A More Flavored Higgs Boson in Supersymmetric Models

J. Lorenzo Diaz-Cruz

Instituto de Fisica, BUAP Ap. Postal J-48, Puebla, Pue., 72570 Mexico

Abstract

A more flavored Higgs boson arises when the flavor structure encoded in supersymmetric extensions of the standard model is transmited to the Higgs sector. The flavor-Higgs transmition mechanism can have a radiative or mixing origin, as it is illustrated with several examples, and can produce interesting Higgs signatures that can be probed at future high-energy colliders. Within the minimal SUSY extension of the SM (MSSM), the flavor mediation mechanism is of radiative type, as it is realized through gaugino-sfermion loops, and it transmit the flavor structure of the soft-breaking sector to the Higgs bosons; for this case we evaluate the contributions from general trilinear A-terms to the Lepton Flavor-Violating (LFV) and Flavor-Conserving (LFC) Higgs vertices. On the other hand, as an example of flavor mediation through mixing, we discuss an E_6 -inspired multi-Higgs model, suplemented with an abelian flavor symmetry, where LFV as well as LFC Higgs effects, are found to arise, though in this case at tree-level. We find that Tevatron and LHC can provide information on the flavor structure of these models through the detection of the LFV Higgs mode $h \to \tau \mu$, while NLC can perform high-precision tests of the LFC mode $h \to \tau^+ \tau^-$.

1. Introduction.

One of the most important goals of future high-energy colliders is to detect the Higgs boson, which remmains as the only ingredient left to complete the Standard Model (SM), and whose mass is constrained by SM radiative corrections to lay in the range 110-185 GeV at 95 $\%$ c.l. [\[1\]](#page-15-0). A light higgs boson, with mass $m_h \stackrel{<}{\sim} 125 \text{ GeV}$, is also predicted in weak-scale supersymmetry (SUSY) [\[2\]](#page-15-0), which has become one of the leading candidates for physics beyond the standard model. To be consistent with the experimental data, supersymmetry has to be broken, i.e. the mass spectrum of the superpartners needs to be lifted. SUSY breaking is parametrized in the Minimal Supersymmetric SM (MSSM) by the soft-breaking largrangian, which preserves the ultraviolet properties of exact SUSY. In turn, the combined effects of the large top quark Yukawa coupling and the soft-breaking masses, make possible to induce radiatively the breaking of the electroweak symmetry. The Higgs sector of the MSSM includes two higgs doublets, with the light Higgs boson being perhaps the strongest prediction of the model.

However, after a Higgs signal will be seen, likely at the ongoing or future hadron collider (Tevatron, LHC), it will become crucial to meassure most of its properties, including its mass, spin and couplings, to elucidate its nature; this task is supposed to be possible at the next-linear collider (NLC). In particular, the Higgs coupling to light fermions could be measured at NLC with a precision of a few percent[[3](#page-15-0)], which will allow to constrain the new physics laying beyond the SM. For instance, higher-dimensional operators of the type $\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \bar{Q}_L \Phi b_R$ involving the third family, will generate corrections to the coupling hbb, which in turn will modify the dominant Higgs decay in the light mass range[[4](#page-15-0)]; NLC will have a chance to bound the strength of such operators. However, once we include the 3 families, those operators will induce flavor-changing interactions in general, which can lead to a new set of Higgs signals. Whether the diagonal or the off-diagonal terms will play a more important role, will depend on the underlying model that generates those operators, whereas its detection will also depend on the capabilities of the different high-energy options that are being consider by the high-energy physics community.

The most widely studied scenarios for Higgs searches, assume that the Flavor-Conserving (FC) Higgs-fermion couplings only depend on the diagonalized fermion mass matrices, while flavorviolating (FV) Higgs transitions are absent[[5](#page-15-0)]. However, when one goes beyond the minimal realization for these models, additional fields that do mediate FV transitions often appear[[6](#page-15-0)]. These new fields could also couple to the Higgs boson, either at tree-level or radiatively, which in turn would induce Higgs-FV transitions. In this paper we are interested in studying how the Higgs sector learns about the rich flavor structure encoded in SUSY models; focusing primarly in the leptonic decays of the lightest Higgs boson. As we shall argue below, the flavor-Higgs transmition can be classified according to their origin, as Radiative or Mixing mechanisms. Flavor mediation through mixing could occur in general when extra bosons (fermions) mix with the SM bosons (fermions).

Within the MSSM, it can be shown that flavor-Higgs mediation occurs through gaugino-sfermion loops, i.e. it is of radiative type, and it communicates the non-trivial flavor structure of the softbreaking sector to the Higgs bosons. As an illustration of this case, we shall evaluate the SUSY contributions to the Higgs-lepton vertices, including the slepton mixings coming from the trilinear A_l -terms. The slepton mixing is constrained by the low-energy data, but it mainly suppress the FV's associated with the first two family sleptons, and still allows the flavor-mixings between the second- and third-family sleptons, the smuon $(\tilde{\mu})$ and stau $(\tilde{\tau})$, to be as large as $O(1)$ [\[7\]](#page-15-0). Thus, in this scheeme one can neglect the mixing involving the selectrons, and the general 6×6 slepton-massmatrix reduces down to a 4×4 matrix involving only the $\tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\tau}$ sector, similarly to the squark case first discussed in ref. [\[9](#page-15-0)]. Such pattern of large slepton mixing, can be motivated by considering the large neutrino mixing detected with atmospheric neutrinos, specially in the framework of GUT models.

On the other hand, as an example of flavor-Higgs mediation through mixing, we shall discuss an E_6 -inspired multi-Higgs model, suplemented with an abelian flavor symmetry, where large Higgs-FV effects are also found to arise, though in this case at the tree-level. In this model there is a Higgs pair associated with each family. Then, to generate a realistic flavor structure for both leptons and sleptons [\[25](#page-16-0), [27](#page-16-0)], we include a horizontal $U(1)_H$ symmetry, which at the same time helps to keep under control the FCNC problem. Working in a basis where only one Higgs pair gets v.e.v.'s, we prove that mixing effects between the light MSSM-like Higgs boson and the heavier non-minimal states, induce large tree-level corrections to the leptonic Higgs couplings.

The organization of this paper goes as follows: In Sect. 2 we discuss in general the possibilities for having flavor-Higgs transmition, which includes the radiative and mixing cases. Among the models where flavor-higgs mediation occurs through mixing, we shall discuss briefly the folowing cases: i) the general two-Higgs doublet model (THDM), ii) A model where the SM fermions mix with mirror fermions, iii) Higgs-flavon mixing, and iv) R-parity breaking scenarios. The radiative mechanism is discussed in detail in sect 3, within the context of the MSSM with general trilinear soft-breaking terms. This section includes the evaluation of the loop corrections both to the the lepton-flavor conserving (LFC) $(h \to l_i l_i)$ and the flavor violating (LFV) Higgs modes $(h \to l_i l_j)$. On the other hand, Sect. 4 includes the discussion of the flavor-Higgs mediation within the context of the E_6 -inspired multi-Higgs model. The phenomenological analysis for the capabilities of future colliders to bound the Higgs-FC and -FV transitions that result from this model is included in Sect. 4 too. It is found that the induced Higgs-FV couplings can be significant enough to provide new discovery signals at the on-going Fermilab Tevatron Collider and the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC), which can detect the LFV mode $h \to \tau \mu$, and give information on the flavor structure of the model, while NLC high-precision meassurements can bound the deviations from the SM for the LFC mode $h \to \tau^+\tau^-$. Finally our conclusions are presented in sect. 6.

