

# Critical couplings in Crystalline Color superconductivity

Deog Ki Hong\* and Y. J. Sohn †

*Department of Physics, Pusan National University, Pusan 609-735, Korea*

(November 20, 2018)

## Abstract

Solving the Schwinger-Dyson equations, we analyze the pairing of quarks in asymmetric quark matter where quarks have different chemical potentials. We show that in the asymmetric quark matter a crystalline color-superconducting gap opens when the quark coupling is stronger than a critical value. The critical coupling is nonzero, since the infrared divergence is lessened when the momenta of pairing quarks are not opposite. The superconducting gaps and the critical couplings are calculated both at high and intermediate densities.

PACS numbers: 12.38.-t, 26.60.+c, 74.20.-z

Typeset using REVTeX

---

\*dkhong@pnu.edu

†mega@beauty.phys.pusan.ac.kr

It is now widely accepted that matter at extreme density is color superconducting quark matter [1]. Recently, a new superconducting phase, called LOFF state, is proposed in quark matter, where quarks near the Fermi surface form a pair with nonzero total momentum [2–4]. The direction of the momentum is spontaneously chosen, though its magnitude is dynamically determined. This pairing is argued energetically more preferable, compared to BCS pairing or non-pairing, when the difference in the chemical potentials ( $\equiv 2\delta\mu$ ) of quarks involved in pairing lies in a certain range,  $\delta\mu_1 < \delta\mu < \delta\mu_2$ .

This state is originally proposed in electron superconductors with magnetic impurities [5–7]. Usually magnetic field stronger than a critical field destroys superconductivity. But it is found that for a certain range of magnetic field, instead of breaking Cooper pairs, electrons form a pair with nonzero momentum, which again forms various crystalline structures, depending on temperature and dimensionality of the system [8].

In this letter, we analyze the superconducting gap in the LOFF phase of dense QCD, using Schwinger-Dyson equations, to show that the LOFF pairing occurs when the attractive force between quarks is strong enough. In other words, there is a critical coupling,  $g_c$ , above which LOFF pairing is possible, though  $\delta\mu_1 < \delta\mu < \delta\mu_2$ . This is in sharp contrast with Cooper pairing which occurs for arbitrarily small attraction. Since the whole Fermi surface is degenerate in Cooper pairing, the gap equation becomes effectively (1 + 1) dimensional and has severe infrared divergence so that the critical coupling for dynamical mass or gap is zero,  $g_c = 0$ , as one can see in the following. Near the Fermi surface, the fermion momentum can be decomposed as

$$\vec{p} = \vec{p}_F + \vec{l}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\vec{p}_F$  is the Fermi momentum and  $\vec{l}$  is a residual momentum,  $|\vec{l}| < p_F$ . Since the propagator of (free) fermions with a Fermi velocity  $\vec{v}_F$  is given near the Fermi surface as

$$S_F(l_0, \vec{l}) = \frac{i}{(1 + i\epsilon)l_0 - \vec{v}_F \cdot \vec{l}} \quad (2)$$

the excitation energy does not depend on the perpendicular momentum  $\vec{l}_\perp \equiv \vec{l} - \vec{v}_F \vec{l} \cdot \vec{v}_F$ . For Cooper pairing, the gap depends only on  $l_\parallel = (l_0, \vec{v}_F \vec{v}_F \cdot \vec{l})$ , since the pairing quarks have opposite momenta and the whole Fermi surface is degenerate. The Cooper-pair gap equation (in Euclidean space) therefore becomes (1+1) dimensional, if integrated over the perpendicular momentum  $\vec{l}_\perp$ :

$$\Delta(p_\parallel) = g^2 \int \frac{d^4l}{(2\pi)^4} G(p_\parallel - l) \frac{\Delta(l_\parallel)}{l_\parallel^2 + \Delta^2} = g^2 \int \frac{d^2l_\parallel}{(2\pi)^2} \tilde{G}(p_\parallel - l_\parallel) \frac{\Delta(l_\parallel)}{l_\parallel^2 + \Delta^2}, \quad (3)$$

where  $G$  is the kernel for Cooper pairing. For generic kernels, the Cooper-pair gap equation has a nontrivial solution for any coupling  $g^2 > 0$ :  $\Delta \sim \exp(-c/g^2)$  for four-Fermi interactions or for a constant kernel [9] and  $\Delta \sim \exp(-c'/g)$  for Landau-damped gluons [10–12].

