

Heavy-quark axial charges to non-leading order

S.D. Bass,¹ R.J. Crewther,^{2,3} F.M. Steffens,⁴ and A.W. Thomas²

¹*Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Innsbruck, Technikerstrasse 25, A 6020 Innsbruck, Austria*

²*Department of Physics and Mathematical Physics and*

Centre for the Subatomic Structure of Matter (CSSM), University of Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

³*Centre for Particle Theory, Department of Mathematical Sciences,*

University of Durham, South Rd, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom

⁴*Instituto de Física Teórica, Rua Pamplona 145, 01405-900 Sao Paulo - SP, Brazil*

We combine Witten's renormalization group with the matching conditions of Bernreuther and Wetzel to calculate at next-to-leading order the complete heavy-quark contribution to the neutral-current axial-charge measurable in neutrino-proton elastic scattering. Our results are manifestly renormalization group invariant.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Hi, 12.15.Mm, 12.38.Cy, 12.39.Hg, 14.65.-q

I. INTRODUCTION

This paper announces results for the next-to-leading-order (NLO) heavy-quark corrections to the axial charge $g_A^{(Z)}$ for protons to couple to the weak neutral current

$$J_{\mu 5}^Z = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{q=u,c,t} - \sum_{q=d,s,b} \right\} \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q \quad (1)$$

The calculation is performed by decoupling heavy quarks $h = t, b, c$ sequentially, i.e. one at a time. An extension to simultaneous decoupling of t, b, c quarks is foreshadowed in our concluding remarks.

The charge $g_A^{(Z)}$ receives contributions from both light u, d, s and heavy c, b, t quarks,

$$2g_A^{(Z)} = (\Delta u - \Delta d - \Delta s) + (\Delta c - \Delta b + \Delta t) \quad (2)$$

where Δq refers to the expectation value

$$\langle p, s | \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q | p, s \rangle = 2m_p s_\mu \Delta q$$

for a proton of spin s_μ and mass m_p . It governs parity-violating effects due to Z^0 exchange at low energies in elastic νp and $\bar{\nu} p$ scattering [1, 2] or in light atoms [3, 4]. A definitive measurement of νp elastic scattering may be possible using the miniBooNE set-up at FNAL [5].

Once heavy-quark corrections [2, 6, 7] have been taken into account, $g_A^{(Z)}$ is related (modulo the issue of δ -function terms at $x = 0$ [8]) to the flavour-singlet axial charge, defined scale invariantly and extracted from polarized deep inelastic scattering:

$$g_A^{(0)}|_{\text{inv}} = 0.2 - 0.35 \quad (3)$$

The small value of this quantity has inspired vast experimental and theoretical activity to understand the spin structure of the proton [9]. As a result, new experiments are being planned to map out the spin-flavour structure of the proton. These include polarized proton-proton collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) [10], semi-inclusive polarized deep inelastic scattering, and polarized ep collider studies [11]. Full NLO analyses are

essential for a consistent interpretation of these experiments.

Many techniques for decoupling a single heavy quark are available. We rely on Witten's method [12], where the renormalization scheme is mass independent and improved Callan-Symanzik equations [13] can be exploited. In such schemes, the decoupling of heavy particles required by the Appelquist-Carrazone theorem [14] is not manifest. However, correct decoupling is ensured by applying the matching conditions of Bernreuther and Wetzel [15]; these relate coupling constant, mass and operator normalizations before and after the decoupling of a heavy quark. The advantages of this approach are its rigour and the fact that the final results are expressed in terms of renormalization group (RG) invariants. These invariants are Witten-style running couplings $\tilde{\alpha}_h$, one for each heavy quark $h = t, b, c$, and axial charges for nucleons in the residual theory with three light flavours.