2. Flavor-Higgs mediation mechanisms.

Given the overwhelming experimental support for the SM at present energies, and the indications of radiative corrections favoring a light Higgs boson, it seems likely that this Higgs will be found sometime soon. Therefore, we can assume that the description of such light Higgs boson will be given by an effective lagrangian, which starts with the SM terms, but it includes additional terms associated with new physics, namely:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Higgs} = \mathcal{L}_{H_{SM}} + \Delta \mathcal{L}_H,\tag{1}
$$

 $\mathcal{L}_{H_{SM}}$ includes the SM Higgs interactions (Gauge, Yukawa and Higgs potential), whereas $\Delta \mathcal{L}_H$ denotes the correction to the Higgs properties due to new physics. The strength and structure of $\Delta \mathcal{L}_H$, will depend on the nature of the new physics choosen by nature at higher energies. For instance, it may include the perturbative effects of heavy particles, after being integrated out, or even be the remmaining manifestation of the underlying mechanism of electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB). Very likely, $\Delta \mathcal{L}_H$ will include corrections to the interactions already present in the SM lagrangian, but it could also include new interactions.

Within the SM, the Higgs boson-fermion couplings are only sensitive to the fermion mass eigenvalues. However, if one considers extensions of the SM, which either present a significant source of flavor-changing transition or are aimed precisely to explain the pattern of masses and mixing angles of the quarks and leptons, then it is quite possible that such physics will include new flavormediating particles and interactions. Furthermore, in the presence of additional fields that have non-aligned couplings to the SM fermions, i.e. which are not diagonalized by the same rotations that diagonalize the fermion mass matrices, and that also couple to the Higgs boson, then such fields could be responsible for transmiting the rich structure of the flavor sector to the Higgs bosons interactions.

Depending on the nature of such new physics, we can identify two possibilities for flavor-Higgs mediation, namely:

- 1. RADIATIVE MEDIATION. In this case the Higgs sector has initially (i.e. at tree-level) diagonal couplings to the fermions. However, in the presence of new particles associated with extended flavor physics, which couple both to the Higgs and to the SM fermions, these flavormediating fields will induce corrections to the Yukawa couplings and/or new FCNC proccess at loop level. This case will be discused in great detail in the forthcoming section, within the context of the MSSM with general trilinear terms.
- 2. *MIXING MEDIATION*. Modifications to the Higgs-flavor structure can also arise when additional particles mix with the SM ones. Such new particles could be either bosons or fermions. The possibility of having scalar flavor-mediation arise when one considers new scalars with large FV couplings, these new interactions are then transmited to the Higgs sector, through scalar-Higgs mixing. Alternatively, mixing of SM fermions with exotic ones could also induce Higgs-FV couplings. Both possibilities are illustrated next with several examples.

One model of Fermion-induced flavor-mediation was discussed in our previous paper [\[10](#page-15-0)], where it was shown that the presence of new mirror fermions can mix with the SM fermions, and induce large corrections to the SM flavor structure; in particular it allows for the presence of large Higgs-FV couplings. Besides having to satisfy the low-energy constrains, it turns out that these new interactions could be tested with the decay $h \to \tau\mu$. The importance of this LFV Higgs mode was presented in refs.[[11, 12\]](#page-16-0), while subsequent work[[13](#page-16-0), [14, 16\]](#page-16-0) further explored the possibilities to detect it at future colliders.

The widely studied two-Higgs doublet model-III (THDM-III) could also be considered as one case of scalar-induced flavor-Higgs mediation. In a basis where one Higgs doublet aquires a v.e.v., which resembles the SM Higgs, the fermionic couplings of the second doublet will induce large FV transitions, then through the mixing of both Higgs doublets, the light SM-like Higgs boson will aquire such FV interactions[[6](#page-15-0), [17](#page-16-0), [18](#page-16-0)].

Another example of scalar Flavor-Higgs mediation occurs when the flavon fields (S) , which appear in the Froggart-Nielsen scheeme aimed to generate the hierarchy of fermion masses and mixing angles, mixes with the light SM higgs. In some cases, the flavor scale (Λ) can be close to the electroweak-scale, and the flavon S could mix with the Higgs doublet (Φ) , for instance through a quartic term of the type $S^{\dagger}S\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi$, which in turn will induce Higgs-FV couplings of the form: $(m_{l_i} + m_{l_j})/\Lambda$. SUSY models with R-parity breaking provide another example of scalar-induced flavor mediation; in this case the sneutrino fields can aquire a v.e.v., which violates lepton number, and this could be transmited to the Higgs sector by sneutrino-Higgs mixing. Models where such mixing could appear were discussed some time ago in Ref.[[19\]](#page-16-0).

In sect. 5 of this paper, we shall discuss another model where scalar flavor-Higgs mediation is realized. It is an E_6 -inspired multi-Higgs model, where large LFV-Higgs effects are also found to arise, though in this case at the tree-level. The model includes a Higgs pair associated with each

family, and is suplemented with an abelian flavor symmetry $U(1)_H$ that generates a realistic flavor structure for both quarks and leptons, via proper powers of a single suppression factor[[25, 27\]](#page-16-0). This symmetry also helps to keep under control the usual FCNC problem that appears in multi-Higgs models, whenever each scalar field couples to both u- and d-type fermions. Working in a basis where only one Higgs pair gets v.e.v.'s, we prove that mixing effects between the light MSSM-like Higgs boson and the heavier non-minimal states, induce large tree-level corrections to the leptonic Higgs couplings.

In summary: our previous discussion illustrates that the appearence of Higgs-FV couplings is quite a generic phenomena associated with flavor physics beyond the SM, and in fact it can be used to probe several aspects of the flavor problem. Although we shall explore the consequences of the flavor-Higgs mediation mechanisms for the leptonic Higgs couplings, it can also be applied to the quark sector. In fact, implications for B-physics were discussed first in ref.[[20\]](#page-16-0), mainly in the minimal SUSY-GUT context. Top quark physics was discussed in our previous work [\[9\]](#page-15-0) for the MSSM, where charged Higgs production through cb fusion was studied too. A more systematic evaluation of other rare top quark decays[[21, 22](#page-16-0)], will appear elsewhere [\[23](#page-16-0)].

3. Flavor-Higgs transmition within the Minimal Supersymmetric Model

For good reasons, weak-scale supersymmetry has become one of the leading candidates for physics beyond the standard model (SM), notably by sensibly explaining electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB). Being a new fundamental space-time symmetry, SUSY necessarily extends the SM flavor structure by including superpartners for all fermions, and thus it adds further puzzles to the flavor sector. Within the Minimal Supersymmetric SM (MSSM) SUSY is broken softly, in a manner that mantains its ultraviolet properties, while respecting the phenomenological constraints. However, the soft breaking sector of the MSSM is often problematic with low-energy flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) data without making specific assumptions about its free parameters. One of the most popular assumptions is the universality of squark masses and proportionality of the trilinear A-terms to the fermion Yukawa couplings. This is however not a generic feature, and certain forms of non-diagonal A-terms were studied recently [\[33](#page-17-0), [34,](#page-17-0) [9\]](#page-15-0). Evolution from a high-energy scale, such as the GUT scale, is one possible source that generates non-minimal soft-breaking terms. Moreover, in models that also attempt to adress the flavor problem, the sfermion soft-terms may reflect the underlying flavor symmetry of the fermion sector. The soft-terms flavor structure could then be transmited radiatively to the Higgs sector, through gaugino-sfermion loops, which is the focus of our paper. We shall evaluate here the corrections to the h^0 leptonic coupling, including both the lepton flavor violating (LFV) $(h \to l_i l_j)$ and the lepton-conserving (LFC) $(h \to l_i l_i)$ decay modes.

3.1. The MSSM with Non-Diagonal A-Terms.

To evaluate the strength of the radiative Flavor-Higgs transmition in the MSSM, we shall discuss first the form that the slepton mass matrices and the Higgs-slepton and gaugino-lepton-slepton interactions, take when expressed in the sfermion mass eigenstate basis.

