

# Contribution to muon g-2 from the $\pi^0\gamma$ and $\eta\gamma$ intermediate states in the vacuum polarization

N.N. Achasov \* and A.V. Kiselev †

*Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Sobolev Institute for Mathematics, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia*

(November 4, 2018)

## Abstract

Using new experimental data, we have calculated the contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon from the  $\pi^0\gamma$  and  $\eta\gamma$  intermediate states in the vacuum polarization with high precision:  $a_\mu(\pi^0\gamma) + a_\mu(\eta\gamma) = (54.7 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-11}$ . We have also found the small contribution from  $e^+e^-\pi^0$ ,  $e^+e^-\eta$  and  $\mu^+\mu^-\pi^0$  intermediate states equal to  $0.5 \times 10^{-11}$ .

13.40.Em, 14.60.Ef, 13.65.+i

Typeset using REVTeX

---

\*achasov@math.nsc.ru

†kiselev@math.nsc.ru

New experimental data [1–3] allows to calculate contribution to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon  $a_\mu \equiv \frac{g_\mu - 2}{2}$  from the  $\pi^0\gamma$  and  $\eta\gamma$  intermediate states in the vacuum polarization with high precision. We have also found the contribution from  $e^+e^-\pi^0$ ,  $e^+e^-\eta$  and  $\mu^+\mu^-\pi^0$  intermediate states.

The contribution to  $a_\mu$  from the arbitrary intermediate state X ( hadrons, hadrons+ $\gamma$ , etc.) in the vacuum polarization can be obtained via the dispersion integral

$$a_\mu = \left(\frac{\alpha m_\mu}{3\pi}\right)^2 \int \frac{ds}{s^2} K(s) R(s). \quad (1)$$

$$R(s) \equiv \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow X)}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)}, \quad \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) \equiv \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} K(s > 4m_\mu^2) &= \frac{3s}{m_\mu^2} \left\{ x^2 \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{2}\right) + (1+x)^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \left[ \ln(1+x) - x + \frac{x^2}{2} \right] + \frac{1+x}{1-x} x^2 \ln(x) \right\} = \\ &= \frac{3}{a^3} \left( 16(a-2) \ln \frac{a}{4} - 2a(8-a) - 8(a^2 - 8a + 8) \frac{\operatorname{arctanh}(\sqrt{1-a})}{\sqrt{1-a}} \right), \\ x &= \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\mu^2}{s}}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m_\mu^2}{s}}}, \quad a = \frac{4m_\mu^2}{s}. \end{aligned}$$

$$K(s < 4m_\mu^2) = \frac{3}{a^3} \left( 16(a-2) \ln \frac{a}{4} - 2a(8-a) - 8(a^2 - 8a + 8) \frac{\operatorname{arctan}(\sqrt{a-1})}{\sqrt{a-1}} \right).$$

Evaluating integral (1) with the trapezoidal rule for the experimental data from SND [1,2], see Fig. 1(a), we found the contribution of  $\pi^0\gamma$ :

$$a_\mu(\pi^0\gamma) = (46.2 \pm .6 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-11}, \quad 600 \text{ MeV} < \sqrt{s} < 1039 \text{ MeV}. \quad (2)$$

The first error is statistical, the second is systematic. For the energy region  $\sqrt{s} < 600$  MeV we used theoretical formula for the cross-section:

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma) = \frac{8\alpha f^2}{3} \left(1 - \frac{m_{\pi^0}^2}{s}\right)^3 \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{s}{m_\omega^2}\right)^2}, \quad (3)$$

where  $f^2 = \frac{\pi}{m_{\pi^0}^3} \Gamma_{\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} \cong 10^{-11}/\text{MeV}^2$  according to [4]. Eq. (3) has been written in the approximation

$$\Gamma_\rho = \Gamma_\omega = 0, \quad m_\rho - m_\omega = 0. \quad (4)$$

The  $\gamma^* \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$  amplitude is normalized on the  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  one at  $s = 0$ . The result is

$$a_\mu(\pi^0\gamma) = 1.3 \times 10^{-11}, \quad \sqrt{s} < 600 \text{ MeV}. \quad (5)$$

Note that the region  $\sqrt{s} < 2m_\mu$  gives the negligible contribution  $2 \times 10^{-13}$ .

We neglect the small errors dealing with the experimental error in the width  $\Gamma_{\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma}$  (7%) and the approximation (4) (1.5%).

The Eq. (3) agrees with the data in the energy region  $\sqrt{s} < 700$  MeV, at higher energies the approximation (4) does not work carefully, see Fig. 1(b).

If we use the point-like model, as in [5], we will get Eq. (3) without factor  $\left(1 - \frac{s}{m_\omega^2}\right)^{-2}$ . This formula predicts the contribution from low energies several times less than (5), see also Fig. 1(b).

