

A NEW ANALYSIS OF THE $\pi^+\pi^-$ AND $\pi^0\pi^0$ DATA*

R. KAMIŃSKI, L. LEŚNIAK AND K. RYBICKI

Henryk Niewodniczański Institute of Nuclear Physics,
PL 31-342 Kraków, Poland

November 16, 2018

Abstract

A joint analysis of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$ S-wave amplitudes including results of three experiments has been performed. Using our theoretical model of the $\pi\pi$ production in which not only the pion exchange but also the a_1 meson exchange were taken into account we have obtained a unique solution (called "down-flat") for the scalar-isoscalar phase shifts. Thus a long-standing problem of ambiguities in the S-wave isoscalar amplitude has been finally resolved and the second ("up-flat") solution was eliminated.

PACS 13.60.Le, 13.75Lb, 14.40Cs

Analyses of experiments on the $\pi\pi$ production processes are important sources of information about the properties of the scalar mesons. Our knowledge of the basic parameters for the scalar-isoscalar mesons like $f_0(500)$, $f_0(980)$, $f_0(1370)$ or $f_0(1500)$ is still very limited. This makes tests of the QCD predictions about a possible existence of the scalar glueballs in the 1 to 2 GeV range to be very difficult.

The existence of ambiguities in the $\pi\pi$ S-wave isoscalar amplitude has been a serious problem since more than thirty years. Two experiments on

*Presented by L. Leśniak at the Meson 2002, Seventh International Workshop on Production, Properties and Interaction of Mesons, Cracow, Poland, May 24-28, 2002

the $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$ reaction, both using the 17.2 GeV/c π^- beam, have been performed at CERN already more than 20 years ago [1, 2]. The second experiment was done by the CERN-Cracow-Munich Collaboration on a polarized target. In 1997 we have shown [4] that the data obtained in those two high statistics experiments could be equally well described by at least two different solutions (called "up-flat" and "down-flat") for the $\pi\pi$ S-wave phase shifts corresponding to the isospin 0 amplitude. Fortunately in 2001 new results from the E852 experiment performed at Brookhaven (USA) on the reaction $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$ at 18.3 GeV/c have been published [3]. These $\pi^0\pi^0$ data combined with the previous $\pi^+\pi^-$ results were used to eliminate one of the ambiguous solutions. Below we shall briefly describe a method to achieve this aim using our model of the $\pi\pi$ production [4]. A more complete description of this analysis can be found in [5].

First of all we have merged two low momentum transfer squared $|t|$ bins measured by the E852 Group into a single one which was quite close to the $(0.005 \div 0.20)$ GeV²/c² bin used in the experiments performed at CERN. The $m_{\pi\pi}$ effective mass range chosen in this joint analysis was between 600 and 1460 MeV. Since the E852 collaboration has provided only the numbers of events and not the absolute cross sections we have determined the normalization comparing the intensities of the strongest D_0 wave saturated by the $f_2(1270)$ resonance. The data on the reaction $\pi^+p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+n$ have been used to calculate the $\pi\pi$ scalar isospin amplitude.

At high energies of incoming pions and at small momentum transfers the above three reactions are dominated by the one pion exchange mechanism. However the pseudovector $a_1(1260)$ exchange, although smaller than the one pion exchange, is also present and cannot be neglected. We consider two independent S-wave transversity amplitudes g and h for the reaction $\pi^-p_{\uparrow} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-n$ which differ by a proton or neutron spin projection on the axis perpendicular to the production plane. By g_0 and h_0 we denote similar amplitudes of the reaction $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0n$. All these amplitudes have two components proportional to the off-shell $T(\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi)$ and $t(a_1\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi)$ amplitudes. Both T and t amplitudes have two terms corresponding to isospin 0 or 2 of the pion pair. We use the isospin symmetry to relate different production amplitudes of the final $\pi^+\pi^-$ or $\pi^0\pi^0$ pairs. New E852 results for the S-wave intensity $I_0 = |g_0|^2 + |h_0|^2$ provide us with only one additional constraint at each $m_{\pi\pi}$. This information combined with the knowledge of the amplitudes g and h from [4] is not sufficient to calculate four independent isospin amplitudes T_0, T_2 and t_0, t_2 . To proceed further we have neglected the

isotensor a_1 exchange amplitude t_2 which is presumably the smallest amplitude at low momentum transfers. Then one can directly relate the amplitudes g_0 to g and h_0 to h , namely $g_0 = 0.5C_\pi UT_2 - g$ and $h_0 = 0.5C_\pi U^* T_2 - h$, where C_π and U are known functions [5]. Thus using the amplitudes g and h found in the analysis of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ data and knowing the amplitude T_2 we can calculate the I_0 intensity. The results in the critical $m_{\pi\pi}$ range below the $K\bar{K}$ threshold are shown in Fig. 1. One notices that it is the "down-flat" solution which reasonably agrees with the E852 data. On the other hand the "up-flat" points are too low, especially in the region of $m_{\pi\pi} = (870 \div 970)$ MeV.




Fig. 1: A comparison of the I_0 determined from the $\pi^+\pi^-$ data (open circles) and the normalized S-wave intensity from the E852 $\pi^0\pi^0$ data (full circles)

In [4] the phases of the transversity amplitudes g and h have been obtained essentially from the assumed resonant $\rho(770)$, $f_2(1270)$ and $\rho_3(1690)$ phases. Now we can directly calculate one phase independently for each $m_{\pi\pi}$ using the new $\pi^0\pi^0$ results for I_0 in addition to the $\pi^+\pi^-$ data. In this way one can adjust the phases of g and h to fit simultaneously the $\pi^+\pi^-$ and the $\pi^0\pi^0$ cross sections. Then the $\pi\pi$ scalar-isoscalar phase shifts and inelasticities can be recalculated.

Again for the "down-flat" solution to the $\pi^+\pi^-$ data we have obtained a reasonable behaviour of the inelasticity parameter which, as one should

expect, is close to unity below the $K\bar{K}$ threshold. However, the inelasticity corresponding to the "up-flat" solution strongly deviates from unity. Also the "up-flat" phase shifts have an unphysical minimum at 900 MeV. This is a clear signal that the "up-flat" solution should be rejected. Since the "down-flat" phase shifts and inelasticities behave regularly we accept the "down-flat" solution, resulting from the joint analysis of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\pi^0\pi^0$ data as a unique physical one.

References

- [1] G. Grayer *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 75**, 189 (1974).
- [2] H. Becker *et al.*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 150**, 301 (1979), *Nucl. Phys.* **B 151**, 46 (1979).
- [3] J. Gunter *et al.* (E852 Coll.), *Phys. Rev.* **D 64**, 07003 (2001).
- [4] R. Kamiński, L. Leśniak and K. Rybicki, *Zeit. Phys.* **C 74**, 79 (1997).
- [5] R. Kamiński, L. Leśniak and K. Rybicki, **hep-ph/0109268**, accepted for publication in *Eur. Phys. J. C* (2002).