

Matter Mass Generation and Θ Vacuum: Dynamical Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking

Heui-Seol Roh*

BK21 Physics Research Division, Department of Physics, Sung Kyun Kwan University, Suwon 440-746, Republic of Korea
(November 21, 2018)

This work proposes a stringent concept of matter mass generation and Θ vacuum in the context of local gauge theory for the strong force under the constraint of the flat universe. The matter mass is generated as the consequence of dynamical spontaneous symmetry breaking (DSSB) of gauge symmetry and discrete symmetries, which is motivated by the parameter Θ representing the surface term. Matter mass generation introduces the typical features of constituent particle mass, dual Meissner effect, and hyperfine structure. The Θ term plays important roles on the DSSB of the gauge group and on the quantization of the matter and vacuum space. The Θ vacuum exhibits the intrinsic principal number and intrinsic angular momentum for intrinsic space quantization in analogy with the extrinsic principal number and extrinsic angular momentum for extrinsic space quantization.

PACS numbers: 12.15.Ff, 12.10.Dm, 12.38.-t

The understanding of matter mass generation and Θ vacuum [1] is one of the longstanding problems in physics, which are deeply related to underlying principles of the universe. Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) [2] does not perturbatively provide any clues for matter mass generation. Although the Glashow-Weinberg-Salam (GWS) model [3] holds many attractive features, the Higgs mechanism [4] and fermion mass generation are the least satisfied aspects of electroweak interactions. The Higgs mechanism in the GWS model is applied to generate the masses both of gauge bosons and of fermions, but the masses of fermions are just input parameters of the theory which are not predicted. The difficulty comes from the fact that conventional mass terms in the Lagrangian are not allowed because the left- and right-handed components of the various elementary fermion fields have different quantum numbers as seen in the GWS model [3] and so simple mass terms violate gauge invariance. The Higgs mechanism or spontaneous symmetry breaking mechanism fails to generate fermion masses even though it is successful in generating gauge boson masses: experimentally, Higgs particles are not observed yet. In this scheme, the Θ vacuum term as the nonperturbative one is taken into account to show dynamical spontaneous symmetry breaking (DSSB), which is successful in the generation of fermion masses as well as gauge boson masses and is relevant with the axial current anomaly in strong interactions [5,1,6]. This approach thus concentrates on the DSSB mechanism of local gauge symmetry and global discrete symmetries to generate fermion masses. The Θ term representing the surface effect takes the difference in quantum numbers of left- and right-handed fermions to generate elementary fermion masses. Due to the Θ term, the boundary condition of the system is imposed and the matter-vacuum space is quantized. Not only mass generation mechanism but also Θ vacuum may be resolved systematically. This paper briefly addresses characteristics of mass generation and Θ vacuum in strong interactions, whose details are addressed in the

reference [6].

The nonperturbative Θ vacuum term [1] is added to the perturbative gauge theory in order to generate fermion and gauge boson mass terms nonperturbatively. The QCD Lagrangian density [6] is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = -\frac{1}{2}TrG_{\mu\nu}G^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{i=1} \bar{\psi}_i i\gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi_i + \Theta \frac{g_s^2}{16\pi^2} TrG^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}, \quad (1)$$

where the bare Θ term [1] is a nonperturbative term added to the perturbative Lagrangian density with an $SU(3)_C$ gauge invariance. The subscript i stands for the classes of pointlike spinor ψ and $A_\mu = \sum_{a=0} A_\mu^a \lambda^a / 2$ stand for gauge fields. The field strength tensor is given by $G_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - ig_s[A_\mu, A_\nu]$ and $\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}$ is the dual field strength tensor. The Θ term apparently odd under both P and T operation plays the role relating two different worlds, matter and vacuum. Since conventional mass terms in the Lagrangian density are not allowed, this scheme adopts the DSSB of local gauge symmetry as well as global chiral symmetry [8], which uses the fermion condensation as the order parameter, to generate fermion masses. The Lagrangian density is invariant under global gauge transformation but the true vacuum is not invariant due to the condensation of singlet gauge bosons and fermions. The gauge boson mass M_G decreases through the condensation of the singlet gauge boson:

$$M_G^2 = M_H^2 - c_f g_s^2 \langle \phi \rangle^2 = c_f g_s^2 [A_0^2 - \langle \phi \rangle^2] \quad (2)$$

