

Semileptonic B Decays into Excited Charmed Mesons (D_1, D_2^*) in HQEFT

W.Y. Wang and Y.L. Wu

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

Abstract

Exclusive semileptonic B decays into excited charmed mesons (D_1, D_2^*) are studied up to the order of $1/m_Q$ in the framework of the heavy quark effective field theory (HQEFT), which contains the contributions of both particles and antiparticles. Two wave functions η_0^b and η_0^c , which characterize the contributions from the kinematic operator at the order of $1/m_Q$, are calculated by using QCD sum rule approach in HQEFT. Zero recoil values of other two wave functions κ'_1 and κ'_2 are extracted from the excited charmed-meson masses. Possible effects from the spin-dependent transition wave functions which arise from the magnetic operators at the order of $1/m_Q$ are analyzed. It is shown that the experimental measurements for the branching ratios of $B \rightarrow D_1 l\nu$ and $B \rightarrow D_2^* l\nu$ can be understood in the framework of HQEFT.

arXiv:hep-ph/0012240v1 19 Dec 2000

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, studies on the semileptonic B decays into excited charmed mesons become interesting in both experimental and theoretical sides. Experimentally, to precisely measure the branching ratios of the semileptonic B meson into the groundstate charmed mesons, it also needs to measure precisely the branching ratios of the semileptonic B decays into excited charmed mesons which is the main background for the former decays. Theoretically, it provides additional modes for testing the validity of effective theories, in particular, how good of the spin-flavor symmetry.

The semileptonic B decays into excited charmed mesons have been discussed in [1,2] based on the framework of the usual heavy quark effective theory (HQET). Where the dependence of decay rates on wave functions was presented in a general form, the authors mainly deal with Isgur-Wise type function and the $1/m_Q$ order wave functions τ_1 and τ_2 which arise from the effective currents. The wave functions η_i^Q arising from the chromomagnetic term in Lagrangian have been neglected, and those arising from the kinematic term in Lagrangian have been absorbed into the Isgur-Wise type function and not been considered separately. The detailed field theoretic calculations on these decays were presented in [3,4], where the Isgur-Wise type function and τ_1 , τ_2 have been evaluated by using the QCD sum rule approach.

The purpose of this paper is to study the transitions between ground and excited state heavy-light mesons within the framework of heavy quark effective field theory (HQEFT) [5] in which both quark and antiquark effective fields with keeping quark-antiquark coupled terms have been considered. In particular, we focus on the semileptonic B decays into the $j_l^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$ charmed meson doublet (D_1, D_2^*) , where j_l and P are the spin and parity of the light degrees of freedom in the charmed mesons. It has been shown that the HQEFT can provide a consistent description on both exclusive [6,7] and inclusive [8,9] decays of heavy hadrons. It has been seen in these references that the HQEFT has many advantages with respect to the usual HQET. In the new framework, the values of $|V_{cb}|$ extracted from both exclusive and inclusive decays show a good agreement; the bottom hadron life time ratios can be well understood; $1/m_Q$ order corrections at zero recoil in both exclusive and inclusive decays automatically absent without imposing the equation of motion $iv \cdot DQ_v = 0$ when the physical observables are presented in terms of heavy hadron masses; less wave functions are needed; and there are interesting relations among meson masses and transition wave functions at zero recoil. In the most recent paper [7], the decay constants and binding energy of ground state heavy-light mesons as well as transition wave functions among them were studied consistently by using QCD sum rule approach in the framework of HQEFT. It was noticed that the HQEFT appears much more reliable than the usual HQET in describing the decays and transitions for the ground state mesons. Particularly, the $1/m_Q$ corrections to the heavy-light meson decay constants were found to be much smaller than the heavy quark mass, so that the scaling law of the decay constants is only slightly broken. This observation is unlike the usual HQET, which may lead to either the complete break down of the $1/m_Q$ expansion [10] or a large $1/m_Q$ correction [11] in evaluating the decay constants. Two transition wave functions $\kappa_1(y)$ and $\kappa_2(y)$ at the $1/m_Q$ order have also been evaluated in [7]. Their zero recoil values agree with those extracted from the ground state meson masses.

Our present paper is organized as follows. In Sec.II, the weak transition matrix elements relevant to $B \rightarrow (D_1, D_2^*)$ decays are studied up to the order of $1/m_Q$ and parameterized by independent universal wave functions. The form factors and decay rates are then given in terms of those wave functions. The relevant normalization of the excited mesons is also discussed. In Sec.III, using the appropriate interpolating currents for excited heavy mesons, we derive the sum rules for two of the important wave functions concerned at $1/m_Q$ order, η_0^b and η_0^c . In Sec.IV, we present our numerical results obtained from the sum rule approach. The $B \rightarrow (D_1, D_2^*)$ decay rates and branching ratios are discussed in detail. Finally, we come to our brief summary in Sec.V.

II. ANALYTIC FORMULAE FOR $B \rightarrow (D_1, D_2^*)$ IN HQEFT

The matrix elements relevant to the semileptonic decays $B \rightarrow (D_1, D_2^*)$ are the ones of vector and axial vector currents ($V^\mu = \bar{c}\gamma^\mu b$ and $A^\mu = \bar{c}\gamma^\mu\gamma^5 b$) between B and the excited doublet (D_1, D_2^*) . Usually, these matrix elements are parameterized as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle D_1(v', \epsilon) | V^\mu | B(v) \rangle &= \sqrt{m_{D_1} m_B} [f_{V_1} \epsilon^{*\mu} + (f_{V_2} v^\mu + f_{V_3} v'^\mu) (\epsilon^* \cdot v)], \\
\langle D_1(v', \epsilon) | A^\mu | B(v) \rangle &= i\sqrt{m_{D_1} m_B} f_A \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_\alpha^* v_\beta v'_\gamma, \\
\langle D_2^*(v', \epsilon) | A^\mu | B(v) \rangle &= \sqrt{m_{D_2^*} m_B} [k_{A_1} \epsilon^{*\mu\alpha} v_\alpha + (k_{A_2} v^\mu + k_{A_3} v'^\mu) \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^* v^\alpha v'^\beta], \\
\langle D_2^*(v', \epsilon) | V^\mu | B(v) \rangle &= i\sqrt{m_{D_2^*} m_B} k_V \epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \epsilon_{\alpha\sigma}^* v^\sigma v_\beta v'_\gamma,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where the form factors f_i and k_i are dimensionless functions of $y = v \cdot v'$, and $\epsilon^{*\mu}$ ($\epsilon^{*\mu\alpha}$) is the polarization vector (tensor) of D_1 (D_2^*).

In the framework of HQEFT (its main formulation is presented in Appendix A, we can in general introduce an effective heavy hadron state $|H_v\rangle$ for the witness of exhibiting manifestly the spin-flavor symmetry [6–8]. It is related to the hadron state $|H\rangle$ in the full theory via

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_{H'} m_H}} \langle H' | \bar{Q}' \Gamma Q | H \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\Lambda}_{H'} \bar{\Lambda}_H}} \langle H'_v | J_{eff} e^{i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{eff}} | H_v \rangle. \tag{2.2}$$

with $|H_v\rangle$ being normalized as

$$\langle H_v | \bar{Q}_v^+ \gamma^\mu Q_v^+ | H_v \rangle = 2\bar{\Lambda} v^\mu \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\bar{\Lambda} = \bar{\Lambda}_H - O(1/m_Q) = \lim_{m_Q \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\Lambda}_H \tag{2.4}$$

is taken to be heavy flavor independent, and it mainly reflects the effects of the light degrees of freedom in the heavy hadron characterizes the off-mass shellness of the heavy quark within the heavy hadron. Once $\bar{\Lambda}$ is chosen to be the flavor independent binding energy of the hadron $|H\rangle$, one yields

$$\bar{\Lambda}_H \equiv m_H - m_Q. \tag{2.5}$$

which is the total binding energy of hadron.

The hadronic matrix elements can be expanded according to the order of $1/m_Q$. By including the $1/m_Q$ order corrections which can arise from both the current expansion and the insertion of the effective Lagrangian, one gets [6,7]

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{\frac{\bar{\Lambda}_M}{m_M}} \langle 0 | \bar{q} \Gamma Q | M \rangle &\rightarrow \langle 0 | \bar{q} \Gamma Q_v^+ | M_v \rangle - \frac{1}{2m_Q} \langle 0 | \bar{q} \Gamma \frac{1}{i \not{D}_\parallel} (i \not{D}_\perp)^2 Q_v^+ | M_v \rangle + O(1/m_Q^2), \\
\sqrt{\frac{\bar{\Lambda}_{M'} \bar{\Lambda}_M}{m_{M'} m_M}} \langle M' | \bar{Q}' \Gamma Q | M \rangle &\rightarrow \langle M'_{v'} | \bar{Q}'_{v'} \Gamma Q_v^+ | M_v \rangle - \frac{1}{2m_Q} \langle M'_{v'} | \bar{Q}'_{v'} \Gamma \frac{1}{i \not{D}_\parallel} (i \not{D}_\perp)^2 Q_v^+ | M_v \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2m_{Q'}} \langle M'_{v'} | \bar{Q}'_{v'} \Gamma (-i \overleftarrow{\not{D}}_\perp)^2 \frac{1}{-i \not{D}_\parallel} \Gamma Q_v^+ | M_v \rangle + O(1/m_Q^2). \tag{2.6}
\end{aligned}$$

The form factors f_i and k_i can be parameterized by a set of universal wave functions. It is simplest to do this by using the trace formulism [12,2]. The spin wave functions for the $j_l^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ ground state mesons B, B^* and $j_l^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$ charmed mesons D_1, D_2^* are

$$\mathcal{M}_v = \sqrt{\bar{\Lambda}} P_+ \begin{cases} -\gamma^5, & \text{for pseudoscalar meson} \\ \not{v}, & \text{for vector meson} \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_v^\mu = \sqrt{\bar{\Lambda}'} P_+ \begin{cases} -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \gamma^5 \epsilon^\nu [g_\nu^\mu - \frac{1}{3} \gamma_\nu (\gamma^\mu - v^\mu)], & \text{for } D_1 \\ \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \gamma_\nu, & \text{for } D_2^* \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

The matrices \mathcal{M}_v and \mathcal{F}_v^μ satisfy the properties $\not{v} \mathcal{M}_v = \mathcal{M}_v = -\mathcal{M}_v \not{v}$, $\not{v} \mathcal{F}_v^\mu = \mathcal{F}_v^\mu = -\mathcal{F}_v^\mu \not{v}$ and $\mathcal{F}_v^\mu \gamma_\mu = \mathcal{F}_v^\mu v_\mu = 0$.