We find that, when first t , then b , and finally c are decoupled from (2), the full NLO result is

$$2g_A^{(Z)} = (\Delta u - \Delta d - \Delta s)_{\text{inv}} + \mathcal{P}(\Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s)_{\text{inv}} + O(m_{t,b,c}^{-1}) \quad (4)$$

where \mathcal{P} is a polynomial in the running couplings $\tilde{\alpha}_h$,

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{6}{23\pi} (\tilde{\alpha}_b - \tilde{\alpha}_t) \left\{ 1 + \frac{125663}{82800\pi} \tilde{\alpha}_b + \frac{6167}{3312\pi} \tilde{\alpha}_t - \frac{22}{75\pi} \tilde{\alpha}_c \right\} - \frac{6}{27\pi} \tilde{\alpha}_c - \frac{181}{648\pi^2} \tilde{\alpha}_c^2 + O(\tilde{\alpha}_{t,b,c}^3) \quad (5)$$

and $(\Delta q)_{\text{inv}}$ denotes the scale-invariant version of Δq defined in the following way.

Let $\alpha_f = g_f^2/4\pi$ and $\beta_f(\alpha_f)$ be the gluon coupling and beta function for $\overline{\text{MS}}$ renormalized quantum chromodynamics (QCD) with f flavours and $N_c = 3$ colours, and let $\gamma_f(\alpha_f)$ be the gamma function for the singlet current

$$(\bar{u} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u + \bar{d} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 d + \dots)_f = \sum_{k=1}^f (\bar{q}_k \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q_k)_f \quad (6)$$

A scale-invariant current $(S_{\mu 5})_f$ is obtained when (6) is multiplied by

$$E_f(\alpha_f) = \exp \int_0^{\alpha_f} dx \frac{\gamma_f(x)}{\beta_f(x)} \quad (7)$$

Up to $O(m_h^{-1})$ corrections, the invariant singlet charge (3) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_A^{(0)}|_{\text{inv}} &= E_3(\alpha_3)(\Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s)_3 \\ &= (\Delta u + \Delta d + \Delta s)_{\text{inv}} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Flavour-dependent, scale-invariant axial charges $\Delta q|_{\text{inv}}$ such as

$$\Delta s|_{\text{inv}} = \frac{1}{3} \left(g_A^{(0)}|_{\text{inv}} - g_A^{(8)} \right) \quad (9)$$

can then be obtained from linear combinations of (8) and

$$\begin{aligned} g_A^{(3)} &= \Delta u - \Delta d = (\Delta u - \Delta d)_{\text{inv}} \\ g_A^{(8)} &= \Delta u + \Delta d - 2\Delta s = (\Delta u + \Delta d - 2\Delta s)_{\text{inv}} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Here $g_A^{(3)} = 1.267 \pm 0.004$ is the isotriplet axial charge measured in neutron beta-decay, and $g_A^{(8)} = 0.58 \pm 0.03$ is the octet charge measured independently in hyperon beta decay. Taking $\tilde{\alpha}_t = 0.1$, $\tilde{\alpha}_b = 0.2$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_c = 0.35$ in (5), we find a small heavy-quark correction factor $\mathcal{P} = -0.02$, with LO terms dominant.

Our results extend and make more precise the well known work of Collins, Wilczek and Zee [6] and Kaplan and Manohar [2], where heavy-quark effective theory was used to estimate $g_A^{(Z)}$ in leading order (LO) for sequential decoupling of t, b and t, b, c respectively. Our analysis is also influenced by a discussion of [6] by Chetyrkin and Kühn [16], who considered some aspects of NLO decoupling of the t quark from the neutral current and in particular, the requirement that the result be scale invariant. Related work has been done on heavy-quark production in polarized deep inelastic scattering using the QCD parton model [17] and in high-energy polarized γp and pp at NLO [18].

The plan of this paper is as follows. Section 2 is a brief review of Witten's application of improved Callan-Symanzik equations [13] to the decoupling of a heavy quark in mass-independent renormalization schemes. In Section 3, we combine it with matching conditions [15] to deal with next-to-leading-order (NLO) calculations involving axial-vector currents. Section 4 is then a direct derivation of (5) from the formula (1) for the neutral current. Our concluding remarks in section 5 indicate the result of extending (5) to simultaneous decoupling of t, b, c — done not only for numerical reasons, but also to check that the t, b contributions cancel for $m_t = m_b$.