Another way of seeing the instability of Fermi surface against formation of Cooper pairs for any arbitrarily weak attraction is by the renormalization group (RG) analysis. When incoming fermions have opposite momenta but equal in magnitude, the four-Fermi interaction is marginally relevant and thus develops Landau pole as we scale down to the Fermi

surface [13]. In Cooper pairing, it is therefore crucial that the pairing fermions have opposite momenta, lying near the Fermi surface.

On the other hand, when the mismatch between two Fermi surfaces is large enough,  $\delta\mu > \Delta/\sqrt{2}$ , it is energetically not favorable to form Cooper pairs since at least either one of the pairing fermions has to be excited far from the Fermi surface, costing too much energy in pairing [14,2]. In the LOFF phase, however, both of the fermions involved in pairing may lie near the Fermi surface by forming a pair of nonvanishing momentum, costing much less energy. (See Fig. 1.) Though the momenta of pairing fermions are opposite in the rest frame of the pair (Fig. 1 (b)), they are not in the rest frame of Fermi sea or in the ground state (Fig. 1 (a)). For such pairing, the (effective) four-Fermi interaction is not marginal and thus does not lead to Landau pole or dynamical mass unless the interaction is strong enough, which is a characteristic feature in dimensions higher than (1+1) [15,16]. As we will see later, LOFF pairing indeed occurs in dense QCD with light flavors when the couplings are bigger than critical values for both high and intermediate density.

When the chemical potentials of up and down quarks in quark matter are different,  $\mu_d - \mu_u (\equiv 2\delta\mu) \neq 0$ , LOFF pairing of quarks may be possible for a certain range of  $\delta\mu \in [\delta\mu_1, \delta\mu_2]$ . The ground state is then described by a condensate of LOFF pairs,

$$\langle \psi_d^i(\vec{p} + \vec{q}) \psi_u^j(-\vec{p} + \vec{q}) \rangle = \epsilon^{ij3} \Delta(\vec{q}), \quad (4)$$

where  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$  are color indices and the unbroken color direction is denoted as 3. For a given  $\vec{q}$ , the momenta of the quarks involved in LOFF pairing can be decomposed as

$$p + q = \mu_d v_d + l, \quad q - p = \mu_u v_u + l', \quad (5)$$

where  $v^\mu = (0, \vec{v}_F)$ ,  $q^\mu = (0, \vec{q})$ , and the residual momenta are restricted as  $|l^\mu| < \mu_d$  and  $|l'^\mu| < \mu_u$ .  $\vec{v}_F^d$  and  $\vec{v}_F^u$  are Fermi velocities of the down and up quarks, respectively. As shown in the effective theory developed in [11], the field that describes the quarks near the Fermi surface is given as

$$\psi(\vec{v}_F, x) = \frac{1 + \vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{v}_F}{2} e^{i\mu \vec{v}_F \cdot \vec{x}} \psi(x), \quad (6)$$

where  $\vec{\alpha} = \gamma_0 \vec{\gamma}$  and  $\psi(x)$  is the quark field that contains both negative and positive energy states. Then, the LOFF condensate in the position space can be written as

$$\langle \psi_d^i(\vec{v}_F^d, x) \psi_u^j(\vec{v}_F^u, x) \rangle = \epsilon^{ij3} K(\vec{q}), \quad (7)$$

where the Fermi velocities satisfy  $\mu_d \vec{v}_F^d + \mu_u \vec{v}_F^u = 2\vec{q}$ . The magnitude of the LOFF-pair momentum is determined by minimizing the ground state energy, which is a function of  $\delta\mu$  and the coupling  $g$ . But, in this letter we study the LOFF gap, assuming  $\vec{q}$  is given.