where $M_H = \sqrt{c_f} g_s A_0$ is the gauge boson mass at the grand unification scale, A_0 is the singlet gauge boson with even parity, and $\langle \phi \rangle$ is the condensation of singlet gauge boson with odd parity. The effective coupling constant at the strong scale is expressed in analogy with the phenomenological, electroweak coupling constant $G_F = \frac{\sqrt{2}g_w^2}{8M_G^2}$ with the weak coupling constant g_w :

$$\frac{G_R}{\sqrt{2}} = -\frac{c_f g_s^2}{8(k^2 - M_G^2)} \simeq \frac{c_f g_s^2}{8M_G^2} \quad (3)$$

where k denotes the four momentum and c_f denotes the color factor. DSSB consists of two simultaneous mechanisms; the first mechanism is the explicit symmetry breaking of gauge fields, which is represented by the strong coupling constant $c_f \alpha_s$ with the color factor c_f and the strong fine structure constant α_s , and the second mechanism is the spontaneous symmetry breaking of gauge fields, which is represented by the condensation of singlet gauge fields. A metastable, unphysical vacuum leads to a stable physical vacuum through DSSB due to the condensation of singlet gauge bosons. The condensation reducing the vacuum energy generates fermion masses as a consequence of gauge and discrete symmetries breaking in course of parity and charge conjugation violation. For strong interactions, the $SU(2)_V$ doublet vector current is conserved but the $SU(2)_A$ (or $U(1)_A$) singlet axial vector current is not conserved during DSSB and for electroweak interactions, the $SU(2)_L$ doublet (V - A) current is conserved but the $SU(2)_R$ (or $U(1)_R$) singlet (V + A) current is not conserved during DSSB [3,6]. The proton and neutron as spinors possess up and down color spins as a doublet just like up and down strong isospins:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \uparrow \\ \downarrow \end{pmatrix}_c, \uparrow = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_c, \downarrow = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_c. \quad (4)$$

This implies that conventional, global $SU(2)$ strong isospin symmetry introduced by Heisenberg [7] is postulated as the combination of local $SU(2)$ color spin and local $SU(2)$ weak isospin symmetries.

The constraint of the extremely flat universe

$$\Omega - 1 = -10^{-61}, \quad (5)$$

which is required by quantum gauge theory, provides the relation $\Omega = (\langle \rho_m \rangle - \Theta \rho_m) / \rho_G$ where $\langle \rho_m \rangle$ is the zero point energy density, ρ_m is the matter energy density, $\rho_G = M_G^4$ is the vacuum energy density, and

$$\Theta = 10^{-60} \rho_G / \rho_m \quad (6)$$

is the parameter of the Θ vacuum term representing the surface term [6]. Matter mass generation has features represented by the Θ vacuum, dual Meissner effect, and constituent particle mass [6]. The matter mass is attributed from the dual pairing process due to dielectric mechanism, which violates the gauge symmetry and discrete symmetries. The relation between the gauge boson mass and the fermion mass is given by

$$M_G = \sqrt{\pi} m_f c_f \alpha_s \sqrt{N_{sd}} \quad (7)$$

where N_{sd} is the difference number of even-odd parity singlet fermions in intrinsic two-space dimensions.

The above relation stems from the dual pairing mechanism $M_G = g_{sm}^2 |\psi(0)|^2 / m_f$, in analogy with electric superconductivity, where $|\psi(0)|^2 = (m_f c_f \alpha_s)^3$ is the particle probability density and $g_{sm} = 2\pi n / \sqrt{c_f g_s} = 2\pi \sqrt{N_{sd}} / \sqrt{c_f g_s}$ is the color magnetic coupling constant according to the Dirac quantization condition [9]:

$$\sqrt{c_f g_s} g_{sm} = 2\pi \sqrt{N_{sd}}. \quad (8)$$

The particle number N_{sd} is the difference number between the number N_{ss} of singlet particles interacting with charge symmetric configurations and the number N_{sc} of condensed particles interacting with charge asymmetric configurations: $N_{sd} = N_{ss} - N_{sc}$. The fermion mass formed as the result of confinement mechanism is composed of constituent particles: $m_f = \sum_i^N m_i$ where m_i is the constituent particle mass as the result of the $U(1)$ gauge theory. In the above, N depends on the intrinsic quantum number of constituent particles: $N = N_{sd}^{3/2}$. For examples, $N = 1/B$ with the baryon number B for the constituent quark in the formation of a baryon, $N = 1/M$ with the meson number M for the constituent quark in the formation of a meson, and $N = 1/L$ with the lepton number L for a constituent particle in the formation of a lepton. A fermion mass term in the Dirac Lagrangian has the form $m_f \bar{\psi} \psi = m_f (\bar{\psi}_A \psi_V + \bar{\psi}_V \psi_A)$ where the mass term is equivalent to a helicity flip. Vector fermions are put into $SU(2)$ doublets and axial-vector ones into $SU(2)$ singlets. In the matter space, it is the pairing mechanism of electric monopoles while in the vacuum space, it is the pairing mechanism of magnetic monopoles according to the duality between electricity and magnetism [9]. In the dual pairing mechanism, discrete symmetries P, C, T, and CP are dynamically broken due to massive gauge bosons [6]. Electric monopole, magnetic dipole, and electric quadrupole remain in the matter space but magnetic monopole, electric dipole, and magnetic quadrupole condense in the vacuum space as the consequence of P violation. Antibaryon particles condense in the vacuum space while baryon particles remain in the matter space as the result of C and CP violation at the strong scale: the baryon asymmetry $\delta_B \simeq 10^{-10}$ [10]. The electric dipole moment of the neutron and no parity partners in hadron spectra are the typical examples for P, T, and CP violation at the strong scale. The fine or hyperfine structures of a fermion mass include spin-spin, isospin-isospin, and color spin-color spin interactions due to intrinsic angular momenta of $SU(2)$ gauge theories:

$$\Delta E \propto \alpha_m \frac{\vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{s}_j}{m_i m_j} |\psi(0)|^2 + \alpha_i \frac{\vec{i}_i \cdot \vec{i}_j}{m_i m_j} |\psi(0)|^2 + \alpha_s \frac{\vec{\zeta}_i \cdot \vec{\zeta}_j}{m_i m_j} |\psi(0)|^2 \quad (9)$$

where α_m , α_i , and α_s are respectively coupling constants. The approach can be applied to investigate the masses of hadrons, which justify the constituent quark model [11]

as an effective model of QCD at low energies from this viewpoint. If fine structure and hyperfine structure interactions due to colorspin and isospin contributions are absorbed to the constituent quark mass, the meson mass of the conventional constituent quark model is obtained:

$$m_m = m_1 + m_2 + A \frac{\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2}{m_1 m_2} \quad (10)$$

where $\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 = 4\vec{s}_1 \cdot \vec{s}_2 = 1$ for vector mesons and $\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 = -3$ for pseudoscalar mesons are given and $A = \frac{8\pi g_s^2 |\psi(0)|^2}{9}$. By the same token, the baryon mass of the conventional constituent quark model is obtained:

$$m_b = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + A' \sum_{i>j} \frac{\vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j}{m_i m_j} \quad (11)$$

where $A' = \frac{4\pi g_s^2 |\psi(0)|^2}{9}$. Since $\sum \sigma_i \cdot \sigma_j = 4s_i \cdot s_j = 2[s(s+1) - 3s(s+1)]$ with the total spin $\vec{S} = \vec{s}_1 + \vec{s}_2 + \vec{s}_3$, $\sum \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j = 3$ for decuplet baryons and $\sum \vec{\sigma}_i \cdot \vec{\sigma}_j = -3$ for octet baryons are given. The constituent quark model illustrates reasonable agreement in hadron spectra within a few percent deviation.