II. WITTEN'S METHOD

In mass-independent schemes such as $\overline{\text{MS}}$, renormalized masses behave like coupling constants. This key property is exploited in Witten's method.

Let μ be the scale used to define dimensional regularization and renormalization. Then the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scale is

$$\bar{\mu} = \mu \sqrt{4\pi} e^{-\gamma/2}, \quad \gamma = 0.5772\dots \quad (11)$$

We choose the same scale $\bar{\mu}$ irrespective of the number of flavours f being considered, and so hold $\bar{\mu}$ fixed as the heavy quarks (masses m_h) decouple:

$$F \rightarrow f \text{ flavours}, \quad m_h \rightarrow \infty$$

Also held fixed in this limit are the coupling α_f and light-quark masses $m_{\ell f}$ of the *residual* f -flavour theory, and all momenta \mathbf{p} . Feynman diagrams for amplitudes

$$\mathcal{A}_F = \mathcal{A}_F(\mathbf{p}, \bar{\mu}, \alpha_F, m_{\ell F}, m_h) \quad (12)$$

give rise to power series in m_h^{-1} modified by polynomials in $\ln(m_h/\bar{\mu})$. We consider just the leading power $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_F$:

$$\mathcal{A}_F = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_F \{1 + O(1/m_h)\} \quad (13)$$

As m_h tends to infinity, logarithms in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_F$ can be produced by any 1PI (one-particle irreducible) subgraph which contains at least one heavy-quark propagator and whose divergence by power counting is at least logarithmic. The effect is equivalent to shrinking all contributing 1PI parts of each diagram to a point. This means [14] that the F -flavour amplitudes $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_F$ are the same as amplitudes \mathcal{A}_f in the residual f -flavour theory, apart from m_h -dependent renormalizations of the coupling constant, light masses, and amplitudes:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_F(\mathbf{p}, \bar{\mu}, \alpha_F, m_{\ell F}, m_h) \\ = \sum_{A'} \mathcal{Z}_{AA'}(\alpha_F, m_h/\bar{\mu}) \mathcal{A}'_f(\mathbf{p}, \bar{\mu}, \alpha_f, m_{\ell f}) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\alpha_f = \alpha_f(\alpha_F, m_h/\bar{\mu}), \quad m_{\ell f} = m_{\ell F} D(\alpha_F, m_h/\bar{\mu}) \quad (15)$$

Eventually, we will have to invert (15), i.e. use α_f and $m_{\ell f}$ as dependent variables instead of α_F and $m_{\ell F}$, because we hold α_f and $m_{\ell f}$ fixed as $m_h \rightarrow \infty$.

For any number of flavours f (including F), let

$$\mathcal{D}_f = \bar{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\mu}} + \beta_f(\alpha_f) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_f} + \delta_f(\alpha_f) \sum_{k=1}^f m_{k f} \frac{\partial}{\partial m_{k f}} \quad (16)$$

be the corresponding Callan-Symanzik operator. Then the amplitude \mathcal{A}_F and hence its leading power $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_F$ both satisfy an F -flavour improved Callan-Symanzik equation:

$$\{\mathcal{D}_F + \gamma_F(\alpha_F)\} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_F = 0 \quad (17)$$

In general, both γ_F and $\mathcal{Z} = (\mathcal{Z}_{AA'})$ are matrices.

If we substitute (14) in (17) and change variables,

$$\mathcal{D}_F = \bar{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\mu}} + (\mathcal{D}_F \alpha_f) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_f} + \sum_{k=1}^f (\mathcal{D}_F m_{k f}) \frac{\partial}{\partial m_{k f}} \quad (18)$$

the result is an improved Callan-Symanzik equation for each residual amplitude,

$$\{\mathcal{D}_f + \gamma_f(\alpha_f)\}\mathcal{A}_f = 0 \quad (19)$$

where the functions [12, 15]

$$\beta_f(\alpha_f) = \mathcal{D}_F \alpha_f \quad (20)$$

$$\delta_f(\alpha_f) = \mathcal{D}_F \ln m_\ell \quad (21)$$

$$\gamma_f(\alpha_f) = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}(\gamma_F(\alpha_F) + \mathcal{D}_F)\mathcal{Z} \quad (22)$$

depend on α_f *alone*. The lack of m_ℓ dependence of the renormalization factors in (14) and (15) ensures mass-independent renormalization for the residual theory.