With respect to eq.(2.3) and the normalization of the $\frac{3}{2}^+$ excited states, we parameterize the matrix elements in eq.(2.6) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle H_v | \bar{Q}_v^+ \gamma^\mu Q_v^+ | H_v \rangle &= \xi'(y) Tr[\bar{\mathcal{F}}_v^\sigma \gamma^\mu \mathcal{F}_{v\sigma}], \\
\langle H_v | \bar{Q}_v^+ \gamma^\mu \frac{P_+}{i v \cdot D} D_\perp^2 Q_v^+ | H_v \rangle &= -\kappa'_1(y) \frac{1}{\bar{\Lambda}'} Tr[\bar{\mathcal{F}}_v^\sigma \gamma^\mu \mathcal{F}_{v\sigma}], \\
\langle H_v | \bar{Q}_v^+ \gamma^\mu \frac{P_+}{i v \cdot D} \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} Q_v^+ | H_v \rangle &= \frac{1}{\bar{\Lambda}'} Tr[A^{\sigma\sigma'\alpha\beta}(v, v') \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{v\sigma'} \gamma^\mu P_+ \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}_{v\sigma}] \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
A^{\sigma\sigma'\alpha\beta}(v, v') &= a_1 (g^{\sigma\alpha} g^{\sigma'\beta} - g^{\sigma\beta} g^{\sigma'\alpha}) + ia_2 g^{\sigma\sigma'} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} + a_3 g^{\sigma\sigma'} (v^\alpha \gamma^\beta - v^\beta \gamma^\alpha) \\
&\quad + ia_4 (g^{\sigma\alpha} \sigma^{\sigma'\beta} - g^{\sigma\beta} \sigma^{\sigma'\alpha}) + a_5 (g^{\sigma\alpha} v^\beta - g^{\sigma\beta} v^\alpha) \gamma^{\sigma'} + ia_6 (g^{\sigma'\alpha} \sigma^{\sigma\beta} - g^{\sigma'\beta} \sigma^{\sigma\alpha}) \\
&\quad + a_7 (g^{\sigma'\alpha} v^\beta - g^{\sigma'\beta} v^\alpha) \gamma^\sigma + a_8 \sigma^{\sigma'\sigma} \sigma^{\alpha\beta} + ia_9 \sigma^{\sigma'\sigma} (\gamma^\alpha v^\beta - \gamma^\beta v^\alpha). \tag{2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Finishing the trace calculations, we get from (2.2) and (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
2m_{D_1} v^\mu &= \frac{m_{D_1}}{\bar{\Lambda}_{D_1}} \left\{ -2\bar{\Lambda}' \xi' + \frac{2}{m_c} (\kappa'_1(1) + 5\kappa'_2(1)) \right\} (\epsilon^* \cdot \epsilon) v^\mu, \\
2m_{D_2^*} v^\mu &= \frac{m_{D_2^*}}{\bar{\Lambda}_{D_2^*}} \left\{ 2\bar{\Lambda}' \xi' - \frac{2}{m_c} (\kappa'_1(1) - 3\kappa'_2(1)) \right\} \epsilon^{*\sigma\alpha} \epsilon_{\sigma\alpha} v^\mu, \tag{2.11}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa'_2 = -\frac{1}{3}(a_1 - a_2 - a_4 - a_6 - a_8) \quad (2.12)$$

Eq.(2.11) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Lambda}_{D_1} &= \bar{\Lambda}' - \frac{1}{m_c}(\kappa'_1(1) + 5\kappa'_2(1)), \\ \bar{\Lambda}_{D_2^*} &= \bar{\Lambda}' - \frac{1}{m_c}(\kappa'_1(1) - 3\kappa'_2(1)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

In deriving these formulae we have used the normalization of the leading order wave function $\xi'(1)$:

$$\xi'(1) = 1, \quad (2.14)$$

which is a direct result of eqs.(2.4) and (2.11).

Eq.(2.13) is similar to those relations for ground state mesons [6,7]:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Lambda}_D &= \bar{\Lambda} - \frac{1}{m_c}(\kappa_1(1) + 3\kappa_2(1)), \\ \bar{\Lambda}_{D^*} &= \bar{\Lambda} - \frac{1}{m_c}(\kappa_1(1) - \kappa_2(1)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

For $B \rightarrow (D_1, D_2^*)$ decays, we parameterize the relevant matrix elements as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_{v'} | \bar{Q}'^+ \Gamma Q_v^+ | H_v \rangle &= \tau(y) Tr[v_\sigma \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{v'}^\sigma \Gamma \mathcal{M}_v], \\ \langle H_{v'} | \bar{Q}'^+ \Gamma \frac{P_+}{i v \cdot D} D_\perp^2 Q_v^+ | H_v \rangle &= -\eta_0^b(y) \frac{1}{\Lambda} Tr[v_\sigma \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{v'}^\sigma \Gamma \mathcal{M}_v], \\ \langle H_{v'} | \bar{Q}'^+ \overleftarrow{D}_\perp^2 \frac{P'_+}{-i v' \cdot D} \Gamma Q_v^+ | H_v \rangle &= -\eta_0^c(y) \frac{1}{\Lambda'} Tr[v_\sigma \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{v'}^\sigma \Gamma \mathcal{M}_v], \\ \langle H_{v'} | \bar{Q}'^+ \Gamma \frac{P_+}{i v \cdot D} \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} Q_v^+ | H_v \rangle &= -\frac{1}{\Lambda} Tr[R_{\sigma\alpha\beta}^b(v, v') \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{v'}^\sigma \Gamma P_+ i \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{M}_v], \\ \langle H_{v'} | \bar{Q}'^+ \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} \frac{P'_+}{-i v' \cdot D} \Gamma Q_v^+ | H_v \rangle &= -\frac{1}{\Lambda'} Tr[R_{\sigma\alpha\beta}^c(v, v') \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{v'}^\sigma i \sigma^{\alpha\beta} P'_+ \Gamma \mathcal{M}_v], \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where $R_{\sigma\alpha\beta}^b$ and $R_{\sigma\alpha\beta}^c$ can generally be written as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\sigma\alpha\beta}^b(v, v') &= \eta_1^b v_\sigma \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta + \eta_2^b v_\sigma v'_\alpha \gamma_\beta + \eta_3^b g_{\sigma\alpha} v'_\beta, \\ R_{\sigma\alpha\beta}^c(v, v') &= \eta_1^c v_\sigma \gamma_\alpha \gamma_\beta + \eta_2^c v_\sigma v_\alpha \gamma_\beta + \eta_3^c g_{\sigma\alpha} v_\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

τ , η_i^b and η_i^c are Lorentz scalar wave functions of y .

Now finishing the trace calculations, and taking into account eqs.(2.2), (2.1), (2.6), (2.13) and (2.15), one finds that f_i and k_i are related to the transition wave functions as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{6}f_{V_1} &= (1-y^2)\left\{\left[\tilde{\tau} + \frac{\tau}{2m_b\bar{\Lambda}}(\kappa_1(1) + 3\kappa_2(1)) + \frac{\tau}{2m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}(\kappa'_1(1) + 5\kappa'_2(1))\right]\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{m_b\bar{\Lambda}}\eta^b + \frac{1}{m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}[\eta_1^c + \frac{3}{2}\eta_3^c]\right\}, \\
\sqrt{6}f_{V_2} &= -3\left[\tilde{\tau} + \frac{\tau}{2m_b\bar{\Lambda}}(\kappa_1(1) + 3\kappa_2(1)) + \frac{\tau}{2m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}(\kappa'_1(1) + 5\kappa'_2(1))\right] \\
&\quad - \frac{3}{m_b\bar{\Lambda}}\eta^b - \frac{5}{m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}[-\eta_1^c + \frac{1}{2}\eta_3^c], \\
\sqrt{6}f_{V_3} &= (y-2)\left[\tilde{\tau} + \frac{\tau}{2m_b\bar{\Lambda}}(\kappa_1(1) + 3\kappa_2(1)) + \frac{\tau}{2m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}(\kappa'_1(1) + 5\kappa'_2(1))\right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{m_b\bar{\Lambda}}(y-2)\eta^b + \frac{1}{m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}[\eta_1^c(6+y) - 2\eta_2^c(1-y) - \eta_3^c(1-\frac{3}{2}y)], \\
\sqrt{6}f_A &= -(1+y)\left\{\left[\tilde{\tau} + \frac{\tau}{2m_b\bar{\Lambda}}(\kappa_1(1) + 3\kappa_2(1)) + \frac{\tau}{2m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}(\kappa'_1(1) + 5\kappa'_2(1))\right]\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{m_b\bar{\Lambda}}\eta^b + \frac{1}{m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}[\eta_1^c + \frac{3}{2}\eta_3^c]\right\}, \\
k_{A_1} &= -(1+y)\left\{\left[\tilde{\tau} + \frac{\tau}{2m_b\bar{\Lambda}}(\kappa_1(1) + 3\kappa_2(1)) + \frac{\tau}{2m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}(\kappa'_1(1) - 3\kappa'_2(1))\right]\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{m_b\bar{\Lambda}}\eta^b + \frac{1}{m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}[\eta_1^c - \frac{1}{2}\eta_3^c]\right\}, \\
k_{A_2} &= \frac{1}{m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}\eta_2^c, \\
k_{A_3} &= \left[\tilde{\tau} + \frac{\tau}{2m_b\bar{\Lambda}}(\kappa_1(1) + 3\kappa_2(1)) + \frac{\tau}{2m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}(\kappa'_1(1) - 3\kappa'_2(1))\right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{m_b\bar{\Lambda}}\eta^b + \frac{1}{m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}[\eta_1^c - \eta_2^c - \frac{1}{2}\eta_3^c], \\
k_V &= -\left[\tilde{\tau} + \frac{\tau}{2m_b\bar{\Lambda}}(\kappa_1(1) + 3\kappa_2(1)) + \frac{\tau}{2m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}(\kappa'_1(1) - 3\kappa'_2(1))\right] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{m_b\bar{\Lambda}}\eta^b - \frac{1}{m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}[\eta_1^c - \frac{1}{2}\eta_3^c], \tag{2.18}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\tau} &= \tau - \frac{\eta_0^b}{2m_b\bar{\Lambda}} - \frac{\eta_0^c}{2m_c\bar{\Lambda}'}, \\
\eta^b &= -3\eta_1^b - (1-y)\eta_2^b - \frac{1}{2}\eta_3^b. \tag{2.19}
\end{aligned}$$

