

# Global Strings in High Density QCD

---

**Michael McNeil Forbes**

*Center for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA,  
02139, USA*

**Ariel R. Zhitnitsky**

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC  
V6T 1Z1, Canada*

ABSTRACT: We show that several types of global strings occur in colour superconducting quark matter due to the spontaneous violation of relevant  $U(1)$  symmetries. These include the baryon  $U(1)_B$ , and approximate axial  $U(1)_A$  symmetries as well as an approximate  $U(1)_S$  arising from kaon condensation. We discuss some general properties of these strings and their interactions. In particular, we demonstrate that the  $U(1)_A$  strings behave as superconducting strings. We draw some parallels between these strings and global cosmological strings and discuss some possible implications of these strings to the physics in neutron star cores.

---

## Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Strings in High Density QCD.</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 $N_f = 2$ Superconducting Phase (2SC)	3
2.1.1 Global Strings	6
2.1.2 Domain Walls	7
2.2 $N_f = 3$ CFL Phase	8
<b>3. Spinning Strings</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>4. Electromagnetic Properties</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1 Anomalous Electromagnetism of the $\eta'$	13
4.2 Superconducting strings	16
<b>5. Conclusion</b>	<b>19</b>

---

## 1. Introduction

Domain walls and strings are common examples of topological defects which are present in various field theories [1, 2]. Domain walls are configurations of fields related to the nontrivial mapping  $\pi_0(M)$ , while topologically stable strings are due to the mapping  $\pi_1(M)$  where  $M$  is manifold of degenerate vacuum states. While it is generally believed that there are no domain walls or strings in the Standard Model due to the triviality of the corresponding mappings, such objects may exist in extensions to the Standard Model, or in phases where the symmetries of the Standard Model are broken.

Topological defects that occur in extensions to the Standard Model may play an important role in cosmology as described in the book [2], however, the focus of this paper will be strings and domain walls that exist in high density matter where the symmetries of the Standard Model are broken. As was recently demonstrated [3], in the regime of high baryon densities when the chemical potential  $\mu$  is much larger than the QCD scale  $\mu \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ , QCD supports domain walls. The existence and long life time of these domain walls is based on the following facts: 1) the instanton density is small at large chemical potential, suppressing the effect of the chiral anomaly and giving rise to the approximate  $U(1)_A$  symmetry; 2) this  $U(1)_A$  symmetry is spontaneously broken, and 3) the decay constant of the pseudoscalar singlet boson ( $\eta'$ ) is large and its mass small at large  $\mu$ .

In this paper we show that, along with these domain walls, there exist topological string configurations with interesting physical properties. Specifically, we discuss the three flavour

$N_f = 3$  colour-flavour locking phase (CFL), and the two flavour  $N_f = 2$  superconducting phase (2SC). In the  $N_f = 3$  CFL phase both the  $U(1)_B$  and the approximate  $U(1)_A$  symmetries of QCD are spontaneously broken. In addition, a third approximate  $U(1)_S$  symmetry, related to the formation of a kaon condensate, is broken (see Section 2.2 below). Thus, at least three types of strings are possible in the presence of a kaon condensate and two types of strings are possible if no kaon condensate forms. In the  $N_f = 2$  2SC phase, the  $U(1)_B$  symmetry is not broken and we only expect one type of string from the breaking of the approximate  $U(1)_A$  symmetry. The important point is that the asymptotic freedom of QCD allows us to assert the existence of these strings in the high baryon density regime, and the properties of these strings can be determined by controllable weak-coupling calculations.

Naïvely, these strings are neutral objects with respect to electromagnetic interactions, and therefore, one might think that they play no role in electromagnetic dynamics. However, for the  $U(1)_A$  strings, this naïve expectation is incorrect due to the anomalous coupling of the singlet Goldstone field with a massless “photon”—actually a mixture of the bare electromagnetic field and one component of the colour gluon fields. We demonstrate that such strings behave as superconducting strings, and we estimate the maximum current that they can carry. Thus, QCD strings at high density may affect the electromagnetic properties of high density matter, influencing, for example, the magnetic fields in the cores of neutron stars.

Regarding the baryonic  $U(1)_B$  strings present in the CFL phase: it has been known for quite a while [4] that, although superfluid vortices (in liquid helium for example) and static global string solutions (related to the spontaneous breaking of a global symmetry,  $U(1)_B$  in this case) are closely related, there are some differences. In particular, fluid vortices carries angular momentum while a static global strings have no angular momentum. What was demonstrated in [4] was that the two can be identified if the static strings are immersed in a uniform background with nonzero density. In high density QCD, such a background is naturally present, thus we demonstrate that the  $U(1)_B$  global strings can be identified with the superfluid vortices likely to form in a rotating CFL phase with nonzero angular momentum as has been conjecture to exist in the cores of neutron stars (see for example [5, 6]). A similar mechanism leads to the formation of  $U(1)_S$  strings.

The mechanism that forms the  $U(1)_A$  strings in the 2SC phase, which do not carry angular momentum, is less obvious to us and, in this paper, we simply assume that some mechanism exists to form these types of objects. In general, there will be complicated dynamical interactions between the strings, which may lead to the formation of more complicated stable objects like rings, springs and vortons. In this paper we limit ourselves to describing the properties of individual strings and their dominant pairwise interactions.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we describe the string solutions and calculate the relevant parameters of the string in terms of the fundamental parameters of the theory. In Section 3 we discuss how the  $U(1)_B$  strings present in the CFL phase are not static, but rather spin with a time dependent phase. These spinning strings can then be identified with the superfluid vortices. In Section 4 we discuss the anomalous electromagnetic properties of the  $U(1)_A$  strings. We solve the relevant Maxwell equations

in the string background and demonstrate that the  $U(1)_A$  strings behave as if they are superconducting. Finally, in the Conclusion 5, we discuss the interactions between strings and speculate on their effects on the physics of high density quark matter and neutron stars.

## 2. Strings in High Density QCD.

When one considers QCD at high density, the relevant excitations are due to quarks with momenta close to the Fermi surface. At high densities, these low-energy excitations have high momenta and, because of asymptotic freedom, one might hope to regain theoretical control in a weak coupling regime. Although the situation is not quite as simple as it appears, we do gain theoretical control. In particular, the colour anti-triplet  $\bar{\mathbf{3}}$  single gluon interaction is attractive, and, for low energies, this leads to a preferred Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) pairing of quarks with opposite momenta that reduces the energy of the vacuum state. This is the phenomena of colour superconductivity. (For a nice review, see [7].)

To be specific, we consider the simplest model where high-density  $U(1)_A$  type strings appear: QCD with  $N_f = 2$  massless quark flavours ( $u$  and  $d$ ) and  $N_c = 3$  colours. This model is a rather good approximation to realistic quark matter at moderate densities. At higher densities, the approximation of  $N_f = 3$  massless quarks becomes quit good. This will be discussed later in Section 2.2. The most important qualitative difference between the  $N_f = 2$  and the  $N_f = 3$  phase is the emergence of new spontaneously broken symmetries: the  $U(1)_B$  in the three flavour case and the  $U(1)_S$  symmetry if a kaon condensate forms in the CFL phase. As a consequence, if a kaon condensate forms in the CFL phase, then there emerge two new types of global strings related to the  $U(1)_B$  and  $U(1)_S$  symmetries. If a kaon condensate does not form, then only one new type of string related to the  $U(1)_B$  symmetry emerges. In what follows we use the same normalization factors as the paper [3] on domain walls in dense QCD.

### 2.1 $N_f = 2$ Superconducting Phase (2SC)

We recall that the ground state at high baryon densities is a superconducting state [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13], characterized by the condensation of diquark Cooper pairs. The superconducting ground state spontaneously breaks the symmetry of the bare QCD Lagrangian through the non-zero diquark condensates  $\langle \Psi_\alpha^{ia} \Psi_\beta^{jb} \rangle$  which represent the Cooper pairs. Here we explicitly show the flavour ( $\alpha, \beta$ , etc.), colour ( $a, b$ , etc.) and spinor ( $i, j$ , etc.) indices. In this section we consider the  $N_f = 2$  case where the strange quark is treated as heavy. In this 2SC phase, the diquark condensates have the form:

$$\langle \Psi_{La}^{i\alpha} \Psi_{Lb}^{j\beta} \rangle^* = \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{abc} X^c, \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\langle \Psi_{Ra}^{i\alpha} \Psi_{Rb}^{j\beta} \rangle^* = \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{abc} Y^c. \quad (2.1b)$$

The condensates  $X^c$  and  $Y^c$  are complex colour 3-vectors which are aligned along the same direction in the ground state. They spontaneously break the colour  $SU(3)_c$  group down

to  $SU(2)_c$ . The lengths of these vectors are equal  $|X| = |Y|$  and have been computed perturbatively [3, 14]:

$$|X| = |Y| = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \frac{\mu^2 \Delta}{g}. \quad (2.2)$$

In perturbation theory, there is an approximate degeneracy of the ground state with respect to the relative  $U(1)_A$  phase between  $X^c$  and  $Y^c$  which is a symmetry of the QCD Lagrangian at the classical level. A nonzero value (2.1) for the vacuum condensate implies that the  $U(1)_A$  symmetry is spontaneously broken and, thus, the corresponding pseudo-Goldstone boson—the  $\eta'$ —enters into the theory. The  $U(1)_B$  symmetry of the QCD Lagrangian also appears to be broken, but is in fact restored by a simultaneous  $SU(3)_c$  rotation. Thus, only the  $U(1)_A$  symmetry is spontaneously broken in the  $N_f = 2$  2SC phase.