Although these equations hold for any $f < F$, their practical application is straightforward only when heavy quarks are decoupled one at a time. So we set $F = f+1$, where just one quark h is heavy. Then it is convenient to introduce a running coupling [12]

$$\tilde{\alpha}_h = \tilde{\alpha}_h(\alpha_F, \ln(m_h/\bar{\mu})) \quad (23)$$

associated with the $\overline{\text{MS}}_F$ renormalized mass m_h :

$$\ln(m_h/\bar{\mu}) = \int_{\alpha_F}^{\tilde{\alpha}_h} dx (1 - \delta_F(x))/\beta_F(x) \quad (24)$$

It satisfies the constraints

$$\tilde{\alpha}_h(\alpha_F, 0) = \alpha_F \quad , \quad \tilde{\alpha}_h(\alpha_F, \infty) = 0 \quad (25)$$

the latter being a consequence of the asymptotic freedom of the F flavour theory ($F \leq 16$). Also, eqs. (16), (20) and (24) imply that $\tilde{\alpha}_h$ is renormalization group (RG) invariant:

$$\mathcal{D}_F \tilde{\alpha}_h = 0 \quad (26)$$

Witten's solution of (22) for the matrix \mathcal{Z} is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(\alpha_F, m_h/\bar{\mu}) &= \exp\left\{\int_{\alpha_F}^{\tilde{\alpha}_h} dx \frac{\gamma_F(x)}{\beta_F(x)}\right\}_{\text{ord}} \mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\alpha}_h, 1) \\ &\times \exp\left\{-\int_{\alpha_f}^{\alpha_f(\tilde{\alpha}_h, 1)} dx \frac{\gamma_f(x)}{\beta_f(x)}\right\}_{\text{ord}} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where ‘‘ord’’ indicates x -ordering of matrix integrands in the exponentials. Note that it is the *relative* scaling between the initial and residual theories which matters.

For our NLO calculation, we need the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_f(x) &= -\frac{x^2}{3\pi}\left(\frac{33}{2} - f\right) - \frac{x^3}{12\pi^2}(153 - 19f) + O(x^4) \\ \gamma_f(x) &= \frac{x^2}{\pi^2}f + \frac{x^3}{36\pi^3}(177 - 2f)f + O(x^4) \\ \delta_f(x) &= -\frac{2x}{\pi} + O(x^2) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where γ_f refers to the f -flavour singlet current (6) and includes the three-loop term found by Larin [19] and Chetyrkin and Kühn [16].

III. MATCHING PROCEDURE

Our task is to evaluate to NLO accuracy the quantities $\tilde{\alpha}_h$, $\alpha_f(\tilde{\alpha}_h, 1)$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\alpha}_h, 1)$ in (27), such that the answers depend on α_f and not α_F .

Bernreuther and Wetzel [15] applied the Appelquist-Carrazone decoupling theorem [14] to the gluon coupling constant α_Q^{MO} renormalized at space-like momentum Q ,

$$\alpha_Q^{\text{MO}}|_{\text{with } h} = \alpha_Q^{\text{MO}}|_{\text{no } h} + O(m_h^{-1}) \quad (29)$$

and compared calculations of α_Q^{MO} in the $F = f+1$ and f flavour $\overline{\text{MS}}$ theories. This reduces to a determination of the leading power of the one- h -loop $\overline{\text{MS}}_F$ gluon self-energy. The result is a matching condition

$$\alpha_F^{-1} - \alpha_f^{-1} = C_{\text{LO}} \ln \frac{m_h}{\bar{\mu}} + C_{\text{NLO}} + O(\alpha_f, m_h^{-1}) \quad (30)$$

with α_f -independent LO and NLO coefficients given by

$$C_{\text{LO}} = \frac{1}{3\pi} \quad , \quad C_{\text{NLO}} = 0 \quad (31)$$

As a result, we find:

$$\alpha_f(\tilde{\alpha}_h, 0) = \tilde{\alpha}_h + O(\tilde{\alpha}_h^3) \underset{\text{NLO}}{=} \tilde{\alpha}_h \quad (32)$$

