## Non-topological solitons in brane world models

Dejan Stojkovic

Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB T6G 2J1, Canada
e-mail: dejans@ualberta.ca

We examine some general properties of a certain class of scalar filed theory models containing non-topological soliton solutions in the context of brane world models with compact large extra dimensions. If a scalar field is allowed to propagate in extra space, then, beside standard Kaluza-Klein type excitations, a whole new class of very massive soliton-type states can exist. Depending on their abundance, they can be important dark matter candidates or give significant contribution to entropy and energy density in our universe.

It is well known that non-linear scalar filed theory models have a very rich structure. The scalar filed theory, with only linear couplings, admits only plane wave solutions. Wave packets can be constructed by superposition of plane waves but they are always dispersive. If non-linear couplings are present, then the theory can contain spatially confined nondispersive solutions — solitons. In renormalizable relativistic local field theories, all the soliton solutions belong to two groups — topological and non-topological solitons [1].

The necessary condition for the existence of a topological soliton is that there should exist degenerate vacuum states so that the boundary conditions at infinity for a soliton state are topologically different from that of a physical vacuum state. There are well known examples of such solutions in field theory — monopoles, strings, domain walls etc. Their stability is insured against decay into plain waves because of topologically non-trivial boundary conditions at infinity.

The necessary condition for the existence of a non-topological soliton is that there should exist an additive conservation law. The boundary conditions at infinity are the same as for the vacuum state. Because of the absence of non-trivial topology, the stability of non-topological solitons depends on which type of solution is of the lowest energy\*.

There is a simple result called Derrick's theorem [3] that imposes severe restrictions on the number of scalar field models which can contain solitons. In principle, if the number of space-like dimensions in theory is greater than one, then the only time independent solutions of finite energy are the vacuum states which are constant everywhere and which minimize the potential energy. There are several ways to go around this result. In order to have soliton solutions, we can (1) include fields

with non-zero spin (2) consider scalar models with constraints (3) consider time-dependent but non-dispersive solutions.

In literature, a great part of attention was devoted to topological solitons in arbitrary number of space-time dimensions with both compact and non-compact extra dimensions. However, analysis of non-topological soliton solutions in literature was mainly limited to calculations based on assumption that the space-time is (3+1)dimensional on all scales and that the strength of the gravitational interaction is given by the Plank mass scale,  $M_{Pl}$  [1,4]. The goal of this paper is to present some general features of non-topological solitons in the context of recently proposed theories with large compact extra dimensions. We will show that new assumptions about the dimensionality of our space-time and the gravitational strength at small distances allows the existence of two new types of particles which can possibly play a significant role in cosmology.

Since we are mainly interested in questions of importance for cosmology, we analyze non-linear scalar field theory models in the presence of gravity. Consider gravitational Lagrangian in (4+d) dimensions with a complex massive scalar field  $\Phi$ :

$$L = \int d^{4+d}x \sqrt{-g^{(4+d)}} \left[ \mathcal{R}^{(4+d)} + g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu} \Phi \partial_{\nu} \Phi + U(\Phi) \right]$$
(1)

where  $\mathcal{R}$  is a Ricci scalar and  $U(\Phi)$  is a potential for the field  $\Phi$ . The field  $\Phi$  propagates in all (4+d) dimensions. There are two distinct classes of non-topological soliton solutions in these models. The necessary condition for both of them is that the theory is invariant under space independent phase rotations:

$$\Phi \to \Phi' = e^{i\alpha} \Phi \tag{2}$$

This provides conservation of a quantity N which we identify with the particle number. Class I comprise models where  $U(\Phi)$  is such that the theory does not contain non-topological soliton solutions in the absence of the

<sup>\*</sup>It is also possible to achieve the stability by including some additional fields. For example, the stability of electroweak strings can be provided by fermionic zero modes in theory [2]

gravitational field. Class II comprise models where  $U(\Phi)$  is such that the theory does contain non-topological soliton solutions in the absence of the gravitational field [4].