Following [3], to describe the  $\eta'$  physics in an explicit way, we construct the gauge-invariant order parameter

$$\Sigma = Y^\dagger X \equiv Y_c^* X^c, \quad (2.3)$$

where the phase  $\varphi_A$  is to be identified with dynamical  $\eta'$  field,

$$\Sigma = |\Sigma| e^{-i\varphi_A} = |\Sigma| e^{-i\eta'/f}. \quad (2.4)$$

It is evident that  $\Sigma$  carries a non-zero  $U(1)_A$  charge:

$$\Psi \rightarrow e^{i\gamma_5 \alpha/2} \Psi \Rightarrow X \rightarrow e^{-i\alpha}, \text{ and } Y \rightarrow e^{i\alpha}, \quad (2.5a)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma \rightarrow e^{-2i\alpha}, \quad (2.5b)$$

$$\Rightarrow \varphi_A \rightarrow \varphi_A + 2\alpha. \quad (2.5c)$$

At low energies, the dynamics of the Goldstone mode  $\varphi_A$  are described by an effective Lagrangian, which, to leading order in derivatives, must take the following form [3],

$$\mathcal{L} = f^2 [(\partial_0 \varphi_A)^2 - u^2 (\partial_i \varphi_A)^2] - V_{\text{inst}}(\varphi_A). \quad (2.6)$$

For large chemical potentials  $\mu \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ , the leading perturbative values for the decay constant  $f$  and velocity  $u$  [15], and the instanton contribution describing the explicit anomalous breaking of the  $U(1)_A$  symmetry [3] have been calculated:

$$V_{\text{inst}}(\varphi_A) = -a\mu^2 \Delta^2 \cos \varphi_A, \quad (2.7a)$$

$$f^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{8\pi^2}, u^2 = \frac{1}{3}. \quad (2.7b)$$

In this formula  $\Delta$  is the BCS gap, and  $a$  is a dimensionless parameter [3]

$$a \sim \left( \frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{\mu} \right)^{29/3} \quad (2.8)$$

that goes to zero at large  $\mu$ . In what follows it will be important that the  $\eta'$  mass is asymptotically small, as can be seen from (2.6):

$$m_{\eta'} = \frac{\mu}{f} \Delta \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} = 2\pi \Delta \sqrt{a}. \quad (2.9)$$

The effective Lagrangian (2.6) is justified for describing the light  $\eta'$  degree of freedom, but to describe global strings we must formulate an effective theory for fluctuations in the magnitude of the condensate  $|\Sigma|$ . From (2.2) we have that  $|\langle \Sigma \rangle| = 9\mu^4 \Delta^2 / (8\pi^2 g^2)$ . Thus, we introduce the dynamical field  $\Phi(x)$  of dimension one and expectation value  $|\langle \Phi \rangle| = \langle \rho \rangle = \Delta$ :

$$\Sigma(x) = \Delta \left( \frac{3\mu^2}{2\sqrt{2}\pi g} \right)^2 \Phi(x). \quad (2.10)$$

With this definition, the  $\eta'$  dynamical field is merely the phase of a complex field

$$\Phi = |\Phi| \exp(-i\varphi_A) = \rho \exp(-i\varphi_A) \quad (2.11)$$

as in the Abelian Higgs model.

The effective potential for this condensate has been calculated [16] for asymptotically large  $\mu$ . In terms of the field  $\rho(x) = |\Phi(x)|$ , an approximate expression<sup>1</sup> for the potential [16] can be used which is a good description for  $\rho$  close to its vacuum expectation value  $\rho \simeq \langle \rho \rangle = \Delta$

$$V_{\Phi}(\rho) = -\frac{\mu^2 \Delta}{\pi^2} \rho \left[ 1 - \ln \left( \frac{\rho}{\Delta} \right) \right]. \quad (2.12)$$

Finally, in terms of a single complex field  $\Phi = \rho \exp(-i\varphi_A)$  the effective Lagrangian describing the  $\eta'$  phase  $\varphi_A$  and the absolute value for the condensate  $\rho$  can be represented in the following simple way:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} &= \frac{f^2}{\Delta^2} (|\partial_0 \Phi|^2 - u^2 |\partial_i \Phi|^2) + \frac{\mu^2 \Delta}{\pi^2} \rho \left[ 1 - \ln \left( \frac{\rho}{\Delta} \right) \right] + a\mu^2 \Delta \rho \cos \varphi_A \\ &= \frac{f^2}{\Delta^2} ((\partial_0 \rho)^2 - u^2 (\partial_i \rho)^2) + \frac{f^2 \rho^2}{\Delta^2} ((\partial_0 \varphi_A)^2 - u^2 (\partial_i \varphi_A)^2) + \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu^2 \Delta}{\pi^2} \rho \left[ 1 - \ln \left( \frac{\rho}{\Delta} \right) \right] + a\mu^2 \Delta \rho \cos \varphi_A \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where the normalization factor  $f^2/\Delta^2$  for the kinetic term has been chosen to reproduce (2.6) where  $\rho \sim \langle \rho \rangle$  which correctly describes dynamics of the light pseudo-Goldstone  $\eta'$  meson.

We should comment here that the potential presented in (2.12) was derived in [16] for very large  $\mu$  and when the field  $\Phi$  is close to its vacuum expectation value  $\langle \rho \rangle \simeq \Delta$ . It deviates considerably from this form when  $\rho$  is far from its vacuum expectation value

---

<sup>1</sup>Note, the normalization for the condensate in [16] differs from our normalization for  $|X|$  by a factor of 16.

$\rho \neq \Delta$ . Besides that, there is no justification to keep only the lowest derivative term for the massive mode  $\rho$  in the expression (2.1). Finally, there is an ambiguity in the definition of the dynamical field  $\rho$  describing heavy  $\sim \Delta$  degrees of freedom: any smooth function of  $F(\rho)$  (for example  $\exp(\rho)$ ) is appropriate for the description of the dynamics of the heavy degrees of freedom. This is a marked contrast with description of the light Goldstone fields where the physics does not depend on specific parameterization of the light fields. There are many other deficiencies of the Lagrangian (2.1) describing massive field  $\rho$  which we shall not comment about.

We are not pretending to have derived a Lagrangian describing a heavy (order  $\Delta$ ) degree of freedom. Rather, we want to demonstrate the qualitative features of the effective potential: that it has a Mexican hat shape (as it should) and that the internal relevant scales are of order  $\Delta$  and not  $\mu$ . Indeed, the general scale  $\sim \mu^2$  factors from the expression (2.1). Therefore, the description of the strings which follows serves mainly to illustrate the qualitative features of the strings. In particular, the details of the strings core are not well founded, however, we shall see that the behaviour of the strings far from the core is governed by the form of the potential where  $\rho \sim \langle \rho \rangle = \Delta$  where the effective potential is valid. This region gives a logarithmic contribution to the string tension which dominates the energy of the strings. Thus, despite the fact that the effective theory (2.1) breaks down for small  $\rho$ , the description of the strings far from the core is well justified.

### 2.1.1 Global Strings

First we consider the properties of an isolated global string which is symmetric about the  $z$  axis. The term  $V_{\text{inst}}(\varphi_A)$ , which explicitly breaks the  $U(1)_A$  symmetry, is small, and vanishes in the high density limit. Thus, our approximation of the global string is a good description out to lengths scales of order  $m_{\eta'}^{-1}$ .

