

Constructing Parton Convolution in Effective Field Theory

Jiunn-Wei Chen and Xiangdong Ji

Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742-4111

Parton convolution models have been used extensively in describing the sea quarks in the nucleon and explaining quark distributions in nuclei (the EMC effect). From effective field theory point of view, we construct the parton convolution formalism which has been the underlying conception of all convolution models. We explain the significance of scheme and scale dependence of the auxiliary quantities such as the pion distributions in a nucleon. As an application, we calculate the complete leading nonanalytic chiral contribution to the isovector component of the nucleon sea.

Calculating parton distributions from the first principles have been proven difficult. The only approach at present is lattice field theory in which the moments of parton distributions are simulated on a Euclidean lattice [1–4]. A useful phenomenological approach used to understand certain aspects of the parton distributions, such as their modifications in a nucleus or the origin of sea quarks, is to construct a parton convolution model [5–8]. The essence of the parton convolution picture is easy to describe: First, the system under consideration is treated as a composite of hadrons (nucleons and their excitations and mesons). Then hard scattering is pictured to happen on one of its hadron constituents in which the parton distributions are presumed known. Although widely used, the convolution models seem hard to justify at a more fundamental level. For instance, it has been difficult to construct systematic corrections to the model predictions.

In recent publications [9,10], the effective field theory techniques—more specifically chiral perturbation theory [11,12]—were first used to understand the chiral corrections to the parton distributions in the nucleon. We find that the approach can easily be generalized to understand the sea quark distributions in the nucleon and the modifications of parton distributions in nuclei. The result is a rigorous and general way to construct parton distributions of composite systems out of their hadron constituents, generalizing the conventional convolution model approach. Unlike the convolution models, however, effective field theories allow a systematic way to account for higher-order contributions through power counting.

Our observation is simple: The moments of the parton distributions are defined from the matrix elements of the twist-two operators. For instance, for the unpolarized parton distributions these operators are

$$\mathcal{O}_q^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n} = \bar{q} \gamma^{(\mu_1} i D^{\mu_2} \cdots i D^{\mu_n)} q . \quad (1)$$

In effective field theories, these operators are matched to hadronic operators with the same quantum numbers,

$$\mathcal{O}_q^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_{qj}^{(n)} \mathcal{O}_j^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n} , \quad (2)$$

where j labels different types of hadronic operators and $c_{qj}^{(n)}$ are c-number coefficients outside of the effective field theory framework. As a specific example, the isovector operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{u-d}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n} &= \bar{u} \gamma^{(\mu_1} i D^{\mu_2} \cdots i D^{\mu_n)} u \\ &\quad - \bar{d} \gamma^{(\mu_1} i D^{\mu_2} \cdots i D^{\mu_n)} d , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

can be matched onto the hadronic operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{u-d}^{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n} &= a_n \frac{f_\pi^2}{4} \left\{ \text{Tr} \left[\Sigma^\dagger \tau_3 i D^{(\mu_1} \cdots i D^{\mu_n)} \Sigma \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \text{Tr} \left[\Sigma \tau_3 i D^{(\mu_1} \cdots i D^{\mu_n)} \Sigma^\dagger \right] \right\} \\ &\quad + b_n \bar{N} v^{(\mu_1} \cdots v^{\mu_n)} (u \tau_3 u^\dagger + u^\dagger \tau_3 u) N , \\ &\quad + c_n \bar{N} S^{(\mu_1} v^{\mu_2} \cdots v^{\mu_n)} (u^\dagger \tau_3 u - u \tau_3 u^\dagger) N \\ &\quad + \cdots , \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where N and \bar{N} are the nucleon fields in the nonlinear representation, v^μ is the nucleon four-velocity, $u = \exp(i\pi^a \tau^a / 2f_\pi)$ with pion fields π^a and decay constant $f_\pi = 93$ MeV, $\Sigma = u^2$, and (\cdots) denotes the symmetrization of the indices in between. We have neglected terms with more derivatives as well as with multiple nucleon fields relevant for the nuclear modifications of parton distributions.