The MSSM soft-breaking slepton sector contains the following quadratic mass-terms and trilinear A-terms:

$$
-\widetilde{L}_i^{\dagger}(M_{\widetilde{L}}^2)_{ij}\widetilde{E}_j - \widetilde{E}_i^{\dagger}(M_{\widetilde{E}}^2)_{ij}\widetilde{E}_j + (A_l^{ij}\widetilde{L}_iH_d\widetilde{E}_j + \text{c.c.}),\tag{2}
$$

 L_i and E_j denote the doublet and singlet slepton fields, respectively, with $i, j (= 1, 2, 3)$ being the

family indices. For the charged slepton sector, this gives a generic 6×6 mass matrix,

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_u^2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} M_{LL}^2 & M_{LR}^2 \\ M_{LR}^2 & M_{RR}^2 \end{array} \right),\tag{3}
$$

where

$$
M_{LL}^2 = M_{\tilde{L}}^2 + M_l^2 + \frac{1}{6} \cos 2\beta (4m_w^2 - m_z^2),
$$

\n
$$
M_{RR}^2 = M_{\tilde{E}}^2 + M_l^2 + \frac{2}{3} \cos 2\beta \sin^2 \theta_w m_z^2,
$$

\n
$$
M_{LR}^2 = A_l v \sin \beta / \sqrt{2} - M_l \mu \cot \beta,
$$
\n(4)

with $m_{w,z}$ denoting the masses of (W^{\pm}, Z^0) and M_l being the lepton mass matrix. For convenience, we will choose a basis where $M_l (= M_l^{\text{diag}})$ is diagonal.

In our *minimal* scheme, we consider all large LFV to *solely* come from non-diagonal A_l in the slepton-sector, in a manner that respects the low-energy constrains, which in fact allow the flavormixingsbetween the smuon $(\tilde{\mu})$ and stau $(\tilde{\tau})$, to be as large as $O(1)$ [[7](#page-15-0)]. Furthermore, such large mixing could be associated with the large $\nu_{\mu} - \nu_{\tau}$ mixing observed in atmospheric neutrinos [\[8\]](#page-15-0). Thus, we can neglect the mixing between selectrons and the other sleptons. In such minimal FCNC schemes the general 6×6 slepton-mass-matrix reduces down to a 4×4 matrix involving only the $\tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\tau}$ sector, similarly to the quark sector discussed in ref. [\[9\]](#page-15-0). Thus, we define at the weak scale,

$$
A_l = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & y & 1 \end{array}\right) A_0, \tag{5}
$$

where, x and y can be of $O(1)$, representing a naturally large flavor-mixing in the $\tilde{\mu} - \tilde{\tau}$ sector. Moreover, identifying the non-diagonal A_l as the only source of the observable LFV phenomena implies the slepton-mass-matrices $M_{\tilde{L}, \tilde{E}}^2$ in Eqs. (3)-(4) to be nearly diagonal. For simplicity, we define

$$
M_{LL}^2 \simeq M_{RR}^2 \simeq \tilde{m}_0^2 \mathbf{I}_{3 \times 3},\tag{6}
$$

with \widetilde{m}_0 being a common scale for the scalar-masses [[26\]](#page-16-0).

Within this minimal scheme, we observe that the first family sleptons $\tilde{e}_{L,R}$ decouple from the rest in (3) so that, in the slepton basis $(\tilde{\mu}_L, \tilde{\mu}_R, \tilde{\tau}_L, \tilde{\tau}_R)$, the 6×6 mass-matrix is reduced to the following 4×4 matrix,

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\tilde{l}}^2 = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \widetilde{m}_0^2 & 0 & 0 & A_x \\ 0 & \widetilde{m}_0^2 & A_y & 0 \\ 0 & A_y & \widetilde{m}_0^2 & X_\tau \\ A_x & 0 & X_\tau & \widetilde{m}_0^2 \end{array} \right) \tag{7}
$$

where

$$
A_x = x\hat{A}, \quad A_y = y\hat{A}, \quad \hat{A} = Av\sin\beta/\sqrt{2}, \quad X_\tau = \hat{A} - \mu m_\tau \tan\beta. \tag{8}
$$

The reduced slepton mass matrix (7) has 6 zero-entries in total and is simple enough to allow an exact diagonalization. Therefore, when evaluating loop amplitudes one can use the exact slepton mass-diagonalization, without invoking the popular but crude mass-insertion approximation.

We have worked out the general diagonalization of (7) for any (x, y) . The mass-eigenvalues of the eigenstates $(\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\tau}_1, \tilde{\tau}_2)$ are,

$$
M_{\tilde{\mu}1,2}^2 = \tilde{m}_0^2 \mp \frac{1}{2} |\sqrt{\omega_+} - \sqrt{\omega_-}|,
$$

\n
$$
M_{\tilde{\tau}1,2}^2 = \tilde{m}_0^2 \mp \frac{1}{2} |\sqrt{\omega_+} + \sqrt{\omega_-}|,
$$
\n(9)

where $\omega_{\pm} = X_{\tau}^2 + (A_x \pm A_y)^2$. From ([9](#page-5-0)), we can deduce the mass-spectrum of the smuon-stau sector as

$$
M_{\tilde{\tau}1} < M_{\tilde{\mu}1} < M_{\tilde{\mu}2} < M_{\tilde{\tau}2} \,. \tag{10}
$$

The 4×4 rotation matrix of the diagonalization is given by,

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\tilde{\mu}_L \\
\tilde{\mu}_R \\
\tilde{\tau}_L \\
\tilde{\tau}_R\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\nc_1c_3 & c_1s_3 & s_1s_4 & s_1c_4 \\
-c_2s_3 & c_2c_3 & s_2c_4 & -s_2s_4 \\
-s_1c_3 & -s_1s_3 & c_1s_4 & c_1c_4 \\
s_2s_3 & -s_2c_3 & c_2c_4 & -c_2s_4\n\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}\n\tilde{\mu}_1 \\
\tilde{\mu}_2 \\
\tilde{\tau}_1 \\
\tilde{\tau}_2\n\end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(11)

with

$$
s_{1,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[1 - \frac{X_t^2 \mp A_x^2 \pm A_y^2}{\sqrt{\omega_+ \omega_-}} \right]^{1/2}, \quad s_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \tag{12}
$$

and $s_3 = 0$ (if $xy = 0$), or, $s_3 = 1/\sqrt{2}$ (if $xy \neq 0$), where $s_j^2 + c_j^2 = 1$.

Fig. 1 shows the resulting slepton spectra for typical soft-breaking parameters in the range 0.3-0.9 TeV, $m_A = 200 \text{ GeV}$, and $\tan \beta = 5, 20, 40$. We can observe that both $\tilde{\tau}_1$ and $\tilde{\tau}_2$ differ significantly from the common scalar mass \tilde{m}_0 . Furthermore, the stau $\tilde{\tau}_1$ can be as light as about 100 − 300 GeV, which will have an important effect in the loop calculations. Furthermore, even for $x \approx 0.5$ the smuon masses can differ from \widetilde{m}_0 by as much as 30-50 GeV; with these mass values the slepton phenomenolgy would have to be reconsidered, since one is not allowed to sum over all the selectrons and smuons when evaluating slepton cross-sections, as it is usually assumed in the constrained MSSM.

