \frac{1}{u}(I_{\bar{2}3} - I_{1\bar{2}}), \\
\tilde{A}_9 &= t\tilde{C} + I_{1\bar{2}3} + \frac{1}{u}(2I_{1\bar{2}} - I_{13} - I_{\bar{2}3}), \\
\tilde{A}_{10} &= I_{1\bar{2}3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

Here I_{ij} means scalar integrals with two denominators, I_{ijk} and I are determined above.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{1\bar{2}} &= \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2(1)(\bar{2})} = \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{M^2} - \ln \frac{-u}{M^2} + 1, \\
I_{\bar{2}3} &= \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2(\bar{2})(3)} = I_{\bar{2}4} = \int \frac{d^4k}{i\pi^2(\bar{2})(4)} = \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{M^2} + 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

The other integrals I_{13}, I_{14}, I_{34} were given in(A.10).

Now with all these at hand it is straightforward to obtain a final result for the squared box type diagrams. With the intent to realize subsequent numeric implications let's quote it in the form in which all the terms not enhanced by the large logarithms are retained,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum |\mathcal{M}_{\text{box}}|^2 &= 16\alpha^4 \cdot \mathcal{B}(s, t, u), \\
\mathcal{B}(s, t, u) &= \frac{8(s^2 + u^2)}{t^2}(L_{us}^2 + \pi^2) \ln^2 \left(\frac{-t}{\lambda^2} \right) \\
&- 4 \ln \left(\frac{-t}{\lambda^2} \right) L_{us} \left[\frac{s-u}{t}(L_{ut}^2 + L_{st}^2 - L_{ut} - L_{st}) + L_{us} \right] \\
&+ \frac{(s-u)^2}{2} \left[\frac{1}{s^2}L_{ut}^4 + \frac{1}{u^2}L_{st}^4 \right] + 2(s-u) \left[-\frac{1}{s}L_{ut}^3 + \frac{1}{u}L_{st}^3 \right] + 2[L_{ut}^2 + L_{st}^2] \\
&+ \pi^2 \left\{ 4 \ln \left(\frac{-t}{\lambda^2} \right) \left[\frac{s-u}{t}(2L_{st} - L_{us}) + \frac{2u}{t} \right] + \left[L_{ut} \left(1 - \frac{u}{s} \right) - 1 \right]^2 \right. \\
&\left. + 2 \left[L_{st} \left(1 - \frac{s}{u} \right) - 1 \right]^2 - 1 \right\} + \frac{\pi^4}{2} \left(1 - \frac{u}{s} \right)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.19}$$

For completeness let's present here a formula for the interference of a tree level and a box type diagram amplitudes,

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \sum \mathcal{M}_{\text{Born}}^* \mathcal{M}_{\text{box}} &= \sum |\mathcal{M}_{\text{Born}}|^2 \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left\{ 2L_{su}(\rho_t + \rho_\lambda) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{t^2}{s^2 + u^2} \left[\frac{u}{t} L_{st} - \frac{s}{t} L_{ut} + \frac{s-u}{2t} (\pi^2 + L_{ut}^2 + L_{st}^2) \right] \right\}. \quad (\text{A.20})
\end{aligned}$$

Adding to this expression the contribution arising from the “up-down” interference of a soft photon emission by electron and muon lines we arrive at the expression for the RC given in Eq. (16) of Ref. [1].

References

- [1] V. Bytev, E. Kuraev, B. Shaikhatdenov, hep-ph/0203127, to appear in JETP vol. **9** (2002).
- [2] E. Kuraev, V. Fadin, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **41** (1985) 466; *ibid.* **47** (1988) 1009.
- [3] J. Schwinger Phys. Rev. **76** (1949) 790;
R. Barbieri, J. Mignaco, E. Remiddi, Nuovo Cim. **11A** (1972) 865.
- [4] S. Eidelman, F. Jegerlehner, Z. Phys. **C67** (1995) 585.
- [5] A. Arbuzov et al., Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **60** (1997) 591, Yad. Fiz. **60** (1997) 673.
- [6] A.B. Arbuzov, E.A. Kuraev, B.G. Shaikhatdenov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **115** (1999) 392, JETP **88** (1999) 213.