Of course, the expressions in Eqs. (2) and (4) are useless if there are no small expansion parameters and every term contributes at equal importance. If, however, a sensible expansion scheme exists, as when an effective field theory becomes applicable, one can learn some aspects of the parton distributions through the expansion. In particular, the expansion allows the quark distributions in a hadron system H be expressed as convolutions;

$$\begin{aligned} q_H(x) &= \sum_j \left[\int_{|x|}^1 \frac{dy}{y} q_j(y) f_{jH} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{-1}^{-|x|} \frac{dy}{y} q_j(y) f_{jH} \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $q_j(y)$ is defined through its moments

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} dy y^{n-1} q_j(y) = c_{qj}^{(n)}, \quad (6)$$

and can be interpreted as the quark distribution in a hadron state j . $f_{jH}(y)$ is defined through moments

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} dy y^{n-1} f_{jH}(y) = \frac{1}{2(P^+)^n} \langle P | \mathcal{O}_j^{+\dots+} | P \rangle_H, \quad (7)$$

which can be interpreted as the hadron j distribution in H ($P^+ = (P^0 + P^3)/\sqrt{2}$, and similarly for other indices). Using Eqs. (5)-(7), it is easy to check that the $(n-1)$ th moment of $q_H(x)$ reproduces Eq. (2). A similar convolution formula can be derived for polarized distributions. Equation (5) is schematic because j refers to general hadronic states having the quantum numbers of the twist-two operators. For example, j can be an $N - \Delta$ interference state, or a 3-pion state. For intermediate states with multiple hadrons, y must be extended to several light-cone variables. For off-diagonal hadron states, the quark distributions are the generalized parton distributions that have been studied recently in the literature [13]. According to Eq. (5), various convolution models consist of particular truncations of the expansion.

To illustrate the above formalism, we study in the remainder of the paper the moments of the proton's isovector anti-quark distribution, $\bar{u}_P(x) - \bar{d}_P(x)$, in chiral perturbation theory. A chiral contribution to this quantity was first computed in Ref. [14], and our result partially confirms the answer there. However, we have also found an additional contribution which depends on the quark distribution in the chiral limit.

We start by expressing the isovector, spin-averaged twist-two quark operators in terms of the operators with pure fields,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{u-d}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} &= a_n \frac{f_\pi^2}{4} \left\{ \text{Tr} \left[\Sigma^\dagger \tau_3 i D^{(\mu_1} \dots i D^{\mu_n)} \Sigma \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \text{Tr} \left[\Sigma \tau_3 i D^{(\mu_1} \dots i D^{\mu_n)} \Sigma^\dagger \right] \right\} + \dots \\ &= -i a_n \epsilon^{3ij} \pi^i i \partial^{(\mu_1} \dots i \partial^{\mu_n)} \pi^j + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where after the second equal sign, we have made the chiral expansion and neglected the higher-order terms in $m_\pi/(4\pi f_\pi)$. The matrix elements of the isovector operators, $\mathcal{O}_{\pi^+ - \pi^-}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} = -i \epsilon^{3ij} \pi^i i \partial^{\mu_1} \dots i \partial^{\mu_n} \pi^j$, in the proton state define the isovector pion distribution $f_{(\pi^+ - \pi^-)/P}(y)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P | \mathcal{O}_{\pi^+ - \pi^-}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_n} | P \rangle &= 2A_n P^{\mu_1} \dots P^{\mu_n}, \\ \int_{-1}^{+1} f_{(\pi^+ - \pi^-)/P}(y) y^{n-1} dy &= A_n. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The matrix elements A_n can be calculated in chiral perturbation theory. In dimensional regularization and heavy-nucleon formalism [11], we find

$$A_{n \text{ odd}} = (-1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(\frac{n+3}{n+1} \right) \frac{(3g_A^2 + \delta_{n1}) m_N^2}{4(4\pi f_\pi)^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\times \left(\frac{m_\pi}{m_N} \right)^{n+1} \log \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_N^2} + \dots, \\ A_{n \text{ even}} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where g_A is the neutron decay constant in the chiral limit. The explicit nucleon mass dependence comes from the kinematic factors in the definition of the matrix elements and from setting the renormalization scale (in the chiral logarithm) to m_N . The ellipses denote terms analytic in quark masses or subleading in chiral power counting. The $n = 1$ moment is special because it receives a pion tadpole contribution. The Bose symmetry leads to vanishing $n = \text{even}$ moments.