The difference number N_{sd} in intrinsic two-space dimensions suggests a degenerated particle number N_{sp} and an intrinsic principal number n_m in the intrinsic radial coordinate; these quantum numbers are connected by the relation $n_m^4 = N_{sp}^2 = N_{sd}$ and the Dirac quantization condition $\sqrt{c_f} g_s g_{sm} = 2\pi N_{sp}$ is satisfied. The N_{sp} is thus the degenerated state number in the intrinsic radial coordinate that has the same principal number n_m . The intrinsic principal quantum number n_m consists of three quantum numbers, that is, $n_m = (n_c, n_i, n_s)$ where n_c is the intrinsic principal quantum number for the color space, n_i is one for the isospin space, n_s is one for the spin space. Intrinsic quantum numbers (n_c, n_i, n_s) take integer numbers. A fermion therefore possesses a set of intrinsic quantum numbers (n_c, n_i, n_s) to represent its intrinsic quantum state. The concept automatically adopts the three types of intrinsic angular momentum operators, \hat{C} , \hat{I} , and \hat{S} , when intrinsic potentials for color, isospin, and spin charges are central so that they depend on the internal radial distance: for instance, the color potential in strong interactions is dependent on the radial distance. The intrinsic spin operator \hat{S} has a magnitude square $\langle S^2 \rangle = s(s+1)$ and $s = 0, 1/2, 1, 3/2 \dots (n_s - 1)$: the third component of \hat{S} , \hat{S}_z , takes half integer or integer quantum number in the range of $-s \sim s$ with the degeneracy $2s+1$. The intrinsic isospin operator \hat{I} and intrinsic color operator \hat{C} are analogously quantized. The principal number n_m in intrinsic space quantization is very much analogous to the principal number n in extrinsic space quantization and the intrinsic angular momenta are analogous to the extrinsic angular momentum so that the total angular momentum has the form of

$$\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S} + \vec{I} + \vec{C}, \quad (12)$$

which is the extension of the conventional total angular momentum $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$. The intrinsic principal number $n_m = (n_c, n_i, n_s)$ denotes the intrinsic spatial dimension or radial quantization: $n_c = 3$ represents strong interactions as an $SU(3)_C$ gauge theory. For QCD as the $SU(3)_C$ gauge theory, there are nine gluons ($n_c^2 = 3^2$), which consist of one singlet gluon A_0 with $c = 0$, three degenerate gluons $A_1 \sim A_3$ with $c = 1$, and five degenerate gluons $A_4 \sim A_8$ with $c = 2$. One explicit evidence of colorspin and isospin angular momenta is strong isospin symmetry in nucleons, which is postulated as the combination symmetry of colorspin and weak isospin. Another evidence is the nuclear magnetic dipole moment: the Lande spin g-factors of the proton and neutron are respectively $g_s^p = 5.59$ and $g_s^n = -3.83$ shifted from 2 and 0 because of contributions from color and isospin as well as spin. The mass ratio of the proton and the constituent quark, $m_p/m_q \sim 2.79$, thus represents three degrees of freedom of color, isospin, and spin. In fact, the extrinsic angular momentum associated with the intrinsic angular momentum may be decomposed by

$$\vec{L} = \vec{L}_i + \vec{L}_c + \vec{L}_s \quad (13)$$

where \vec{L}_i , \vec{L}_c , and \vec{L}_s are angular momenta originated from isospin, colorspin, and spin, respectively. This is supported by the fact that the orbital angular momentum l_c of the nucleon has the different origin from the color charge with the orbital angular momentum l_i of the electron from the isospin charge since two angular momenta have opposite directions from the information of spin-orbit couplings in nucleus and atoms. Extrinsic angular momenta have extrinsic parity $(-1)^l = (-1)^{(l_c + l_i + l_s)}$, intrinsic angular momenta have intrinsic parity $(-1)^{(c+i+s)}$, and the total parity becomes $(-1)^{(l+c+i+s)}$ for electric moments while extrinsic angular momenta have opposite parities respectively. Fermions increase their masses by decreasing their intrinsic principal quantum numbers from the higher ones at higher energies to the lower ones at lower energies. The coupling constant α_s of a non-Abelian gauge theory is strong for the small N_{sd} and is weak for the large N_{sd} according to the renormalization group analysis. The vacuum energy is described by the zero-point energy in the unit of $\omega/2$ with the maximum number $N_{sd} \simeq 10^{61}$ and the vacuum is filled with fermion pairs of up and down colorspins, isospins, or spins, whose pairs behave like bosons quantized by the unit of ω : this is analogous to the superconducting state of fermion pairs. The intrinsic particle number $N_{sp} \simeq 1$ (or $B \simeq 1$) characterizes strong interactions for nucleons: according to $M_G = \sqrt{\pi} m_h c_f \alpha_s \sqrt{N_{sd}}$ [6], $m_h = 0.94$ GeV, $c_f = 1/3$, $\alpha_s = 0.48$, $N_{sd} = 1$, $M_G = 0.27$ GeV for a nucleon are realized. Note that if $N_{sp} > 1$ (or $B < 1$), it represents a pointlike fermion and if $N_{sp} < 1$ (or $B > 1$), it represents a composite fermion.