Bernreuther and Wetzel showed that it is possible to deduce *all* LO and NLO terms in (30) from (31) and β_f and δ_f in (28). We have done the calculation explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{f+1}^{-1} \underset{\text{NLO}}{=} \alpha_f^{-1} + \frac{1}{3\pi} \ln \frac{m_h}{\bar{\mu}} + c_f \ln \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_f}{3\pi} \ln \frac{m_h}{\bar{\mu}}\right] \\ + d_f \ln \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_f}{3\pi} \left(\frac{33}{2} - f\right) \ln \frac{m_h}{\bar{\mu}}\right] \\ c_f = \frac{142 - 19f}{2\pi(31 - 2f)} \quad , \quad d_f = \frac{57 + 16f}{2\pi(33 - 2f)(31 - 2f)} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

From (24), we have also found $\tilde{\alpha}_h$ in NLO,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_h^{-1} \underset{\text{NLO}}{=} \alpha_f^{-1} + \frac{1}{3\pi} \left(\frac{33}{2} - f\right) \ln \frac{\bar{m}_h}{\bar{\mu}} \\ + \frac{153 - 19f}{2\pi(33 - 2f)} \ln \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_f}{3\pi} \left(\frac{33}{2} - f\right) \ln \frac{m_h}{\bar{\mu}}\right] \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where \bar{m}_h is Witten's RG invariant mass:


$$\bar{m}_h = m_h \exp \int_{\alpha_F}^{\tilde{\alpha}_h} dx \delta_F(x)/\beta_F(x) \quad (35)$$

If desired, $\ln(\bar{m}_h/\bar{\mu})$ can be eliminated by substituting

$$\ln \frac{\bar{m}_h}{\bar{\mu}} \underset{\text{LO}}{=} \ln \frac{m_h}{\bar{\mu}} - \frac{12}{31 - 2f} \ln \left[1 + \frac{\alpha_f}{3\pi} \left(\frac{31}{2} - f\right) \ln \frac{m_h}{\bar{\mu}}\right] \quad (36)$$

Therefore the asymptotic formula for $\tilde{\alpha}_h$ as $m_h \rightarrow \infty$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}_h \sim 3\pi / \left\{ \left(\frac{33}{2} - f\right) \ln \frac{m_h}{\bar{\mu}} + k_f \ln \ln \frac{m_h}{\bar{\mu}} + O(1) \right\} \\ k_f = \frac{3(153 - 19f)}{2(33 - 2f)} - \frac{6(33 - 2f)}{31 - 2f} \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

To find the matrix $\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\alpha}_h, 1)$ in NLO, we need a matching condition for the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ amplitude $\Gamma_{\mu 5}$ for $\bar{h}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 h$ to couple to a light quark ℓ . We have calculated the leading power due to the two-loop diagram :

$$\Gamma_{\mu 5} = \left(\frac{\alpha_F}{\pi}\right)^2 \gamma_\mu\gamma_5 \left(\ln \frac{m_h}{\mu} + \frac{1}{8}\right) + O(\alpha_F^3, m_h^{-1}) \quad (38)$$

Consequently, there is a NLO term $\tilde{\alpha}_h^2/8\pi^2$ in $\mathcal{Z}(\tilde{\alpha}_h, 1)$ for $\bar{h}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 h$ to produce $\bar{\ell}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 \ell$ as $m_h \rightarrow \infty$.