Once a LOFF gap opens, quarks get a Fermi-momentum dependent Majorana mass, off-diagonal in the basis of Fermi velocities, given as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = - \sum_{\vec{v}_F^u} \epsilon^{ij3} \Delta^\dagger(\vec{q}) \psi_d^i(\vec{v}_F^d, x) \psi_u^j(\vec{v}_F^u, x) + h.c. \quad (8)$$

with  $\mu_d \vec{v}_F^d + \mu_u \vec{v}_F^u = 2\vec{q}$ . Now, let us introduce Nambu-Gorkov fields to describe the LOFF gap,

$$\Psi(\vec{v}_F, x) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_d(\vec{v}_F^d, x) \\ \psi_u^c(-\vec{v}_F^u, x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where the charge-conjugate field is defined as  $\psi_u^c(-\vec{v}_F, x) = C\bar{\psi}_u^T(+\vec{v}_F, x)$  with  $C = i\gamma^0\gamma^2$ . The inverse propagator of the Nambu-Gorkov field is then given as

$$S^{-1}(\vec{v}_F, l) = -i\gamma_0 \begin{pmatrix} Z(l)l \cdot V_d & -\Delta(\vec{q}, l) \\ -\Delta^\dagger(\vec{q}, l) & Z(l)l \cdot V_u \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

where  $Z(l)$  is the wave function renormalization constant and  $\Delta(\vec{q}, l)$  is the LOFF pair gap, and we have introduced  $V^\mu = (1, \vec{v}_F)$ .

We first analyze the gap equations for quark matter at intermediate density where QCD interactions are described by effective four-Fermi interactions with a ultraviolet cutoff  $\Lambda$ . If we take the four-Fermi interaction to mimic the one-gluon exchange interaction, the gap equation is given as

$$\Delta(\vec{q}) = -i\frac{2}{3}\frac{g^2}{\Lambda^2} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\Delta(\vec{q})}{k \cdot V_d k \cdot V_u - \Delta^2}, \quad (11)$$

where the factor  $2/3$  is inserted since the gap is color antitriplet. The characteristic feature of the gap equation (11) for the LOFF pairing is that the quark propagator is a function of three independent momenta,  $k_0$ ,  $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_F^u (\equiv k_u)$ , and  $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_F^d (\equiv k_d)$ , while in BCS pairing it is a function of two,  $k_0$  and  $\vec{k} \cdot \vec{v}_F$ . In general, we may decompose a momentum  $\vec{k}$  as

$$\vec{k} = \vec{k}_\perp + k_u \vec{v}_u^* + k_d \vec{v}_d^*, \quad (12)$$

where  $\vec{v}_{u,d}^*$  are dual to  $\vec{v}_F^{u,d}$ , satisfying  $\vec{v}_F^a \cdot \vec{v}_b^* = \delta_b^a$  with  $a, b = u, d$ .

Since the quark propagator is independent of  $\vec{k}_\perp$ , it just labels the degeneracy in the LOFF pairing. The perpendicular momentum  $\vec{k}_\perp$  forms a circle on the Fermi surface, whose radius is given as  $\mu_d \sin \alpha_d (= \mu_u \sin \alpha_u)$ , where  $\alpha_{d,u}$  are the angles between  $\vec{q}$  and  $\vec{v}_F^{d,u}$ , respectively. Upon integrating over  $\vec{k}_\perp$ , the gap equation (11) becomes a (2+1) dimensional gap equation. This is in sharp contrast with the gap equation in the BCS pairing, which is (1+1) dimensional after integrating over the  $\vec{k}_\perp$ , namely over the whole Fermi surface.