The invariance of gauge transformation provides $\psi[\hat{O}_\nu] = e^{i\nu\Theta}\psi[\hat{O}]$ for the fermion wave function ψ with the transformation of an operator \hat{O} by the class ν gauge transformation, \hat{O}_ν : the vacuum state characterized by the constant Θ is called the Θ vacuum [1]. The true vacuum is the superposition of all the $|\nu\rangle$ vacua with the phase $e^{i\nu\Theta}$: $|\Theta\rangle = \sum_\nu e^{i\nu\Theta}|\nu\rangle$. The topological winding number ν or the topological charge q_s is defined by

$$\nu = \nu_+ - \nu_- = \int \frac{c_f g_s^2}{16\pi^2} \text{Tr} G^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} d^4x \quad (14)$$

where the subscripts $+$ and $-$ denote moving particles with opposite intrinsic properties in the presence of the gauge fields [12]. The subscripts $+$ and $-$ represents axial-vector and vector particles at the strong scale. The matter energy density generated by the surface effect is postulated by

$$\rho_m \simeq \rho_c \simeq \frac{c_f g_s^2}{16\pi^2} \text{Tr} G^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} \simeq 10^{-47} \text{ GeV}^4 \quad (15)$$

which implies that the fermion mass is generated by the difference of fermion numbers moving to opposite directions. The difference number N_{sd} , the singlet fermion number N_{ss} , and the condensed singlet fermion number N_{sc} in intrinsic two-space dimensions respectively correspond to ν , ν_+ , and ν_- in three-space and one-time dimensions. In the presence of the Θ term, the axial vector current is not conserved due to an Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly [5]:

$$\partial_\mu J_\mu^5 = \frac{N_f c_f g_s^2}{16\pi^2} \text{Tr} G^{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}_{\mu\nu} \quad (16)$$

with the class number of fermions N_f and this reflects degenerated multiple vacua. This illustrates mass generation by the surface effect due to the field configurations with parallel charge electric and magnetic fields. Θ values defined by $\Theta = 10^{-61} \rho_G / \rho_m$ is consistent with the observed results, $\Theta < 10^{-9}$ in the electric dipole moment of the neutron [13]. The topological winding number parameterized by $\nu = \rho_m / \rho_G$ is related to the intrinsic quantum number n_m by $\nu = 1/n_m^8$. The intrinsic principal number n_m is also connected with N_{sp} and N_{sd} : $n_m^2 = N_{sp}$, $N_{sp}^2 = N_{sd}$, and $N_{sp}^4 = 1/\nu$. The relation between the intrinsic radius and the intrinsic quantum number might be ascribed by $r_i = r_{0i}/n_m^2$ with the radius $r_{0i} \simeq 1/m_f c_f \alpha_s \simeq N_{sp}/M_G$. Intrinsic quantum numbers are exactly analogous to extrinsic quantum numbers. The extrinsic principal number n for the nucleon is related to the nuclear mass number A : $n^2 = A^{1/3}$, $n^4 = A^{2/3}$, $n^6 = B = A$. The relation between the nuclear radius and extrinsic quantum number is outlined by

$$r = r_0 A^{1/3} = r_0 n^2 \quad (17)$$

with the radius $r_0 \approx 1.2$ fm. This is analogous to the atomic radius $r_e = r_0 n_e^2$ with the atomic radius r_0 and the electric principal number n_e : the atomic radius r_0 is almost the same with the Bohr radius $a_B = 1/m_e \alpha_e = 0.5 \times 10^{-8}$ cm. These concepts are related to the constant nuclear density $n_B = 3/4\pi r_0^3 = 1.95 \times 10^{38} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ or Avogadro's number $N_A = 6.02 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ and to the constant electron density $n_e = 3/4\pi r_s^3 = 6.02 \times 10^{23} Z \rho_B / A$ with the matter energy density ρ_m in g/cm^3 where the possible relation is $r_e = r_0 L^{1/3} = r_0 n_e^2$ with the lepton number L . The Θ value indicating DSSB becomes $\Theta_{QCD} \approx 10^{-12}$ at the strong scale. Baryon mass generation is described by $\rho_B \equiv \Omega_B \rho_c \simeq 10^{-61} \Omega_B \rho_G / \Theta \simeq \Omega_B 10^{-47} \text{ GeV}^4$ with the gluon mass density $\rho_G \approx 10^{-2} \text{ GeV}^4$ at the strong scale. Θ term as the surface term modifies the original QCD for strong interactions [2], which has the problem in fermion mass violating gauge invariance, and suggest mass generation as the nonperturbative breaking of gauge and chiral invariance through DSSB. The presence of the nonperturbative anomaly represented by the Θ vacuum does not necessarily spoil renormalization because there is no Ward-Takahashi identity [14] destroyed by the nonconservation of any local current with even parity.