III. QCD SUM RULE EVALUATION FOR τ , η_0^B AND η_0^C

In order to calculate the wave functions τ and η_0^b , η_0^c , one may study the analytic properties of the three-point correlation functions

$$i^2 \int d^4x d^4z e^{i(p' \cdot x - p \cdot z)} \langle 0 | T \{ J_{1,+3/2}^\nu(x), (\bar{Q}\Gamma Q)(0), J_{0,-1/2}^\dagger(z) \} | 0 \rangle = \Xi^\tau(\omega, \omega', y) L_{V,A}^{\mu\nu}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$i^2 \int d^4x d^4z e^{i(p' \cdot x - p \cdot z)} \langle 0 | T \{ J_{2,+3/2}^{\alpha\beta}(x), (\bar{Q}\Gamma Q)(0), J_{0,-1/2}^\dagger(z) \} | 0 \rangle = \Xi^\tau(\omega, \omega', y) L_{V,A}^{\mu\alpha\beta}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i^2 \int d^4x d^4z e^{i(p' \cdot x - p \cdot z)} \langle 0 | T \{ J_{1,+}^{\nu}{}_{,3/2}(x), (\bar{Q} \Gamma \frac{P_+}{i v \cdot D} D_{\perp}^2 Q)(0), J_{0,-}^{\dagger}{}_{,1/2}(z) \} | 0 \rangle \\
= \Xi \eta_0^b(\omega, \omega', y) L_{V,A}^{\mu\nu},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i^2 \int d^4x d^4z e^{i(p' \cdot x - p \cdot z)} \langle 0 | T \{ J_{2,+}^{\alpha\beta}{}_{,3/2}(x), (\bar{Q} \Gamma \frac{P_+}{i v \cdot D} D_{\perp}^2 Q)(0), J_{0,-}^{\dagger}{}_{,1/2}(z) \} | 0 \rangle \\
= \Xi \eta_0^b(\omega, \omega', y) L_{V,A}^{\mu\alpha\beta},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i^2 \int d^4x d^4z e^{i(p' \cdot x - p \cdot z)} \langle 0 | T \{ J_{1,+}^{\nu}{}_{,3/2}(x), (\bar{Q} \overleftarrow{D}_{\perp}^2 \frac{P'_+}{-i v' \cdot \overleftarrow{D}} \Gamma Q)(0), J_{0,-}^{\dagger}{}_{,1/2}(z) \} | 0 \rangle \\
= \Xi \eta_0^{\bar{6}}(\omega, \omega', y) L_{V,A}^{\mu\nu},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
i^2 \int d^4x d^4z e^{i(p' \cdot x - p \cdot z)} \langle 0 | T \{ J_{2,+}^{\alpha\beta}{}_{,3/2}(x), (\bar{Q} \overleftarrow{D}_{\perp}^2 \frac{P'_+}{-i v' \cdot \overleftarrow{D}} \Gamma Q)(0), J_{0,-}^{\dagger}{}_{,1/2}(z) \} | 0 \rangle \\
= \Xi \eta_0^{\bar{6}}(\omega, \omega', y) L_{V,A}^{\mu\alpha\beta}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

with Γ being γ^{μ} and $\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5$ for vector and axial vector heavy quark currents separately. $L_{V,A}^{\mu\nu(\mu\alpha\beta)}$ are Lorentz structures associated with the vector and axial vector currents (see Appendix B).

$J_{j,P,j_l}^{\nu(\alpha\beta)}$ are the proper interpolating currents for the heavy-light mesons. Here

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{0,-}^{\dagger\alpha}{}_{,1/2} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{Q} \gamma^5 q && \text{for pseudoscalar meson,} \\
J_{1,-}^{\dagger\alpha}{}_{,1/2} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{Q} \gamma_{\perp}^{\alpha} q && \text{for vector meson,}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for $\frac{1}{2}^-$ ground state doublet, and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{1,+}^{\dagger\alpha}{}_{,3/2} &= \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}} \bar{Q}_v^+ \gamma^5 (-i) (D_{\perp}^{\alpha} - \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{\perp}^{\alpha} \not{D}_{\perp}) q && \text{for } D_1, \\
J_{2,+}^{\dagger\alpha\beta}{}_{,3/2} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{Q}_v^+ \frac{(-i)}{2} (\gamma_{\perp}^{\alpha} D_{\perp}^{\beta} + \gamma_{\perp}^{\beta} D_{\perp}^{\alpha} - \frac{2}{3} g_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta} \not{D}_{\perp}) q && \text{for } D_2^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

for $\frac{3}{2}^+$ doublet.

Generally, the proper current J_{j,P,j_l} for the state with quantum numbers j , P , j_l have been investigated in [13,3]. These currents were proved to satisfy the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle 0 | J_{j,P,j_l}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j}(0) | j', P', j_l' \rangle &= i f_{P j_l} \delta_{j j'} \delta_{P P'} \delta_{j_l j_l'} \eta^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j}, \\
i \langle 0 | T (J_{j,P,j_l}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j}(x) J_{j',P',j_l'}^{\dagger \beta_1 \dots \beta_{j'}}(0)) | 0 \rangle &= \delta_{j j'} \delta_{P P'} \delta_{j_l j_l'} (-1)^j \mathcal{S} g_{\perp}^{\alpha_1 \beta_1} \dots g_{\perp}^{\alpha_j \beta_j} \\
&\times \int dt \delta(x - vt) \Pi_{P,j_l}(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

in the limit $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$. $\eta^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j}$ is the polarization tensor for the spin j state, $g_{\perp}^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\alpha\beta} - v^{\alpha} v^{\beta}$ is the transverse metric tensor, and $\gamma_{\perp}^{\alpha} = \gamma^{\alpha} - v^{\alpha} (v \cdot \gamma)$. \mathcal{S} denotes symmetrizing the indices and subtracting the trace terms separately in the sets $(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_j)$ and $(\beta_1 \dots \beta_j)$, f_{P,j_l} and Π_{P,j_l} are a constant and a function of x respectively, they depend only on P and j_l .

Eqs.(3.9) implies that the sum rules in HQET (or HQEFT) for decay amplitudes derived from correlators containing such currents receive contributions only from one of the two states with the same spin-parity (j, P) in the $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$ limit. And starting from the leading order, the $1/m_Q$ corrections to the decay amplitudes can then be calculated unambiguously order by order.

It should be noticed that the HQEFT differs from the HQET only in the $1/m_Q$ corrections. Therefore $f_{P,jl}$ are the same in the two frameworks. For the ground state $\frac{1}{2}^-$ mesons, the sum rule for $f_{-,1/2}$ is also known [10,14] in the HQET. It was also checked again in the HQEFT (where $F = \sqrt{2}f_{-,1/2}$) [7]. Our result is

$$f_{-,1/2}^2 e^{-2\bar{\Lambda}/T} = \frac{3}{16\pi^2} \int_0^{\omega_c} d\omega e^{-\omega/T} \omega^2 - \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{2} \left(1 + \frac{4\alpha_s}{3\pi}\right) - i \frac{\langle \bar{q}\sigma_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} q \rangle}{8T^2} \left(1 + \frac{4\alpha_s}{\pi}\right) - \frac{\langle \alpha_s FF \rangle}{48\pi T} = \mathcal{SR}_{-,1/2}. \quad (3.10)$$

For the $\frac{3}{2}^+$ doublet, the sum rule for $f_{+,3/2}$ is found to be [13]

$$f_{+,3/2}^2 e^{-2\bar{\Lambda}'/T} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \int_0^{\omega_c^{**}} d\omega e^{-\omega/T} \omega^4 + i \frac{\langle \bar{q}\sigma_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} q \rangle}{12} - \frac{\langle \alpha_s FF \rangle T}{32\pi} = \mathcal{SR}_{+,3/2}. \quad (3.11)$$

The leading order wave function τ for $B \rightarrow (D_1, D_2^*)$ decays is evaluated in [3] through studying the three point correlation functions (3.1), and (3.2). The Borel transformed sum rule for it reads

$$f_{-,1/2} f_{+,3/2} \tau e^{-(\bar{\Lambda} + \bar{\Lambda}')/T} = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \frac{1}{(y+1)^3} \int_0^{\omega_c^*} d\omega e^{-\omega/T} \omega^3 + i \frac{\langle \bar{q}\sigma_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} q \rangle}{12T} - \frac{\langle \alpha_s FF \rangle}{96\pi} \frac{y+5}{(y+1)^2} = \mathcal{SR}_\tau. \quad (3.12)$$