3.2. Higgs-sfermion and gaugino-sfermion interactions

To describe the radiative flavor-Higgs mixing, we need to discuss the slepton-Higgs and gaugino vertices in the mass-eigenstate basis. We shall present formulae for the light Higgs boson (h) , though the generalization to the full Higgs spectrum is strightforward. In terms of interaction states, the lagrangian that describes the Higgs-slepton-slepton vertices can be written as:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{h\tilde{l}\tilde{l}} = h^0[\rho_L \tilde{l}_{Li}^* \tilde{l}_{Li} + \rho_R \tilde{l}_{Ri}^* \tilde{l}_{Ri} + (\rho_{LR} \tilde{l}_{Li}^* \frac{A_{ij}}{A_0} \tilde{l}_{Rj} + h.c.)] \tag{13}
$$

where:

$$
\rho_L = g_Z m_Z \sin(\alpha + \beta)(\frac{1}{2} + s_W^2) \n\rho_R = g_Z m_Z \sin(\alpha + \beta)(-s_W^2) \n\rho_{LR} = \frac{A_0 \sin \alpha}{\sqrt{2}},
$$
\n(14)

and A_{ij} given by eq. (5). Then, we can transform this lagrangian from the weak basis $(\tilde{\mu}_L, \tilde{\mu}_R, \tilde{\tau}_L, \tilde{\tau}_R)$ to the mass-eigenstate basis $(\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\tau}_1, \tilde{\tau}_2)$. The result can be written in terms of a 3 × 3 rotated coupling matrix: $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{H}_{\beta\alpha} = O\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha\beta}O^T$, where \tilde{O} denotes the rotation matrix appearing in Eq. (11), and

$$
\tilde{\rho} = \begin{bmatrix}\n\rho_L & 0 & 0 & x\rho_{LR} \\
0 & \rho_R & y\rho_{LR} & 0 \\
0 & y\rho_{LR} & \rho_L & \rho_{LR} \\
x\rho_{LR} & 0 & \rho_{LR} & \rho_R\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n(15)

The resulting Higgs-slepton couplings can be expressed then as:

$$
\mathcal{L} = h^0(\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\tau}_1, \tilde{\tau}_2) \mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\tau}_1, \tilde{\tau}_2)^T
$$
(16)

Similarly, the interaction between gauginos and lepton-slepton pairs can be rotated to the masseigenstate basis too. The result can be expressed as follows:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{int} = \bar{\chi}_m^0 [\eta_{\alpha k}^{mL} P_L + \eta_{\alpha k}^{mR} P_R] \tilde{l}_{\alpha} l_k + h.c.
$$
\n(17)

where χ_m^0 denotes the neutralinos (m=1,..4), while \tilde{l}_{α} correspond to the mass-eigenstate sleptons. The factors $\eta_{\alpha k}^{mN}$ are obtained after one substitute the rotation matrices for both the neutralinos and sleptons in the interaction lagrangian.

To carry out the forthcoming analyisis of LFV Higgs transitions, we choose to work with the simplified case $x = y$, which gives: $c_1 = c_2 = c_{\tilde{l}}$, $s_1 = s_2 = s_{\tilde{l}}$ and $c_3 = s_3 = c_4 = s_4 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$. The corresponding expresion for the matrix $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta}$, is given by,

$$
\mathcal{H}_{11} = \rho_L + \rho_R + 2\rho_{LR}(s_i^2 + 2s_i c_i x)
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}_{12} = (\rho_L - \rho_R)(s_i^2 - c_i^2)
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}_{13} = 2\rho_{LR}[s_i c_i - (s_i^2 - c_i^2)]
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}_{14} = (\rho_L - \rho_R) 2s_i c_i
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}_{22} = \rho_L + \rho_R + 2\rho_{LR}(-s_i^2 + 2s_i c_i x)
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}_{23} = (\rho_L - \rho_R) 2s_i c_i
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}_{24} = 2\rho_{LR}[-s_i c_i - (s_i^2 - c_i^2)]
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}_{33} = \rho_L + \rho_R + 2\rho_{LR}(c_i^2 - 2s_i c_i x)
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}_{34} = (\rho_L - \rho_R)(s_i^2 - c_i^2)
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{H}_{44} = \rho_L + \rho_R + 2\rho_{LR}(-c_i^2 - 2s_i c_i x)
$$
\n(18)

On the other hand, the expresions for $\eta_{\alpha k}^{mL,R}$, simplify further for the case when the neutralino is taken as the bino, which we will assume in the caculation of Higgs LFV decays; the resulting coefficients $(\eta_{\alpha k}^{L,R})$ are shown in table 1.

Table 1. Slepton-lepton-neutralino couplings $(\eta_{\alpha k}^{mN})$ for the case when $x = y$ and $\chi_1^0 = \tilde{B}$.

(l_{α},l_k)	$\eta_{\alpha k}$	$\eta_{\alpha k}^R$
$(\tilde{\mu}_1,\mu)$	$-c_{\tilde{l}}\frac{g_1}{2}$	$-c_{\tilde{l}}g_1$
$(\tilde{\mu}_1,\tau)$	$s_{\tilde{l}} \frac{g_1}{2}$	$s_{\tilde{l}}g_1$
$(\tilde{\mu}_2,\mu)$	$-c_{\tilde{l}}\frac{g_1}{2}$	$-c_{\tilde{l}}g_1$
$(\tilde{\mu}_2,\tau)$	$s_{\tilde{l}} \frac{g_1}{2}$	$-s_{\tilde{l}}g_1$
$(\tilde{\tau}_1,\mu)$	$-s_{\tilde{l}}\frac{g_1}{2}$	$s_{\tilde{l}}g_1$
$(\tilde{\tau}_1,\tau)$	$-c_{\tilde{l}}\frac{g_1}{2}$	$c_{\tilde{l}}g_1$
$(\tilde{\tau}_2,\mu)$	$-s_{\tilde{l}}\frac{g_1}{2}$	$-s_{\tilde{l}}g_1$
$(\tilde{\tau}_2,\tau)$	$-c_{\tilde{l}}\frac{g_1}{2}$	$-c_{\tilde{l}}g_1$

3.3. Bounds on the LFV parameters from $l_i \rightarrow l_j + \gamma$

Although the slepton mixing is constrained by low-energy data, it mainly suppress the LFV's associated with the first two family sleptons, but still allows the flavor-mixings between the secondand third-family sleptons, the smuon $(\tilde{\mu})$ and stau $(\tilde{\tau})$, to be as large as $O(1)$ [\[7](#page-15-0)]. Here, we are interested in obtaining bounds on the parameters x and m_0 , applying the exact slepton massdiagonalization to evaluate the LFV transition $\tau \to \mu + \gamma$. In our scheeme, since the selectron decouples form the other sleptons, it is not possible to induce LFV transitions for the first family.

Using the interaction lagrangian (15), one can rewrite the general expressions for the SUSY contributions to the decays $l_i \rightarrow l_j + \gamma$ given in Ref. [\[28\]](#page-16-0). The expression for the decay width $\Gamma(\tau \to \mu + \gamma)$, including the bino-smuon and stau contributions, has the form:

$$
\Gamma(\tau \to \mu + \gamma) = \frac{\alpha m_{\tau}^{5}}{4\pi} [\sum_{\alpha} |A_{L\alpha}|^{2} + |A_{R\alpha}|^{2}]
$$
\n(19)

where

$$
A_{R\alpha} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2 m_{\tilde{l}_{\alpha}}^2} [\eta_{\tilde{l}_{\alpha}\tau}^R \eta_{\tilde{l}_{\alpha}\mu}^R f_1(x_{\alpha}) + \eta_{slp_{\alpha}\tau}^R \eta_{\tilde{l}_{\alpha}\mu}^L \frac{m_{\tilde{B}}}{m_{\tau}} f_2(x_{\alpha})] \tag{20}
$$

with $x_{\alpha} = m_{\tilde{B}}^2/m_{\tilde{l}_{\alpha}}^2$, and the functions $f_{1,2}(x_{\alpha})$ are given in ref. [\[28](#page-16-0)]. $A_{L\alpha}$ is obtained by making the substitutions $L \to R, R \to L$ in Eq. (20).

The decay width depends on the SUSY parameters, and determining the allowed region in the plane $x - \widetilde{m}_0$ seems the most convenient choice. Using the current bound $B.R.(\tau \to \mu + \gamma) < 10^{-6}$ gives the exclusion limits shown in fig. 2. We can see that for values of the scalar mass parameter $\widetilde{m}_0 \simeq 200$ GeV, the proportionality solution to the SUSY flavor problem is at work, while for heavier masses, i.e. $\tilde{m}_0 \simeq 650 \text{ GeV}$, it is the decoupling solution the one that works. For $\tilde{m}_0 \simeq 600 \text{ GeV}$, and $\tan \beta = 5$, x is allowed to be as large as 2.5, which will induce a large slepton mixing and Higgs-FV transitions, that could possibly be detectable.

On the other hand, SUSY contributions to the the muon anomalous magnetic moment Δa_{μ} , can also be discussed in this framework, but given the present uncertainties regarding the hadronic corrections, it is enough to consider only the LFV tau decay bound.

