Nuclear $\mu^- - e^-$ conversion in strange quark sea

T.S. Kosmas^a, Sergey Kovalenko^{b1} and Ivan Schmidt^b

^aDivision of Theoretical Physics, University of Ioannina GR-45110 Ioannina, Greece

^bDepartamento de Física, Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile

Abstract

PACS: 12.60.-i, 11.30.Er, 11.30.Fs, 13.10.+q, 23.40.Bw

KEYWORDS: Lepton flavor violation, exotic $\mu - e$ conversion in nuclei, muon capture, physics beyond the standard model, strange quark sea.

¹On leave of absence from the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

The muon-flavor violating processes

$$\mu^- + (A, Z) \longrightarrow e^- + (A, Z)^*, \qquad (1)$$

On the experimental side, at present, there is one running $\mu^- - e^-$ conversion experiment, SINDRUM II [8], and two planned experiments, MECO [9, 10] and PRIME [11]. The SINDRUM II experiment at PSI [8] with ⁴⁸Ti as stopping target has established the best upper bound on the branching ratio

$$R_{\mu e}^{Ti} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu^{-} + {}^{48}Ti \to e^{-} + {}^{48}Ti)}{\Gamma(\mu^{-} + {}^{48}Ti \to \nu_{\mu} + {}^{48}Sc)} \le 6.1 \times 10^{-13} , \quad (90\% \text{ C.L.}) \quad [8].$$

The MECO experiment with ²⁷Al is going to start soon at Brookhaven [10]. The sensitivity of this experiment is expected to reach the limit

$$R_{\mu e}^{Al} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu^{-} + {}^{27}Al \to e^{-} + {}^{27}Al)}{\Gamma(\mu^{-} + {}^{27}Al \to \nu_{\mu} + {}^{27}Mg)} \le 2 \times 10^{-17}$$
[10] (3)

This year the PSI experiment is running with the very heavy nucleus ¹⁹⁷Au aiming to improve by a factor of about 20-30 over the previous limit, $R_{\mu e}^{Au} \leq 2.0 \times 10^{-11}$, set on $\mu^- - e^{-1}$ in ¹⁹⁷Au by the same experiment some years ago [8, 12]. Now the expected limit is

$$R_{\mu e}^{Au} = \frac{\Gamma(\mu^{-} + {}^{197}Au \to e^{-} + {}^{197}Au)}{\Gamma(\mu^{-} + {}^{197}Au \to \nu_{\mu} + {}^{197}Pt)} \le 6 \times 10^{-13} \qquad [8, \ 12] \tag{4}$$

Very recently, a proposal for a new experiment at Tokyo (PRIME) was announced [11]. It intends to utilize the ⁴⁸Ti as stopping target with the impressive expected sensitivity of $R_{\mu e}^{Ti} \leq 10^{-18}$ [11].

These experimental limits can put severe constraints on mechanisms of μ^- – e^{-} conversion. In the literature there have been studied various mechanisms beyoud the SM (see [1]-[7] and references therein) classified into two categories: photonic and non-photonic as shown in Fig. 1. Specific mechanisms from both categories significantly differ in many respects and, in particular, in nucleon and nuclear structure treatment. This is attributed to the fact that they operate at different distances and, therefore, involve different details of the nucleon structure. Long-distance photonic mechanisms (Fig. 1(a)) are mediated by virtual photon exchange between the nucleus and the $\mu - e$ lepton current. They suggest that the $\mu^- - e^-$ conversion occurs in the lepton-flavor non-diagonal electromagnetic vertex which is presumably induced by non-standard model physics at loop level. The hadronic vertex is characterized in this case by ordinary electromagnetic nuclear form factors. Contributions to $\mu - e$ conversion via virtual photon exchange exist in all models which allow $\mu \to e\gamma$ decay. On the other hand, shortdistance non-photonic mechanisms (Fig. 1(b)) are described by the effective \mathcal{I}_f 4-fermion quark-lepton interactions which may appear after integrating out heavy intermediate states (W, Z, Higgs bosons, supersymmetric particles etc.).

In this Letter we focus on the non-photonic mechanisms of $\mu^- - e^-$ conversion (Fig. 1(b)). The generic effect of physics beyond the SM in $\mu^- - e^-$ is described in our approach by an effective Lagrangian which includes all the possible 4-fermion quark-lepton interactions. Our special interest is concentrated on the scalar interactions which are sensitive to the heavy quark content of the nucleon. Also, the current $\mu^- - e^-$ experiments at Brookhaven and PSI can efficiently probe the scalar component of the $\mu^- - e^-$ conversion [8]-[12]. We will show that the contribution to the $\mu^- - e^-$ conversion rate which originates from the strange nucleon sea via the scalar interactions is comparable with that coming from the valence quarks of the nucleon.