With this simplification, we are looking for a static, classical field configuration  $\Phi(r, \phi) = \rho(r, \phi) \exp(-i\varphi_A(r, \phi))$  which minimizes the energy density or string tension  $\alpha$ :

$$\alpha = \iint \left( \mathcal{H}(\rho, \varphi_A) - \mathcal{H}_{\text{vac}} \right) dx dy \quad (2.14)$$

where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the energy density of the field configuration:

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{f^2 u^2}{\Delta^2} \left( (\partial_i \rho)^2 + \rho^2 (\partial_i \varphi_A)^2 - \frac{\mu^2 \Delta^3}{\pi^2 f^2 u^2} \rho \left[ 1 - \ln \left( \frac{\rho}{\Delta} \right) \right] \right),$$

and  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{vac}} = \mathcal{H}(\langle \rho \rangle, \langle \varphi_A \rangle) = -\mu^2 \Delta^2 / \pi^2$  is a trivial shift of the background vacuum energy introduced so that  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$  far from the core of the string.

To simplify the equations, we introduce the dimensionless field  $\tilde{\rho}$  and the dimensionless coordinates  $\tilde{x}_i$ :

$$\tilde{\rho} = \frac{\rho}{\Delta}, \quad \langle \tilde{\rho} \rangle = 1, \quad \tilde{x}_i = m x_i = 2\sqrt{3}\Delta x_i. \quad (2.15)$$

The natural length scale is thus set by the parameter  $m = 2\sqrt{3}\Delta$ : the mass of the excitations about the condensate  $\rho = \langle \rho \rangle + \delta\rho$  in our model (2.12). In terms of these dimensionless

parameters, the energy density becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = 24f^2u^2\Delta^2\left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial_i\tilde{\rho})^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\rho}^2(\partial_i\varphi_A)^2 - \tilde{\rho}[1 - \ln\tilde{\rho}]\right)$$

where all the derivatives are with respect to the dimensionless coordinates  $\tilde{x}_i$ . To minimize the string tension, we can drop the overall factor and then determine the equations of motion. To achieve the appropriate boundary conditions,  $\varphi_A$  will wind uniformly  $n$  times as a function of  $\phi$

$$\varphi_A(r, \phi) = \varphi_A(\phi) = n\phi = n \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right). \quad (2.16)$$

Converting to polar coordinates we have

$$\alpha = 4\pi f^2 u^2 \int_0^{\tilde{R}} \left( \frac{\dot{\tilde{\rho}}^2}{2} + \frac{\tilde{\rho}^2 n^2}{2\tilde{r}^2} + V(\tilde{\rho}) \right) \tilde{r} d\tilde{r} \quad (2.17)$$

where the dot signifies differentiation with respect to  $\tilde{r}$ ,

$$V(\tilde{\rho}) = 1 - \tilde{\rho}[1 - \ln\tilde{\rho}], \quad (2.18)$$

and we have introduced an outer limit  $\tilde{R}$  to the string's size to make the tension finite. The equations of motion follow from an application of a variational principle:

$$\ddot{\tilde{\rho}} + \frac{\dot{\tilde{\rho}}}{\tilde{r}} = \frac{\tilde{\rho} n^2}{\tilde{r}^2} + \frac{dV(\tilde{\rho})}{d\tilde{\rho}} \quad (2.19)$$

with boundary conditions  $\tilde{\rho}(0) = 0$  and  $\tilde{\rho}(\infty) = 1$ . The solution for the lowest energy string with winding  $n = 1$  is presented in Figure 1.


The string is governed by two relevant parameters: its core size and its tension. As can be seen in Figure 1, the core size is of order  $\tilde{r}_c \sim 1$  while the tension of a global string diverges as  $\log(\tilde{R})$  where  $\tilde{R}$  is an upper cutoff determined by the environment of the string. For large distances the second term in (2.17) dominates and we have a logarithmic divergence. We plot the cumulative energy as a function of the upper cutoff  $\tilde{R}$  in Figure 2.

Physically, the effective potential (2.12) is only valid for  $\rho \sim \langle\rho\rangle$ . Thus, the details of the string core must be interpreted with caution. The large distance behaviour and the logarithmic divergence in the string tension, however, are well justified.

### 2.1.2 Domain Walls

The formation of global strings discussed in the previous section neglected the instanton contribution  $V_{\text{inst}}(\varphi_A)$  which explicitly breaks the  $U(1)_A$  symmetry responsible for the global strings. Thus, the preceding analysis and picture is really only justified on distance scales small compared to those set by the anisotropy  $V_{\text{inst}}(\varphi_A)$ , i.e. for  $r \ll R \sim m_{\eta'}^{-1}$ , which, in the high density limit, is much larger than the core size  $\Delta$  as can be seen from (2.8) and (2.9). For distances larger than  $r \gg R \sim m_{\eta'}^{-1}$ , the appropriate description is no longer one of global strings, but one of QCD domain walls bounded by strings: the situation is similar to the so-called  $N = 1$  axion model [17].





**Figure 1:** Radial  $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{r})$  dependence of the  $n = 1$  string in dimensionless units: the vertical scale is set by  $\Delta$  and the horizontal scale is set by  $(2\sqrt{3}\Delta)^{-1}$ .

Here we summarize a few results regarding QCD domain walls that will be relevant for our discussions later on. As classically stable objects, QCD domain walls were first analyzed in [18] for  $\mu = 0$  in the large  $N_c$  limit. Similar objects were later shown to be stable for  $N_c = 3$  at high densities [3]. We shall not repeat the analysis presented in [3] but quote some important results that we will make use of later.


The thickness of the domain walls is set by the mass  $m_{\eta'}$  (2.9) of the excitations in the  $\varphi_A$  field about the true vacuum  $\varphi_A = 0$ . In addition, the energy density per unit area of the domain walls (the wall tension) is

$$\sigma = 8\sqrt{2}auf\mu\Delta \sim \sqrt{a}\mu^2\Delta. \quad (2.20)$$

Thus, on scales much smaller than  $R \sim m_{\eta'}^{-1}$ , the description of the global strings is valid, however, when one looks at scales larger than this, then one sees that these strings are really attached to domain walls.

## 2.2 $N_f = 3$ CFL Phase

For very high densities, it can be a good approximation to neglect the strange quark mass. In this case there will be three flavours  $N_f = 3$  in our model. Again, the ground state is a superconducting state, characterized by the condensation of diquark Cooper pairs [19, 20]. The superconducting ground state spontaneously breaks the symmetry of the bare QCD



**Figure 2:** Cumulative contributions to the string tension of an  $n = 1$  string from the core out to the cutoff radius  $\tilde{R}$  in dimensionless units: the vertical scale is set by  $4\pi f^2 u^2$  and the horizontal scale is set by  $(2\sqrt{3}\Delta)^{-1}$ . The three curves correspond to the three terms in (2.17). The first kinetic term approaches 0.11 while the potential term approaches 0.25. Notice that the second term gives a logarithmic divergence in  $\tilde{R}$ .

Lagrangian through the non-zero diquark condensates  $\langle \Psi_\alpha^{ia} \Psi_\beta^{jb} \rangle$  which represent the Cooper pairs. (Again, we explicitly show the flavour ( $\alpha, \beta$ , etc.), colour ( $a, b$ , etc.) and spinor ( $i, j$ , etc.) indices). This condensate has the form

$$\langle \Psi_{La}^{i\alpha} \Psi_{Lb}^{j\beta} \rangle^* \sim \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{abc} X_\gamma^c, \quad (2.21a)$$

$$\langle \Psi_{Ra}^{i\alpha} \Psi_{Rb}^{j\beta} \rangle^* \sim \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{abc} Y_\gamma^c. \quad (2.21b)$$

Now the condensates  $X_\gamma^c$  and  $Y_\gamma^c$  are complex colour-flavour matrices. Following [21, 22], we introduce

$$\Sigma_\beta^\alpha = Y^\dagger X = (Y^*)^\alpha X_\beta^c. \quad (2.22)$$

The matrix  $\Sigma$  is a colour singlet and describes the meson octet as well as the  $\varphi_A$  axial singlet. Unlike the  $2SC$  phase, the  $U(1)_B$  symmetry is spontaneously broken giving rise to both axial and baryonic strings.