The total external momenta in (3.1)-(3.6) are $p = m_Q v + k$ and $p' = m_Q v' + k'$ with k and k' being the residual momenta of the heavy quarks. $\Xi^{\eta_0^b}(\omega, \omega', y)$ and $\Xi^{\eta_0^c}(\omega, \omega', y)$ are analytic functions of $\omega = 2v \cdot k + O(1/m_Q)$ and $\omega' = 2v' \cdot k' + O(1/m_Q')$ with discontinuities for their positive values. Saturating the correlators in eqs.(3.3)-(3.6) with physical intermediate states in HQEFT, phenomenologically we represent them as follows

$$\Xi_{phen}^{\eta_0^b} L_{V,A}^{\mu\alpha(\mu\alpha\beta)} = \sum_{M',M} \frac{\langle 0 | J_{j,+,3/2}^{\alpha(\alpha\beta)} | M' \rangle \langle M' | \bar{Q} \Gamma_{iv \cdot D}^{P_+} D_\perp^2 Q | M \rangle \langle M | J_{0,-,1/2}^\dagger | 0 \rangle}{(2\bar{\Lambda} - \omega - i\epsilon)(2\bar{\Lambda}' - \omega' - i\epsilon)} + \int_D d\nu d\nu' \frac{\rho_{phys}(\nu, \nu')}{(\nu - \omega - i\epsilon)(\nu' - \omega' - i\epsilon)} L_{V,A}^{\mu\alpha(\mu\alpha\beta)} + \text{subtractions}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\Xi_{phen}^{\eta_0^c} L_{V,A}^{\mu\alpha(\mu\alpha\beta)} = \sum_{M',M} \frac{\langle 0 | J_{j,+,3/2}^{\alpha(\alpha\beta)} | M' \rangle \langle M' | (\bar{Q} \overleftarrow{D}_\perp^2 \frac{P'_+}{-iv' \cdot D} \Gamma Q)_{(0)} | M \rangle \langle M | J_{0,-,1/2}^\dagger | 0 \rangle}{(2\bar{\Lambda} - \omega - i\epsilon)(2\bar{\Lambda}' - \omega' - i\epsilon)} + \int_D d\nu d\nu' \frac{\rho_{phys}(\nu, \nu')}{(\nu - \omega - i\epsilon)(\nu' - \omega' - i\epsilon)} L_{V,A}^{\mu\alpha(\mu\alpha\beta)} + \text{subtractions} \quad (3.14)$$

with the first term in each equation being a double-pole contribution, and the second representing the higher resonance contributions in the form of a double dispersion integral over physical intermediate states in the proper integration domain D .

The matrix elements in (3.13) and (3.14) can then be transformed into series of the matrix elements in the HQEFT in powers of $1/m_Q$ through (2.6). With (3.9), the first terms in (3.13) and (3.14) become in the limit $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$

$$\Xi_{pole}^{\eta_0^b} L_{V,A}^{\mu\alpha(\mu\alpha\beta)} = \frac{f_{+,3/2} f_{-,1/2}}{(2\bar{\Lambda} - \omega - i\epsilon)(2\bar{\Lambda}' - \omega' - i\epsilon)} \frac{-\eta_0^b}{\bar{\Lambda}} L_{V,A}^{\mu\alpha(\mu\alpha\beta)} \quad (3.15)$$

$$\Xi_{pole}^{\eta_0^c} L_{V,A}^{\mu\alpha(\mu\alpha\beta)} = \frac{f_{+,3/2} f_{-,1/2}}{(2\Lambda - \omega - i\epsilon)(2\Lambda' - \omega' - i\epsilon)} \frac{-\eta_0^c}{\Lambda'} L_{V,A}^{\mu\alpha(\mu\alpha\beta)} \quad (3.16)$$

On the other hand, the correlation functions may be written as

$$\Xi_{theo}^{\eta_0^{b(c)}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu d\nu' \frac{\rho_{pert}^{b(c)}}{(\nu - \omega - i\epsilon)(\nu' - \omega' - i\epsilon)} + \Xi_{NP} + \text{subtractions} \quad (3.17)$$

with the first term being perturbative contributions and the second non-perturbative ones. These can be calculated order by order in the framework of HQEFT by using the perturbation theory and the operator product expansion (OPE) as well. The Lorentz structure $L_{V,A}^{\mu\alpha(\mu\alpha\beta)}$ is extracted out and not presented in (3.17).

Assuming the quark-hadron duality, the sum rules for η_0^b and η_0^c read

$$\Xi_{pole}^{\eta_0^{b(c)}} = \int_0^{\nu_1} d\nu \int_0^{\nu_2} d\nu' \frac{\rho_{pert}^{b(c)}}{(\nu - \omega - i\epsilon)(\nu' - \omega' - i\epsilon)} + \Xi_{NP} + \text{subtractions}. \quad (3.18)$$

The next step of sum rule method is to perform the Borel operator

$$\hat{B}_T^{(\omega)} \equiv T \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty, -\omega \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega^n}{\Gamma(n)} \left(-\frac{d}{d\omega}\right)^n \quad \text{with } T = \frac{-\omega}{n} \text{ fixed} \quad (3.19)$$

to both sides of sum rules. Since there are two momentum variables ω and ω' for the correlators (3.3)-(3.6), a double Borel transformation $\hat{B}_{t'}^{(\omega')} \hat{B}_t^{(\omega)}$ should be performed to them. This has the effect to suppress higher resonance contributions on one hand, and to enhance the importance of low dimension condensates on the other, and thirdly, it also eliminates the subtraction terms.

In QCD sum rule analysis for B semileptonic decays into ground state charmed mesons, it was argued [14–16] that the hadronic and perturbative spectral densities can not be locally dual to each other. But if one integrates the spectral densities over the "off-diagonal" variable $\nu_- = \frac{\nu - \nu'}{2}$, keeping the "diagonal" variable $\nu_+ = \frac{\nu + \nu'}{2}$ fixed, the quark-hadron duality is restored in ν_+ for the integrated spectral densities. This method was also used in [7] to calculate the transitions between ground state mesons in the HQEFT.

In the present case, the initial and final states belong to different doublets and are asymmetric. If one uses an asymmetric triangle in the perturbative integral, however, the resulting wave functions or their derivatives will unfortunately be divergent at $y = 1$ [3]. For these reasons, here we shall follow the method used in [7,3], namely taking $t' = t = 2T$ and integrating first the spectral density over ν_- in the region $-\nu_+ < \nu_- < \nu_+$, we then obtain from the quark-hadron duality the following form

$$\tilde{\Xi}_{pole} = 2 \int_0^{\omega_c^{*(1)}} d\nu_+ e^{-\nu_+/T} \tilde{\rho}_{pert}(\nu_+) + \tilde{\Xi}_{NP}, \quad (3.20)$$

where $\tilde{\Xi}$ denotes the result obtained by applying double Borel operators to Ξ , and

$$\tilde{\rho}_{pert}(\nu_+) = \int_{-\nu_+}^{\nu_+} d\nu_- \rho_{pert}(\nu_+, \nu_-). \quad (3.21)$$

In the present calculations, we will neglect the light quark mass and higher radiative corrections. For non-perturbative terms, we consider only the contributions of the quark condensate, the gluon condensate and the mixed quark-gluon condensate. The relevant Feynman diagrams are presented in Fig.1. From the sum rules, we arrive at the following results

$$\begin{aligned} f_{+,3/2} f_{-,1/2} \frac{\eta_0^b}{\Lambda} e^{-(\bar{\Lambda}+\bar{\Lambda}')/T} &= \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \frac{1+4y}{(1+y)^4} \int_0^{\omega_c^{*(1)}} d\omega_+ e^{-\omega_+/T} \omega_+^4 \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha_s \langle FF \rangle}{96\pi} \frac{7-y}{(1+y)^3} T - \frac{2\alpha_s \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{3\pi} \frac{T^2}{(1+y)^2} = \mathcal{SR}_b \\ f_{+,3/2} f_{-,1/2} \frac{\eta_0^c}{\Lambda'} e^{-(\bar{\Lambda}+\bar{\Lambda}')/T} &= \frac{3}{8\pi^2} \frac{2+3y}{(1+y)^4} \int_0^{\omega_c^{*(1)}} d\omega_+ e^{-\omega_+/T} \omega_+^4 \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha_s \langle FF \rangle}{96\pi} \frac{9y+1}{(1+y)^3} T - \frac{2\alpha_s \langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{3\pi} \frac{(3+2y)T^2}{(1+y)^2} = \mathcal{SR}_c \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

In the numerical calculations, we take the following typical values ($\alpha_s = g_s^2/4\pi$) for the condensates

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{q}q \rangle &\approx -(0.23 \text{ GeV})^3; \\ i\langle \bar{q}\sigma_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta} q \rangle &\approx -m_0^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle \text{ with } m_0^2 = 0.8 \text{ GeV}^2; \\ \alpha_s \langle FF \rangle &\equiv \alpha_s \langle F_{\alpha\beta}^a F_a^{\alpha\beta} \rangle \approx 0.04 \text{ GeV}^4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

From Eqs.(3.10)-(3.12), (3.22), one easily gets

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \frac{\mathcal{SR}_\tau}{\sqrt{\mathcal{SR}_{-,1/2} \times \mathcal{SR}_{+,3/2}}}, \\ \frac{\eta_0^b}{\Lambda} &= \frac{\mathcal{SR}_b}{\sqrt{\mathcal{SR}_{-,1/2} \times \mathcal{SR}_{+,3/2}}}, \\ \frac{\eta_0^c}{\Lambda'} &= \frac{\mathcal{SR}_c}{\sqrt{\mathcal{SR}_{-,1/2} \times \mathcal{SR}_{+,3/2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

The QCD higher order radiative corrections have not been included in eqs.(3.10)-(3.12) and(3.22). But what we will use in our numerical analysis is eqs.(3.24), which are ratios of the three-point correlators to the two-point correlators. Though the QCD radiative corrections may be large, one may expect that they may not influence the ratios in eqs.(3.24) significantly due to the cancelation of numerators and denominators.