Figure 1: (a) Photonic(long-distance) and (b) non-photonic(short-distance) contributions to the nuclear $\mu^- - e^-$ conversion.

corresponds to the upper vertex of the diagram in Fig. 1(b). It can be written in a general Lorentz covariant form as

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{q} = \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{A,B,C,D;q} \left[\eta_{AB}^{(q)} j_{\mu}^{A} J_{(q)}^{B\mu} + \eta_{AB}^{(q)} j^{A} J_{(q)}^{B} + \eta_{T}^{(q)} j_{\mu\nu} J_{(q)}^{\mu\nu} \right].$$
(5)

The next step is the reformulation of the quark level Lagrangian (5) in terms of the nucleon effective fields. First we write down the nucleon level Lagrangian in a general Lorentz covariant form with the isospin structure of the $\mu^- - e^-$ transition operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{N} = \frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{A,B,C,D} \left[j_{\mu}^{A} (\alpha_{AB}^{(0)} J_{(0)}^{B\mu} + \alpha_{AB}^{(3)} J_{(3)}^{B\mu}) + j^{C} (\alpha_{CD}^{(0)} J_{(0)}^{D} + \alpha_{CD}^{(3)} J_{(3)}^{D}) + j_{\mu\nu} (\alpha_{T}^{(0)} J_{(0)}^{\mu\nu} + \alpha_{T}^{(3)} J_{(3)}^{\mu\nu}) \right].$$
(6)

The isoscalar $J_{(0)}$ and isovector $J_{(3)}$ nucleon currents are defined as $J_{(k)}^{V\mu} = \bar{N}\gamma^{\mu}\tau_k N$, $J_{(k)}^{A\mu} = \bar{N}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5\tau_k N$, $J_{(k)}^S = \bar{N}\tau_k N$, $J_{(k)}^P = \bar{N}\gamma_5\tau_k N$, $J_{(k)}^{\mu\nu} = \bar{N}\sigma^{\mu\nu}\tau_k N$, where k = 0, 3 and $\tau_0 \equiv \hat{I}$. In Eq. (6) we neglected derivative terms. In the matrix elements of $\mu^- - e^-$ conversion they produce a small contribution proportional to $q/m_p \leq m_{\mu}/m_p \sim 0.1$ where $q = |\mathbf{q}|$ is the momentum transfer to the nucleon while m_{μ} and m_p are the muon and the proton masses respectively.

$$\langle \Psi_F | \mathcal{L}_{eff}^q | \Psi_I \rangle \approx \langle \Psi_F | \mathcal{L}_{eff}^N | \Psi_I \rangle,$$
 (7)

where $|\Psi_I\rangle$ and $\langle\Psi_F|$ are the initial and final nucleon states.

In order to solve this equation we use various relations for the matrix elements of the quark operators between the nucleon states

$$\langle N|\bar{q}\;\Gamma_K\;q|N\rangle = G_K^{(q,N)}\bar{\Psi}_N\;\Gamma_K\;\Psi_N,\tag{8}$$

with $q = \{u, d, s\}$, $N = \{p, n\}$ and $K = \{V, A, S, P, T\}$, $\Gamma_K = \{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\mu\gamma_5, 1, \gamma_5, \sigma_{\mu\nu}\}$. Since the maximum momentum transfer in $\mu - e$ conversion is much smaller than the typical scale of nucleon structure we can safely neglect the \mathbf{q}^2 -dependence of the nucleon form factors $G_K^{(q,N)}$ and drop the weak magnetism as well as the induced pseudoscalar terms proportional to the small momentum transfer.

Isospin symmetry requires that

$$G_K^{(u,n)} = G_K^{(d,p)} \equiv G_K^d, \quad G_K^{(d,n)} = G_K^{(u,p)} \equiv G_K^u, \quad G_K^{(s,n)} = G_K^{(s,p)} \equiv G_K^s.$$
 (9)

Note that the axial, pseudoscalar and tensor nucleon currents couple to the nuclear spin leading, therefore, to incoherent contributions. Thus, only the vector and scalar nucleon form factors are needed in the case of the coherent nuclear $\mu^- - e^-$ conversion which we are studying in the present paper.