To be precise, we consider the CFL phase and define the singlet phases  $\varphi_A$  and  $\varphi_B$  describing the Goldstone bosons related to the spontaneously broken symmetries  $U(1)_A$

and  $U(1)_B$  through the following structure

$$\langle \Psi_{La}^{i\alpha} \Psi_{Lb}^{j\beta} \rangle^* \sim \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta c} \epsilon_{abc} e^{-i\varphi_A - i\varphi_B}, \quad (2.23a)$$

$$\langle \Psi_{Ra}^{i\alpha} \Psi_{Rb}^{j\beta} \rangle^* \sim \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta c} \epsilon_{abc} e^{i\varphi_A - i\varphi_B}. \quad (2.23b)$$

In terms of the  $\varphi_A$  and  $\varphi_B$  fields, the corresponding strings can be described as was done above for 2SC phase: the only difference is that the  $U(1)_B$  strings are not attached to domain walls. This is because the  $U(1)_B$  symmetry is not explicitly broken: i.e. the equations describing the  $\varphi_B$  string contain no terms analogous to those proportional to  $a$  in (2.1).

It was recently argued [23, 24, 25] that the so-called ‘‘symmetric CFL phase’’—a pure form of the CFL phase where no other meson condensates are formed—is unlikely to occur in nature. Instead, it is likely that, in the CFL phase at high baryon density, a kaon condensate forms [23, 24, 25]. If this is the case, the kaon condensation breaks a new global  $U(1)_S$  symmetry along with the  $U(1)_A$  and  $U(1)_B$  symmetries discussed above. From Goldstone’s theorem one expects a new Goldstone boson  $\varphi_S$ , which is the phase of the condensate, to appear in the spectrum. One can present the same arguments as before to deduce that a new type of the global string related to  $\varphi_S$  phase exists. We shall call this string the  $U(1)_S$  string. The equation describing this new  $U(1)_S$  string is the same as (2.1) with different values  $f_S$  and  $a_S$  for the parameter  $f$  and coefficient  $a$  in the Lagrangian (2.1). What is important is that, if the decay constant  $f_S \sim \mu$  is roughly the same as before and proportional to  $\mu$ , then the coefficient  $a_S$  is extremely small (but not zero) as was argued recently [26],

$$a_S \approx \frac{18\sqrt{2}}{g^2} G_F m_u m_s \cos \theta_C \sin \theta_C, \quad m_{\varphi_S}^2 \sim a_S \Delta^2. \quad (2.24)$$

In this formula  $\theta_C$  is Cabibbo angle and  $G_F$  is the Fermi constant. Numerically, the scale corresponding to the pseudo-Goldstone boson mass  $m_{\varphi_S}$  is of order  $m_{\varphi_S} \sim 50$  KeV.

### 3. Spinning Strings

The main goal of this section is to demonstrate the connection between the idea of a global  $U(1)_B$  string and the superfluid  $U(1)_B$  rotational vortices in the CFL phase that carry angular momentum. Since they carry angular momentum, they may be copiously formed in a rotating neutron stars provided that the core of the star is in a colour superconducting phase.

It is generally accepted that the global strings and non-relativistic superfluid vortices are closely related; however, the behaviour of the two are quite different. For example, near a straight superfluid vortex at rest there is a velocity field moving circularly around it, carrying momentum and kinetic energy. But a simple global string (for example defined by Lagrangian (2.6)) is a time independent solution of the equations of motion. The momentum density away from the string core is  $\sim f^2 \partial_0 \varphi_A \partial_i \varphi_A$  which is zero in the rest frame of a static global string. The precise relation between global strings which we discuss

in this paper and superfluid vortices was given in [4]. There it was demonstrated that, if a global string is immersed in a uniform, Lorentz-non-invariant background, then the static solution (with  $\varphi_B$  to be identified with the azimuthal angle) is replaced by a time-dependent solution  $\varphi_B \rightarrow \varphi_B + \omega t$  where the coefficient  $\omega$  is determined by the density of the background and the magnitude of the condensate.

In the quark superconducting phase, such a background is naturally present and the constant  $\omega$  is uniquely determined by the chemical potential  $\mu$ . The relevant Goldstone phases  $\varphi_A$  and  $\varphi_B$  are as defined in (2.23). The effective Lagrangian in the CFL phase for the axial Goldstone field  $\varphi_A$  can be derived in the same manner as before (2.6)

$$\mathcal{L}_A = f_A^2 [(\partial_0 \varphi_A)^2 - u_A^2 (\partial_i \varphi_A)^2] - V_{\text{inst}}(\varphi_A). \quad (3.1)$$

For large chemical potentials,  $\mu \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ , the leading perturbative values for  $f_A$  and  $u_A$  have been calculated [21, 22, 27, 15]:

$$V_{\text{inst}}(\phi_A) = -a' \mu^2 \Delta^2 \cos(\phi_A) \quad (3.2a)$$

$$f_A^2 = \frac{9\mu^2}{\pi^2}, u_A^2 = \frac{1}{3}. \quad (3.2b)$$

The instanton contribution that explicitly violates the  $U(1)_A$  symmetry has also been calculated [28]

$$a' \sim \left(\frac{m_s}{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{\mu}\right)^9 \quad (3.3)$$

which again vanishes for large  $\mu$ .

To leading order in perturbation theory, the parameters  $f_B$  and  $u_B$  for the Goldstone boson associated with the spontaneous breaking of  $U(1)_B$  symmetry are identical to the ones presented in (3.2b) [21, 22, 15]. However, the structure of the effective Lagrangian for the baryon Goldstone field  $\varphi_B$  is a little bit different due to the explicit presence of the chemical potential  $\mu$  in the original QCD Lagrangian.

In order to restore the dependence on  $\mu$ , one can use the following trick which, in the present context, was originally suggested in [29, 30] and consequently has been used in a number of papers [21, 22, 15, 31, 32, 33]. The idea is to make use of the fact that  $\mu$  enters the QCD Lagrangian in the same way as the zeroth component of a gauge potential:

$$\mathcal{L}_\Psi = \bar{\Psi} (i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu - m) \Psi + \mu \Psi^\dagger \Psi, \quad (3.4a)$$

$$= \bar{\Psi} (i\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu + \mu\gamma^0 - m) \Psi. \quad (3.4b)$$

Therefore, one can formally promote the global  $U(1)_B$  baryon symmetry to a local one by introducing a gauge field  $B_\nu = (B_0, \vec{0})$  coupled to the baryon current with coupling constant  $\mu$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = \bar{\Psi} (i\gamma^\nu \nabla_\nu - m) \Psi \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\nabla_\nu = \partial_\nu + i\mu B_\nu$ .

Indeed, under the  $U(1)_B$  rotations we have:

$$\Psi \rightarrow e^{i\alpha}\Psi, \quad (3.6a)$$

$$\varphi_B \rightarrow \varphi_B + 2\alpha, \quad (3.6b)$$

$$B_\nu \rightarrow B_\nu - \mu^{-1}\partial_\nu\alpha, \quad (3.6c)$$

which leave the microscopical QCD Lagrangian unchanged. An effective description must respect this symmetry, and therefore, in the effective Lagrangian description of the baryon Goldstone mode  $\varphi_B$  (2.23), one must replace the derivative  $\partial_\nu\varphi_a$  in (3.1) by the covariant derivative  $D_\nu\varphi_B = \partial_\nu\varphi_B + 2\mu B_\nu$ . In matter with uniform density, we fix  $B_0 = 1$  and  $B_i = 0$  so that

$$D_0\varphi_B \equiv (\partial_0\varphi_B + 2\mu) \quad D_i\varphi_B \equiv \partial_i\varphi_B \quad (3.7)$$

and thus

$$\mathcal{L}_B = f_B^2((D_0\varphi_B)^2 - u_B^2(D_i\varphi_B)^2). \quad (3.8)$$

From this equation we see that we can restore the original form (without covariant derivatives) if the baryonic Goldstone mode  $\varphi_B$ —the phase of the condensate (2.23)—receives a time dependence in the ground state

$$\varphi_B \sim e^{i2\mu t}. \quad (3.9)$$

Note, in the previous literature concerning the CFL phase [15, 21, 22], this time dependence was irrelevant. However, for the discussions of strings as emphasized in [4] this dependence is essential. In particular, following [4], to analyze QCD strings and their interactions in a nontrivial environment, one can introduce, an equivalent description in terms of a two-index antisymmetric tensor field  $B_{\mu\nu}$  where

$$f\partial_\mu\varphi_B \sim \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\partial^\nu B^{\lambda\sigma}. \quad (3.10)$$

Using this formalism, one can calculate the Lorentz force between strings, similar to the Magnus force in the non-relativistic limit. One can also introduce a quantity similar to the non-relativistic vorticity and demonstrate that it is quantized (in our notations) in units of  $\mu^{-1}$ . We shall not discuss these interesting topics in this paper: once the appropriate correspondence is made, the techniques of [4] can be applied. The important remark that we make is that, if the CFL phase with the global  $U(1)_B$  symmetry is realized in the interior of a rotating neutron star where there is a non-zero chemical potential  $\mu$ , then the global strings that form will be spinning and will carry angular momentum. Thus, drawing upon the analogy with liquid helium, we expect that, if the CFL phase rotates, then spinning global  $U(1)_B$  strings will form.