IV. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF WAVE FUNCTIONS AND DECAY RATES

Now we turn to the numerical analysis of the sum rules obtained in the previous section. Imposing usual criterium that both higher order power corrections and the contributions of the continuum should not be very large, we find the proper sum rule "windows":

0.7GeV $\langle T \rangle$ 1.2GeV. $f_{-,1/2}$ and $f_{+,3/2}$ have been studied in [7,10,3] and the corresponding thresholds were found to be in the ranges 1.6GeV $\langle \omega_c \rangle$ 2.2GeV and 2.7GeV $\langle \omega_c^{**} \rangle$ 3.2GeV. In Fig.2 we present $\tau(1)$, $\eta_0^b(1)$ and $\eta_0^c(1)$ as functions of T at fixed values of ω_c^* and $\omega_c^{*(1)}$, where $\omega_c = 1.9\text{GeV}$ and $\omega_c^{**} = 2.95\text{GeV}$ are used. We find that $\tau(1)$ are stable around the threshold $\omega_c^* \approx 2.35\text{GeV}$, whereas $\eta_0^b(1)$ and $\eta_0^c(1)$ are stable around a smaller threshold value $\omega_c^{*(1)} \approx 1.85\text{GeV}$. With this analysis, we obtain the following values for the wave functions

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(1) &= 0.8 \pm 0.1\text{GeV} \\ -\frac{\eta_0^b(1)}{\Lambda} &= 0.35 \pm 0.04\text{GeV} \\ -\frac{\eta_0^c(1)}{\Lambda'} &= 1.15 \pm 0.15\text{GeV}\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

Where the errors mainly arise from the threshold. In Fig.3, the variations of τ , η_0^b and η_0^c with respect to y are shown, where we have used $T = 1\text{GeV}$.

We now come to consider the $B \rightarrow (D_1, D_2^*)$ decay rates. The differential decay rates are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\Gamma(B \rightarrow D_1 l \bar{\nu})}{dy} &= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2 m_B^5}{48\pi^3} r_1^3 \sqrt{y^2 - 1} \{2(1 - 2yr_1 + r_1^2)[f_{V_1}^2 + (y^2 - 1)f_A^2] \\ &\quad + [(y - r_1)f_{V_1} + (y^2 - 1)(f_{V_3} + r_1 f_{V_2})]^2\}, \\ \frac{d\Gamma(B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu})}{dy} &= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2 m_B^5}{144\pi^3} r_2^3 (y^2 - 1)^{3/2} \{3(1 - 2yr_2 + r_2^2)[k_{A_1}^2 + (y^2 - 1)k_V^2] \\ &\quad + 2[(y - r_2)k_{A_1} + (y^2 - 1)(k_{A_3} + r_2 k_{A_2})]^2\}\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

with the kinematically allowed ranges $1 \langle y \rangle 1.32$ for $B \rightarrow D_1 l \bar{\nu}$ and $1 \langle y \rangle 1.31$ for $B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu}$. The form factors f_i , k_i are related to the wave functions as shown in (2.18). The zero recoil values of κ_1 and κ_2 in (2.18) have been evaluated by fitting from the ground state meson masses [6] and also by the QCD sum rule method [7]. Similarly, $\kappa'_i(1)$ can also be extracted from fitting the meson masses given in (2.13), i.e.,

$$\kappa'_2(1) = \frac{m_c}{8}(m_{D_2^*} - m_{D_1}).\tag{4.3}$$

To extract $\kappa'_1(1)$, we consider the spin average mass of each doublet:

$$\bar{m}_H = \frac{n_- m_{H^-} + n_+ m_{H^+}}{n_- + n_+}\tag{4.4}$$

with

$$n_{\pm} = 2j_{\pm} + 1, \quad j_{\pm} = j_l \pm \frac{1}{2}.$$

(2.13), (2.15) and (4.4) yield

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa'_1(1) - \kappa_1(1) &= \frac{[(\bar{m}'_B - \bar{m}_B) - (\bar{m}'_D - \bar{m}_D)]m_b m_c}{m_b - m_c}, \\ \bar{\Lambda}' - \bar{\Lambda} &= \frac{m_b(\bar{m}'_B - \bar{m}_B) - m_c(\bar{m}'_D - \bar{m}_D)}{m_b - m_c}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Here $\bar{m}_{B(D)}$ are the average masses of the $j_l^P = \frac{1}{2}^-$ ground state mesons, whereas $\bar{m}'_{B(D)}$ are the ones of the $j_l^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$ doublet mesons.

When taking the average meson masses to be $\bar{m}_D = 1.971\text{GeV}$, $\bar{m}'_D = 2.445\text{GeV}$, $\bar{m}_B = 5.314\text{GeV}$ and $\bar{m}'_B = 5.73\text{GeV}$ [2] and the quark masses $m_b = 4.8\text{GeV}$, $m_c = 1.35\text{GeV}$, we arrive at the following values

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_1(1) &= -0.56\text{GeV}^2 \\ \kappa_2(1) &= 0.05\text{GeV}^2 \\ \kappa'_1(1) &= -0.83\text{GeV}^2 \\ \kappa'_2(1) &= 0.00675\text{GeV}^2\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

η_i^Q characterize the matrix elements of the chromomagnetic operator. They are often neglected from the argument that the chromomagnetic operator must have small effects due to the small $D_2^* - D_1$ mass splitting [2]. In this section, we first neglect them but discuss their possible sizable effects late on. When η_i^Q are neglected, the formulae of decay rates become very simple because each differential decay rate depends only on a composite wave function.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d\Gamma(B \rightarrow D_1 l \bar{\nu})}{dy} &= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2 m_B^5}{72\pi^3} r_1^3 (y+1) (y^2-1)^{3/2} [(y-1)(1+r_1)^2 + y(1-2yr_1+r_1^2)] \tilde{\tau}_1^2(y), \\ \frac{d\Gamma(B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu})}{dy} &= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cb}|^2 m_B^5}{72\pi^3} r_2^3 (y+1) (y^2-1)^{3/2} [(y+1)(1-r_2)^2 + 3y(1-2yr_2+r_2^2)] \tilde{\tau}_2^2(y)\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\tau}_1(y) &= \tau - \frac{\eta_0^b}{2m_b\bar{\Lambda}} - \frac{\eta_0^c}{2m_c\bar{\Lambda}'} + \frac{\tau}{2m_b\bar{\Lambda}} (\kappa_1(1) + 3\kappa_2(1)) + \frac{\tau}{2m_c\bar{\Lambda}'} (\kappa'_1(1) + 5\kappa'_2(1)), \\ \tilde{\tau}_2(y) &= \tau - \frac{\eta_0^b}{2m_b\bar{\Lambda}} - \frac{\eta_0^c}{2m_c\bar{\Lambda}'} + \frac{\tau}{2m_b\bar{\Lambda}} (\kappa_1(1) + 3\kappa_2(1)) + \frac{\tau}{2m_c\bar{\Lambda}'} (\kappa'_1(1) - 3\kappa'_2(1)).\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

It is seen that the $1/m_Q$ corrections from the kinematic term do not change the relative values for the two differential decay rates. As the spin-symmetry breaking term κ'_2 arising from the mass splitting is small, without including the $1/m_Q$ corrections from the chromomagnetic terms, the relative value of their total decay rates should have the similar behavior as the one at the leading order, i.e.,

$$R = \frac{\Gamma^0(B \rightarrow D_1 l \bar{\nu})}{\Gamma^1(B \rightarrow D_1 l \bar{\nu})} \sim \frac{\Gamma^0(B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu})}{\Gamma^1(B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu})}\tag{4.9}$$

which can be explicitly seen from Table 1, where we have used the input values $m_b = 4.8\text{GeV}$, $m_c = 1.35\text{GeV}$, $|V_{cb}| = 0.038$, the life time $\tau_B = 1.6\text{ps}$ and the thresholds $\omega_c = 1.9\text{GeV}$, $\omega_c^{**} = 2.95\text{GeV}$, $\omega_c^* = 2.35\text{GeV}$, $\omega_c^{*(1)} = 1.85\text{GeV}$. Note that both decay rates of $B \rightarrow D_1 l \bar{\nu}$ and $B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu}$ receive large $1/m_Q$ contributions (but not as large as the $1/m_Q$ contributions received by the $B \rightarrow D_1 l \bar{\nu}$ decay rate given in [17] within the framework of the relativistic quark model based on the quasipotential approach. This is different from the discussions based on the quasipotential approach with the same structure of heavy quark

mass corrections predicted in the usual HQET [17], where the decay rate of $B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu}$ is only slightly increased by subleading $1/m_Q$ corrections.

In comparison with the experimental data reported by CLEO [18] and ALEPH [19] groups, our result for the branching ratio of the $B \rightarrow D_1 l \bar{\nu}$ decay with the inclusion of $1/m_Q$ corrections is in agreement with both measurements. While for the $B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu}$ decay, the result at $m_Q \rightarrow \infty$ limit is within the CLEO upper limit but not within the ALEPH one. When including $1/m_Q$ contributions, we find that the resulting decay rate for $Br(B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu})$ seems to go over the CLEO upper limit though it may still be consistent within the large errors due to the big uncertainties of the choices of the thresholds. On the other hand, in deriving the results in Table 1, we have made the assumption that $\eta_i^Q = 0$. In general, the contributions of η_i^Q may not be neglected. Their effects have shown in Fig.4, where we have used the above sum rule results for τ , η_0^b and η_0^c and the thresholds $\omega_c = 1.9\text{GeV}$, $\omega_c^{**} = 2.95\text{GeV}$, $\omega_c^* = 2.35\text{GeV}$ and $\omega_c^{*(1)} = 1.85\text{GeV}$. We have also made the assumption that η_i^Q and $\tilde{\tau}$ have the similar dependence on y , $\tilde{\tau} \approx \tilde{\tau}(1)/(1 + \frac{y-1}{a^2})$ with $a^2 \approx 0.7$. It is seen from Fig.4 that η_1^c , η_2^c , η_3^c and η^b influence the branching ratios in different ways. When η_1^c becomes larger, $Br(B \rightarrow D_1 l \bar{\nu})$ decreases while $Br(B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu})$ increases, and η_2^c influences the two branching ratios in the same manner as η_1^c does, but both branching ratios are not sensitive to η_2^c . η_3^c has opposite effects on the two decay modes, i.e., its increment enlarges $Br(B \rightarrow D_1 l \bar{\nu})$ but suppresses $Br(B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu})$. For η^b , it always appears in the form $\tilde{\tau} + \frac{\eta^b}{m_b \Lambda}$, so it affects $Br(B \rightarrow D_1 l \bar{\nu})$ and $Br(B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu})$ in the same way, i.e., a negative value of η^b may suppress both branching ratios. Some reasonable results for the branching ratios at certain values of η_i^Q are listed in Table 2 and Table 3. From Table 2, Table 3 and Fig.4, we see that both branching ratios of $B \rightarrow D_1(D_2^*) l \bar{\nu}$ may be suppressed after considering the possible effects due to the contributions of chromomagnetic terms at $1/m_Q$ order. The resulting two branching ratios can easily be made to be consistent with the experimental measurements when η^b , η_1^c , η_2^c and η_3^c are in the proper ranges.