Analysis of these models has been done only for the case of (3+1) space-time dimensions<sup>†</sup>. Here, we examine models of class I and II in the context of recently proposed "world as a brane scenario". We consider an original [5] of large extra dimensions (for other types of compactification of extra dimensions see [6]). In this proposal, our universe is a direct product of an ordinary (3 + 1)-dimensional space and an extra compact d-dimensional space. All the standard model fields are trapped on the brane which represents an ordinary (3+1)-dimensional space, while gravity can propagate everywhere. This scenario allows a solution of so-called hierarchy problem by bringing down a fundamental quantum gravity energy scale —  $M_F$  — down to the electroweak energy scale. For example, in the case of toroidal compactification of the extra space,  $M_F$  can be as low as 1TeV if the radius of compactification is  $r_c \sim 1 \mathrm{mm}$ in d=2. For an observer on the brane, the standard Newton's law of gravity remains valid on distances larger than  $r_c$ , i.e. for  $r > r_c$  the gravitational force,  $F_g$ , between two bodies of mass  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  is governed by the 4-dimensional gravitational constant,  $G_4 = 1/M_{Pl}^2$ 

$$F_g = \frac{G_4 m_1 m_2}{r^2} \,.$$
(3)

However, for  $r < r_c$  the space is effectively (4 + d) dimensional and the Newton's law is modified:

$$F_g = \frac{G_{4+d}m_1m_2}{r^{2+d}},\tag{4}$$

where the (4+d)-dimensional gravitational constant  $G_{4+d}=1/M_F^{2+d}$ . It is important to note that the strength of gravitational interaction is now determined by a fundamental energy scale,  $M_F$ , and not by the Plank scale,  $M_{Pl}$ . We will see that this fact will considerably modify the basic properties of soliton states.

For comparison, we give the basic features of (3+1) and (3+1+d)-dimensional models in parallel. The model which gives rise to class I soliton solutions in (3+1) dimensions is described by the Lagrangian (1) with d=0 and the potential given by

$$U(\Phi) = m^2 \Phi^{\dagger} \Phi \,, \tag{5}$$

where m is the mass of the field  $\Phi$  [4]. This model supports a classical soliton solution which is regular everywhere and zero at infinity. Its amplitude is determined by an attractive force coupling constant. In this case the

amplitude is proportional to  $1/\sqrt{G_4}$  since the only attractive force in the model is gravity. Ignoring gravity loops, a renormalizable quantum theory can be obtained in analogy with well established procedures for quantum solitons.

Because of Bose-Einstein statistics, it is possible to have very massive objects with the extremely compact characteristic dimensions. The equations of motion can be derived by minimizing the total (gravitational plus scalar field) energy while keeping the number of particles, N, fixed [4]. The spherically symmetric solution

$$\Phi = 1/\sqrt{2}\sigma(r)e^{-i\omega t} \tag{6}$$

is regular everywhere for  $\omega < m$  (non-topological soliton can be considered as an analytical continuation of a plane wave solution for which  $\omega \geq m$  always) and at infinity goes to zero as

$$\sigma(r) \sim e^{-\sqrt{m^2 - \omega^2}r} \to 0 \ . \tag{7}$$

Thus, the characteristic size of a class I soliton object, in the lowest energy state, is proportional to  $m^{-1}$ . Here, we assume that  $\Phi$  is some Higgs-type scalar field, and thus for the usual range of the scalar field masses the linear size of a class I soliton is microscopic. It can be shown that, because of gravitational interaction, for large N, these states are stable.

It is important to note that very reliable order of magnitude estimates describing almost all of the peculiar properties of non-topological solitons are possible to get without solving any differential equations. To see this, examine the set of N particles (solitons) in the same orbit in a lowest energy state (a zero-node or s-state) of wavelength R. Define the effective mass of this configuration by  $M \sim Nm$ , where m is the mass of the free particle. The kinetic energy  $\sim N/R$  prevents the collapse of the object, while gravitational energy  $\sim G_4 M^2/R$  prevents its decay. The balance between the kinetic and gravitational energies gives:

$$\frac{N}{R} \sim \frac{G_4 M^2}{R} \,. \tag{8}$$

com From here we get:

$$M \sim \frac{1}{G_4 m} \sim \frac{M_{Pl}^2}{m} \,. \tag{9}$$

For example, if  $m \sim 300 \text{GeV}$  then  $M \sim 10^9 \text{kg}$ . These states are known in literature as mini-soliton stars.