Conservation of vector current implies that the vector charge is equal to the number of the valence quarks of the nucleon and, therefore,

$$G_V^u = 2, \quad G_V^d = 1, \quad G_V^s = 0.$$
 (10)

The scalar form factors can be extracted from the baryon octet B mass spectrum M_B in combination with the data on the pion-nucleon sigma term $\sigma_{\pi N} = (1/2)(m_u + m_d)\langle p|\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d|p\rangle$. We follow the QCD picture of the baryon masses based on the relation $\langle B|\theta^{\mu}_{\mu}|B\rangle = M_B\bar{B}B$ and on the well known representation [14] for the trace of the energy-momentum tensor $\theta^{\mu}_{\mu} = m_u\bar{u}u + m_d\bar{d}d +$ $m_s\bar{s}s - (\tilde{b}\alpha_s/8\pi)G^a_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu}_a$, where $G^a_{\mu\nu}$ is the gluon field strength, α_s is the QCD coupling constant and \tilde{b} is the reduced Gell-Mann-Low function with the heavy quark contribution subtracted. Using these relations in combination with SU(3)relations [15] for the matrix elements $\langle B|\theta^{\mu}_{\mu}|B\rangle$ as well as the experimental data on M_B and $\sigma_{\pi N}$ we derive

$$G_S^u \approx 5.1, \quad G_S^d \approx 4.3, \quad G_S^s \approx 2.5$$
 (11)

for the conventional values of the current quark masses: $m_u = 4.2$ MeV, $m_d = 7.5$ MeV, $m_s = 150$ MeV. These masses, however, are not yet well determined [16] and uncertainty factor ≤ 2 may affect the results in Eq. (11). For our further numerical estimates these uncertainties are not critical and we take the central values Eq. (11) for the form factors $G_S^{u,d,s}$. The approach of Refs. [17], relying on the results of the lattice simulations, gives for these form factors similar values with the same level of uncertainties. What remains true is that the strange quarks of the nucleon sea significantly contribute to the scalar nucleon form factor G_S . This result dramatically differs from the naïve quark model and the MIT bag model where $G_{A,S,P}^s = 0$.

$$\alpha_{IV}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{IV}^{(u)} + \eta_{IV}^{(d)}) (G_V^u + G_V^d), \qquad \alpha_{IV}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{IV}^{(u)} - \eta_{IV}^{(d)}) (G_V^u - G_V^d), \quad (12)$$

$$\alpha_{JS}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{JS}^{(u)} + \eta_{JS}^{(d)}) (G_S^u + G_S^d) + \eta_{JS}^{(s)} G_S^s, \quad \alpha_{JS}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} (\eta_{JS}^{(u)} - \eta_{JS}^{(d)}) (G_S^u - G_S^d),$$

where I = V, A and J = S, P.

From the Lagrangian (6), following the standard procedure, we can derive the formula for the total $\mu - e$ conversion branching ratio. In this paper we confine ourselves to the coherent process which is the dominant channel of $\mu - e$ conversion exhausting, for the majority of experimentally interesting nuclei, more than 90% of the total $\mu^- - e^-$ branching ratio [7]. To leading order of the non-relativistic reduction the coherent $\mu - e$ conversion branching ratio takes the form

$$R_{\mu e^-}^{coh} = \frac{G_F^2}{4\pi} \mathcal{Q} \frac{p_e E_e \left(\mathcal{M}_p + \mathcal{M}_n\right)^2}{\Gamma(\mu^- \to capture)}, \qquad (13)$$

where p_e, E_e are the outgoing electron 3-momentum and energy. We define the quantity

$$\mathcal{Q} = |\alpha_{VV}^{(0)} + \alpha_{VV}^{(3)} \phi|^2 + |\alpha_{AV}^{(0)} + \alpha_{AV}^{(3)} \phi|^2 + |\alpha_{SS}^{(0)} + \alpha_{SS}^{(3)} \phi|^2 + |\alpha_{PS}^{(0)} + \alpha_{PS}^{(3)} \phi|^2 + 2 \operatorname{Re}\{(\alpha_{VV}^{(0)} + \alpha_{VV}^{(3)} \phi)(\alpha_{SS}^{(0)} + \alpha_{SS}^{(3)} \phi)^* + (\alpha_{AV}^{(0)} + \alpha_{AV}^{(3)} \phi)(\alpha_{PS}^{(0)} + \alpha_{PS}^{(3)} \phi)^*\}.$$
(14)

The nuclear transition matrix elements $\mathcal{M}_{p,n}$ entering Eq. (13), for the case of a ground state to ground state $\mu^- - e^-$ transition, are defined as

$$\mathcal{M}_{p,n} = 4\pi \int j_0(p_e r) \Phi_\mu(r) \rho_{p,n}(r) r^2 dr , \qquad (15)$$

 $(j_0 \text{ is the zero-order spherical Bessel function})$ where $\rho_{p,n}(r)$ are the spherically symmetric proton (p) and neutron (n) nuclear densities normalized to the atomic number Z and neutron number N, respectively, of the participating nucleus. $\Phi_{\mu}(r)$ is the space dependent part of the muon wave function.