V. SUMMARY

The HQEFT has been reviewed and applied to study the semileptonic B decays into excited charmed mesons (D_1, D_2^*) with $j_l^P = \frac{3}{2}^+$. The form factors of the matrix elements relevant to these two decays have been expressed in terms of wave functions within the framework of HQEFT. It has been shown that with the inclusion of quark-antiquark coupled sectors, the relevant matrix elements can be parameterized by the leading order Isgur-Wise function and additional twelve wave functions η_i^Q ($Q = b, c; i = 0, 1, 2, 3$) and $\kappa_j^{(l)}$ ($j = 1, 2$) appearing at $1/m_Q$ order. Two wave functions τ_1^b and τ_1^c characterizing the $1/m_Q$ corrections of effective current in the usual HQET [1,2] are absent in HQEFT.

By adopting proper interpolating currents for the heavy-light mesons, the leading order wave function τ and two important wave functions η_0^b and η_0^c at $1/m_Q$ order have been calculated by the QCD sum rule approach. Zero recoil values of κ_1' and κ_2' , which are $1/m_Q$ order wave functions for the matrix elements between $\frac{3}{2}^+$ excited states, have also been extracted from fitting the excited meson masses. By using these calculated results and also considering possible effects from wave functions η_i^Q ($i = 1, 2, 3$) arising from the chromomagnetic operators at $1/m_Q$ order, $B \rightarrow D_1(D_2^*) l \bar{\nu}$ decay rates and branching ratios

have been evaluated. When neglecting η_i^Q ($i = 1, 2, 3$), we have shown that both of these decays receive large $1/m_Q$ corrections from η_0^Q and $\kappa_i^{(1)}(1)$ ($i = 1, 2$). For $B \rightarrow D_1 l \bar{\nu}$ decay this is similar to the results obtained from quasihquark potential approach based on the same structure as in the usual HQET, but for $B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu}$ decay the situation is quite different from the case described by that approach, where $Br(B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu})$ only increases slightly when the $1/m_Q$ order contributions from $\tau_1^{b(c)}$ are included. It has been shown that when considering all possible contributions from wave functions at the $1/m_Q$ order in the HQEFT, but without breaking the $1/m_Q$ expansion, the resulting branching ratios of the semileptonic B decays into $\frac{3}{2}^+$ excited charmed mesons can agree well with the experimental measurements for the proper ranges of η_i^Q .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Y. B. Dai and M. Q. Huang for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the NSF of China under the grant No. 19625514.

APPENDIX A: LOCALITY OF HQEFT

For completeness and clarification, we briefly review the formulation of heavy quark effective field Lagrangian that keeps both effective quark and antiquark fields [5]. In particular, we would like to emphasize, by adding this appendix, that the HQEFT is a local effective theory and contains no non-local operators.

Firstly, denote the heavy quark (antiquark) field as

$$Q = Q^+ + Q^-, \quad (\text{A1})$$

with Q^+ and Q^- corresponding to the two solutions of the Dirac equation.

Defining

$$\hat{Q}_v^\pm \equiv \frac{1 \pm \not{v}}{2} Q^\pm, \quad R_v^\pm \equiv \frac{1 \mp \not{v}}{2} Q^\pm \quad (\text{A2})$$

with v^μ an arbitrary four-vector satisfying $v^2 = 1$. Furthermore \hat{Q}_v^\pm are related to the desired effective fields defined as

$$Q_v = e^{i\not{v}m_Q v \cdot x} \hat{Q}_v, \quad \bar{Q}_v = \bar{\hat{Q}}_v e^{-i\not{v}m_Q v \cdot x}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

From the equation of motion of quark field and antiquark field, the original fields Q and \bar{Q} can be expressed by the new fields as follows,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} Q^\pm = [1 + (1 - \frac{i\not{D}_\perp + m_Q}{2m_Q})^{-1} \frac{i\not{D}_\perp}{2m_Q}] \hat{Q}_v^\pm \equiv \hat{\omega} \hat{Q}_v^\pm \\ \quad = e^{\mp im_Q v \cdot x} [1 + (1 - \frac{i\not{D}_\perp}{2m_Q})^{-1} \frac{i\not{D}_\perp}{2m_Q}] Q_v^\pm \equiv e^{\mp im_Q v \cdot x} \omega Q_v^\pm, \\ \bar{Q}^\pm = \bar{\hat{Q}}_v^\pm [1 + \frac{-i\not{D}_\perp}{2m_Q} (1 - \frac{-i\not{D}_\perp + m_Q}{2m_Q})^{-1}] \equiv \bar{\hat{Q}}_v^\pm \overleftarrow{\omega} \\ \quad = \bar{\hat{Q}}_v^\pm [1 + \frac{-i\not{D}_\perp}{2m_Q} (1 - \frac{-i\not{D}_\perp}{2m_Q})^{-1}] e^{\pm im_Q v \cdot x} \equiv \bar{Q}_v^\pm \overleftarrow{\omega} e^{\pm im_Q v \cdot x}. \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{A4})$$

\overleftarrow{D}^μ , \mathcal{D}_\parallel and \mathcal{D}_\perp are defined as

$$\begin{cases} \int \kappa \overleftarrow{D}^\mu \varphi \equiv - \int \kappa D^\mu \varphi, \\ \mathcal{D}_\parallel \equiv \not{v}(v \cdot D), \\ \mathcal{D}_\perp \equiv \not{D} - \not{v}(v \cdot D). \end{cases} \quad (\text{A5})$$

The QCD Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \mathcal{L}_{light} + \mathcal{L}_{eff}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

where \mathcal{L}_{light} represents the part of Lagrangian containing no heavy quarks, and

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_v^{++} + \mathcal{L}_v^{+-} + \mathcal{L}_v^{-+} + \mathcal{L}_v^{--} \quad (\text{A7})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_v^{\pm\pm} &= \bar{Q}_v^\pm [i\mathcal{D}_\parallel - m_Q + \frac{1}{2m_Q} i\mathcal{D}_\perp (1 - \frac{i\mathcal{D}_\parallel + m_Q}{2m_Q})^{-1} i\mathcal{D}_\perp] \hat{Q}_v^\pm \equiv \bar{Q}_v^\pm \hat{A} \hat{Q}_v^\pm \\ &= \bar{Q}_v^\pm [i\mathcal{D}_\parallel + \frac{1}{2m_Q} i\mathcal{D}_\perp (1 - \frac{i\mathcal{D}_\parallel}{2m_Q})^{-1} i\mathcal{D}_\perp] Q_v^\pm \equiv \bar{Q}_v^\pm A Q_v^\pm \\ \mathcal{L}_v^{\pm\mp} &= \bar{Q}_v^\pm [-i\mathcal{D}_\perp + \frac{1}{4m_Q^2} (-i \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\perp) (1 - \frac{-i \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\parallel + m_Q}{2m_Q})^{-1} i\mathcal{D}_\perp \\ &\quad \times (1 - \frac{i\mathcal{D}_\parallel + m_Q}{2m_Q})^{-1} i\mathcal{D}_\perp] \hat{Q}_v^\mp \equiv \bar{Q}_v^\pm \hat{B} \hat{Q}_v^\mp \\ &= e^{\pm 2im_Q v \cdot x} \bar{Q}_v^\pm [-i\mathcal{D}_\perp + \frac{1}{4m_Q^2} (-i \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\perp) (1 - \frac{-i \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_\parallel}{2m_Q})^{-1} i\mathcal{D}_\perp \\ &\quad \times (1 - \frac{i\mathcal{D}_\parallel}{2m_Q})^{-1} i\mathcal{D}_\perp] Q_v^\mp \equiv e^{\pm 2im_Q v \cdot x} \bar{Q}_v^\pm B Q_v^\mp \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

It is seen that all parts of the effective Lagrangian are local.

When quark fields and antiquark fields decouple completely, it is reasonable to deal with only section \mathcal{L}_v^{++} or \mathcal{L}_v^{--} independently. This is just the case considered in the framework of the usual HQET. To consider the finite quark mass corrections one should also include the contributions from $\mathcal{L}_v^{\pm\mp}$ and \mathcal{L}_v^{--} as well.

