Topology of Boundary Surfaces in 3D Simplicial Gravity

H.S.Egawa^{a, b} and N.Tsuda^{b *}

^aDepartment of Physics, Tokai University Hiratsuka, Kanagawa 259-12, Japan

^bTheory Division, Institute of Particle and Nuclear Studies, KEK, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305 , Japan

A model of simplicial quantum gravity in three dimensions(3D) was investigated numerically based on the technique of dynamical triangulation (DT). We are concerned with the genus of surfaces appearing on boundaries (i.e., sections) of a 3D DT manifold with S^3 topology. Evidence of a scaling behavior of the genus distributions of boundary surfaces has been found.

1. Introduction

Recent numerical results obtained by dynamical triangulation for 3D and 4D suggest the existence of scaling behavior: for example, the number of simplexes at geodesic distance r , the fractal dimension and the volume of boundaries[\[1](#page-2-0),[2\]](#page-2-0). Furthermore, analytical results of 4D QG show scaling relations of the partition function[\[3](#page-2-0)]. The purpose here is to further explore the boundary surfaces of 3D DT mfd. If the scaling of the boundary volume distribution in 3D and 4D makes sense, 2 it is expected that the boundary, itself, has physical significance. We are concerned with the genus of these boundaries (see Fig.[1\)](#page-1-0).

Furthermore, the model of 3D Euclidean QG with boundaries may be recognized as a model of quantum nucleation of the universe in $(2 + 1)$ dimensional quantum gravity. The nucleation of the universe by the quantum tunneling may be described by going out of the Euclidean signature region to the Lorentzian signature region in a sense of the semiclassical approximation. The nucleation of the universe can also be regarded as a topology-changing process in the sense that the universe undertakes a transition from the initial state with no boundary to the final state with nontrivial topology (see Fig[.1](#page-1-0): $0 \to d$ or $d \to d'$). We can treat the model in a full quantum way, which means that we sum up the fluctuations of the 3D metric $(g_{\mu\nu})$. The process of quantum tunneling requires that all of the components of the extrinsic curvature vanish (i.e., *totally geodesic*). Although in our numerical simulations we put no restriction on the boundary surfaces, it is possible to introduce an extrinsic curvature on the boundary surface as a physical restriction.

2. Model

We start with the Euclidean Einstein-Hilbert action,

$$
S_{EH} = \int d^3x \sqrt{g} \left(\Lambda - \frac{1}{G} R \right), \tag{1}
$$

where Λ is the cosmological constant, and G is Newton's constant of gravity. We use the lattice action of the 3D model,

$$
S(\kappa_0, \kappa_3) = -\kappa_0 N_0 + \kappa_3 N_3
$$

=
$$
-\frac{2\pi}{G} N_0
$$

+
$$
\left(\Lambda' - \frac{1}{G} (2\pi - 6\cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{3}))\right) N_3,
$$

where N_i denotes the total number of *i*-simplexes, $\Lambda' = c\Lambda$; c is the unit volume and $\cos^{-1}(\frac{1}{3})$ is the angle between two tetrahedra; κ_0 is proportional to the inverse of bare Newton's constant, and κ_3 corresponds to a lattice cosmological constant. The partition function is

$$
Z(\kappa_0, \kappa_3) = \sum_{T(S^3)} e^{-S(\kappa_0, \kappa_3)}.
$$
 (2)

[∗] supported by Research Fellowships of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science for Young Scientists.

² In 2D the scaling behavior of the loop-length distributions (LLD) is well known[[4](#page-2-0)].

Figure 1. Schematic picture of boundary surfaces $(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 \text{ and } \Sigma_3)$ at distance d and a surface (Σ_2') at distance d' in 3D Euclidean space M with $S³$ topology. The mother universe is defined as a boundary surface (Σ_2) with the largest tip volume (V_2) , and the other surfaces are defined as the baby universes.

3. Numerical Analysis

In order to discuss the scaling properties, we measured the genus distributions of the boundary surfaces with several geodesic distances. Suppose a 3D ball $(3$ -ball) which is covered within d steps from a reference 3-simplex in the 3D mfd with $S³$ topology. Naively, the 3-ball has a boundary with spherical topology (S^2) . However, because of the branching of DT space, the boundary is not always simply-connected, and there usually appear many boundaries which consist of closed and orientable 2D surfaces with any topology and nontrivial structures, such as links or knots. The boundary surfaces are divided into two classes: one is a baby boundary and the other is a mother boundary. The boundaries with small sizes ($\sim \mathcal{O}(1)$) are called a baby one (see the caption of Fig.1). The baby boundaries are originated from the small fluctuations of the 3D Euclidean spaces. We thus think that these surfaces are non-universal objects, which has been established in 2D QG. We concentrate on the mother boundary surfaces in this article.