3.4. The corrections to $h^0 l_i l_j$ and $h^0 l_i l_i$ Vertex

Large SUSY correction to the Yukawa couplings, in particular those related to the top and bottom couplings that arise in the large $\tan \beta$, have been studied in the literature [\[29\]](#page-16-0), while the flavor changing Higgs couplings that arise in the u- and d-type quark sector were studied in [\[9\]](#page-15-0) and [\[20](#page-16-0)], respectively. Before describing the full SUSY corrections to the Yukawa matrices within our framework, it is convenient to discuss them using the notation of ref. [\[30](#page-17-0)]. There, the tree-level lepton Yukawa matrix h_{ij}^l is modified by radiative corrections, but in such a way that the leptons couple to both Higgs doublets H_d and H_u . Namely,

$$
\mathcal{L}_Y = \bar{E}_i[h_{ij}^l + \delta h_{ij}^l] H_d L_j + \bar{E}_i (\Delta h^l)_{ij} H_u L_j + h.c.
$$
\n(21)

where L_i, E_j denote the three-family lepton doublets and singlets, respectively.

The soft-brealing terms A_{ij}^l contribute to δh_{ij}^l through the slepton-gaugino loops, thereby realizing the *radiative* flavor-Higgs mediation mechanism. One can show that for most regions of parameter space the corrections to the lepton masses are proportional to $\cos \beta$ and are thus supressed for large tan β . Moreover, it can be shown that the corresponding corrections to the diagonal Higgslepton couplings are negligible too. Although one could expect that the FV Higgs-lepton couplings are also supressed, it turns out that this is not the case, and the correspoding LFV Higgs decays, such as $h \to \tau \mu$, are induced at appreciable rates. Furthermore, one does not need to have very large LFV couplings, since the striking characteristics of the LFV Higgs signals will facilitate its observation at future colliders.

On the other hand, the SUSY-conserving (F- and D-terms) do contribute to Δh_{ij}^l , and may be enhanced for large $\tan \beta$. However, one can see that such correction are dominantly flavorconserving, because the $H_u - l_i - l_i$ couplings are diagonal in flavor space. Thus, Eq. (18) provides a consistent treatment of the radiative flavor-Higgs mediation. Namely, one can use the dominant correction contained in Eq. (21) to describe the LFC Higgs interactions $h\tau^+\tau^-$, as it was done in Ref.[[30](#page-17-0)]. In fact, their numerical results show that these corrections are observable only for a limited region of parameter space.

An estimate the LFV Higgs couplings hl_il_j , could be obtained along these lines, using only the approximate expression for δh_{ij} . However, to take advantage of having a more precise sfermion mass diagonalization, we prefer to perform a complete loop calculation, which allows us to study the effect of the slepton mass-eigenstates in the loop amplitude. Thus, we shall write the vertex $hl_i l_j$ as follows:

$$
g_{hl_i l_j} = [(F_L)_{ij} P_L + (F_R)_{ij} P_R]
$$
\n(22)

i.e. in terms of the form factors $F_{L,R}$. Due to the small lepton masses, one can safely neglect the contribution from the self-energy corrections (from smuon-bino and stau-bino loops), and thus $F_{L,R}$ contains only the vertex corrections (from smuon-stau-bino triangle loops). ¹

In our minimal FC scheme, with large smuon-stau mixing, the SUSY slepton-bino loops can induce the LFV higgs decay $h \to \tau \mu$. It is known that the branching ratio for this mode, within

¹Additional graphs involving charginos and sneutrinos are neglected here, mainly to reduce the number of parameters, which is also equivalent to assume that sneutrinos are much heavier than charged sleptons.

thecontext of the SM with light neutrinos, is extremely small, $(\lesssim 10^{-7} - 10^{-8})$ [[11](#page-16-0)], so that this channel becomes an excellent window for probing new physics[[11, 13](#page-16-0), [16](#page-16-0), [14](#page-16-0)].

Therefore, the one-loop SUSY-EW induced amplitude for $h^0 \to l_i l_j$ is given by:

$$
A(h \to l_i l_j) = i \overline{u}_i(k_2) \left(F_L P_L + F_R P_R \right) u_j(k_1), \qquad (23)
$$

The resulting expressions for $F_{L,R}$, including only the vertex corrections, are:

$$
F_L^V = \frac{g_1^2 m_{\tilde{B}}}{32\pi^2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{jk}^L C_0(m_h^2, m_\tau^2, 0; m_{\tilde{l}_\alpha}, m_{\tilde{B}}, m_{\tilde{l}_\beta}),
$$

\n
$$
F_R^V = \frac{g_1^2 m_{\tilde{B}}}{32\pi^2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^R C_0(m_h^2, m_t^2, 0; m_{\tilde{l}_\alpha}, m_{\tilde{B}}, m_{\tilde{l}_\beta}),
$$
\n(24)

where $\tilde{l}_{\alpha,\beta} \in (\tilde{\mu}_1, \tilde{\mu}_2, \tilde{\tau}_1, \tilde{\tau}_2)$, C_0 denotes the 3-point C-function of Passarino-Veltman. $\lambda_{\alpha k}^{L,R}$ is the product of the relevant $h\tilde{l}_{\alpha}\tilde{l}_{\beta}$ and $\tilde{l}_{\alpha}\tilde{B}\tau(\mu)$ couplings, i.e. $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^L = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta}\eta_{\alpha\mu}^L \eta_{\beta\tau}^{R*}$ and $\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^R = \mathcal{H}_{\alpha\beta}\eta_{\alpha\mu}^R \eta_{\beta\tau}^{L*}$.

Finally, the width for the decay procces $h^0 \to l_i l_j$ (adding both final states $l_i^+ l_j^-$ and $l_i^- l_j^+$) is given by:

$$
\Gamma(h \to l_i l_j) = \frac{m_h}{8\pi} (|F_L|2 + |F_R|^2), \qquad (25)
$$

On the other hand, if we were interested in using a lagrangian of type (1) to describe the light Higgs boson, we would have to work in the decoupling limit, namely when the remmaining Higgs sector is very heavy $(m_A \gg m_Z)$, though in fact this can be achieved even for moderate masses of order $m_A \stackrel{\textstyle >}{\sim} 600$ GeV. Results for the branching ratio of the LFV Higgs mode are shown in table 2 for several combinations of parameters, which are consistent with the bounds obained from the LFV tau decay.

Table 2. Br[$h \to \tau \mu$] is shown for a sample set of SUSY inputs with $(\mu, m_A) = (0.2, 0.3)$ TeV, $A = \frac{\tilde{m}_0}{2}$ and tan $\beta = 5(10)$. The numbers in each entry are obtained using the maximum value $x_{max} (\simeq 1.2 - 3.0)$ allowed for the given set of SUSY parameters.

$m_{\tilde{B}}$	$\widetilde{m}_0 = 450 \text{ GeV}$	$\widetilde{m}_0 = 600 \text{ GeV}$
	150 GeV 1.1×10^{-7} (3.0×10^{-8}) 5.0×10^{-5} (1.2×10^{-5})	
	300 GeV $\mid 3.1 \times 10^{-7}$ (8.0×10^{-8}) $\mid 8.0 \times 10^{-5}$ (2.1×10^{-5})	
	600 GeV $\mid 5.3 \times 10^{-5}$ $(1.4 \times 10^{-5}) \mid 4.4 \times 10^{-4}$ (1.2×10^{-4})	

In order to study the possibility to detect the LFV higgs decays at hadron colliders, one can use the gluon-fusion mechanism for single Higgs production. Assuming that the production crosssection is of similar strength to the SM case, about 1.2 pb for $m_H = 125$ GeV at Tevatron, it will allow to produce 12,000 Higgs bosons with an integrated luminosity of 10 fb^{-1} . Thus, for $B.R.(H \to \tau \mu/\tau e) \simeq 10^{-1} - 10^{-2}$ Tevatron can produce 1200-120 events. While at LHC, it will bepossible to produce about 10^6 Higgs bosons through the gluon fusion mechanism [[38\]](#page-17-0), with an integrated luminosity of 100 fb−¹ . Then, to determine the detectability of the signal, we need to study the main backgrounds to the $h \to \tau \mu$ signal, which are dominated by Drell-Yan tau pair and WW pair production. In Ref.[[13](#page-16-0)] it was proposed to reconstruct the hadronic and electronic tau decays, assuming the following cuts: i) For the transverse muon and jet momentum: $p_T^{\mu} > m_h/5$, $p_T^{\pm} > 10$ GeV, ii) Jet rapidity for Tevatron (LHC): $|\eta| < 2(2.5)$, iii) The angle between the missing transverse momentum and the muon direction: $\phi(\mu, \pm) > 160^{\circ}$. The resulting bounds on the LFV higgs couplings, can be expressed as a minimum b.r. required to have a 3σ signal, as shown in table 3.