Similarly, the heavy quark currents can also be decomposed into four parts in a similar way,

$$\begin{aligned} J(x) &= \bar{Q}'(x) \Gamma Q(x) = \bar{Q}'^+ \Gamma Q^+ + \bar{Q}'^+ \Gamma Q^- + \bar{Q}'^- \Gamma Q^+ + \bar{Q}'^- \Gamma Q^- \\ \rightarrow J_{eff}(x) &= \bar{Q}'_v{}^+ \overleftarrow{\hat{\omega}} \Gamma \hat{Q}_v^+ + \bar{Q}'_v{}^+ \overleftarrow{\hat{\omega}} \Gamma \hat{Q}_v^- + \bar{Q}'_v{}^- \overleftarrow{\hat{\omega}} \Gamma \hat{Q}_v^+ + \bar{Q}'_v{}^- \overleftarrow{\hat{\omega}} \Gamma \hat{Q}_v^- \\ &= J_v^{++} + J_v^{+-} + J_v^{-+} + J_v^{--}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

The matrix element on the r.h.s. of (2.2) is actually evaluated via

$$\langle H_{v'}' | J_{eff} e^{i \int \mathcal{L}_{eff}} | H_v \rangle = \langle H_{v'}' | (J_v^{++} + J_v^{+-} + J_v^{-+} + J_v^{--}) e^{i \int (\mathcal{L}_v^{++} + \mathcal{L}_v^{+-} + \mathcal{L}_v^{-+} + \mathcal{L}_v^{--})} | H_v \rangle. \quad (\text{A10})$$

Here J_{eff} and \mathcal{L}_{eff} shall include all 4 parts instead of only the ‘++’ parts in (A7) and (A9) as has been done in the usual HQET. The first sector ‘++’ of J_{eff} contributes

$$\langle H'_{v'} | \bar{\hat{Q}}_{v'}'^+ \overleftarrow{\hat{\omega}} \Gamma \hat{\omega} \hat{Q}_v^+ e^{i \int \mathcal{L}_{eff}} | H_v \rangle. \quad (\text{A11})$$

If neglecting all except ‘++’ sectors in J_{eff} and \mathcal{L}_{eff} , we get

$$\langle H'_{v'} | \bar{\hat{Q}}_{v'}'^+ \overleftarrow{\hat{\omega}} \Gamma \hat{\omega} \hat{Q}_v^+ e^{i \int \bar{\hat{Q}}_v^+ \hat{A} \hat{Q}_v^+} | H_v \rangle,$$

which is just what the usual HQET treated.

The contributions from the ‘+-’ sector of J_{eff} is

$$\langle H'_{v'} | \bar{\hat{Q}}_{v'}'^+ \overleftarrow{\hat{\omega}} \Gamma \hat{\omega} \hat{Q}_v^- e^{i \int \mathcal{L}_{eff}} | H_v \rangle. \quad (\text{A12})$$

In the case that both the initial and final states contain only heavy quarks (no heavy antiquarks), matrix elements such as

$$\langle H'_{v'} | \bar{\hat{Q}}_{v'}'^+ \overleftarrow{\hat{\omega}} \Gamma \hat{\omega} \hat{Q}_v^- | H_v \rangle$$

do not contribute, and (A12) contributes only at higher perturbation order, i.e., only when \mathcal{L}_{eff} is inserted into the matrix elements. Therefore the leading order contribution from (A12) should be

$$\langle H'_{v'} | i \int dx dy T \{ (\bar{\hat{Q}}_{v'}'^+ \overleftarrow{\hat{\omega}} \Gamma \hat{\omega} \hat{Q}_v^-)(x), (\bar{\hat{Q}}_v^- \hat{B} \hat{Q}_v^+)(y) \} | H_v \rangle \quad (\text{A13})$$

and then the effective antiquark fields $\hat{Q}_v^-(x)$ and $\bar{\hat{Q}}_v^-(y)$ should be contracted. Due to (A8), this will yield the propagator

$$\frac{-iP_-}{\not{p}v \cdot p + m_Q + O(1/m_Q)} \quad (P_{\pm} \equiv \frac{1 \pm \not{v}}{2}),$$

which is of $O(1/m_Q)$.

Contributions from other sectors of the current J_{eff} can be treated in the same way. It is easy to see that $\langle H'_{v'} | J_v^{++} e^{i \int \mathcal{L}_{eff}} | H_v \rangle$ gives contributions of $O(1)$, and $\langle H'_{v'} | J_v^{\pm\mp} e^{i \int \mathcal{L}_{eff}} | H_v \rangle$ is $O(1/m_Q)$, whereas $\langle H'_{v'} | J_v^{--} e^{i \int \mathcal{L}_{eff}} | H_v \rangle$ is $O(1/m_Q^2)$ since \mathcal{L}_{1/m_Q} should be inserted twice, and each contraction of \hat{Q}_v^- and $\bar{\hat{Q}}_v^-$ gives a $1/m_Q$ suppression.

To be more clear, contracting $\bar{\hat{Q}}_v^-$ and \hat{Q}_v^- in (A13) yields

$$\langle H'_{v'} | i \int d^4x d^4y \bar{\hat{Q}}_{v'}'^+(x) \overleftarrow{\hat{\omega}} \Gamma \hat{\omega} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{-iP_- e^{-ip \cdot (x-y)}}{\not{p}v \cdot p + m_Q + O(1/m_Q)} \hat{B} \hat{Q}_v^+(y) e^{i \int \mathcal{L}_{eff}} | H_v \rangle. \quad (\text{A14})$$

which can be written in the following form with replacing the momentum p by the derivative ∂ and performing the integral of the momentum

$$\langle H'_{v'} | i \int d^4x d^4y \bar{\hat{Q}}_{v'}'^+(x) \overleftarrow{\hat{\omega}} \Gamma \delta(x-y) \hat{\omega} \frac{P_-}{i\not{p}v \cdot \partial + m_Q + O(1/m_Q)} \hat{B} \hat{Q}_v^+(y) e^{i \int \mathcal{L}_{eff}} | H_v \rangle, \quad (\text{A15})$$

Using the same trick, one can treat all contributions from $\langle H'_{v'} | J_v^{+-} e^{i \int \mathcal{L}_{eff}} | H_v \rangle$ and obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle H'_{v'} | J_v^{+-} e^{i \int \mathcal{L}_{eff}} | H_v \rangle \\
&= \langle H'_{v'} | \bar{Q}'_{v'} \overleftarrow{\omega} \Gamma \omega [-i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel} - \frac{1}{2m_Q} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp} (1 - \frac{i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}}{2m_Q})^{-1} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp}]^{-1} B Q_v^+ e^{i \int \mathcal{L}_{eff}} | H_v \rangle \\
&= \langle H'_{v'} | e^{i(m_{Q'} v' - m_{Qv}) \cdot x} \bar{Q}'_{v'} \overleftarrow{\omega} \Gamma \omega (-A^{-1}) B Q_v^+ e^{i \int \mathcal{L}_{eff}} | H_v \rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{A16}$$

which means that, effectively, one can reexpress J^{+-} to be the effective current in which only the effective quark fields $\bar{Q}'_{v'}$ and Q_v^+ are used. Namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_v^{+-} &= \langle Q'^+ \Gamma Q^- \rangle = \bar{Q}'_{v'} \overleftarrow{\omega} \Gamma \hat{\omega} \hat{Q}_v^- \\
&\rightarrow \bar{Q}'_{v'} \overleftarrow{\omega} \Gamma \hat{\omega} (-\hat{A}^{-1}) \hat{B} \hat{Q}_v^+ = e^{i(m'_{Q'} v' - m_{Qv}) \cdot x} \bar{Q}'_{v'} \overleftarrow{\omega} \Gamma \omega (-A^{-1}) B Q_v^+
\end{aligned} \tag{A17}$$

In an analogous way, one can reexpress J_v^{+-} , J_v^{--} , \mathcal{L}_v^{+-} , \mathcal{L}_v^{-+} and \mathcal{L}_v^{--} into the corresponding effective currents and Lagrangians by only using the effective quark fields $\bar{Q}'_{v'}$ and Q_v^+ . Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{eff} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{eff}^{++} \equiv \mathcal{L}_v^{++} + \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{++}, \\
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{++} &\equiv \langle \mathcal{L}_v^{+-} + \mathcal{L}_v^{-+} + \mathcal{L}_v^{--} \rangle = \frac{1}{2m_Q} \bar{Q}'_{v'} [i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2m_Q} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp} (1 - \frac{i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}}{2m_Q})^{-1} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp}] \frac{1}{i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp} \\
&\times [1 - \frac{i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}}{2m_Q} + \frac{1}{2m_Q} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp} \frac{1}{i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp}]^{-1} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp} \frac{1}{i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}} [i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel} + \frac{1}{2m_Q} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp} (1 - \frac{i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}}{2m_Q})^{-1} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp}] Q_v^+ \\
&= \frac{1}{2m_Q} \bar{Q}'_{v'} A \frac{1}{i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp} [1 - \frac{i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}}{2m_Q} + \frac{1}{2m_Q} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp} \frac{1}{i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp}]^{-1} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp} \frac{1}{i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}} A Q_v^+,
\end{aligned} \tag{A18}$$

which represents the additional contributions to the effective Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_v^{++} that has been widely adopted in the usual HQET. This additional part may be regarded as the effective potential part of heavy quark due to the exchanges of virtual antiquarks. It is seen that when one imposes the on-shell condition $A Q_v^+ = 0$, i.e. $\mathcal{L}_v^{++} = 0$, the effective potential part $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{++}$ also vanishes, i.e. $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{++} = 0$. For off-shell case $\langle i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel} \rangle \sim \langle i \mathcal{D}_{\perp} \rangle \sim \bar{\Lambda}$, or

$$\frac{\langle (i \mathcal{D}_{\perp})^2 \rangle}{2m_Q} \ll \langle i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel} \rangle,$$

the leading contribution of the effective potential part is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_v^{++}|_{LO} = \bar{Q}'_{v'} \frac{(i \mathcal{D}_{\perp})^2}{2m_Q} Q_v^+.$$