Integrating over  $\vec{k}_\perp$ , we find the gap equation in Eucladian space to be

$$1 = \frac{2g^2 \mu_d \sin \alpha_d}{3\Lambda^2 \sin \beta} \int \frac{dk_0 dk_u dk_d}{2\pi (2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(k_0 - ik_d)(k_0 - ik_u) + \Delta^2} \quad (13)$$

$$\simeq \frac{2g^2 \mu_d \sin \alpha_d}{3\Lambda^2 \sin \beta} \int_\Delta^\Lambda \frac{dk_0}{2\pi^3} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\Lambda}{k_0} \right) \right]^2 \quad (14)$$

where  $(\sin \beta)^{-1}$  is the Jacobian and  $\beta$  is the angle between  $\vec{v}_F^d$  and  $-\vec{v}_F^u$ . In integrating over  $k_u$  and  $k_d$  in Eq. (13), we have restricted  $k_u$  and  $k_d$  to have opposite sign, since we are interested either in the quark pair or in the hole pair (but not in the quark-hole pair). Finally integrating over  $k_0$ , we get

$$1 - \frac{g_c^2}{g^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Delta}{\Lambda} \right) \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\Delta}{\Lambda} \right) \right]^2, \quad (15)$$

where

$$g_c^2 = \frac{3\pi^3 \Lambda \sin \beta}{4\mu_d \sin \alpha_d}. \quad (16)$$

Therefore, we see that the (LOFF) gap opens only if the four-Fermi coupling  $g^2 > g_c^2$ , as we have expected. If we take  $\mu = 400$  MeV and  $\Lambda = 200$  MeV, the critical coupling for the LOFF gap at the intermediate density is  $g_c^2 \simeq 11.6 \sin \beta (\sin \alpha_d)^{-1}$ . The size of the gap is determined by how close the coupling is to the critical coupling  $g_c^2$ . Near the critical coupling, we find the gap to be

$$\Delta \simeq 2\Lambda \frac{1 - g_c^2/g^2}{[\ln(1 - g_c^2/g^2)]^2}. \quad (17)$$

Now, we analyze the gap equation for high density quark matter, where the magnetic gluons are not screened but Landau damped. In the hard-dense loop (HDL) approximation, the Schwinger-Dyson (SD) equation becomes in the leading order, taking  $Z = 1$ ,

$$\Delta(\vec{q}, l) = (-ig_s)^2 \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} V_u^\mu D_{\mu\nu}(l-k) V_d^\nu \frac{T^a \Delta(\vec{q}, k) T^a}{k \cdot V_d k \cdot V_u - \Delta^2(\vec{q}, k)}, \quad (18)$$

where  $T^a$  is the color generator in the fundamental representation and  $D_{\mu\nu}$  is the gluon propagator, given in the HDL approximation as

$$iD_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{P_{\mu\nu}^T}{k^2 - G} + \frac{P_{\mu\nu}^L}{k^2 - F} - \xi \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^4}, \quad (19)$$

where  $\xi$  is the gauge parameter and the projectors are defined by

$$P_{ij}^T = \delta_{ij} - \frac{k_i k_j}{|\vec{k}|^2}, \quad P_{00}^T = 0 = P_{0i}^T \quad (20)$$

$$P_{\mu\nu}^L = -g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} - P_{\mu\nu}^T. \quad (21)$$

The vacuum polarization functions in medium becomes in the weak coupling limit ( $|k_0| \ll |\vec{k}|$ ) as

$$F(k_0, \vec{k}) \simeq M^2, \quad G(k_0, \vec{k}) \simeq \frac{\pi}{4} M^2 \frac{k_0}{|\vec{k}|}, \quad (22)$$

where the screening mass  $M^2 = g_s^2 \bar{\mu}^2 / \pi^2$  with  $2\bar{\mu}^2 = \mu_u^2 + \mu_d^2$ .