Treating the data from CMD-2 [3] in the same way, we get contribution of  $\eta\gamma$ :

$$a_\mu(\eta\gamma) = (7.1 \pm .2 \pm .3) \times 10^{-11}, \quad 720 \text{ MeV} < \sqrt{s} < 1040 \text{ MeV}. \quad (6)$$

According to the quark model (and the model of vector dominance also), the energy region  $\sqrt{s} < 720$  MeV is dominated by the  $\rho$ -resonance, hence  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma) \cong \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \eta\gamma)$ . So we change Eq. (3) according to this fact, take into account the  $\rho$  width and get the small contribution:

$$a_\mu(\eta\gamma) = .1 \times 10^{-11}, \quad \sqrt{s} < 720 \text{ MeV}, \quad (7)$$

Summing (2), (5), (6) and (7), we can write

$$a_\mu(\pi^0\gamma) + a_\mu(\eta\gamma) = (54.7 \pm .6 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-11}, \quad (8)$$

where statistical and systematic errors are separately added in quadrature. In Table 1 we present our results with statistical and systematic errors added in quadrature. Comparing Eq. (8) with the analogous calculation in [5] (see Table 1), one can see that our result is 27% more and the error is 2.5 times less. The contribution (8) accounts for 1.37 of the projected error of the E821 experiment at Brookhaven National Laboratory ( $40 \times 10^{-11}$ ) or 36% of the reached accuracy ( $150 \times 10^{-11}$  [6]).

We can also take into account the intermediate state  $\pi^0 e^+ e^-$ , using the obvious relation

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-, s) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{2m_e}^{\sqrt{s}-m_{\pi^0}} \frac{dm}{m^2} \Gamma_{\gamma^* \rightarrow e^+ e^-}(m) \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma^*, s, m), \quad (9)$$

where  $m$  is the invariant mass of the  $e^+e^-$  system,  $\Gamma_{\gamma^* \rightarrow e^+ e^-}(m) = (1/2)\alpha\beta_e m(1 - \beta_e^2/3)$ ,  $\beta_e = \sqrt{1 - 4m_e^2/m^2}$ ,  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma^*, s, m) = \left(p(m)/p(0)\right)^3 \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma, s)$ ,  $p(m) = (\sqrt{s}/2)\sqrt{(1 - (m_{\pi^0} + m)^2/s)(1 - (m_{\pi^0} - m)^2/s)}$  is the momentum of  $\gamma^*$  in s.c.m.

In the same way we can calculate  $a_\mu(\mu^+\mu^-\pi^0)$  and  $a_\mu(e^+e^-\eta)$ . The result is

$$a_\mu(e^+e^-\pi^0) + a_\mu(\mu^+\mu^-\pi^0) + a_\mu(e^+e^-\eta) = (.4 + .026 + .057) \times 10^{-11} = .5 \times 10^{-11}. \quad (10)$$

Note that if  $m \gtrsim m_\rho$  we have the effect of the excitation of resonances in the reaction  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0(\rho, \omega) \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ e^-$ . However this effect increases the final result (10) less than by 10% because of the factor  $(p(m)/p(0))^3$ , which suppresses the high  $m$ . So we ignore this correction. We also neglect  $a_\mu(\mu^+\mu^-\eta) = 2 \times 10^{-14}$ .

As it was noted in [5] and [7], it is necessary to take into account also

$$a_\mu(\text{hadrons} + \gamma, \text{rest}) = a_\mu(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma) + a_\mu(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma) + a_\mu(\text{hadrons} + \gamma, s > 1.2 \text{ GeV}^2).$$

We take  $a_\mu(\pi^+\pi^-\gamma) = (38.6 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-11}$  from [7] (see also [5]),  $a_\mu(\pi^0\pi^0\gamma) + a_\mu(\text{hadrons} + \gamma, s > 1.2 \text{ GeV}^2) = (4 \pm 1) \times 10^{-11}$  from [5]. Adding this to (8), we get

$$a_\mu(\text{hadrons} + \gamma, \text{total}) = (97.3 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-11}. \quad (11)$$

The contribution (11) accounts for 2.43 of the projected error of the E821 experiment or 65% of the reached accuracy.

In fact, the errors in (8) and (11) are negligible for any imaginable  $(g-2)_\mu$  measurement in near future.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M.N.Achasov et al. Preprint Budker INP 2001-54, Novosibirsk, 2001 (in Russian).
- [2] M.N.Achasov et al. Eur. Phys. J. **C12**, 25-33 (2000).
- [3] R.R.Akhmetshin et al. Phys.Lett. B509 (2001) 217-226, hep-ex/0103043.
- [4] Particle Data Group: D. E. Groom et al. Eur. Phys. J. **C15**, 1 (2000).
- [5] J.F. Troconiz, F.J. Yndurain, FTUAM-01-08, hep-ph/0106025.
- [6] H. N. Brown et al., Phys. Rev. Letters, 86, 2227 (2001).
- [7] A.Hoefer, J. Gluza, F. Jegerlehner, hep-ph/0107154.

Table 1. Contribution to  $a_\mu \times 10^{11}$

State	Our value	Ref. [5]
$\pi^0\gamma$	$47.5 \pm 1.4$	$37 \pm 3$
$\eta\gamma$	$7.2 \pm .4$	$6.1 \pm 1.4$
$\pi^0\gamma + \eta\gamma$	$54.7 \pm 1.5$	$43 \pm 4$
hadrons+ $\gamma$ , total	$97.3 \pm 2.1$	$93 \pm 11$

## FIGURES




FIG. 1. a) Plot of the dependence  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma)$ , nb upon  $\sqrt{s}$ , MeV (SND experimental data). b) Comparison of the theoretical formulas for  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma)$ . Eq. (3) is shown with the solid line, point-like model prediction is shown with the dashed line.