One consequence of this connection between angular momentum and the global string is that, if global strings are formed through the transfer of angular momentum, then there will be a correlation between the direction of the angular momentum and the sign of the

winding of the string. To see this, note that the angular momentum of the field  $\varphi_B$  is proportional to:

$$M_{ij} \sim \int x_j \partial_0 \varphi_B \partial_i \varphi_B - x_i \partial_0 \varphi_B \partial_j \varphi_B. \quad (3.11)$$

The terms  $\partial_0 \varphi_B \sim \mu$  pickup the sign of the chemical potential and the terms  $\partial_i \varphi_B \sim n$  pickup the sign of the winding or topological “charge”  $n$  (2.16) of the string. In the core of a neutron star, for example, the angular momentum has a definite sign (as set by the rotation of the star) and the chemical potential has a definite sign (the core is composed of baryons, not antibaryons). Thus, the sign of the topological charge is correlated with the sign of the angular momentum. If the formation of these strings is related to the rotation of the bulk phase, then there will be an excess of one type of string (either positive or negative winding).

Exactly the same arguments can be presented for the  $U(1)_S$  strings mentioned at the end of the Section 2.2 which originate from kaon condensation. The only modification that must be made to Equation (3.8) is to replace the chemical potential  $\mu$  by an induced chemical potential  $\mu_{\text{eff}} \simeq m_s^2/2p_F$ , see [23, 24, 25]. The argument based on (3.11) about the correlation between the sign of the topological charge of the string with the sign of the angular momentum, also holds.

The situation with  $U(1)_A$  strings is expected to be quite different: conservation of  $P$  parity implies that the number of strings and anti-strings must be the same. This is due to the fact that  $U(1)_B$  strings do not transform into anti-strings under the exchange  $R \leftrightarrow L$  while  $U(1)_A$  strings do, as can be seen<sup>2</sup> from the definition (2.23).

## 4. Electromagnetic Properties

Up until this point, we have discussed the existence of global  $U(1)$  strings and their correspondence with superfluid vortices. However, since they only involve excitations close to the Fermi surface, there will not be enough to affect the thermodynamics of the superconducting phases. In addition, the fields  $\varphi_A$  and  $\varphi_B$  are neutral, so one might naïvely expect that they have little effect on electromagnetic physics either. It turns out, however, that the axial strings  $\varphi_A$  have non-trivial electromagnetic properties.

### 4.1 Anomalous Electromagnetism of the $\eta'$

In this section we are mainly concern with electromagnetic interaction of the neutral  $\eta'$  meson. The simplest way to derive the corresponding low-energy effective Lagrangian, which includes the massless electromagnetic  $F_{\mu\nu}$  field and the light  $\eta'$  field (2.6), is to follow the standard procedure and consider the transformation properties of the path integral under the  $U(1)_A$  chiral transformation (2.5). As is known, the measure is not invariant under these transformations due to the chiral anomaly: it receives an additional contribution

---

<sup>2</sup>We thank Misha Stephanov for presenting this argument to us.

$\delta\mathcal{L} = (\alpha/2)\partial^\nu J_\nu^A$ . The expression for the anomaly  $\partial^\nu J_\nu^A$  is well known and takes the form:

$$\partial^\nu J_\nu^A = \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} N_f G^a \tilde{G}^a + \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} N_c F \tilde{F} \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} Q_f^2, \quad (4.1)$$

where we have included the electromagnetic fields  $F_{\mu\nu}$  along with the gluon fields  $G_{\mu\nu}^a$  and their duals:

$$\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} F^{\lambda\sigma}, \quad \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}^a = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} G^{a\lambda\sigma}. \quad (4.2)$$

One should note that the expression for the anomaly is an operator relation which is valid for any finite chemical potential  $\mu$ . Indeed, the anomaly arises from the ultraviolet properties of the theory and is not sensitive to the finite chemical potential as long as the regulator fields are heavier than  $\mu$ .

The second step is an identification of the parameters from the Lagrangian  $\delta L$  with the physical fields of the theory. For example, in QCD with  $\mu = 0$  the electromagnetic field  $F_{\mu\nu}$  (4.1) from the original theory is the observable physical field (in contrast with superconducting phases when  $\mu \neq 0$ , see below). The parameter  $\alpha$  of  $U(1)_A$  chiral transformation is identified with the physical  $\eta'$  field which is nothing but the singlet phase of the chiral condensate,

$$\langle \bar{\Psi}_R \Psi_L \rangle \sim e^{-i\alpha} \sim \exp\left(-i \frac{2\eta'}{f_\pi \sqrt{N_f}}\right). \quad (4.3)$$

Therefore, the anomalous effective Lagrangian of  $\eta'$  coupled to photons takes a familiar form:

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{\eta'\gamma\gamma} = \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} N_c F \tilde{F} \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} Q_f^2 \frac{\eta'}{f_\pi \sqrt{N_f}}. \quad (4.4)$$

In a similar manner, one can derive the well-known effective Lagrangian describing the famous  $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decay. In this case, one should consider the expressions for the third component of the axial current,

$$\partial^\mu (\bar{u} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u - \bar{d} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 d) = \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} F \tilde{F}, \quad (4.5)$$

and identify the corresponding transformation parameter  $\alpha_3$  with Goldstone mode  $\pi^0$  such that the effective Lagrangian takes the familiar form

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{\pi^0\gamma\gamma} = \frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} F \tilde{F} \frac{\pi^0}{f_\pi \sqrt{2}}. \quad (4.6)$$

Now, we want to derive a similar expression for the effective Lagrangian describing interaction of the light  $\eta'$  field with electromagnetism in CFL and 2SC phases<sup>3</sup> As we

---

<sup>3</sup>Anomalous electromagnetic interaction for the  $SU(3)$  Goldstone modes in the CFL phase was discussed previously using a different approach [34]. As far as we know, the anomalous electromagnetic interaction with the singlet  $\eta'$  phase has not been previously discussed in the literature.

mentioned above, the operator expression for the anomaly (4.1) remains unchanged: the singlet phase  $\alpha$  defined in (2.5) is identified with the physical Goldstone mode  $\eta'$ , which is now the phase of the diquark condensate instead of the chiral condensate

$$\Sigma \sim e^{-i\varphi_A} |\Sigma|, \quad \alpha = \frac{\varphi_A}{2} = \frac{\eta'}{2f_A}. \quad (4.7)$$

This is not the end of the story however, because, as it has been known since [35], in dense QCD matter, the electromagnetic field strength  $F_{\mu\nu}$  and the electric charge  $e$  are not the appropriate physical quantities. Rather, a combination of the electromagnetic field  $A_\mu$  with 8<sup>th</sup> component of the gluon field  $A_\mu^8$  acts as a physical massless photon  $\mathcal{A}$  field [35]:

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu = A_\mu \cos \theta + A_\mu^8 \sin \theta \quad (4.8a)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\mu^8 = -A_\mu \sin \theta + A_\mu^8 \cos \theta \quad (4.8b)$$

$$\cos \theta = \frac{g}{\sqrt{g^2 + 4\eta^2 e^2}} \quad (4.8c)$$

$$\sin \theta = \frac{2e\eta}{\sqrt{g^2 + 4\eta^2 e^2}} \quad (4.8d)$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{eg}{\sqrt{g^2 + 4\eta^2 e^2}}, \quad (4.8e)$$

where the  $\mathcal{A}$  is the physical “photon” field and  $\epsilon$  is the physical charge. The values for parameter  $\eta$  entering the expressions (4.8) are given by [35],

$$\eta_{CFL} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \eta_{2SC} = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}. \quad (4.9)$$

We note that our expression for the angle  $\theta$  in terms of  $e$  and  $g$  is obtained from the one presented in [35] by changing  $g \rightarrow g/2$ . The difference is due to the non-standard definition of the strong coupling constant  $g$  in [35] (the absence of the factor 1/2 in front of  $g$  in the covariant derivative in [35]). We use the standard definition for the strong coupling constant such that the chiral anomaly is given by (4.1). Using our normalization for the  $\eta'$  field and expressing the anomaly in terms of the physical electromagnetic field  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$  we arrive to the following effective Lagrangian describing the interaction of the  $\eta'$  with the electromagnetic fields in the CFL phase:

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{\eta'\mathcal{F}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{(CFL)} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{4\pi^2} \mathcal{F}\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \frac{\varphi_A}{2}. \quad (4.10)$$

It is interesting to note that half of this result is due to the original electromagnetic interaction,  $\sim F\tilde{F}$  while the other half is due to the gluonic part of the anomaly (4.1). One can repeat the same calculations for the 2SC phase with the result similar to (4.10):

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{\eta'\mathcal{F}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}^{(2SC)} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{8\pi^2} \mathcal{F}\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \frac{\varphi_A}{2}. \quad (4.11)$$

However, for the 2SC phase, only one sixth of this contribution is due to the original gluon term in the anomaly. One should also note that the same procedure determines the anomalous coupling constants  $\sim g_{\eta'\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}}$  describing the decay of a heavy gluon to an  $\eta'$  and a photon—the decay similar to  $\rho \rightarrow \pi\gamma$  in usual QCD. We shall not discuss further this physics involving the heavy particle ( $m_G \sim \Delta$ ) in the present paper.