Since the main contribution to the integration in Eq. (18) comes from the momenta in the region

$$k_0, k_u, k_d \sim \Delta \quad \text{and} \quad |\vec{k}_\perp| \sim M^{2/3} \Delta^{1/3}, \quad (23)$$

the leading contribution is by the Landau-damped magnetic gluons. For such momentum range,

$$V_u \cdot P^T \cdot V_d \simeq -\vec{v}_F^u \cdot \vec{v}_F^d \equiv \cos \beta (> 0), \quad (24)$$

where we define the angle between  $\vec{v}_F^d$  and  $-\vec{v}_F^u$  as  $\beta$ . From the gap equation, we note that at the leading order the LOFF gap is a function of energy only:  $\Delta(\vec{q}, l) \simeq \Delta(\vec{q}, l_0)$ . Therefore, at the leading order the gap equation becomes in Euclidean space as

$$\Delta(\vec{q}, l_0) = \frac{2}{3} g_s^2 \cos \beta \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{\vec{k}^2 + \frac{\pi}{4} M^2 |k_0 - l_0| / |\vec{k}|} \cdot \frac{\Delta(\vec{q}, k_0)}{k \cdot V_E^u k \cdot V_E^d + \Delta^2}, \quad (25)$$

where the factor  $2/3$  is due to the color factor and  $V_E^\mu \equiv (1, -i\vec{v}_F)$ . Decomposing the loop momentum into  $\vec{k} = \vec{k}_\perp + k_u \vec{v}_u^* + k_d \vec{v}_d^*$  with the Jacobian  $(\sin \beta)^{-1}$ , we integrate over  $\vec{k}_\perp$  to find at the leading order in the  $1/\bar{\mu}$  expansion

$$\Delta(\vec{q}, l_0) \simeq \frac{2g_s^2 \cot \beta}{9\sqrt{3}} \left( \frac{4}{\pi M^2} \right)^{1/3} \int \frac{dk_0}{2\pi} \frac{dk_u dk_d}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{|k_0 - l_0|^{1/3}} \frac{\Delta(\vec{q}, k_0)}{(k_0 - ik_u)(k_0 - ik_d) + \Delta^2}, \quad (26)$$

where the integration ranges are taken to be  $|k_{u,d}| \leq \bar{\mu}$  and  $|k_0| \leq \bar{\Lambda}$ . To convert the gap equation into a differential equation, we approximate the kernel as

$$|l_0 - k_0|^{-1/3} \simeq \begin{cases} |l_0|^{-1/3} & \text{if } |l_0| > |k_0|, \\ |k_0|^{-1/3} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

Then, the gap equation becomes

$$x^{4/3} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + \frac{4}{3} x^{1/3} \frac{dy}{dx} + ry F(x, \Delta, \bar{\mu}) = 0, \quad \text{with } r = \frac{2g_s^{4/3} \cot \beta}{9\sqrt{3}\pi^2 (4\pi)^{2/3}} \quad (28)$$

where  $x \equiv l_0/\bar{\mu}$ ,  $y \equiv \Delta(\vec{q}, l_0)/\bar{\Lambda}$  and

$$F(x, \Delta, \bar{\mu}) = \int \frac{dk_u dk_d}{(k_0 - ik_u)(k_0 - ik_d) + \Delta^2}. \quad (29)$$

For  $l_0 \ll \bar{\mu}$  or  $x \ll 1$ , the equation becomes

$$x^{4/3} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + \frac{4}{3} x^{1/3} \frac{dy}{dx} + ry \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\bar{\mu}}{\Delta} \right) \right]^2 = 0 \quad (30)$$

and the solution is found to be

$$y \simeq \frac{A}{x^{1/6}} J_{1/2} \left( 3\sqrt{r} x^{1/3} \ln [\bar{\mu}/\Delta] \right), \quad (31)$$

where  $J$  is the Bessel function and  $A = \pi \Delta(0) [6\sqrt{r} \ln(\bar{\mu}/\Delta)]^{-1/2} / \bar{\Lambda}$ . Similarly for  $x \gg 1$  or  $l_0 \gg \bar{\mu}$ ,

$$x^{4/3} \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + \frac{4}{3} x^{1/3} \frac{dy}{dx} + rx^{-2} y = 0. \quad (32)$$

We find the solution for large  $x$

$$y \simeq \frac{B}{x^{1/6}} J_{1/4} \left( \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} x^{-2/3} \right) \sim x^{-1/3}, \quad (33)$$

where  $B$  is a constant. We see that the gap vanishes rather slowly as  $l_0$  approaches  $\bar{\Lambda}$ .