IV. HEAVY QUARKS DECOUPLED FROM $J_{\mu 5}^Z$

Let us adopt the shorthand notation q_f for $\overline{\text{MS}}$ currents $(\bar{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 q)_f$ in the f -flavour theory, e.g. the neutral current $J_{\mu 5}^{(Z)}$ and the scale-invariant singlet current $(S_{\mu 5})_f$:

$$J^Z = \frac{1}{2}(t - b + c - s + u - d)_6 \quad (39)$$

$$S_f = E_f(\alpha_f)(u + d + s + \dots)_f \quad (40)$$

We begin by decoupling the t quark. Because of

$$(c - s + u - d)_6 = (c - s + u - d)_5 + O(1/m_t) \quad (41)$$

we see that (27) is non-trivial only for

$$(t - b)_6 = \mathcal{Z}_{6 \rightarrow 5}(u + d + s + c + b)_5 + \frac{1}{5}(u + d + s + c - 4b)_5 + O(1/m_t) \quad (42)$$

Since $(t - b)_6$ is scale invariant, we have $\gamma_F = 0$ in (27):

$$\mathcal{Z}_{6 \rightarrow 5}(\alpha_6, m_t/\bar{\mu}) \stackrel{\text{NLO}}{=} \mathcal{Z}_{6 \rightarrow 5}(\tilde{\alpha}_t, 1) \exp - \int_{\alpha_5}^{\tilde{\alpha}_t} dx \frac{\gamma_5(x)}{\beta_5(x)} \quad (43)$$

The operator matching condition (38) corresponds to

$$t_6 = \frac{\alpha_6^2}{\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{m_t}{\mu} + \frac{1}{8}\right) (u + d + s + c + b)_5 + O(\alpha_6^3, m_t^{-1}) \quad (44)$$

and so we conclude:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{6 \rightarrow 5}(\tilde{\alpha}_t, 1) = -\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \tilde{\alpha}_t^2 + O(\tilde{\alpha}_t^3) \quad (45)$$

Eq. (43) is to be expanded about $\tilde{\alpha}_t \sim 0$ with α_5 held fixed. In that limit, the exponential tends to the constant factor $E_5(\alpha_5)$ of (7). This factor combines with the singlet current in (42) to form the scale-invariant operator S_5 , as required by $\text{RG}_{f=5}$ invariance. The full NLO result is then obtained by writing

$$(t - b)_6 \stackrel{\text{NLO}}{=} \mathcal{Z}_{6 \rightarrow 5}(\tilde{\alpha}_t, 1) \exp \left\{ - \int_0^{\tilde{\alpha}_t} dx \frac{\gamma_5(x)}{\beta_5(x)} \right\} S_5 + \frac{1}{5}(u + d + s + c - 4b)_5 \quad (46)$$

and expanding in $\tilde{\alpha}_t$, keeping all quadratic terms:

$$(t - b)_6 = \left\{ -\frac{1}{5} - \frac{6}{23} \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_t}{\pi} \left(1 + \frac{6167}{3312} \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_t}{\pi}\right) + O(\tilde{\alpha}_t^3) \right\} S_5 + \frac{1}{5}(u + d + s + c - 4b)_5 + O(1/m_t) \quad (47)$$

Next we decouple the b quark. Here, it is natural to define five-flavour quantities $\tilde{\alpha}_{b5}$ and \bar{m}_{b5} analogous to the six-flavour running coupling $\tilde{\alpha}_t$ and mass \bar{m}_t for the top quark:

$$\ln \frac{m_{b5}}{\bar{\mu}} = \int_{\alpha_5}^{\tilde{\alpha}_{b5}} dx \frac{1 - \delta_5(x)}{\beta_5(x)} \quad , \quad \ln \frac{\bar{m}_{b5}}{m_{b5}} = \int_{\alpha_5}^{\tilde{\alpha}_{b5}} dx \frac{\delta_5(x)}{\beta_5(x)} \quad (48)$$

Eqs. (20) and (21) imply that $\tilde{\alpha}_{b5}$ and \bar{m}_{b5} are both $\text{RG}_{f=5}$ and $\text{RG}_{f=6}$ invariant

$$\mathcal{D}_5 \tilde{\alpha}_{b5} = 0 = \mathcal{D}_6 \tilde{\alpha}_{b5} \quad , \quad \mathcal{D}_5 \bar{m}_{b5} = 0 = \mathcal{D}_6 \bar{m}_{b5} \quad (49)$$

and hence physically significant in the original six-flavour theory. So we write $\tilde{\alpha}_b$ and \bar{m}_b for $\tilde{\alpha}_{b5}$ and \bar{m}_{b5} .