## 4.2 Superconducting strings

In this section we wish to analyze the system of light particles  $\eta'$  (the phase  $\varphi_A$ ) and photon  $\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}$ . Combining (3.1) and (4.10) we have the following effective Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = f^2 ((\partial_0 \varphi_A)^2 - u^2 (\partial_i \varphi_A)^2) - V_{\text{inst}}(\varphi_A) - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{8\pi^2} \left( \frac{\varphi_A}{2} \right) \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\lambda\sigma}. \quad (4.12)$$

We approach this problem for large  $\mu$ . In this case, as a first approximation, we can omit the instanton contribution  $V_{\text{inst}}$  as we did for the discussion of a single string. This allows us to treat the problem of string-electromagnetism exactly at large distances. If we turn on the small instanton term and explicitly break the  $U(1)_A$  symmetry, then domain walls are also allowed and the problem becomes much more complicated. We speculate on a possible effects of these physically relevant domain walls in the conclusion.

The effective theory (4.12) is almost identical to that studied in [36]. We begin our analysis by considering a single spinning global axial string lying along the  $z$ -axis and use cylindrical coordinates  $(r, \phi)$ :

$$\Phi(t, r, \phi) = \rho(r) e^{i\varphi_A(t, \phi)}. \quad (4.13)$$

The radial solution  $\rho(r)$  was presented in Figure 1, but we will only be concerned with distances large with respect to the core size of the string  $r \gg r_c \sim \Delta$ . In this regime, the effective theory (4.12) becomes valid and we have the following equations of motion for the ‘‘electromagnetic’’ field:

$$\partial_\mu \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2\pi^2} (\partial_\mu \varphi_A) \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi} (\partial_\mu \varphi_A) \tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\mu\nu} \quad (4.14)$$

where  $\alpha = \epsilon^2/4\pi$  is the modified fine structure constant and the background field  $\varphi_A$  has the solution

$$\varphi_A(t, \phi) = \dot{\varphi}_A t + \phi \quad \text{where} \quad \phi = \tan^{-1} \frac{y}{x}. \quad (4.15)$$

We assume that the rate at which the string is spinning is small:

$$\dot{\varphi}_A \equiv \frac{\partial \varphi_A}{\partial t} \ll \Delta^{-1}. \quad (4.16)$$

The origin for this assumption is that, while  $\varphi_B$  strings have very high frequencies of order of  $\mu$ , (3.9), the  $\varphi_A$  strings do not spin on their own. They can, however, receive some angular momentum through the interaction with the surrounding medium and/or the  $\varphi_B$  strings. The interaction of the Goldstone fields with other particles is expected to be suppressed by some power of  $\mu^{-1}$ . Therefore, we expect  $\dot{\varphi}_A$  to be small with respect to all relevant scales.

The anomalous Maxwell's equations (4.12) in the presence of a time dependent global string background have the following form far from the core of the string ( $r \gg \Delta^{-1}$ )

$$-\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_r}{\partial t} + \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}_z}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}_\phi}{\partial z} \right) = -\frac{2\alpha}{\pi r} \mathcal{E}_z - \frac{2\alpha \dot{\varphi}_A}{\pi} \mathcal{B}_r, \quad (4.17a)$$

$$-\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_z}{\partial t} + \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (r \mathcal{B}_\phi)}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}_z}{\partial \phi} \right) = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi r} \mathcal{E}_r - \frac{2\alpha \dot{\varphi}_A}{\pi} \mathcal{B}_z, \quad (4.17b)$$

$$-\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_\phi}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}_z}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{B}_r}{\partial z} = -\frac{2\alpha \dot{\varphi}_A}{\pi} \mathcal{B}_\phi, \quad (4.17c)$$

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial (r \mathcal{E}_r)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_z}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_\phi}{\partial \phi} = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi r} \mathcal{B}_\phi. \quad (4.17d)$$

In the limit of  $\dot{\varphi}_A \rightarrow 0$ , these results reduce to those presented in [36] with the replacement  $2\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$  which is due to the extra factor 2 in our expression (4.10) for the anomaly as compared with the axion model considered in [36].

We were not able to find a complete solution of these linear equations over all of space, however, a  $z$ -independent solution for radii larger than the core size but not too large  $\Delta^{-1} \sim r_c \ll r \ll \dot{\varphi}_A^{-1}$  can be easily found:

$$\mathcal{E}_r = C_+ r^{-1+2\alpha/\pi} + C_- r^{-1-2\alpha/\pi}, \quad (4.18a)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_\phi = C_+ r^{-1+2\alpha/\pi} - C_- r^{-1-2\alpha/\pi}, \quad (4.18b)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_z = \dot{\varphi}_A (C_+ r^{2\alpha/\pi} + C_- r^{-2\alpha/\pi}), \quad (4.18c)$$

with the other field components vanishing. Coefficients  $C_\pm$  need to be determined by matching the solution (4.18) with the behaviour of fields in the core region  $r \simeq \Delta$  where our effective Lagrangian approach breaks down. In what follows, we estimate these coefficients using dimensional arguments.

The solution (4.18) is reduced to the corresponding expression [36] in the limit  $\dot{\varphi}_A = 0$ . In this case, the solution becomes valid for arbitrarily large  $r$ . Our approximate solution (4.18) is limited to the range  $\Delta^{-1} \ll r \ll \dot{\varphi}_A^{-1}$ . At shorter ranges we must further develop our microscopic model.

The most important feature of the solution (4.18) is that, for a given  $C_+ \neq 0$  or  $C_- \neq 0$ , the solution has the Lorentz property  $\mathcal{B}_\phi = \pm \mathcal{E}_r$ —as if the string carries a light-like current four vector with current density along the  $z$ -axis  $\mathbf{j}_\mu = (j, 0, 0, \pm j)$  with the whole system spinning with small frequency  $\dot{\varphi}_A \ll 1$ . The presence of a small  $\mathcal{B}_z$  field in (4.18c) which is due to the spinning of the static solution can be easily understood by boosting to the local frame moving with the speed  $v_\phi = -\dot{\varphi}_A r$  at the point  $r$ .

We should note here, that the presence of a current with the property  $\mathbf{j}_\mu = (j, 0, 0, \pm j)$  in the system defined by (4.12) was established by analyzing the only large distance physics without formulating a microscopic model of the core. A similar result was obtained in [36]. There, however, the effective theory was taken to be exact, allowing for a detailed analysis of the core physics. In that case, it can be seen that such a current results from a charged Dirac fermionic zero mode present in the string background (see [37, 38, 39, 40] for more details). Unfortunately, in our case, the effective theory (4.12) breaks down at short distance scales:

we only have theoretical control over the large distance physics, however we believe that the microscopical explanation of the property  $j_\mu = (j, 0, 0, \pm j)$  in our case is very similar to the microscopical explanation given in [36]: namely, that it is due to zero modes of the charged fermion field which travels inside the core of the string.

The presence of zero modes (localized in the  $(xy)$  direction) in the string background is a very general property of such a background and is a trivial consequence of an index theorem, [37, 38, 39, 40]. Although this topic is beyond the scope of the present paper, we would like to note that, in the colour superconducting CFL or 2SC phases, the interaction between the gapped fermions close to the Fermi surface and the diquark condensate has a more complicated algebraic structure than the simple Yukawa coupling of a single fermion considered previously [38, 39, 36]. Nevertheless, one can explicitly demonstrate the presence of the fermionic zero modes which would have been the regular gapped excitations in the absence of the string background. Therefore, we believe that the microscopical explanation of the result  $j_\mu = (j, 0, 0, \pm j)$  in our case is analogous to the explanation given in [36]. However, unlike the case in [36], we expect that both the coefficients  $C_\pm$  are nonzero due to the lack of Lorentz invariance in our system. (The dispersion laws for in-medium fermions do not have a standard Lorentz-invariant form.)