Figure 2. Genus distributions of the mother boundary near to the critical point with log-log scales. $N_3 = 16K \ (\kappa_0^c = 4.090 \text{ and } \kappa_3 = 2.200).$ $d = 9, 10$ and 11.

Figure 3. Genus distributions of the mother boundary near to the critical point with log-log scales. $N_3 = 32K \ (\kappa_0^c = 4.195 \text{ and } \kappa_3 = 2.222).$ $d = 9, 10, 11$ and 12.

Figure 4. Genus distributions of the mother boundary surface in the strong-coupling limit $(\kappa_0 = 0.0).$

3.1. Genus distributions near to the critical point

The genus of the boundary surfaces is a dimensionless quantity. Therefore, it is naively expected that the genus distributions show the scaling property; in fact, we have obtained evidence of the scaling relation, $P(g) \sim g^{-\alpha}$, where g is a genus. We can estimate $\alpha \approx 0.5$ from Fig.[3.](#page-1-0) The scaling property of the genus distributions becomes more clear the bigger the size of the boundary becomes (see Figs.[3](#page-1-0) and [2](#page-1-0)). Thus, this scaling property will remain after the thermal limit, $N_3 \rightarrow \infty$. If we go forward into the weak-coupling phase $(\kappa_0^c < \kappa_0)$, this scaling relation disappears. However, in the strong-coupling phase we have another type of distribution of the genus.

3.2. Genus distributions in the strongcoupling phase

We also measure the genus distributions of the mother boundary in the strong-coupling limit (i.e., $\kappa_0 = 0$) with volumes $N_3 = 4K, 8K$ and $16K$ (see Fig.4). In this measurement we choose a maximum-distance (d_m) at which the peak value (g_p) of each distribution becomes maximum, and obtain the values $d_m = 9, 10$ and 11 for $N_3 =$ $4K, 8K$ and $16K$, respectively. The peak value (g_p) of each distribution becomes larger the bigger the size of boundary surface becomes. It is well known that the manifold starts to crumple in this phase, and that the fractal dimension tends to diverge. The g_p will diverge when N_3 goes to infinity, which shows that the manifold starts to crumple boundlessly in the strong-coupling limit.

4. Summary and Discussion

We are concerned with the genus of the mother surfaces appearing on boundaries (i.e., sections) of 3D DT mfd with S^3 topology near to the critical point and in the strong-coupling phase. We find a power-like scaling behavior of genus distributions of the mother boundary surfaces near to the critical point: $P(g) \sim g^{-\alpha}$, where α is about 0.5 in our simulation sizes. Furthermore, in the strong-coupling limit g_p seems to diverge when N_3 goes to infinity.

There are some applications of the boundary analysis: (i)the quantum nucleation of the universe or topology changing of the boundary surfaces in $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional quantum gravity and (ii)the formation of nontrivial structures, such as links or knots.

REFERENCES

- 1. H.Hagura, N.Tsuda and T.Yukawa, [hep](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-lat/9512016)[lat/9512016](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-lat/9512016), to be published in Phys.Lett. B; H.S.Egawa and N.Tsuda, [hep-lat/9705020](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-lat/9705020).
- 2. H.S.Egawa, T.Hotta, T.Izubuchi, N.Tsuda and T.Yukawa, Prog.Theor.Phys. 97 (1997) 539; Nucl.Phys.B (Proc.Suppl.) 53 (1997) 760; B.V.Bakker and J.Smit,Nucl.Phys.B 439 (1995) 239; J.Ambjørn and J.Jurkiewicz, Nucl.Phys.B 451 (1995) 643.
- 3. I.Antoniadis, P.O.Mazur and E.Mottola, Phys.Lett.B 323 (1994) 284.
- 4. N.Tsuda and T.Yukawa, Phys.Lett. B305 (1993) 223; H.Kawai, N.Kawamoto, T.Mogami, and Y.Watabiki, Phys.Lett. B306 (1993) 19.