Consider now class I soliton model in the context of large extra dimensions. Since the linear dimensions of this kind of non-topological solitons are smaller than the compactification radius of the extra dimensions, this state is effectively a spherically symmetric (4+d)-dimensional object. At these distances, the gravitational strength is determined by a fundamental scale  $M_F$  rather

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>After this paper appeared on the web we noticed the complementary work in [8].

than  $M_{Pl}$ . For simplicity, we assume that the bulk cosmological constant is zero. The equilibrium condition (8) is now

$$\frac{N}{R} \sim \frac{G_{4+d}M^2}{R^{1+d}}$$
 (10)

From here we get:

$$M \sim \frac{R^d M_F^{d+2}}{m} \,. \tag{11}$$

This formula is valid as long as R is smaller than the compactification radius of the extra dimensions,  $r_c$ . However, there is an upper limit on the mass of such an object in a given state. The critical mass  $M_c$  for the formation of a black hole can be estimated by substituting in (11) an expression for the Schwarzschild radius,  $R_s$ , of a (4+d)-dimensional black hole [7]:

$$R_s \sim \frac{1}{M_F} \left(\frac{M}{M_F}\right)^{\frac{1}{d+1}} . \tag{12}$$

We get:

$$M_c \sim \left(\frac{M_F}{m}\right)^{d+1} M_F \,.$$
 (13)

Note that, if we take d=0 and replace  $M_F$  with  $M_{Pl}$ , we recover (3+1)-dimensional results. It is interesting that for the generic values of parameters in the model, say  $m \sim M_F \sim 1 \text{TeV}$ , the critical mass is  $M_c \sim 1 \text{TeV}$  and  $R_s \sim 1 \text{Tev}^{-1}$ . However, if the classical analysis of these states is to be believed one need to choose parameters in the model which yield the soliton mass much higher than the fundamental quantum gravity scale  $M_F$ .

Let us now examine (3+1)-dimensional class II soliton states. A model which gives rise to this class of nontopological solitons solutions contains a complex scalar field  $\Phi$  whose action is invariant under (2). Beside this, it has to satisfy an additional condition — that in the absence of the gravitational field, the theory has nontopological soliton solutions. This can be achieved by introducing a new Hermitian scalar field  $\chi$  to the Lagrangian (1) (with d=0) with the potential:

$$V(\chi) = \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 \chi^2 \left(1 - \frac{\chi}{\chi_0}\right)^2 \,, \tag{14}$$

where  $\mu$  is the mass of the field  $\chi$ . The normal vacuum state is  $\chi = 0$ , while  $\chi = \chi_0$  is the false vacuum state. Without gravity, the theory contains a non-topological soliton solution. The soliton contains an interior in which  $\chi \sim \chi_0$ , a shell of width  $\mu^{-1}$  over which  $\chi$  changes from  $\chi_0$  to 0, and an exterior which is essentially the vacuum. The field  $\Phi$ , with conserved particle number N is confined to the interior. The only role of the field  $\chi$  is to limit the space volume available to the filed  $\Phi$ . In opposite, the

only solutions for the filed  $\Phi$  in the absence of gravity would be the plain waves.

Consider a shell of radius R with above mentioned configuration. The kinetic energy inside the shell is:

$$E_k \sim \frac{N}{R} \,. \tag{15}$$

Since the value of  $\chi$  from interior to exterior changes from  $\chi_0$  to 0, the shell contains a surface energy

$$E_s \sim sR^2 \,, \tag{16}$$

where the surface tension  $s \sim \mu \chi_0^2$ . The equilibrium radius can be found by minimizing the total energy  $E = E_k + E_s$  with respect to R. From there we find the minimum of E which is the soliton mass, M. Assuming  $\chi_0 \sim \mu$  this is  $M \sim \mu^3 R^2$ . Since the total conserved quantum number is  $N \sim sR^3$ , the total soliton mass is  $M \propto N^{2/3}$ . The exponent of N is less than unity which implies, that for large enough N, the total soliton mass is always less than the sum of free particles masses. Therefore this soliton configuration is stable.

Gravitational effects become important when R approaches the Schwarzschild radius. By setting  $R_s \sim G_4 M$  we estimate the critical mass,  $M_c$ , for the formation of a black hole:

$$M_c \sim \left(\frac{M_{Pl}}{\mu}\right)^4 \mu$$
 (17)

For  $\mu \sim 300 \text{GeV}$  we get  $M_c \sim 10^{12} M_{Sun}$  and  $R_s \sim 1$  light month. This kind of solution is described in [4].

Consider now class II soliton model in the context of large extra dimensions. If the linear dimension, R, of a composite soliton object is much larger than the compactification radius of the extra dimensions, then the order of magnitude estimate presented above will not change much. However, on distances smaller than the compactification radius, the gravitational interaction and the functional dependence of the surface energy is quite different than in (3+1)-dimensional case. This will lead to the existence of the equilibrium configuration of the soliton states whose liner dimensions are microscopic.