Table 3. Minimum $B.R.(h \to \mu\tau)$ that can allow detection of the LFV Higgs decays. Results are shown for Tevatron Run-2 with 20 (60) fb^{-1} , and the LHC with 10 (100) fb^{-1} , for $m_h = 125$ GeV.

ำบา-2		
$\mid B.R._{min} \mid 5. \times 10^{-2}$ $(3. \times 10^{-2}) \mid 5. \times 10^{-3}$ $(8. \times 10^{-4})$		

For the region of parameter space where $m_{\tilde{B}} \simeq \tilde{m}_0 \simeq 600 \text{GeV}$ and low tan β , we can obtain $B.R. \simeq 4 \times 10^{-4}$, which is several orders of magnitude larger than the SM result, and only about one-halfof the value required to get a 3σ signal at LHC [[13, 14](#page-16-0)]. This result can be taken as a motivation to look for further improvements in the search strategy to discriminate the signal from the SM backgrounds, or to consider several running years to enhance the luminosity[[15](#page-16-0)]. These decay branching ratios are very sensitive to the mixing parameter x . One reason is that the branching ratio (or decay width) contains, besides other mass-diagonalization effects, a power factor x^2 associated with the Higgs-FV coupling that appears in the triangle loops. Another reason is that unlike the usual analyses with mass-insertion approximation, we have performed exact slepton mass diagonalization, so that staus and/or smuons can have significant mass-splittings, as was also shown in Fig. 1.

4. Higgs sector in an E_6 -inspired Model with a Horizontal $U(1)$ Symmetry

Multi-Higgs SUSY models are particularly motivated by E_6 unification models, where a Higgs pair could be associated with each family; so to say: each generation requires its own Higgs sector [\[36](#page-17-0)]. If one assumes that the Higgs-Yukawa superpotential does not permits intergenerational couplings for the Higgs superfield, then the phenomenology of the flavor-Higgs sector of the models is quite simple: there are no FCNC mediated by scalars, although a reach phenomenology associated with the multiple Higgs particles will arise.

On the other hand, one can provide a more theoretically compelling construction for the flavor sector of such model, based upon a minimal family symmetry. This attractive approach makes use of the simplest horizontal $U(1)_H$ symmetry to generate a realistic flavor structure of both fermions and sfermions, via proper powers of a single suppression factor[[25](#page-16-0), [27](#page-16-0)]. For convenience, we define the suppression factor $\epsilon = \langle S \rangle / \Lambda$ to have a similar size as the Wolfenstein-parameter λ in the CKM matrix,i.e., $\epsilon \simeq \lambda \simeq 0.22$ [[27\]](#page-16-0). Here, $\langle S \rangle$ denotes the vacuum expectation value of a singlet scalar S, responsible for spontaneous $U(1)_H$ breaking, and Λ is the scale at which the $U(1)_H$ breaking is mediated to light fermions. In general, the supermultiplets of three-family fermion/sfermions may

carry different $U(1)_H$ charges. The Yukawa lagrangian, which is obtained from the superpotential of the model, is given by:

$$
\mathcal{L}_Y = \bar{U}_i Y_{ij}^u H_\alpha^u Q_j - \bar{D}_i Y_{ij}^d H_\alpha^d Q_j - \bar{E}_i Y_{ij}^l H_\alpha^d L_j \tag{26}
$$

where $H_{\alpha}^{u,d}$ ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$) denote the three Higgs pairs of the model.

We choose to work in a basis where only $H_3^{u,d} = H_{u,d}$ aquires a v.e.v., $(< H_{u,d}^0> = v_{u,d})$. Then, assuming that all Higgs pairs have vanishing charges under the flavor symmetry $U(1)_F$, we can induce Yukawa couplings that satisfy current data on quark-masses and CKM angles (which can all be counted in powers of $\lambda = 0.2$, with the set of $U(1)_H$ quantum numbers that appear in Table 4. We are also considering here $\tan \beta \sim O(1)$.

 Q_1 Q_2 Q_3 | \overline{u}_1 \overline{u}_2 \overline{u}_3 | d_1 d_2 d_3 | H_a H_a S $\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} h_1 & h_2 & h_3 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 & \xi & \xi' & \gamma \end{array}$ 4 3 0 3 0 0 4 3 3 0 0 −1

Table 4. Quantum number assignments are derived with tan $\beta \sim O(1)$.

For instance, the resulting up-quark mass-matrix takes the form of

$$
M_u \sim \frac{v_u}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda^7 & \lambda^4 & \lambda^4 \\ \lambda^6 & \lambda^3 & \lambda^3 \\ \lambda^3 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right),\tag{27}
$$

whicih gives the correct spectrum indeed.

On the other hand, since the neutral lepton sector is less constrained, and only recently the experimental facilities have started to provide data on the neutrino sector[[8](#page-15-0)], we choose to work only with the two-flavor case, namely with the tau and muon leptons. Again, working in the basis where only $H_3^{u,d} = H^{u,d}$ aquires a v.e.v., the charged lepton mass matrix is: $M_l = \frac{v_d}{\sqrt{2}} Y^l$. Then, using the following flavor-symmetry charges : $(h_2, h_3) = (2, 2)$ and $(\beta_2, \beta_3) = (3, 1)$, for the lepton doublet and singlet, respectively, we obtain the following charged lepton mass matrix:

$$
M_l \sim \frac{v_d}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{array}{cc} \lambda^5 & \lambda^5 \\ \lambda^3 & \lambda^3 \end{array} \right),\tag{28}
$$

This mass matrix can be diagonalized by a simple 2x2 rotation matrix parametrized by a mixing angle θ_l , and it can be verified that this gives the correct order of magnitude for the charged lepton masses, nameley $m_{\mu} \simeq m_{\tau} \lambda^2 \simeq \lambda^5 v_d$. Then, the "Yukawa matrices" that generate Higgs-lepton interactions for the remmaining Higgs doublets $H_{1,2}^d$, which do not contribute to lepton masses, are given to leading order by:

$$
Y_{1,2}^l = \frac{v_d}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} O(\lambda^5) & O(\lambda^5) \\ z_{1,2} \lambda^3 & \lambda^3 \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (29)

We have included the factors $z_{1,2}$ to parametrize the $O(1)$ coefficients left undetermined by the FN approach. After rotating to the lepton mass-eigenstate basis, we obtain the following Higgs-lepton interaction lagrangian:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{int} = \frac{\lambda^3 (1+z_i)}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\tau} H_i^d \tau + \frac{\lambda^3 (z_i - 1)}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\tau} H_i^d \mu + h.c.
$$
\n(30)

which includes Higgs-FV interactions for tau-mu and Higgs-FC interactions for the tau. Now, these interactions can be transmited to the light MSSM-like Higgs boson of the model, by a mixing mechanism, namely we only need to assume that the neutral states resulting from H_1^d and H_2^d mix with MSSM-like CP-even Higgs state[[37](#page-17-0)]. This mixing can be treated as a small perturbation, and one can expect that it does not affect significantly the remmaining properties of the light Higgs boson. Furthermore, we can assume that the lightest state arising from $H_{1,2}$ dominates this mixing, which can be parametrized by another mixing angle χ_l , in such a way that the LFV Higgs coupling can be written as:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{int} = \frac{gm_{\tau} \sin \alpha}{\sqrt{2}m_W \cos \beta} \left[-\epsilon_l \frac{(1-z_1)}{\sin \alpha} \bar{\tau} \mu + (1 - \epsilon_l \frac{(1+z_1)}{\sin \alpha}) \bar{\tau} \tau + h.c. \right] h^0 \tag{31}
$$

where we have substituted λ^3 by the appropriate power of the tau mass.