Now, let us find the critical coupling at which the gap vanishes. Taking  $\Delta = 0$  in the gap equation (25), we get

$$1 = \frac{2}{3} g_c^2 \cos \beta \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{\vec{k}^2 + \frac{\pi}{4} M^2 |k_0| / |\vec{k}|} \cdot \frac{1}{k \cdot V_E^u k \cdot V_E^d}. \quad (34)$$

Integrating over  $\vec{k}$  with the restriction that  $k_u$  and  $k_d$  should have an opposite sign, we get

$$1 \simeq \frac{2g_c^{4/3} \cot \beta}{9\sqrt{3}\pi^2} \left( \frac{4\pi}{\bar{\mu}^2} \right)^{1/3} \int_0^{\bar{\mu}} \frac{dk_0}{2\pi} \frac{1}{|k_0|^{1/3}} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\bar{\mu}}{k_0} \right) \right]^2 = \frac{\sqrt{3} g_c^{4/3} \cot \beta}{\pi^2 (4\pi)^{2/3}}. \quad (35)$$

Therefore, we find the critical coupling is  $g_c \simeq 13 (\tan \beta)^{3/4}$ . For a rough estimate of the gap, we may take  $\Delta(\vec{q}, k_0) \simeq \Delta(\vec{q})$  for all  $k_0 < \bar{\Lambda}$  in Eq. (26) and find

$$1 - \left( \frac{g_c}{g_s} \right)^{4/3} \simeq \frac{2}{9} \left( \frac{\Delta}{\bar{\Lambda}} \right)^{2/3} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{\bar{\Lambda}}{\Delta} \right) \right]^2, \quad (36)$$

which shows that the LOFF gap opens only when the strong coupling  $g_s$  is stronger than a critical coupling,  $g_c \simeq 13 (\tan \beta)^{3/4}$ . We see that at high density where the coupling is weak the LOFF gap opens when the momenta of pairing quarks are almost opposite or when  $\vec{q}$  is quite small. Near the critical coupling, we solve Eq. (36) to find

$$\Delta \simeq \frac{2\sqrt{2}\bar{\Lambda}}{27g_s^2} \frac{(g_s^{4/3} - g_c^{4/3})^{3/2}}{|\ln(g_s^{4/3} - g_c^{4/3})|^3}. \quad (37)$$

In conclusion, we show that the LOFF gap opens in asymmetric quark matter when the quark coupling is stronger than a critical value, since the gap equation is much less divergent in the infrared region, compared to the Cooper pair gap equation. Both the critical couplings and the gaps for the LOFF phase are calculated at intermediate and at high density. We find that the critical couplings become smaller as the angle between the momenta of pairing quarks approaches  $\pi$ . The angle is determined, once the pairing momentum is obtained by minimizing the ground state energy. This may explain why it is very hard to realize the crystalline superconductivity in ordinary BCS superconductors, where the electron couplings are quite small. On the other hand, it is quite possible to observe the crystalline superconductivity in High  $T_C$  superconductors or heavy fermion superconductors [17] where the effective electron coupling is large and there is no  $\mu/\Lambda$  enhancement due to the degeneracy in the gap equation for two dimensional systems. Therefore, it will be quite interesting to find a condensed system where one can test the coupling dependence of the crystalline superconducting gap obtained in this letter.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS


We are grateful to J. Bowers, C. Kim, K. Rajagopal, and D. Seo for useful discussions. One of us (D.K.H.) wishes to thank the Center for Theoretical Physics, MIT, where part of this work was done, for its hospitality. This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2000-015-DP0069).