Consider again a shell of radius R which is smaller than the compactification radius of the extra dimensions. The kinetic energy inside the shell remains  $E_k \sim N/R$ . But, the surface energy is  $E_s \sim sR^{2+d}$ , where the surface tension  $s \sim \mu \chi_0^{2+d}$ . By minimizing the total energy  $E = E_k + E_s$  with respect to R and assuming  $\chi_0 \sim \mu$  we get:

$$M \sim \mu^{3+d} R^{2+d}$$
. (18)

Note that  $M \propto N^{\frac{2+d}{3+d}}$ , and as in the case of (3+1)-dimensions, the exponent of N is less than unity which implies the stability of the composite soliton state for large enough N.

Gravitational effects become important when R approaches the (4+d)-dimensional Schwarzschild radius (12). Thus, we find the critical mass for the formation of a black hole:

$$M_c \sim \left(\frac{M_F}{\mu}\right)^{(d+2)^2} \mu$$
 (19)

Note again that, if we take d=0 and replace  $M_F$  with  $M_{Pl}$ , we recover (3+1)-dimensional results. Because of the form of the exponent in (19), the critical mass is very sensitive to the choice of the parameters in the model. Unlike in (3+1)-dimensional models of class II solitons, there is an equilibrium state of a composite soliton state which has microscopic dimensions. Like in case of class I soliton states, it is possible to set parameters close to the generic ones — for example  $\mu \sim M_F \sim 1 \text{TeV}$  — which gives  $M_c \sim 1 \text{TeV}$  and  $\hat{R}_s \sim 1 \text{TeV}^{-1}$ . However, note again that if the classical analysis of these states is to be believed one has to choose parameters which yield the soliton mass much higher than the fundamental quantum gravity scale  $M_F$ . Also, a large number N of individual particles is needed in order to provide the classical stability of a composite soliton state which will result in the large total soliton mass.

Although a careful numerical analysis is required in order to estimate the total cross section production of these states in near future accelerator experiments (like the Large Hadron Collider with the center of mass energy of 14TeV or the Very Large Hadron Collider with the center of mass energy of order 100TeV ) it is conceivable that for some values of the parameters in the model the cross section would not be negligible.

In conclusion, we considered non-linear scalar filed theory models in the presence of gravity in the context of brane world models with large compact extra dimensions. We showed that new exotic non-topological soliton-like particles can exist. One class of these states arises in simple models which contain soliton solutions only in the presence of gravity. The other class arises in a different type of models which contain soliton solutions even in the absence of gravity. In this class, it is possible to have equilibrium states of these solitons which have both macro- and microscopic dimensions. The latter was not possible in (3+1)-dimensional models.

Depending on the abundance of these new states of matter, they can be important dark matter candidates not only because they are cold and massive but also because of the fact that great part of the dark matter must be of non-baryonic origin. It will be interesting to study the role of these states in cosmology and their contribution to entropy and energy density in our universe.

**Acknowledgments**: The author is grateful to Valeri Frolov, Glenn Starkman, Tanmay Vachaspati and

Bruce Campbell for stimulating discussions. This work was partly supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. The author is also grateful to the Killam Trust for its financial support.

- [1] T. D. Lee, Particle physics and introduction to field theory, Harwood academic publishers, New York (1981)
- [2] G. D. Starkman, D. Stojkovic, T. Vachaspati, Phys. Rev. D63 085011 (2001); Phys. Rev. D65 065003 (2002); D. Stojkovic, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A16S1C 1034 (2001); Phys. Rev. D63 025010 (2001); G. D. Starkman, D. Stojkovic, Phys. Lett. B480 381 (2000)
- [3] G. H. Derrick, J. Math. Phys. 5 1252 (1964)
- [4] R. Friedberg, T. D. Lee and Y. Pang, Phys. Rev. D35, 3640 (1987); Phys. Rev. D35, 3658 (1987); T. D. Lee and Y. Pang, Phys. Rev. D35, 3678 (1987); T. D. Lee, Phys. Rev. D35, 3637 (1987)
- [5] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos and G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. **B429**, 263 (1998);
- [6] N. Kaloper, J. March-Russel, G. Starkman and M. Trodden, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85, 928 (2000); G. Starkman, D. Stojkovic and M. Trodden, *Phys. Rev.* D63, 103511 (2001); G. Starkman, D. Stojkovic and M. Trodden, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 231303 (2001); K. R. Dienes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88 011601 2002
- [7] P. C. Argyres, S. Dimopoulos, J. March-Russell, *Phys. Lett.* B441 96 (1998)
- [8] N. Kan, K. Shiraishi, gr-qc/0207008