Finally, to use the same notation as in Eq. (24), we can write the corresponding expressions for the LFV form factors $(F_{L,R})$, as follows:

$$
F_L = F_R = -\frac{gm_\tau \epsilon_l (1 - z_1)}{\sqrt{2} m_W \cos \beta} \tag{32}
$$

Then we can analyze the branching ratio that results from this coupling. For the numerical study, we assume that the decay modes of the light Higgs boson include $h \to b\bar{b}, c\bar{c}, gg, WW^*$. Since z_1 must be of order unity, we consider two values $z_1 = 0.75, 0.9$. As shown in table 5, for $z_1 = 0.75, 0.9$ and $\epsilon_l = 0.1$, the decay branching ratio $Br[h \to \tau \mu]$ can be of the order 7×10^{-2} , over a large part of the SUSY parameter space, while for $\epsilon_l = 0.05$ the B.r. still can reach values of the order 10^{-2} , especially for large values of tan β ($\simeq 20$), when the mass of the lightest Higgs boson h^0 is around $115 - 120 \,\text{GeV}$.

Comparing our results with the minimum B.R. of the LFV Higgs $h \to \tau \mu$, that can be detected at future hadron colliders (shown in table 3), one can see that there is a significant region of parameters where such a LFV Higgs signal can be found. In fact, the rates obtained in this model for $z_1 = 0.75$ are at the reach of Tevatron Run-2. While the LHC, can also have a great sensitivity to discover the LFV decay channel $h \to \tau \mu$ in largest portions of parameter space, and test the model predictions. The future Linear Collider, with a high luminosity, is also expected to have a good sensitivity to detect this channel[[16\]](#page-16-0).

On the other hand, this model also predicts corrections to the Higgs-tau couplings, which can be tested at NLC. Table 5 shows the resulting deviation of the Higgs width $(h \to \tau^+\tau^-)$ from the MSSM value, defined as:

$$
\Delta\Gamma_{h\tau\tau} = \frac{\Gamma_{h_{E_6}\tau\tau}}{\Gamma_{h_{MSSMT}\tau}}\tag{33}
$$

This table shows that $\Delta\Gamma_{h\tau\tau}$ can easily be above 0.08, which according to current studies, could be measurable at the NLC. Furthermore, we notice that the values of $\Delta\Gamma_{h\tau\tau}$ obtained for $z_1 = 0.9$ are slightly larger than those corresponding to $z_1 = 0.75$, while the LFV Higgs decay $h \to \tau \mu$ is larger for $z_1 = 0.75$. LHC on the other hand, can detect the LFV Higgs signal for both values of z_1 .

m_A	z_1	$B.R.(h \to \tau \mu) \times 10^3$	$\Delta\Gamma_{h\tau\tau}$
100 GeV	0.75	(0.19, 0.16, 0.15)	(0.69, 0.72, 0.74)
	0.90	(0.03, 0.027, 0.024)	(0.66, 0.69, 0.71)
150 GeV	0.75	(0.64, 0.17, 0.56)	(0.44, 0.29, 0.04)
	0.90	(0.10, 0.27, 0.90)	(0.40, 0.15, 0.01)
200 GeV	0.75	(1.40, 4.80, 17.0)	(0.23, 0.03, 0.95)
	0.90	(0.22, 0.76, 2.70)	(0.19, 0.07, 1.30)
250 GeV	0.75	(1.90, 7.20, 15.0)	$(0.13, 0.\overline{06, 2.0})$
	0.90	(0.31, 1.10, 3.90)	(0.10, 0.13, 2.60)
300 GeV	0.75	(2.40, 8.80, 29.0)	(0.09, 0.16, 2.80)
	0.90	(0.38, 1.40, 4.60)	(0.05, 0.27, 3.50)

Table 5. Values of $B.R.(h \to \tau\mu)$ and $\Delta\Gamma_{h\tau\tau}$ that arise for $z_1 = 0.75, 0.9$ and $\epsilon_l = 0.1$. Results in each parenthesis correspond to $\tan \beta = 5, 10, 20$

6. Conclusions

We have shown that a more flavored Higgs boson arises when the flavor structure encoded in supersymmetric extensions of the standard model is transmited to the Higgs sector. The flavor-Higgs transmition mechanism can have a radiative or mixing origin, as it is illustrated with several examples, and can produce interesting Higgs signatures that can be probed at future high-energy colliders. In this paper we have focused on the possibility of testing such flavor-Higgs mediation mechanism through the LFV Higgs decay $h \to \tau \mu$.

Within the minimal SUSY extension of the SM (MSSM), the flavor mediation mechanism is of radiative type, as it is realized through gaugino-sfermion loops, which transmit the flavor structure of the soft-breaking sector to the Higgs bosons. In particular, we evaluated the contributions from the general trilinear A-terms both to the Higgs LFV and LFC vertices. Our results for the branching ratio of the LFV Higgs mode $h \to \tau \mu$, give $B.R. \simeq 4 \times 10^{-4}$, which is several orders of magnitude larger than the SM estimate, and about one-half of the value required to obtain a 3σ effect at the LHC. This result is quite motivating to look for further improvements in the search strategy to discriminate the signal from the SM backgrounds and to combine several running years to enhance the luminosity.

On the other hand, as an example of flavor mediation through *mixing*, we have discussed an E_6 inspired multi-Higgs model, suplemented with an abelian flavor symmetry, where large LFV-Higgs effects are also found to arise, though in this case at tree-level. We find that even Tevatron can detect the LFV Higgs mode $h \to \tau \mu$ for some values of the model parameters. While LHC can provide further information on the flavor structure of the model for other values of such parameters. Our results also indicate that deviation from the SM for the Higgs-tau-tau coupling could be measurable at the NLC.

In summary, our results suggest that the Higgs boson that arise in several well motivated supersymmetric models could have a more flavored profile, and the future high-energy colliders should be prepared to allows us to taste it.

Acknowledgments

I would like thank C.P. Yuan and H.J. He for valuable discussions and a very fruitfull collaboration, to Dr. Jaime Hernandez and O. Felix for technical assistance and to K. Babu for reading the manuscript. This work was supported by CONACYT and SNI (Mexico), while the submision could be made thanks to the hospitality and support of CERN.