## REFERENCES

- [1] For reviews, see D. K. Hong, hep-ph/0101025; M. Alford, hep-ph/0102047; K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, hep-ph/0011333; S. D. Hsu, hep-ph/0003140.
- [2] M. Alford, J. Bowers and K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 074016 (2001) [hep-ph/0008208].
- [3] J. A. Bowers, J. Kundu, K. Rajagopal and E. Shuster, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 014024 (2001) [hep-ph/0101067].
- [4] A. K. Leibovich, K. Rajagopal and E. Shuster, hep-ph/0104073; R. Casalbuoni, R. Gatto, M. Mannarelli and G. Nardulli, hep-ph/0101326.
- [5] A. I. Larkin and Yu. N. Ovchinnikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **47**, 1136 (1964) [Sov. Phys. JETP **20**, 762 (1965)].
- [6] P. Fulde and R. A. Ferrell, Phys. Rev. **135**, A550 (1964).
- [7] S. Takada and T. Izuyama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **41** 635, (1969).
- [8] H. Shimahara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn, **67**, 736 (1998)
- [9] M. Alford, K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. B **422**, 247 (1998) [hep-ph/9711395]; R. Rapp, T. Schafer, E. V. Shuryak and M. Velkovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 53 (1998) [hep-ph/9711396]; J. Berges and K. Rajagopal, Nucl. Phys. B **538**, 215 (1999) [hep-ph/9804233]; N. Evans, S. D. Hsu and M. Schwetz, Nucl. Phys. B **551**, 275 (1999) [hep-ph/9808444]; Phys. Lett. B **449**, 281 (1999) [hep-ph/9810514]; T. Schafer and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. B **450**, 325 (1999) [hep-ph/9810509].
- [10] D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 094019 (1999) [hep-ph/9812287];
- [11] D. K. Hong, Phys. Lett. B **473**, 118 (2000) [hep-ph/9812510]; Nucl. Phys. B **582**, 451 (2000) [hep-ph/9905523].
- [12] D. K. Hong, V. A. Miransky, I. A. Shovkovy and L. C. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 056001 (2000) [Erratum-ibid. D **62**, 059903 (2000)] [hep-ph/9906478]; T. Schafer and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 114033 (1999) [hep-ph/9906512]; R. D. Pisarski and D. H. Rischke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 37 (1999) [nucl-th/9811104]; Phys. Rev. D **61**, 051501 (2000) [nucl-th/9907041]; Phys. Rev. D **61**, 074017 (2000) [nucl-th/9910056]; W. E. Brown, J. T. Liu and H. Ren, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 114012 (2000) [hep-ph/9908248]; Phys. Rev. D **62**, 054016 (2000) [hep-ph/9912409]; S. D. Hsu and M. Schwetz, Nucl. Phys. B **572**, 211 (2000) [hep-ph/9908310].
- [13] J. Polchinski, Lectures presented at TASI 92, Boulder, CO, Jun 3-28, 1992 [hep-th/9210046]; R. Shankar, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66**, 129 (1994).
- [14] M. Alford, J. Berges and K. Rajagopal, Nucl. Phys. B **558**, 219 (1999) [hep-ph/9903502].
- [15] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **122** (1961) 345; *ibid.* **124** (1961) 246; D. K. Hong and S. H. Park, Phys. Rev. D **49** (1994) 5507; B. Rosenstein, B. Warr and S. H. Park, Phys. Rept. **205**, 59 (1991).
- [16] V. A. Miransky, Nuovo Cim. A **90**, 149 (1985); A. Cohen and H. Georgi, Nucl. Phys. B **314**, 7 (1989); D. K. Hong and S. G. Rajeev, Phys. Lett. B **240**, 471 (1990); J. B. Kogut, E. Dagotto and A. Kocic, Nucl. Phys. B **317**, 271 (1989).
- [17] K. Gloos *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 501 (1993).



FIGURES



(a) LOFF pair with momentum  $2\mathbf{q}$

(b) In the rest frame of the pair

FIG. 1. LOFF pairing of quarks with non-opposite momenta