Correspondingly, the heavy quark current turns out to be [6–9]

$$\begin{aligned}
J &= \bar{Q}' \Gamma Q \rightarrow J_{eff} \rightarrow J_{eff}^{++} \equiv J_v^{++} + \tilde{J}_v^{++} \\
&= e^{i(\hat{m}_{Q'} v' - \hat{m}_{Qv}) \cdot x} \{ \bar{Q}'_{v'} \Gamma Q_v^+ + \frac{1}{2m_Q} \bar{Q}'_{v'} \Gamma \frac{1}{i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}} (i \mathcal{D}_{\perp})^2 Q_v^+ \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2m_{Q'}} \bar{Q}'_{v'} (-i \overleftarrow{\mathcal{D}}_{\perp})^2 \frac{1}{-i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}} \Gamma Q_v^+ + \frac{1}{4m_Q^2} \bar{Q}'_{v'} \Gamma \frac{1}{i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel}} i \mathcal{D}_{\perp} (i \mathcal{D}_{\parallel})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times i\overleftarrow{D}_\perp Q_v^+ + \frac{1}{4m_{Q'}^2} \overleftarrow{Q}'_{v'} (-i\overleftarrow{D}_\perp) (-i\overleftarrow{D}_\parallel) (-i\overleftarrow{D}_\perp) \frac{1}{-i\overleftarrow{D}_\parallel} \Gamma Q_v^+ \\
& + \frac{1}{4m_{Q'}m_Q} \overleftarrow{Q}'_{v'} (-i\overleftarrow{D}_\perp)^2 \times \frac{1}{-i\overleftarrow{D}_\parallel} \Gamma \frac{1}{i\overleftarrow{D}_\parallel} (i\overleftarrow{D}_\perp)^2 Q_v^+ + O\left(\frac{1}{m_{Q'}^3}\right) \} \\
& \equiv J_{eff}^{(0)} + J_{eff}^{(1/m_Q)} \tag{A20}
\end{aligned}$$

with $J_{eff}^{(0)}$ the leading term $J_{eff}^{(0)} = e^{i(\hat{m}_{Q'}v' - \hat{m}_Q v) \cdot x} \overleftarrow{Q}'_{v'} \Gamma Q_v^+$ and $J_{eff}^{(1/m_Q)}$ the remaining terms in J_{eff} .

APPENDIX B: LORENTZ STRUCTURES

Here we present the general Lorentz structures of $L_{V,A}^{\mu\nu(\mu\alpha\beta)}$ appeared in eqs.(3.1)-(3.6).

$$\mathcal{L}_V^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left[(y^2 - 1)g^{\mu\nu} + 3v^\mu v^\nu + (1 - 2y)v'^\mu v'^\nu - 3yv^\mu v'^\nu - (y - 2)v'^\mu v^\nu \right], \tag{B1}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_A^{\mu\nu} = i\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1 + y)\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}v_\alpha v'_\beta, \tag{B2}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{2V}^{\mu\alpha\beta} = -\frac{i}{2} \left(\epsilon^{\mu\alpha\sigma\rho}(v_\beta - v'_\beta y) + \epsilon^{\mu\beta\sigma\rho}(v_\alpha - v'_\alpha y) \right) v_\sigma v'_\rho, \tag{B3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_A^{\mu\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{3}(1 + y)g^{\alpha\beta}(v^\mu - v'^\mu) - \frac{1}{2}(1 + y)g^{\alpha\mu}(v^\beta - v'^\beta y) - \frac{1}{2}(1 + y)g^{\beta\mu}(v^\alpha - v'^\alpha y) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}(1 - y)v'^\alpha v'^\beta v'^\mu + \frac{1}{2}(1 - y)v^\alpha v'^\beta v'^\mu - \frac{1}{3}(1 + y)v'^\alpha v'^\beta v^\mu \\
&- \frac{2}{3}(1 + y)v'^\alpha v'^\beta v'^\mu + (v'^\alpha v'^\beta + v^\alpha v^\beta)v'^\mu. \tag{B4}
\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. K. Leibovich, Z. Ligeti, I. W. Stewart and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3995 (1997).
- [2] A. K. Leibovich, Z. Ligeti, I. W. Stewart and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 308 (1998).
- [3] Y.B. Dai and M.Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 034018 (1999).
- [4] M. Q. Huang, C. Z. Li and Y.B. Dai, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 054010 (2000).
- [5] Y.L. Wu, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A8**, 819 (1993).
- [6] W.Y. Wang, Y.L. Wu and Y.A. Yan, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A15**, 1817 (2000); hep-ph/9906529, 1999.
- [7] W.Y. Wang and Y.L. Wu, hep-ph/0006241.
- [8] Y.A. Yan, Y.L. Wu and W.Y. Wang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A15**, 2735 (2000); hep-ph/9907202, 1999.
- [9] Y.L. Wu and Y.A. Yan, to be published in Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A**; hep-ph/0002261, 2000.
- [10] M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 1076 (1992).
- [11] P. Ball and V. M. Braun, Nucl. Phys. B **421**, 593 (1994).
- [12] A.F. Falk, Nucl. Phys. B **378**, 79 (1992).
- [13] Y.B. Dai, C.S. Huang, M.Q. Huang and C. Liu, Phys. Lett. B **390**, 350 (1997); Y.B. Dai, C.S. Huang and M.Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 5719 (1997);
- [14] M. Neubert, Phys. Rep. **245**, 259 (1994);
- [15] B. Blok and M. Shifman, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 2949 (1993);
- [16] M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 3914 (1992).
- [17] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 014016 (2000); hep-ph/9906415.
- [18] CLEO Collaboration, A. Anastassov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4127 (1998).
- [19] ALEPH Collaboration, D. Buskulic et al., Z. Phys. C **73**, 601 (1997).

FIGURES




Fig.1. Feynman diagrams contributing to η_0^b and η_0^c at the order concerned. The box at the up of each diagram represents the $1/m_Q$ order heavy-heavy currents in eqs.(3.1)-(3.6).




Fig.2a




Fig.2b




Fig.2c

Fig.2. Wave functions τ , η_0^b and η_0^c at the zero recoil point $y = v \cdot v' = 1$.




Fig.3a




Fig.3b




Fig.3c

Fig.3. Variations of the wave functions τ , η_0^b and η_0^c with respect to y at $T = 1\text{GeV}$.




Fig.4a




Fig.4b




Fig.4c

Fig.4. Branching ratios of $B \rightarrow D_1(D_2^*)$ decays at different values of η_i^Q . a. $\eta^b(1) = -0.4\text{GeV}^2$; b. $\eta^b(1) = -0.6\text{GeV}^2$; c. $\eta^b(1) = -0.8\text{GeV}^2$. In these figures $\eta_2^c(1) = -0.6\text{GeV}^2$ is used, and we assumed that η_i^Q and $\tilde{\tau}$ have the same monopole.

Table 1. Decay rates Γ (in units of $|V_{cb}/0.038|^2 \times 10^{-15}$ GeV) and branching ratios BR (in %) for $B \rightarrow D_1(D_2^*)l\bar{\nu}$ decays in the infinitely heavy quark mass limit and taking account of first order $1/m_Q$ corrections. R is a ratio of branching ratios taking account of $1/m_Q$ corrections to branching ratios in the infinitely heavy quark mass limit.

		$B \rightarrow D_1l\bar{\nu}$	$B \rightarrow D_2^*l\bar{\nu}$
$m_Q \rightarrow \infty$	Γ	1.87 ± 0.53	3.24 ± 0.94
	Br	0.45 ± 0.13	0.79 ± 0.23
with η_0^Q and $\kappa_i^{(l)}$	Γ	2.42 ± 0.65	4.05 ± 1.09
	Br	0.59 ± 0.16	0.99 ± 0.26
R		1.30 ± 0.54	1.25 ± 0.05
Experiment	Br(CLEO) [18]	$0.56 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.04$	$\langle 0.8$
	Br(ALEPH) [19]	0.74 ± 0.16	$\langle 0.2$

Table 2. Branching ratios (in %) at some values of η_i^Q . $\eta_2^c(1) = -0.6\text{GeV}^2$ and the monopole assumption are used in deriving the branching ratios in this table.

$\eta^b(1)(\text{GeV}^2)$	$\eta_1^c(1)(\text{GeV}^2)$	$\eta_3^c(1)(\text{GeV}^2)$	$Br(B \rightarrow D_1l\bar{\nu})$	$Br(B \rightarrow D_2^*l\bar{\nu})$
-0.4	-0.2	-0.1	0.70	0.52
	-0.2	0.1	0.80	0.41
	0	0.2	0.47	0.58
	0	0.4	0.58	0.46
	0.2	0.4	0.32	0.71
	0.2	0.6	0.42	0.58
-0.6	-0.2	-0.1	0.66	0.45
	-0.2	0.1	0.75	0.35
	0	0.2	0.43	0.51
	0	0.4	0.53	0.40
	0.2	0.4	0.29	0.63
	0.2	0.6	0.39	0.51
-0.8	-0.2	-0.1	0.61	0.40
	-0.2	0.1	0.71	0.30
	0	0.2	0.40	0.45
	0	0.4	0.49	0.35
	0.2	0.4	0.27	0.56
	0.2	0.6	0.35	0.45

Table 3. Branching ratios (in %) at some values of η_i^Q . $\eta_2^c(1) = 0\text{GeV}^2$ and the monopole assumption are used in deriving the branching ratios in this table.

$\eta^b(1)(\text{GeV}^2)$	$\eta_1^c(1)(\text{GeV}^2)$	$\eta_3^c(1)(\text{GeV}^2)$	$Br(B \rightarrow D_1 l \bar{\nu})$	$Br(B \rightarrow D_2^* l \bar{\nu})$
-0.4	-0.2	-0.1	0.63	0.61
	-0.2	0.1	0.73	0.49
	0	0.2	0.42	0.67
	0	0.4	0.52	0.55
	0.2	0.4	0.29	0.81
	0.2	0.6	0.38	0.67
-0.6	-0.2	-0.1	0.58	0.54
	-0.2	0.1	0.68	0.43
	0	0.2	0.38	0.60
	0	0.4	0.48	0.48
	0.2	0.4	0.26	0.73
	0.2	0.6	0.35	0.60
-0.8	-0.2	-0.1	0.54	0.48
	-0.2	0.1	0.63	0.37
	0	0.2	0.35	0.53
	0	0.4	0.44	0.42
	0.2	0.4	0.24	0.66
	0.2	0.6	0.32	0.53