References

- [1] For a review see: U. Baur et al. [The Snowmass Working Group on Precision Electroweak Measurements Collaboration], "Present and future electroweak precision measurements and the indirect determination of the mass of the Higgs boson," in *Proc. of the APS/DPF/DPB* Summer Study on the Future of Particle Physics (Snowmass 2001) ed. R. Davidson and C. Quigg, [arXiv:hep-ph/0202001.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0202001)
- [2] See, for instance, recent reviews in "Perspectives on Supersymmetry", ed. G. L. Kane, World Scientific Publishing Co., 1998; H.E. Haber, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 101, 217 (2001), [\[hep](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0103095)[ph/0103095\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0103095).
- [3] T. Abe et al. [American Linear Collider Working Group Collaboration], "Linear collider physics resource book for Snowmass 2001. 2: Higgs and supersymmetry studies," in Proc. of the APS/DPF/DPB Summer Study on the Future of Particle Physics (Snowmass 2001) ed. R. Davidson and C. Quigg, [arXiv:hep-ex/0106056.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0106056)
- [4] J. L. Diaz-Cruz, M. A. Perez and J. J. Toscano, "Tests of Higgs and top effective interactions," Phys. Lett. B 398, 347 (1997) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9702413\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9702413).
- [5] C. Balazs, J. L. Diaz-Cruz, H. J. He, T. Tait and C. P. Yuan, "Probing Higgs bosons with large bottom Yukawa coupling at hadron colliders," Phys. Rev. D 59, 055016 (1999) [\[arXiv:hep](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9807349)[ph/9807349](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9807349)]. J. L. Diaz-Cruz, H. J. He, T. Tait and C. P. Yuan, "Higgs bosons with large bottom Yukawa coupling at Tevatron and LHC," Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4641 (1998) [\[arXiv:hep](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9802294)[ph/9802294](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9802294)].
- [6] A. Antaramian, L. J. Hall and A. Rasin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1871 (1992) [\[arXiv:hep](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9206205)[ph/9206205](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9206205)]. M. Sher and Y. Yuan, "Rare B decays, rare tau decays and grand unification," Phys. Rev. D 44, 1461 (1991).
- [7] For review, M. Misiak, S. Pokorski, J. Rosiek, "Supersymmetry and FCNC Effects", [hep](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9703442)[ph/9703442](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9703442), in Heavy Flavor II, pp. 795, eds., A. J. Buras and M. Lindner, Advanced Series on Directions in High Energey Physics, World Scientific Publishing Co., 1998, and references therein.
- [8] Super-Kamikande Collaboration (Y. Fukuda et al.), Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 1562 [[ArXiv:hep-ex/0009001](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ex/0009001)].
- [9] J. L. Diaz-Cruz, H. J. He and C. P. Yuan, "Soft SUSY breaking, stop-scharm mixing and Higgs signatures," Phys. Lett. B 530, 179 (2002) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0103178\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0103178).
- [10] U. Cotti, J. L. Diaz-Cruz, R. Gaitan, H. Gonzales and A. Hernandez-Galeana, "New Higgs signals induced by mirror fermion mixing effects," [arXiv:hep-ph/0205170.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0205170)
- [11] J. L. Diaz-Cruz and J. J. Toscano, "Probing lepton flavour violation with the Higgs boson decays $H \to l_i + l_j$," Phys. Rev. D 62, 116005 (2000) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9910233](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9910233)].
- [12] A. Pilaftsis, Phys. Lett. B285 (1992) 68.
- [13] T. Han and D. Marfatia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1442 (2001) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0008141\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0008141).
- [14] U. Cotti, L. Diaz-Cruz, C. Pagliarone and E. Vataga, "Search for the lepton flavor violating Higgs decay $H \rightarrow \text{tau}$ and the hadron colliders," in *Proc. of the APS/DPF/DPB Summer Study* on the Future of Particle Physics (Snowmass 2001) ed. R. Davidson and C. Quigg, [arXiv:hep](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0111236)[ph/0111236.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0111236)
- [15] J.L. Diaz-Cruz and C. Pagliaroni, work in progress.
- [16] M. Sher, "Scalar mediated FCNC at the first muon collider," Phys. Lett. B 487, 151 (2000) [[arXiv:hep-ph/0006159](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0006159)].
- [17] J. L. Diaz-Cruz and G. Lopez Castro, "CP Violation And Fcnc With The Top Quark," Phys. Lett. B **301**, 405 (1993);
- [18] J. L. Diaz Cruz, J. J. Godina Nava and G. Lopez Castro, "Low-energy effects of Charged Higgs with general Yukawa couplings," Phys. Rev. D 51, 5263 (1995) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9509229](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9509229)].
- [19] See for instance: J. C. Romao, J. L. Diaz-Cruz, F. de Campos and J. W. Valle, "Detection of intermediate mass Higgs bosons from spontaneously broken R-parity supersymmetry," Mod. Phys. Lett. A 9, 817 (1994)[[arXiv:hep-ph/9211258\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9211258), and references therein.
- [20] K. S. Babu and C. F. Kolda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 228 (2000) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9909476\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9909476).
- [21] J. L. Diaz-Cruz, R. Martinez, M. A. Perez and A. Rosado, "Flavor Changing Radiative Decay Of Thf T Quark," Phys. Rev. D 41, 891 (1990).
- [22] J. L. Diaz-Cruz, M. A. Perez, G. Tavares-Velasco and J. J. Toscano, "Testing flavor-changing neutral currents in the rare top quark decays $t \to c V(i) V(j)$," Phys. Rev. D 60, 115014 (1999) [[arXiv:hep-ph/9903299](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9903299)].
- [23] J.L. Diaz-Cruz et al., work in progress.
- [24] J. A. Casas and S. Dimopolous, Phys. Lett. B387, 107 (1996),[[hep-ph/9606237\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9606237).
- [25] C. D. Frogatt and H. B. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. B147, 277 (1979).
- [26] E.g., Y. Nir and N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. B309, 337 (1993),[[hep-ph/9304307\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9304307).
- [27] Y. Nir, M. Leurer, and N. Seiberg, Nucl. Phys. B 309, 337 (1993),[[hep-ph/9212278\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9212278); Nucl. Phys. B 420, 468 (1994), [\[hep-ph/9310320\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9310320).
- [28] J. Hisano, T. Moroi, K. Tobe and M. Yamaguchi, "Lepton-Flavor Violation via Right-Handed Neutrino Yukawa Couplings in Supersymmetric Standard Model," Phys. Rev. D 53, 2442 (1996) [[arXiv:hep-ph/9510309](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9510309)].
- [29] L. J. Hall, R. Rattazzi and U. Sarid, "The Top quark mass in supersymmetric SO(10) unification," Phys. Rev. D 50, 7048 (1994) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9306309\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9306309).
- [30] M. Carena, H. E. Haber, H. E. Logan and S. Mrenna, "Distinguishing a MSSM Higgs boson from the SM Higgs boson at a linear collider," Phys. Rev. D 65, 055005 (2002) [Erratum-ibid. D 65, 099902 (2002)] [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0106116\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0106116).
- [31] M. Carena et al., "Report of the Tevatron Higgs working group," [arXiv:hep-ph/0010338.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0010338)
- [32] G. Eilam, J.L. Hewett and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. D44, 1473 (1991); D59, 039901 (1999) (E).
- [33] E.g., S. Khalil, J. Phys. G27, 1183 (2001), [\[hep-ph/0011330](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0011330)]; D. F. Carvalho, M. E. Gomez, S. Khalil, [hep-ph/0104292](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0104292); and references therein.
- [34] A. Masiero and H. Murayama, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 907 (1999), [\[hep-ph/9903363](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9903363)].
- [35] G. Passarino and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B160, 151 (1979).
- [36] S. Nie and M. Sher, "Extra neutral gauge bosons and Higgs bosons in an E(6)-based model," Phys. Rev. D 64, 073015 (2001) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0102139](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0102139)].
- [37] A. Aranda and M. Sher, "Generations of Higgs bosons in supersymmetric models," Phys. Rev. D 62, 092002 (2000)[[arXiv:hep-ph/0005113\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0005113).
- [38] M. Spira, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 389, 357 (1997) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9610350\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9610350).

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Mass spectrum for the smuon and stau sleptons as a function of the SUSY scale (\tilde{m}_0) , for $x = 0.1, 0.5$ and $\tan \beta = 5, 20, 50$. The line labeled with d coresponds to the degenerated case.

Figure 2. Allowed values of the parameter x obtained from $\tau \to \mu + \gamma$, for $\tan \beta = 5$ and bino mass $m_B = 300,600 \text{ GeV}.$

Figure 3. Branching ratios for $h \to \tau \mu$ within the E_6 inspired model, as function of tan β , obtained for $z_1 = 0.75, 0.9$ and $\epsilon_l = 0.05, 0.1$. The lower line (dots) corresponds to $m_A = 100$ GeV, while the next ones correspond to: 150 GeV (dot-dot-dash), 200 GeV (dot-dash), 250 GeV (dots, again), 300 GeV (dashes), while the upper one (solid) corresponds to 350 GeV.

This figure "lorf1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/0207030v2>

This figure "lorf2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/0207030v2>

This figure "lorf3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arxiv.org/ps/hep-ph/0207030v2>