Consider decoupling the b quark from (47). The NLO matching condition (38) becomes

$$b_5 = \frac{\alpha_5^2}{\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{\bar{m}_{b5}}{\bar{\mu}} + \frac{1}{8}\right) (u + d + s + c)_4 + O(\alpha_5^3, m_{b5}^{-1}) \quad (50)$$

so the non-singlet current in (47) can be written

$$(u + d + s + c - 4b)_5 = \left\{ 1 - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_b^2}{2\pi^2} \right\} E_4^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}_b) S_4 + O(\tilde{\alpha}_b^3, m_{b5}^{-1}) \quad (51)$$

For the singlet current S_5 in (47). we find

$$S_5 = E_5(\tilde{\alpha}_b) \left\{ 1 + \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_b^2}{8\pi^2} \right\} E_4^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}_b) S_4 + O(\tilde{\alpha}_b^3, m_{b5}^{-1}) \quad (52)$$

taking into account the definitions (7) and (40). Then we expand (51) and (52) in $\tilde{\alpha}_b$, keeping quadratic terms:

$$(t - b)_6 = \frac{6}{23\pi} (\tilde{\alpha}_b - \tilde{\alpha}_t) \left\{ 1 + \frac{125663}{82800\pi} \tilde{\alpha}_b + \frac{6167}{3312\pi} \tilde{\alpha}_t \right\} S_4 + O(\tilde{\alpha}_{t,b}^3, m_{t,b}^{-1}) \quad (53)$$

The same technique can be applied to decouple the c quark from S_4 in (53) and $(c - s + u - d)_4$ (the result of decoupling b from (41)). That yields the final results (4) and (5) given in the Introduction.

V. REMARKS

Our results depend on two key features:

1. Like previous workers in this area, we decouple heavy quarks sequentially, i.e. one at a time.

2. Our running couplings $\tilde{\alpha}_t$, $\tilde{\alpha}_b$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_c$, which correspond to Witten's prescription [12], are all renormalization group invariant.

The restriction to sequential decoupling is numerically reasonable for the t quark, but dubious for the b and c quarks, because it amounts to an assumption that $\ln(m_c/\bar{\mu})$ is negligible compared with $\ln(m_b/\bar{\mu})$. This inhibits detailed comparison of NLO results with data, which ought to be carried out with NLO accuracy [20].

There is also a theoretical issue here: one would like to check that, in the limit $m_t = m_b$, the t and b contributions cancel. However, that is outside the region of validity $\ln(m_t/\bar{\mu}) \gg \ln(m_b/\bar{\mu})$ for sequential decoupling.

For these reasons, we have extended our analysis to the case of simultaneous decoupling, where the mass logarithms are allowed to grow large together:

$$\ln(m_c/\bar{\mu}) \sim \ln(m_b/\bar{\mu}) \sim \ln(m_t/\bar{\mu}) \rightarrow \text{large}$$

This requires a considerable theoretical development of matching conditions and the renormalization group, which we will present separately. It involves the construction of running couplings α_t , α_b , α_c with the following properties:

1. They are renormalization group invariant.
2. They are defined for $m_t \geq m_b \geq m_c$, and can have a non-trivial dependence on more than one heavy-quark mass.
3. In the special case of sequential decoupling, they agree with $\tilde{\alpha}_t$, $\tilde{\alpha}_b$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_c$ to NLO.