The quantity \mathcal{Q} in (14) depends on the nuclear-target parameters through the factor $\phi = (\mathcal{M}_p - \mathcal{M}_n)/(\mathcal{M}_p + \mathcal{M}_n)$. However for all the experimentally interesting nuclei this parameter is small. Therefore nuclear dependence of \mathcal{Q} can always be neglected except very special narrow domain in the \mathcal{I}_f parameter space where $\alpha^{(0)} \leq \alpha^{(3)}\phi$. Another important issue of the smallness of the ratio ϕ in Eq. (14) is the dominance of the isoscalar contribution associated with the coefficients $\alpha^{(0)}$. Given that the relative significance of the strange quark component, which enters only the isoscalar couplings, is enhanced.

The nuclear matrix elements $\mathcal{M}_{p,n}$, defined in Eq. (15), are numerically calculated using proton densities ρ_p from Ref. [18] and neutron densities ρ_n from Ref. [19] whenever possible. The muon wave function $\Phi_{\mu}(r)$ was obtained by solving the Schröndinger equation with the Coulomb potential produced by the densities $\rho_{p,n}$, taking into account the vacuum polarization corrections [6]. In this way the matrix elements $\mathcal{M}_{p,n}$ for the nuclear targets ²⁷Al and ⁴⁸Ti have been calculated in Ref. [6]. Here we apply this approach to ¹⁹⁷Au. The results for $\mathcal{M}_{p,n}$ corresponding to the nuclei Al, Ti and Au are given in Table 1 where we also show the muon binding energy ϵ_b and the experimental total rates ($\Gamma_{\mu c}$) of the ordinary muon capture reaction [20].

$$B_{Ti} = \left(\frac{R_{\mu e}^{exp}}{6.1 \cdot 10^{-13}}\right)^{1/2}, \quad B_{Al} = \left(\frac{R_{\mu e}^{exp}}{2.0 \cdot 10^{-17}}\right)^{1/2}, \quad B_{Au} = \left(\frac{R_{\mu e}^{exp}}{6.0 \cdot 10^{-13}}\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (16)

Multiplying corresponding column in Table 2 by B_A one can reconstruct upper limits on the listed parameters for the case when experimental upper bounds on the branching ratio $R^{exp}_{\mu e}$ differ from those we used in our analysis.

The constraints for $\eta_{JS}^{(s)}$ in Table 2 originate from the contribution of the strange nucleon sea. As can be seen, they are comparable to the other $\mu^- - e^-$ limits derived from the valence quarks contributions.

In conclusion, we constructed a general effective Lagrangian describing the non-photonic $\mu^- - e^-$ conversion and specified all the μ_f parameters characterizing this process without referring to any specific model beyond the SM. It includes (axial-)vector, (pseudo-)scalar and tensor interactions. We derived general formula for the coherent $\mu^- - e^-$ conversion branching ratio in terms of the \mathcal{U}_f parameters of the quark level effective Lagrangian. We calculated previously unknown nuclear matrix elements of ¹⁹⁷Au (the current SINDRUM II target). We found that the $\mu^- - e^-$ conversion branching ratio in the strange quark sea of the nucleon is comparable with that in the valence quarks. We have inferred the generic $\mu^- - e^-$ constraints on the I_f parameters from the existing and expected experimental bounds on the $\mu^- - e^-$ conversion rate. These results are readily used for derivation of the constraints on the parameters of any specific \mathcal{I}_f model without need of the nucleon and nuclear structure calculations. This provides useful nuclear and particle physics inputs for the expected new data from the PSI, MECO, PRIME and other $\mu^- - e^-$ experiments to set bounds on the muon

This work was supported in part by Fondecyt (Chile) under grant 8000017, by a Cátedra Presidencial (Chile) and by RFBR (Russia) under grant 00-02-17587. T.S.K. would like to express his appreciation to UTFSM for hospitality.

References

 T.S. Kosmas, G.K. Leontaris and J.D. Vergados, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 33 (1994) 397.