From the moments, one can construct the pion distribution, $f_{(\pi^+ - \pi^-)/P}(y)$ in the proton,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{(\pi^+ - \pi^-)/P}(y) &= \frac{m_N^2}{2(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \left(\frac{m_\pi}{m_N} \right)^2 \log \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_N^2} \delta(y) \\ &\quad + g(y)\theta(y) + g(-y)\theta(-y), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} g(y) &= \frac{-3g_A^2 m_N^2}{4(4\pi f_\pi)^2} y \left\{ \frac{m_\pi^2(1-y)}{m_\pi^2(1-y) + m_N^2 y^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \log \left(\frac{m_\pi^2(1-y) + y^2 m_N^2}{y^2 m_N^2} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Apart from the leading nonanalytic contribution from small y , the above expression also contains analytic terms in quark masses. The Bose symmetry dictates $f_{(\pi^+ - \pi^-)/P}(y)$ as an even function of y . Equation (11) can also be derived from the definition of the pion distribution as the matrix elements of the light-cone string operator in the same scheme [15]

$$\begin{aligned} f_{(\pi^+ - \pi^-)/P}(y) &= \frac{1}{2P^+} (-i \epsilon^{3ij}) \\ &\quad \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda y} \langle P | \pi^i(0) i \partial^+ \pi^j(\lambda n) | P \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $n \sim (1, 0, 0, -1)$ is a light-cone vector. The δ -function contribution at $y = 0$ comes from the pion-nucleon seagull vertex.

Before going further, it is useful to discuss the scheme and scale dependence of the pion distribution in the nucleon. For simplicity, we match a generic quark operator \mathcal{O}_q schematically onto the sum of a pion operator \mathcal{O}_π and a nucleon operator \mathcal{O}_N ,

$$\mathcal{O}_q(\mu) = a(\mu, \Lambda) \mathcal{O}_\pi(\Lambda) + b(\mu, \Lambda) \mathcal{O}_N(\Lambda). \quad (14)$$

The quark operator must be renormalized in QCD and has a perturbative QCD renormalization scale μ much larger than the QCD scale, Λ_{QCD} . The μ dependence in the right-hand side of the equation is confined to the coefficient functions $a(\mu, \Lambda)$ and $b(\mu, \Lambda)$. The hadronic operators, on the other hand, have an implicit scheme

and an explicit renormalization scale Λ ($\lesssim 1$ GeV) dependence in chiral perturbation theory. The scheme and scale dependence in these operators ought to be cancelled entirely by the scheme and scale dependence of the coefficient functions. When Eq. (14) is sandwiched in the nucleon state, $\langle N|O_\pi|N\rangle$ is interpreted as the pionic effect in the nucleon. Because of the scheme and scale dependence of the matrix element, we cannot define in an absolute sense, for instance, the number of pions in the nucleon.

In a typical calculation of the Sullivan process, the baryons (nucleons) are treated fully relativistically with finite masses (see, for example, Ref. [14]). The leading-order contribution is proportional to the coupling

$$\left(\frac{g_{\pi NN}}{4\pi}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{g_A m_N}{4\pi f_\pi}\right)^2, \quad (15)$$

where we have made use of the Goldberger-Treiman relation. Since the nucleon mass m_N is on the order of $4\pi f_\pi$, the expansion parameter is by no means small. In particular, the multi-loop contributions are proportional to $(g_{\pi NN}/4\pi)^{2n}$ which is not suppressed relative to the leading order. Therefore, in this scheme of chiral expansion, $\langle N|O_\pi|N\rangle$ cannot be calculated with a controlled approximation. As such, the leading order result can only be taken as a model prediction even when the loop momentum of the pion is kept small. The heavy-baryon chiral perturbation [11] is designed to overcome this difficulty. In this scheme, the leading-order result is quadratically divergent. In a cut-off regularization, it is proportional to $g_A^2 \Lambda^2 / (4\pi f_\pi)^2$, which is a small parameter when $\Lambda \ll 4\pi f_\pi$. As a consequence, the pionic contribution is very sensitive to the cut-off. In dimensional regularization, on the other hand, the power divergences are absent by definition. From dimensional analysis, the matrix element $\langle N|O_\pi|N\rangle$, and here the pion distributions in the nucleon, vanishes in the chiral limit. The renormalization scale Λ enters in the logarithms of the leading nonanalytical term and controls the relative contribution to O_q from O_π and O_N .