New development based on a local gauge theory for the strong force under the constraint of the flat universe $\Omega - 1 = -10^{-61}$ are matter mass generation mechanism through the dynamical spontaneous breaking of gauge symmetries and Θ vacuum structure through intrinsic quantization specifying intrinsic properties. Matter mass generation introduces the typical features of constituent particle mass induced from the gauge boson mass, dual Meissner effect through the pairing mechanism, and fine structure due to intrinsic angular momentum interactions. The Θ term plays important roles on the DSSB of the gauge group and on the quantization of the matter and vacuum space. Θ vacuum structure exhibits intrinsic principal numbers and angular momenta for intrinsic space quantization in analogy with the extrinsic principal number and angular momentum for extrinsic space quantization. The intrinsic space essentially consists of the color space, isospin space, and spin space, which form their associated extrinsic space: the total angular momentum $\vec{J} = \vec{C} + \vec{I} + \vec{S} + \vec{L}$ is the extension of the conventional one $\vec{J} = \vec{S} + \vec{L}$. This work may thus become a turning point toward the complete understanding of the universe structure since the insight in matter mass generation and Θ vacuum is clarified.

[1] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 8 (1976); Phys. Rev. **D 14**, 3432 (1976); R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, Phys. Rev.

- Lett. **37**, 172 (1976); C. G. Callan, R. Dashen, and D. Gross, Phys. Lett. **63 B**, 334 (1976).
- [2] H. Fritzsch and M. Gell-Mann, in Proceedings of the Sixteenth International Conference on High Energy Physics, Vol. **2**, Chicago (1972); H. Fritzsch, M. Gell-Mann and Leutwyler, Phys. Lett. **B 47**, 365 (1973); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **31**, 494 (1973).
- [3] S. L. Glashow, Nucl. Phys. **22**, 579 (1961); S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19**, 1264 (1967); A. Salam, Elementary Particle Theory, N. Svaratholm Ed., Almquist and Wiksells (1968).
- [4] P. W. Higgs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13**, 508 (1964).
- [5] S. L. Adler, Phys. Rev. **177**, 2426 (1969); J. S. Bell and R. Jackiw, Nuovo Cimento **A 60**, 47 (1969).
- [6] H. Roh, "QCD Confinement Mechanism and Θ Vacuum: Dynamical Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking," hep-th/0012261; H. Roh, "Dynamical Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking in Quantum Chromodynamics," hep-ph/0101108.
- [7] W. Heisenberg, Z. Phys. **77**, 1 (1932).
- [8] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **122**, 345 (1961).
- [9] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. of the Royal Soc. **A 133**, 60 (1931); Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. **D 10**, 4262(1974); S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rep. **23c**, 245 (1976); G. 't Hooft, High Energy Physics, Proc. European Phys. Soc. Int. Conf., A. Zichichi Ed., 1225 (1976); N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B 426**, 19 (1994); *ibid.* **431**, 484 (1994).
- [10] R. I. Steinberg, Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. **14**, 339 (1976).
- [11] R. Dashen and M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 340 (1966); R. Dashen and M. Gell-Mann, Symmetry Principles at High Energies, Proceedings of Coral Gables Conferences, Feeman and Company, San Francisco (1966).
- [12] M. Atiyah and I. Singer, Ann. Math. **87**, 484 (1968).
- [13] I. S. Altarev et al., JETP Lett. **44**, 460 (1986); K. F. Smith et al., Phys. Lett. **B 234**, 191 (1990).
- [14] J. C. Ward, Phys. Rev. **78**, 1824 (1950); Y. Takahashi, Nuovo Cimento **6**, 370 (1957).