- [2] W.J. Marciano and A.I. Sanda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 1512; Phys. Lett. **B 67** (1977) 303; R. Barbieri and L. Hall, Phys. Lett. **B 338** (1994) 212; R. Barbieri, L. Hall, and A. Strumia, Nucl. Phys. **B 445** (1995) 219; O. Shanker, Phys. Rev **D 20** (1979) 1608; J.D. Vergados, Phys. Reports **133** (1986) 1; J. Bernabeau, E. Nardi and D. Tomasini, Nucl. Phys. **B 409** (1993) 69; J.E. Kim, P. Ko, and D.-G. Lee, Phys. Rev. **D 56** (1997) 100; K. Huitu, J. Maalampi, M. Raidal, and A. Santamaria, Phys. Lett. **B 430** (1998) 355; E. Gabrielli, Phys.Rev. **D 62** (2000) 055009.
- [3] W.J. Marciano, Lepton flavor violation, summary and perspectives, Summary talk in the conference on "New initiatives in lepton flavor violation and neutrino oscillations with very intense muon and neutrino beams", Honolulu-Hawaii, USA, Oct. 2-6, 2000 (see also http://meco.ps.uci.edu/lepton_workshop.).
- [4] T.S. Kosmas, The μ⁻ → e⁻ conversion: An interplay between nuclear, particle and non-standard physics, Invited talk at [3], to appear in the proceedings.
- [5] T.S. Kosmas, Nucl. Phys. A 683 (2001) 443.
- [6] A. Faessler, T.S. Kosmas, S. Kovalenko, and J.D. Vergados, Nucl. Phys. B 587 (2000) 25.
- [7] J. Schwieger, T.S. Kosmas, and A. Faessler, Phys. Lett. B 443 (1998) 7;
 T.S. Kosmas, Z. Ren and A. Faessler, Nucl Phys. A 665 (2000) 183.
- [8] SINDRUM II Collaboration, W. Honecker *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** (1996) 200; A. van der Schaaf, Private Communication.
- [9] W. Molzon, The improved tests of muon and electron flavor symmetry in muon processes, Spring. Trac. Mod. Phys. 163 (2000)105.
- [10] J. Sculli, The MECO experiment, Invited talk at [3].
- [11] Y. Kuno, The PRISM: Beam-Experiments, Invited talk at [3].
- [12] P. Wintz, Status of muon to electron conversion at PSI, Invited talk at [3].
- [13] A. Faessler, S. Kovalenko, F. Simkovic, and J. Schwieger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 183.

- [14] M.A. Shifman A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, Phys. Lett. B 78 (1978) 443.
- [15] T.P. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D 38 (1988) 2869; H.-Y. Cheng, Phys. Lett. B 219 (1989) 347.
- [16] D.E. Groom *et al.*, The European Physical Journal C 15 (2000) 1.
- [17] A. Corsetti and P. Nath, hep-ph/0003186, hep-ph/0011313.
- [18] H. de Vries, C.W. de Jager and C. de Vries, At. Data and Nucl. Data Tables 36 (1987) 495.
- [19] W.R. Gibbs and B.F. Gibson, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 37 (1987) 411.
- [20] T. Suzuki, et al., Phys. Rev. C 35 (1987) 2212.

Nucleus	$p_e\left(fm^{-1}\right)$	$\epsilon_b \left(MeV \right)$	$\Gamma_{\mu c} \left(\times 10^6 s^{-1} \right)$	\mathcal{M}_p	\mathcal{M}_n
²⁷ Al	0.531	-0.470	0.71	0.047	0.045
⁴⁸ Ti	0.529	-1.264	2.60	0.104	0.127
$^{197}\mathrm{Au}$	0.485	-9.938	13.07	0.395	0.516

Table 1: Transition nuclear matrix elements $\mathcal{M}_{p,n}$ (in $fm^{-3/2}$) of Eq. (15) and other useful quantities (see the text).

Parameter	Present limits	Expected limits	Expected limits
	$^{48}\mathrm{Ti}(\mu - e) \cdot B_{Ti}$	$^{27}\mathrm{Al}(\mu - e) \cdot B_{Al}$	$^{197}\mathrm{Au}(\mu - e) \cdot B_{Au}$
Q	2.1×10^{-14}	1.2×10^{-18}	7.8×10^{-15}
$lpha^{(0)}_{_{AB}}$	$1.5 imes 10^{-7}$	1.1×10^{-9}	8.5×10^{-8}
$\eta^{(u,d)}_{{}_{IV}}$	1.0×10^{-7}	7.3×10^{-10}	5.7×10^{-8}
$\eta^{(u,d)}_{_{JS}}$	$3.0 imes 10^{-8}$	2.3×10^{-10}	$1.8 imes 10^{-8}$
$\eta^{(s)}_{_{JS}}$	5.8×10^{-8}	4.4×10^{-10}	3.4×10^{-8}