The coefficient a_n in Eqs. (4) and (8) is related to the $u-d$ distribution in the π^+ meson:

$$a_n = \int_{-1}^{+1} x^{n-1} (u_{\pi^+}^0(x) - d_{\pi^+}^0(x)) dx, \quad (16)$$

where the superscript 0 indicates the chiral limit. The isospin charge counting gives $a_1 = 2$. The difference between $u_{\pi^+}^0$ and the real-world u_{π^+} is a chiral correction which has been computed in Ref. [10]:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\pi^+}(x) - d_{\pi^+}(x) &= (u_{\pi^+}^0(x) - d_{\pi^+}^0(x)) \times \left(1 - \frac{m_\pi^2}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \log \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_N^2}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2m_\pi^2}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \log \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_N^2} \delta(x), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where the delta function contribution comes from isospin conservation. For the following purpose, this correction is of higher order.

Through Eq. (8), we construct a contribution to the proton's $u(x) - d(x)$ distribution from the pion cloud:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\pi/P}(x) - d_{\pi/P}(x) &= \int_{|x|}^1 \frac{dy}{y} \left\{ u_{\pi^+}^0(y) - d_{\pi^+}^0(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\bar{u}_{\pi^+}^0(y) - \bar{d}_{\pi^+}^0(y)) \right\} f_{(\pi^+ - \pi^-)/P}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where we have used the symmetry property of $f_{(\pi^+ - \pi^-)/N}(y)$ in y and the definition of antiquark distribution $\bar{q}_j(y) = -q_j(-y)$. Since the contribution is an even function of x , we can easily calculate the resulting up-down asymmetry in the sea,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 (\bar{d}_{\pi/P}(x) - \bar{u}_{\pi/P}(x)) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 (u_{\pi/P}(x) - d_{\pi/P}(x)) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} a_1 A_1 \\ &= \frac{3g_A^2 + 1}{2(4\pi f_\pi)^2} m_\pi^2 \log\left(\frac{m_\pi^2}{m_N^2}\right) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Above result agrees with that in Ref. [14] in the limit $g_A = 1$. A number of comments can be made about this result. First, $\int_0^1 dx (\bar{d}(x) - \bar{u}(x))$ is not an usual moment of the quark distribution and cannot be calculated as the matrix element of a local operator in QCD or effective field theories. We overcome this difficulty by converting the matrix elements of the complete tower of twist-two operators into a distribution and then integrating over x . The simple result follows from that the pion contribution to the quark distribution in the nucleon is even in x . Therefore, in a certain sense, our derivation provides a formal justification for the approach used in Ref. [14]. Second, the difference between our result and that of Ref. [14] comes from the use of the linear sigma model in the later paper. As was discussed in Ref. [9], in a general formulation of chiral expansion in which the nucleon fields furnish a linear representation of the chiral group, the difference disappears. Finally, the above nonanalytic chiral contribution to $\int_0^1 dx (\bar{d}(x) - \bar{u}(x))$ has an opposite sign from the experimental data [16], indicating the importance of other contributions to the nucleon sea.

According to the expansion in Eq. (4), the twist-two pion operators are not the only source of chiral logarithms in the antiquark distributions in the nucleon. They can also be generated from the nucleon operators. Using $u_P^0(x)$ and $d_P^0(x)$ to represent the quark distributions in the proton in the chiral limit, the result in Refs. [9,10] allows us to find another chiral contribution to u and d distributions in the proton,

$$u_{N/P}(x) - d_{N/P}(x)$$

$$= (u_P^0(x) - d_P^0(x)) \left(1 - \frac{(3g_A^2 + 1) m_\pi^2}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \log \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_N^2} \right). \quad (20)$$

Integrating from -1 to 0 , we have

$$\int_0^1 (\bar{d}_{N/P}(x) - \bar{u}_{N/P}(x)) dx = \int_0^1 (\bar{d}_P^0(x) - \bar{u}_P^0(x)) dx \times \left(1 - \frac{(3g_A^2 + 1) m_\pi^2}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \log \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_N^2} \right). \quad (21)$$

The second term in the bracket represents a chiral contribution which cannot be calculated without the knowledge of $\int_0^1 (\bar{d}_P^0(x) - \bar{u}_P^0(x)) dx$. Together with the result in Eq. (18), we have the complete leading chiral contribution to the isovector antiquark distributions in the nucleon.