One of the consequences of localized charged fermionic zero modes in a string background is superconductivity. Indeed, as was demonstrated in [38], the problem can be reduced to a two dimensional effective theory which describes massless charged fermions (our original zero modes in four dimensions), and photons (our physical “photon” fields  $A_\mu$ ) which are coupled to the massless fermions through the physical charge  $\epsilon$ . It was also demonstrated in [38] that such a system describes a superconducting string in the sense that, if external electric field is applied along the  $z$  direction, it results in persistent current along the string. We shall not repeat all these well known arguments, which are based on the bosonization technique of the (effectively two-dimensional) localized zero modes. Instead, we refer the reader to the original paper [38].

Thus, we can only present a dimensional estimate at this time: For a given orientation of the string solution  $\varphi_A(r, \phi) = +\phi$  we expect that both  $C_\pm$  of Equation (4.18) are of the same order and can be estimated dimensionally to be

$$C_- \sim \epsilon \Delta (\Delta)^{-2\alpha/\pi}, \quad C_+ \sim \epsilon \Delta (\Delta)^{+2\alpha/\pi}. \quad (4.19)$$

This result behaves in the same way as if fermions trapped in transverse zero modes travel in opposite directions (without cancellation,  $C_+ \neq C_-$ ) at the speed of light. We should remark that, due to the linearity of Maxwell’s equations, a linear superposition of two sources  $c_+(j, 0, 0, +j) + c_-(j, 0, 0, -j)$  produces a desirable superposition of the corresponding solutions (4.18). Such electromagnetic behaviour of the string is reminiscent of the behaviour of free superconducting strings [38, 41].

The question of how the external electric field may be generated is a different question which is not addressed in the present paper. However, we should remark here that, in the core of a neutron star, the current could be generated by the motion of the strings through external magnetic fields already present in neutron star [38].

To conclude this section, we estimate the maximum current which can be achieved in a colour superconductor. Under an external electric field applied parallel to the axis of the string, the fermion current will grow. Eventually, however, it will saturate: If the mass of the fermions away from the string is  $\Delta$ , then once the Fermi momentum of the charge carriers rises above  $p_F > \Delta$ , it will be energetically favourable for the fermion to leave the string. Therefore, one expects that the maximum current supported by a single string would be of the order

$$j_{\max} \sim \frac{e\Delta}{2\pi} \quad (4.20)$$

which cannot exceed  $2 \cdot 10^3$  A.

We do not expect, however, that a single, infinitely long string is realized in nature. Rather, we expect that the strings will take the shape of a ring or organize into more complicated objects. We presently cannot say more about the results of the rather complicated dynamics of strings in dense quark matter in the presence of strong external magnetic fields. However, we refer to some of the results discussed in [38] and the textbook [2]. As a first step to understanding the dynamics of this complicated system, one has to understand the forces which act between the strings. We discuss this subject in the Conclusion.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have considered the existence of classically stable global string configurations in high density QCD. We have shown that, in the  $N_f = 3$  colour flavour locking (CFL) phase, baryonic strings resulting from the  $U(1)_B$  symmetry of the condensate can carry angular momentum. A similar situation arises for  $U(1)_S$  strings if a kaon condensate forms. In addition, we have shown that the axial strings resulting from the approximate  $U(1)_A$  symmetry may exist in both the  $N_f = 3$  CFL phase and the  $N_f = 2$  (2SC) phase.

Even though the fields that form these global strings are neutral, we show that the  $U(1)_A$  strings have non-trivial electromagnetic properties due to the anomalous coupling between the  $\eta'$  field and a massless “photon” that is a mixture of the eighth gluon and photon fields. Due to this anomalous interaction, axial strings behave as superconducting string.

As we have already mentioned, however, in general, strings will not be formed as infinitely long strings in isolation. The details of the interaction between strings is quite varied and complicated: stable structures like helices, rings and vortons may form, or the strings may form networks or tangle. Besides that,  $U(1)_A$  strings as well as  $U(1)_S$  strings will be bounded by domain walls which themselves can decay into string-antistring configurations. In order to understand the possible dynamics of the system one must know different forces which determine the dynamics.

In this Conclusion we shall only comment on a few simple possibilities here and refer the reader to the textbook [2] for a discussion about general string dynamics. We shall consider the following interactions between strings:

- Inter-Vortex Force:  $F_{VV}$ .

- Domain Wall Force:  $F_{DW}$ .
- Anomalous Electromagnetic Force:  $F_{EM}$ .

We shall briefly summarize these forces and provide qualitative estimates in terms of the parameters of the QCD phase. In the following we assume that two infinitely long global strings lie parallel to the  $z$ -axis with separation  $d$ . We label the strings by their topological “charge”  $n$  which corresponds to their winding number (2.16) with respect to the positive  $z$  axis. Strings of the same charge have the same orientation: String of opposite charge can annihilate. All forces are presented as a force per unit length of the string.

**Inter-Vortex Force** The most important and well-known interaction is due to the exchange of massless Goldstone particles. The force is estimated by considering the energy of a configuration of two strings. This approximation is valid for distances  $d$  much larger than the core size  $d \gg \Delta^{-1}$  [42, 43]

$$F_{VV} \sim \mp \frac{4\pi f^2}{d}. \quad (5.1)$$

This force is repulsive for strings with the same charge, and attractive for strings of opposite charge. Physically, strings of opposite charge tend to attract (energetically they try to annihilate and restore the vacuum everywhere) while strings of the same charge repel: strings of higher charge  $n > 1$  will split into several strings of single winding which will tend to move away from each other.

For baryonic  $U(1)_B$  strings this result extends to arbitrarily large distances  $d$  because the Goldstone bosons are massless. For  $U(1)_S$  strings, this description is only valid for distances  $d < m^{-1} \simeq (50 \text{ KeV})^{-1}$  because the Goldstone bosons are in fact massive due to weak interaction [26]. A similar situation occurs for the axial  $U(1)_A$  strings where this description is only valid for distances  $d < m_{\eta'}^{-1}$  because the Goldstone bosons is massive due to instanton effects (2.9). Beyond this range, this inter-vortex force falls away and the domain wall force starts to dominate.

**Domain Wall Force** On distance scales  $d > m^{-1}$ , where  $m = m_{\eta'}$  for  $U(1)_A$  strings and  $m = m_{\varphi_S} \sim \sqrt{a_S} \Delta$  for  $U(1)_S$  strings, (2.24), one will see that the  $U(1)_A$  and  $U(1)_S$  strings are really embedded in domain walls of thickness  $m^{-1}$ . The scale for this interaction is set by the corresponding domain wall tension  $\sigma$  calculated for  $\varphi_A$  field in [3] and for  $\varphi_S$  field in [26]:

$$F_{DW} \sim \sigma \sim f^2 m. \quad (5.2)$$

This force can be attractive for opposite charge strings if a domain wall connects them. Domain walls are not formed between strings of the same charge if we neglect the process of nucleation which is equivalent to the creation of the string-antistring pairs.

**Anomalous Electromagnetic Force** For distances between  $\Delta^{-1} \ll d \ll \dot{\varphi}_A^{-1}$  the axial string behave as current carrying wires. Through the exchange of “photons”, these strings

thus interact with force

$$F_{EM} \sim \frac{jj}{d} \sim \pm \frac{\alpha \Delta^2}{\pi d}. \quad (5.3)$$

This force is attractive for strings of the same charge and repulsive for strings of opposite charge. Physically, strings carrying current in the same direction want to bunch together into a “wire”. In comparison with the other two forces, however, the anomalous electromagnetic force is suppressed by a factors of  $\alpha$  and  $\Delta/\mu$  and can be neglected in comparison with these other forces.

Besides that, when a  $U(1)_A$  string moves at velocity  $v$  across an external magnetic field, the effective superconducting current should build at a very high rate, (see [38]). In such a situation, an electric field is also generated leading to the creation of particles, a process which we do not discuss here.

One more effect which we would like to mention here and which deserves for a further study is the binding strings by the domain wall force (5.2). In a situation similar to the  $N = 1$  axion model [17] such a configuration decays very quickly. In our case when  $U(1)_A$  string is superconducting and can form a ring with a dragged magnetic flux crossing the ring, the situation may not be so obvious. One should better understand the system of superconducting string with attached domain wall in the dense background in the presence of a nonzero magnetic field, before one can make any conclusions regarding the system. We suspect that due to the interactions which were not present in the axion case, some stable configurations (like vortons) will be possible.