4. For the case of equal masses, they coincide, e.g.

$$\alpha_t = \alpha_b \quad \text{for } m_t = m_b \quad (54)$$

Then we find that the result for the simultaneous decoupling of the t, b, c quarks from the neutral current is of the same form (4) as the sequential answer, but with the sequential running couplings in (5) replaced by our simultaneous couplings α_t , α_b , and α_c :

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{6}{23\pi}(\alpha_b - \alpha_t) \left\{ 1 + \frac{125663}{82800\pi}\alpha_b + \frac{6167}{3312\pi}\alpha_t - \frac{22}{75\pi}\alpha_c \right\} - \frac{6}{27\pi}\alpha_c - \frac{181}{648\pi^2}\alpha_c^2 + O(\alpha_{t,b,c}^3) \quad (55)$$

Notice the factorization of the terms depending on α_t and α_b . Given (54), the factor $\alpha_b - \alpha_t$ ensures that all contributions from b and t quarks cancel (as they should) for $m_t = m_b$.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council and the Austrian FWF. FMS is supported by contract number PV-IFT/005. RJC thanks Professor Wojtek Zakrzewski for his hospitality at Durham. SDB thanks Professor Dietmar Kuhn and the HEP group for their hospitality at Innsbruck.

-
- [1] L.A. Ahrens et al., Phys. Rev. D **35**, 785 (1987); G.T. Garvey, W.C. Louis and D.H. White, Phys. Rev. C **48**, 761 (1993); W.M. Alberico, S.M. Bilenyk and C. Maieron, Phys. Rep. **358**, 227 (2002).
- [2] D.B. Kaplan and A.V. Manohar, Nucl. Phys. B **310**, 527 (1988).
- [3] E.N. Fortson and L.L. Lewis, Phys. Rept. **113**, 289 (1984); J. Missimer and L.M. Simons, Phys. Rep. **118**, 179 (1985); I.B. Khriplovich, *Parity Non-conservation in Atomic Phenomena* (Gordon & Breach, Philadelphia 1991); D. Bruss, T. Gasenzer and O. Nachtmann, Phys. Lett. A **239**, 81 (1998); EPJdirect **D2**, 1 (1999).
- [4] B.A. Campbell, J. Ellis and R.A. Flores, Phys. Lett. B **225**, 419 (1989).
- [5] R. Tayloe, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **105**, 62 (2002).
- [6] J. Collins, F. Wilczek, and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 242 (1978).
- [7] S.D. Bass and A.W. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B **293**, 457 (1992).
- [8] S.D. Bass, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **13**, 791 (1998).
- [9] M. Anselmino, A. Efremov and E. Leader, Phys. Rept. **261**, 1 (1995); S.D. Bass, Eur. Phys. J. A **5**, 17 (1999); R. Windmolders, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **79**, 51 (1999); B. Lampe and E. Reya, Phys. Rep. **332**, 1 (2000).
- [10] G. Bunce, N. Saito, J. Soffer and W. Vogelsang, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **50**, 525 (2000).
- [11] S.D. Bass and A. De Roeck, Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) **105**, 1 (2002).
- [12] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **104**, 445 (1976).
- [13] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **8**, 3497 (1973).
- [14] T. Appelquist and J. Carrazzone, Phys. Rev. D **11**, 2856 (1975).
- [15] W. Bernreuther and W. Wetzel, Nucl. Phys. B **197**, 228 (1982); W. Bernreuther, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **151**, 127 (1983). We set $\text{Tr } I = 4$ for spinor traces in dimensional regularization.
- [16] K.G. Chetyrkin and J.H. Kühn, Z. Phys. C **60**, 497 (1993).
- [17] G. Altarelli and B. Lampe, Z. Phys. C **47**, 315 (1990); S.D. Bass, S.J. Brodsky and I. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 034010 (1999).
- [18] I. Bojak and M. Stratmann, Phys. Lett. B **433**, 411 (1998); Nucl. Phys. B **540**, 345 (1999); hep-ph/0112276.
- [19] S.A. Larin, Phys. Lett. B **303**, 113 (1993); **334**, 192 (1994).
- [20] This includes matching conditions for the b and c masses, to be discussed elsewhere.