The leading chiral contribution to the polarized up and down quark asymmetry in the proton sea can be calculated in a similar way. The quark-helicity up-down asymmetry in the sea is

$$\int_0^1 (\Delta \bar{d}_P(x) - \Delta \bar{u}_P(x)) dx = \int_0^1 (\Delta \bar{d}_P^0(x) - \Delta \bar{u}_P^0(x)) dx \times \left(1 - \frac{(2g_A^2 + 1) m_\pi^2}{(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \log \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_N^2} \right), \quad (22)$$

where the superscript 0 indicates the chiral limit. Similarly, the quark-transversity up-down asymmetry in the sea is

$$\int_0^1 (\delta \bar{d}_P(x) - \delta \bar{u}_P(x)) dx = \int_0^1 (\delta \bar{d}_P^0(x) - \delta \bar{u}_P^0(x)) dx \times \left(1 - \frac{(4g_A^2 + 1) m_\pi^2}{2(4\pi f_\pi)^2} \log \frac{m_\pi^2}{m_N^2} \right). \quad (23)$$

In both cases, the contribution from the pion cloud is of higher order in chiral power counting.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank T. Cohen for useful discussions on the subject of the paper. This work is supported in part by the U.S. Dept. of Energy under grant No. DE-FG02-93ER-40762.

- [4] S. J. Dong, J. F. Lagae, K. F. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2096 (1995).
- [5] J. D. Sullivan, Phys. Rev. D **5**, 1732 (1972).
- [6] A. W. Thomas, Phys. Lett. B **126**, 97 (1983).
- [7] E. M. Henley and G. A. Miller, Phys. Lett. B **251**, 453 (1990); A. I. Signal, A. W. Schreiber, A. W. Thomas, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **6**, 271 (1991); S. Kumano, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 3067 (1991); S. Kumano, J. T. Londergan, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 717 (1991); W.-Y.P. Hwang, J. Speth, and G. E. Brown, Z. Phys. A **339**, 383 (1991), F.-G. Cao and A. I. Signal, hep-ph/0107104.
- [8] For reviews see J. Speth and A. W. Thomas, Adv. Nucl. Phys. **24**, 83 (1998); S. Kumano, Phys. Rep. **303**, 183 (1998).
- [9] J.W. Chen and X. Ji, hep-ph/0105197; hep-ph/0105296.
- [10] D. Arndt and M. J. Savage, nucl-th/0105045.
- [11] E. Jenkins and A. V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. B **255**, 558 (1991).
- [12] V. Bernard, N. Kaiser and Ulf-G. Meissner, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **4**, 193 (1995).
- [13] See for example, X. Ji, J. Phys. G **24**, 1181 (1998); A. V. Radyushkin, hep-ph/0101225; K. Goeke, M. V. Polyakov, M. Vanderhaeghen, hep-ph/0106012.
- [14] A. W. Thomas, W. Melnitchouk, F. M. Steffens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2892 (2000).
- [15] J.C. Collins and D.E. Soper, Nucl. Phys. B **194**, 445 (1982).
- [16] P. Amaudraz et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2712 (1991); A. Baldit et al., Phys. Lett. B **332**, 244 (1994); E.A. Hawker et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3715 (1998); J.-P. Peng and G.T. Garvey, to appear in "Trend in Particle and Nuclear Physics" (Plenum Press, New York), hep-ph/9912370.

-
- [1] D. Dolgov et al., Nucl.Phys.Proc.Suppl. 94 303 (2001).
 - [2] M. Göckeler *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 2317 (1996); Phys. Lett. B **414**, 340 (1997); hep-ph/9711245; hep-ph/9909253; C. Best *et al.*, hep-ph/9706502; S. Capitani *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B **79**, 548 (1999).
 - [3] S. Güsken *et al.*, hep-lat/9901009.