The most likely place to encounter bulk high-density superconducting quark matter is in the core of neutron stars. Typically, neutron stars are rapidly spinning, and we suspect that during the formation of the core, this rotation would impart some angular momentum to the superconducting core. As we showed in Section 3, spinning global  $U(1)_B$  and  $U(1)_S$  strings carry angular momentum that is correlated with the string’s charge.

It remains to be seen whether these strings can have any impact on observable effects (they might, for example, affect glitches: sudden increases in the rotation frequency  $\omega$  of neutron stars by as much as  $\Delta\omega/\omega \sim 10^{-6}$ , or the magnetic field structure and evolution).

There will likely be many other effects due to the interactions and electromagnetic properties of global  $U(1)$  strings that will play a role in the physics of superconducting phases of high density quark matter. These effects might be closely related to analogous effects studied for cosmic strings (we refer the reader to the textbook [2]), and studied in condensed matter physics. We hope that the present paper will initiate some activity in this direction.

## Acknowledgments

We are indebted to A. Kovner, D.T.Son, M. Stephanov, T. Schäfer, E. V. Shuryak and, K. Zarembo for discussions regarding different aspects of the global strings at high density in QCD. MMF would also like to thank F. Wilczek for useful discussions. AZ is supported, in part, by the NSERC of Canada. MMF is supported by a Presidential Fellowship from MIT.

## References

- [1] R. Rajaraman, *Solitons and Instantons*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [2] A. Vilenkin and E. P. S. Shellard, *Cosmic Strings and Other Topological Defects*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [3] D. T. Son, M. A. Stephanov, and A. R. Zhitnitsky, *Domain walls of high-density QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** (2001) 3955–3958, [arXiv:hep-ph/0012041].
- [4] R. L. Davis and E. P. S. Shellard, *Global strings and superfluid vortices*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63** (1989) 2021.
- [5] M. G. Alford, J. A. Bowers, and K. Rajagopal, *Color superconductivity in compact stars*, *J. Phys.* **G27** (2001) 541–556, [hep-ph/0009357].
- [6] K. Rajagopal, *The phases of qcd in heavy ion collisions and compact stars*, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B31** (2000) 3021, [hep-ph/0009058].
- [7] K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, *The condensed matter physics of QCD*, arXiv:hep-ph/0011333.
- [8] B. C. Barrois, *Superconducting quark matter*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B129** (1977) 390.
- [9] S. Frautschi, *Proceedings of workshop on hadronic matter at extreme density*, Erice, 1978. Proceedings of workshop on hadronic matter at extreme density.
- [10] B. C. Barrois, *Non-perturbative effects in dense quark matter*. PhD thesis, Caltech, 1979. UMI 79-04847-mc.
- [11] D. Bailin and A. Love, *Superfluidity and superconductivity in relativistic fermion systems*, *Phys. Rept.* **107** (1984) 325.
- [12] M. Alford, K. Rajagopal, and F. Wilczek, *QCD at finite baryon density: Nucleon droplets and color superconductivity*, *Phys. Lett.* **B422** (1998) 247–256, [hep-ph/9711395].
- [13] R. Rapp, T. Schafer, E. V. Shuryak, and M. Velkovsky, *Diquark bose condensates in high density matter and instantons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (1998) 53–56, [hep-ph/9711396].
- [14] D. T. Son, *Superconductivity by long-range color magnetic interaction in high-density quark matter*, *Phys. Rev.* **D59** (1999) 094019, [hep-ph/9812287].
- [15] S. R. Beane, P. F. Bedaque, and M. J. Savage, *Meson masses in high density QCD*, *Phys. Lett.* **B483** (2000) 131–138, [hep-ph/0002209].
- [16] V. A. Miransky, I. A. Shovkovy, and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, *The effective potential of composite diquark fields and the spectrum of resonances in dense QCD*, *Phys. Lett.* **B468** (1999) 270–278, [hep-ph/9908212].

- [17] A. Vilenkin and A. E. Everett, *Cosmic strings and domain walls in models with goldstone and pseudogoldstone bosons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 1867.
- [18] M. M. Forbes and A. R. Zhitnitsky, *Domain walls in QCD*, [arXiv:hep-ph/0008315](#).
- [19] M. Alford, K. Rajagopal, and F. Wilczek, *Color-flavor locking and chiral symmetry breaking in high density QCD*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B537** (1999) 443–458, [[hep-ph/9804403](#)].
- [20] R. Rapp, T. Schafer, E. V. Shuryak, and M. Velkovsky, *High-density QCD and instantons*, *Annals Phys.* **280** (2000) 35–99, [[hep-ph/9904353](#)].
- [21] D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, *Inverse meson mass ordering in color-flavor-locking phase of high density QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D61** (2000) 074012, [[hep-ph/9910491](#)].  
Erratum: [22].
- [22] D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, *Inverse meson mass ordering in color-flavor-locking phase of high density QCD: Erratum*, *Phys. Rev.* **D62** (2000) 059902, [[hep-ph/0004095](#)]. Erratum to [21].
- [23] T. Schafer, *Kaon condensation in high density quark matter*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** (2000) 5531–5534, [[nucl-th/0007021](#)].
- [24] P. F. Bedaque and T. Schafer, *High density quark matter under stress*, [hep-ph/0105150](#).
- [25] D. B. Kaplan and S. Reddy, *Novel phases and transitions in quark matter*, [hep-ph/0107265](#).
- [26] D. T. Son, *Light goldstone boson and domain walls in the  $K^0$ -condensed phase of high density quark matter*, [hep-ph/0108260](#).
- [27] K. Zarembo, *Dispersion laws for goldstone bosons in a color superconductor*, *Phys. Rev.* **D62** (2000) 054003, [[hep-ph/0002123](#)].
- [28] D. T. Son, M. A. Stephanov, and A. R. Zhitnitsky, *Instanton interactions in dense-matter QCD*, *Phys. Lett.* **B510** (2001) 167–172, [[hep-ph/0103099](#)].
- [29] J. B. Kogut, M. A. Stephanov, and D. Toublan, *On two-color qcd with baryon chemical potential*, *Phys. Lett.* **B464** (1999) 183–191, [[hep-ph/9906346](#)].
- [30] J. B. Kogut, M. A. Stephanov, D. Toublan, J. J. M. Verbaarschot, and A. Zhitnitsky, *QCD-like theories at finite baryon density*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B582** (2000) 477–513, [[hep-ph/0001171](#)].
- [31] D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, *QCD at finite isospin density*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** (2001) 592–595, [[hep-ph/0005225](#)].
- [32] D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, *QCD at finite isospin density: From pion to quark antiquark condensation*, *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **64** (2001) 834–842, [[hep-ph/0011365](#)].  
Same as [33].



- [33] D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, *QCD at finite isospin density: From pion to quark antiquark condensation*, *Yad. Fiz.* **64** (2001) 899, [hep-ph/0011365]. Same as [32].
- [34] M. A. Nowak, M. Rho, A. Wirzba, and I. Zahed,  $\tilde{\pi}^0 \rightarrow \tilde{\gamma}\tilde{\gamma}$  in dense QCD, *Phys. Lett.* **B497** (2001) 85–90, [hep-ph/0007034].
- [35] M. Alford, J. Berges, and K. Rajagopal, *Magnetic fields within color superconducting neutron star cores*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B571** (2000) 269–284, [hep-ph/9910254].
- [36] D. B. Kaplan and A. Manohar, *Anomalous vortices and electromagnetism*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B302** (1988) 280.
- [37] E. J. Weinberg, *Index calculations for the fermion - vortex system*, *Phys. Rev.* **D24** (1981) 2669.
- [38] E. Witten, *Superconducting strings*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B249** (1985) 557–592.
- [39] J. Callan, Curtis G. and J. A. Harvey, *Anomalies and fermion zero modes on strings and domain walls*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B250** (1985) 427.
- [40] R. Jackiw and P. Rossi, *Zero modes of the vortex - fermion system*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B190** (1981) 681.
- [41] M. Aryal, A. Vilenkin, and T. Vachaspati, *Notes on superconducting cosmic strings*, *Phys. Lett.* **B194** (1987) 25.
- [42] E. P. S. Shellard, *Cosmic string interactions*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B283** (1987) 624–656.
- [43] L. Perivolaropoulos, *Instabilities and interactions of global topological defects*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B375** (1992) 665–694.